

THE RISE AND FALL OF THE ENGLISH ECCLESIASTICAL COURTS, 1500–1860

The first history of ecclesiastical jurisdiction in England covers the period up to the removal of principal subjects inherited from the Middle Ages. Probate, marriage and divorce, tithes, defamation, and disciplinary prosecutions involving the laity are all covered. These all disappeared from the church's courts during the mid-nineteenth century and were taken over by the royal courts. The book traces the steps and reasons – large and small – by which this occurred.

R. B. Outhwaite is a late fellow of Gonville and Caius College, Cambridge. He devoted much of his scholarship to the history of ecclesiastical jurisdiction of England.



CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN ENGLISH LEGAL HISTORY

Edited by

J. H. BAKER

Fellow of St Catharine's College, Cambridge

Recent series titles include

The Rise and Fall of the English Ecclesiastical Courts, 1500–1860 R. B. OUTHWAITE

Law Courts and Lawyers in the City of London, 1300–1550 PENNY TUCKER

Legal Foundations of Tribunals in Nineteenth-Century England CHANTAL STEBBINGS

Pettyfoggers and Vipers of the Commonwealth The 'Lowers Branch' of the Legal Profession in Early Modern England

C. W. BROOKS

Roman Canon Law in Reformation England

R. H. HELMHOLZ

Sir Henry Maine

A Study in Victorian Jurisprudence

R. C. J. COCKS

Sir William Scott, Lord Stowell Judge of the High Court of Admiralty, 1798–1828 HENRY J. BOURGUIGNON

The Early History of the Law of Bills and Notes
A Study of the Origins of Anglo-American Commercial Law
JAMES STEVEN ROGERS

The Law of Treason in England in the Later Middle Ages
J. G. BELLAMY

William Sheppard, Cromwell's Law Reformer NANCY L. MATTHEWS



THE RISE AND FALL OF THE ENGLISH ECCLESIASTICAL COURTS, 1500–1860

R. B. OUTHWAITE





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521869386

© Cambridge University Press 2006

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2006

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN-13 978-0-521-86938-6 hardback ISBN-10 0-521-86938-2 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



CONTENTS

Foreword by R.H. Helmholz	page vii
Preface	xi
Table of parliamentary statutes	xiii
List of abbreviations	xv
The ecclesiastical courts: structures	
and procedures	1
The business of the courts, 1500–1640	15
Tithe causes	23
Wills and testamentary causes	33
Defamation suits	40
Marital suits and marriage licences	47
Office causes	57
The roots of expansion and critical voices	64
Charting decline, 1640–1830	78
Explaining decline	95
The bills of 1733–1734	104
Snips and repairs: small steps to	
reform, 1753–1813	119
Royal commissions and early fruits,	
1815–1832	129
Reform frustrated	145
Reforms thick and fast, 1854–1860	157
Select bibliography	174
Index	187
	Preface Table of parliamentary statutes List of abbreviations The ecclesiastical courts: structures and procedures The business of the courts, 1500–1640 Tithe causes Wills and testamentary causes Defamation suits Marital suits and marriage licences Office causes The roots of expansion and critical voices Charting decline, 1640–1830 Explaining decline The bills of 1733–1734 Snips and repairs: small steps to reform, 1753–1813 Royal commissions and early fruits, 1815–1832 Reform frustrated Reforms thick and fast, 1854–1860 Select bibliography



FOREWORD R. H. Helmholz

This account of the jurisdiction of the English ecclesiastical courts was the last work written by R. B. Outhwaite before his early death from cancer in the spring of 2005. It completed one part of his scholarly career. The book also fills a gap in knowledge. Many treatises on the law of the Church of England contain a historical dimension and general treatments of English law sometimes have a little to say about the ecclesiastical courts. But most of these works approach the subject from the outside, using evidence from the common law to describe what happened in the spiritual forum. That is necessarily second-hand evidence. And despite some forays into the history of the courts of the church after their restoration in the 1660s, such as the two excellent books written by Professor Stephen Waddams of the University of Toronto, there has been no overall account of the history of ecclesiastical jurisdiction itself. Little has been written from the perspective of the general historian, that is, the historian who seeks to trace the fate of the courts as they found their way forwards after the Elizabethan Settlement and then, later on, as they approached the demise of their jurisdiction over the laity in the midnineteenth century. Brian was that historian, and this is the gap his work fills.

My part in the production of this book has not been significant. I did both enjoy and benefit from a friendship with Brian, having had the good fortune to be elected to a fellowship at his college, Gonville and Caius, during the year I served as Goodhart Professor in Cambridge. I also



viii Foreword

knew something about the ecclesiastical courts from my own work on their history prior to the 1640s. For these reasons it fell to me to read through and undertake some editing of the typescript he left at his death. I have corrected a few mistakes, occasionally amended the author's prose in the interest of clarity and added a few details, bibliographic and factual. However, this remains Brian's book and accomplishment throughout. I have done nothing – at least nothing consciously – to alter his conclusions or approach. The only real change of which I am conscious is to have made his language slightly more 'legal' in tone and substance.

I am sure that Brian himself would have made additions and changes had he been spared time to do so. Indeed, he left notes for a final chapter, in which he intended to sum up and clarify his conclusions. He particularly wished, for example, to stress the relative importance of the instance side of ecclesiastical jurisdiction. Even before the end of the seventeenth century, it was jurisdiction in contests between private parties about tithes, testaments and defamation that provided the great bulk of the work (and income) for the English civilians, or ecclesiastical lawyers. The ex officio side, prosecutions relating to immorality or religious dissent, actually played a smaller role in the maintenance of the church's place in the history of English law than some histories of the so-called bawdy courts suggest. Of course, it is true that court officials also profited from ex officio prosecutions. Those prosecuted had to pay what we would call 'court costs'. But Brian thought that too much attention had been paid to the 'bawdy' side of ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and he wished to stress this corrective point.

Brian's notes show that he would also have added to his conclusions about the several ways in which the jurisdiction of the courts was effectively diminished. Prior to the nineteenth century, little was lost as a direct consequence of parliamentary legislation. Such restrictive measures as were introduced usually removed jurisdiction that had largely disappeared anyway. Nor did he think that emphasis



Foreword ix

could rightly be laid on efforts from common lawyers to restrict the scope of ecclesiastical jurisdiction. Instead, he wished to stress change in attitudes among those affected by the system. Perhaps he left something out by not taking up the legal mechanisms by which ecclesiastical jurisdiction was curbed, but what he wrote is nonetheless helpful – as for example in his discussion of the unwillingness of churchwardens to present men and women for discipline even though they were required to do so in the official sources of the day.

The process by which Parliament eventually did dismantle the church's existing jurisdiction in the mid-1800s is also a theme to which his notes show he would have returned in concluding. Cases involving scandals among the clergy and long-repeated complaints against the fees exacted by the courts obviously played a part. But these were not new. They can be found in virtually every period since the courts were established in the second half of the thirteenth century. However, the pressure for reform was building in the first half of the nineteenth. The church no longer maintain its claim to the allegiance of virtually the entire populace. Change was 'in the air' in any event. The process of parliamentary manoeuvring by which it came is a subject on which Brian had valuable things to say. Most of them are in fact mentioned in the final chapters of this book. The initial strategy - wholesale reform - proved less effective than piecemeal changes. He shows the gradual process by which this lesson became clear to participants. Still, it would have been better to have had Brian's more general reflections on this topic.

No one – least of all Brian Outhwaite – would claim that this book is the final word on the subject. It has little to say, for example, about the careers and works of the English civilians, some of whom are well worth fuller study. It has even less to say about many of the legal technicalities attending, say, the law of last wills and testaments or the working of Lord Hardwicke's Marriage Act. But what it does, it does very well. It presents a clear picture of what



x Foreword

happened to the several heads of jurisdiction exercised by the courts of the church from time immemorial as they passed into modern times. Brian's fair-minded examination, found in the first half of the book, of the work by other scholars who have drawn conclusions from the court records is itself a useful advance in scholarship. He brought to his assessment a sophisticated understanding of the economic forces that affected the exercise of eccesiastical jurisdiction. His notes suggest that he meant to go further with the evidence presented in this book, discussing the wider question of whether eighteenth-century England can accurately be described as an ancien regime. He thought the history of ecclesiastical jurisdiction in England had a bearing on it. This would have been a worthwhile discussion. I have no doubt. We can now only lament that he did not have time enough to complete it. But we can also be glad that this book exists. It has been an honour for me to have had a hand in securing its completion.



PREFACE

As executor for this book, I wish, on behalf of the Outhwaite family, and on my own account, to express gratitude to Professor Richard Helmholz, Ruth Wyatt Rosensen Distinguished Service Professor of Law at the University of Chicago, for taking editorial charge of Brian Outhwaite's manuscript, and to Cambridge University Press for expediting its publication. My only contribution has been the index.

Brian and I were professional colleagues, relations by marriage, and close friends and travel companions - going back over forty years. I read his splendid Scandal in the Church shortly after it came out in 1998, Brian presenting it to me as 'a diversion'. I later heard from him about his more general work on the English ecclesiastical courts. When he was diagnosed with prostate cancer, his labours on the volume, unsurprisingly, faltered; and, with a view to gaining some external encouragement in the new circumstances, he sent the incomplete manuscript to CUP in July 2004. The Press's reaction, based on two readers' reports, was broadly positive, but not conclusive. When Brian received a further, now terminal, prognosis in January 2005, I asked him whether he still had plans for the book. His reaction was entirely dismissive: partly through an urgent reordering of priorities, partly through a genuine modesty concerning the worth of his contribution. It was only in late March that, unprompted, he passed the typescript, with attendant correspondence, into my care. I read it, with a decidedly non-expert eye, and a week or so later discussed with him



xii Preface

how we might proceed. He was able, with his wife Christine's help, to convey clear agreement and disagreement with the proposals offered. Brian died the following day.

I communicated immediately with Professor Helmholz in Chicago, as Brian had suggested that he would be the best person to carry the project forward. He replied at once to express, without proviso, his willingness to take charge. It was a fine example of a warm-spirited international academic community at work. Learning that Professor Helmholz would, specifically, be undertaking corrections and elucidations, as well as writing a foreword, CUP – in the persons of Professor Sir John Baker, the Legal History Series Editor, and Finola O'Sullivan, Publisher, Law – declared a ready willingness to proceed.

Brian loved Cambridge and his college, Gonville & Caius. He would have been delighted to know that CUP would be presenting the book to the public. He would also have been gratified to learn of Professor Helmholz's high valuation of his final work and unconditional commitment to its completion.

W. M. Mathew School of History University of East Anglia, Norwich



TABLE OF PARLIAMENTARY STATUTES

16 Ric. II, c. 5 (1932) (<i>Praemunire</i>)	19-20
25 Hen. VIII, c. 6 (1534) (buggery)	69
25 Hen. VIII, c. 19 (1534) (submission of clergy)	65
27 Hen. VIII, c. 20 (1536) (collection of tithes)	28
31 Hen. VIII, c. 13 §21 (1539) (tithes on	
monastic lands)	30
32 Hen. VIII, c. 7 (1540) (payment of tithes)	29
37 Hen. VIII, c. 9 (1545) (usury)	69
25 Hen. VIII, c. 17 (1545) (laymen and	
ecclesiastical jurisdiction)	65
2 & 3 Edw. VI, c. 13 (1549) (payment of tithes)	31
1 Eliz. I, c. 2 (1559) (Act of Uniformity)	107
5 Eliz. I, c. 16 (1563) (witchcraft)	69
1 Eliz. I, c. 17 (1563) (sodomy)	69, 106
1 Jac. I, c. 11 (1603) (bigamy)	69, 106
3 Jac. I, c. 4 (1605) (absence from church)	107
4 Jac. I, c. 5 (1606) (drunkenness)	106-7
1 Car. I, c. 1 (1625) (Sunday Observance)	107
3 Car. I, c. 1 (1627) (Sunday Observance)	107
12 Car. II, c. 12 (1661) (abolition of use	
of Ex officio oath)	79
22 & 23 Car. II, c. 9 (1670-1) (regulation	
of court fees)	100
22 & 23 Car. II, c. 10 (1670-1) (Statute of	
Distributions)	90
29 Car. II, c. 3 (1676) (Statute of Frauds)	50, 90
1 Will. & Mar., c. 18 (1689) (toleration of	
Dissenters)	82

xiii



xiv Table of Parliamentary Statutes

4 Will. & Mar., c. 2 (1692) (freedom of	
testation in York)	90
7 Will. & Mar., c. 6 (1696)	
(recovery of tithes) 87–8,	96, 123, 128
26 Geo. II, c. 33 (1753) (Lord Hardwicke's	
Marriage Act)	92-3, 119-22
27 Geo. III, c. 44 (1787) (limitation of	
vexatious suits) 84, 91, 96,	98, 125, 138
53 Geo. III, c. 127 (1813) (writ de	
contumace capiendo)	103, 128
10 Geo. IV, c. 53 (1829) (court procedure)	130
2 & 3 Will. IV, c. 92 (1832) (Privy Council	
Appeals Act)	143-4
3 & 4 Vict., c. 86 (1840) (prosecution of clergy)	138-9
18 & 19 Vict., c. 41 (1855) (abolition of	
defamation jurisdiction)	158-9
20 & 21 Vict., c. 77 (1857) (abolition of	
probate jurisdiction)	170-2
20 & 21 Vict., c. 85 (1857) (Divorce and	
Matrimonial Causes)	159-67
23 & 24 Vict., c. 32 (1860) (abolition of jurisdiction	
over brawling)	172



ABBREVIATIONS

AJ LH	American Journal of Legal History
$C\mathcal{F}$	The House of Commons Journals
DRO	Derbyshire Record Office, Matlock
EHR	English Historical Review
$\mathcal{J}EH$	Journal of Ecclesiastical History
LHR	Law and History Review
$L\mathcal{F}$	The House of Lords Journals
LPL	Lambeth Palace Library, London
LRO	Lichfield Record Office, Lichfield
PD	W. Cobbett, Parliamentary Debates, 1812-20
PP	Parliamentary Papers
SCH	Studies in Church History
TRHS	Transactions of the Royal Historical Society