Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-86856-3 — The Order of Public Reason Gerald Gaus Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

> The Order of Public Reason A Theory of Freedom and Morality in a Diverse and Bounded World

In this innovative and wide-ranging work, Gerald Gaus advances a revised, and more realistic, account of public reason liberalism, showing how, in the midst of fundamental disagreement about values and beliefs, we can achieve a moral and political order that treats all as free and equal moral persons. The first part of the book analyzes social morality as a system of authoritative moral rules. Drawing on an earlier generation of moral philosophers such as Kurt Baier and Peter Strawson as well as current work in the social sciences, Gaus argues that our social morality is an evolved social fact, which is the necessary foundation of a mutually beneficial social order. The second part considers how this system of social moral authority can be justified to all moral persons. Drawing on the tools of game theory, social choice theory, experimental psychology, and evolutionary theory, Gaus shows how a free society can secure a moral equilibrium that is endorsed by all, and how a just state respects, and develops, such an equilibrium.

Gerald Gaus is the James E. Rogers Professor of Philosophy at the University of Arizona. He was previously professor of philosophy and political economy at Tulane University. He is the author of a number of books, including *On Philosophy, Politics, and Economics* (2008); *Contemporary Theories of Liberalism* (2003); and *Justificatory Liberalism* (1996). His essay "On Justifying the Moral Rights of the Moderns" won the 2009 American Philosophical Association's Kavka Award.

The Order of Public Reason

A Theory of Freedom and Morality in a Diverse and Bounded World

GERALD GAUS

University of Arizona



Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-86856-3 — The Order of Public Reason Gerald Gaus Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521868563

© Gerald Gaus 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Gaus, Gerald F. The order of public reason : a theory of freedom and morality in a diverse and bounded world / Gerald Gaus. p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index. 1. Political ethics. I. Title. JA79.G38 2010 172-dc22 2010036917

ISBN 978-0-521-86856-3 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-86856-3 — The Order of Public Reason Gerald Gaus Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

To Andrea

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-86856-3 — The Order of Public Reason Gerald Gaus Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

> The enemies of liberty have always based their arguments in the contention that order in human affairs requires that some should give orders and others obey.

> > F. A. Hayek, The Constitution of Liberty

We need not, perhaps, insist upon just the same answer for all; but, if we take the question seriously, we must insist on *some* answer for all.

P. F. Strawson, "Social Morality and Individual Ideal"

[A] society's morality is the joint product of the moralities of its individual members. As far as its content is concerned, individual members are its joint makers, not merely its subjects.

Kurt Baier, The Rational and the Moral Order

Contents

Preface

page xiii

Ι	The Fundamental Problem	1
	1 Social Morality	2
	1.1 A Moral Order among Free and Equal Persons	2
	1.2 Social Morality as the Framework of Social Life	2
	1.3 The Authority of Social Morality	6
	2 Moral Authority among Free and Equal Persons	14
	2.1 Moral Freedom and Equality	14
	2.2 Why Should We Suppose that Moral Persons Are Free	
	and Equal?	21
	2.3 Two Puzzles about Moral Authority	22
	2.4 A Social Morality of Free and Equal Persons	23
	3 Evaluative Diversity and the Problem of Indeterminacy	36
	3.1 The Fundamental Problem with the Proposed Solution to	
	the Fundamental Problem	36
	3.2 Free-Standing and Overlapping Consensus Justifications	38
	3.3 Public Justification under Indeterminacy	42
	3.4 The Second Puzzle about Moral Authority	47
	Conclusion	48
PA	RT ONE. SOCIAL ORDER AND SOCIAL MORALITY	
II	The Failure of Instrumentalism	53
	1 The Instrumentalist America she to Cosial Order	E 4

I	The Failure of Instrumentalism	53
	4 The Instrumentalist Approach to Social Order	54
	4.1 The Crisis of Social Morality	54
	4.2 Instrumental Rationality	58
	4.3 Three Fundamental Features of Instrumental Rationality	63

viii	(Contents
	5 Revisionist Theories 5.1 The Prisoners' Dilemma as a Model	70 70
	5.2 "Voodoo Decision Theory"	73
	5.3 Rejecting Modular Rationality and Being Tied to the Past	76
	6 Orthodox Instrumentalism	87
	6.1 The Folk Theorem	87
	6.2 Reputations	90
	6.3 Preference Transformation Accounts	96
	Conclusion	100
III	Social Morality as the Sphere of Rules	101
	7 The Evolution of Rule-Following Punishers	103
	7.1 What Rules Do for Us	103
	7.2 The Evolution of Cooperation	104
	7.3 Common Rules and Efficient Cooperation	112
	7.4 Support from Experimental Data	118
	8 Deontic Reasoning	122
	8.1 Rules: Regulating the Specific through the General	122
	8.2 Social Rules and Deontic Reasoning	125
	9 The Rationality of Following Rules	131
	9.1 Does Evolution Blind Us to the Wisdom of the Fool?	131
	9.2 Three Broadly Instrumental Proposals	132 141
	9.3 Dissolving the First Mystery of Social Rules 9.4 Dissolving the Second Mystery: The Multidimensionality	
	Practical Rationality	148
	9.5 Can Rational Agents See Rules as Overriding?	161
	10 Moral Rules as Social Rules	163
	10.1 De Jure Moral Authority	163
	10.2 The Existence of Social Rules	165
	10.3 An Existing Practice of Reciprocal Obligation	170
	10.4 Positive and True Morality	172
	Conclusion	181
IV	Emotion and Reason in Social Morality	183
	11 Moral Demands and the Moral Emotions	185
	11.1 The Instrumentalist View, Rule-Following Punishers,	
	and the Practice of Social Morality	185
	11.2 Moral Violations as Everyone's Business	188
	11.3 Blame and Punishment	193
	11.4 Guilt, Moral Autonomy, and Moral Authority	202
	12 Moral Emotions and Moral Autonomy	205
	12.1 Emotions and Appropriateness	205
	12.2 The Challenge of the New Sentimentalists	211

Con	tents	ix
	12.3 Reasons and Moral Autonomy	218
	12.4 The First-Person Perspective on Moral Truth	225
	13 The Reasons One Has	232
	13.1 The Reasons There Are and That One Has	232
	13.2 The Myth of Full Rationality	235
	13.3 Having a Sufficient Reason	244
	13.4 The Provisionality of Reasons, Learning from Others,	
	and the Demands of Rationality	251
	13.5 "Respectable" and "More than Respectable" Reasoning	
	in Our Morality	254
	Conclusion	258
PAF	RT TWO. REAL PUBLIC REASON	
V	The Justificatory Problem and the Deliberative Model	261
	14 On Modeling Public Justification	263
	14.1 The Principle of Public Justification	263
	14.2 The Basic Idea of the Deliberative Model	264
	14.3 The Task of the Members of the Public	267
	14.4 The Evaluative Standards of the Members of the Public	276
	15 Proposals	292
	15.1 Modeling Legislation in the Realm of Ends	292
	15.2 Constraints on Proposals	294
	16 Evaluating Proposals and the Problem of Indeterminacy	303
	16.1 Rankings of Members of the Public	303
	16.2 Denying Authority to a Rule	310
	16.3 The Socially Eligible Set	321
	Conclusion	332
VI	The Rights of the Moderns	334
	17 Arguments from Abstraction and the Claims of Agency	335
	17.1 A Double Abstraction Strategy	335
	17.2 The Perspective of Agency	337
	17.3 Freedom and Agency	341
	17.4 Welfare, Resources, and Agency	357
	17.5 The Stability of Abstract Rights under Full Justification	359
	17.6 The Limits of Arguments from Abstraction	368
	18 Jurisdictional Rights	370
	18.1 The Functions of Rights	370
	18.2 Rights and Devolution	372
	18.3 The Right of Private Property	374
	18.4 Privacy and Other Rights	381
	18.5 What Scheme of Rights?	386
	Conclusion	386

x		Contents
VII	Moral Equilibrium and Moral Freedom	389
, 11	19 Coordinating on a Morality	391
	19.1 The Procedural Justification Requirement	391
	19.2 Modeling Coordination	393
	19.3 The Increasing Returns of Shared Moral Requirements	398
	19.4 Freedom, Fairness, and Equilibrium	400
	20 The Evolution of Morality	409
	20.1 Some Evolutionary Features of the Account	409
	20.2 Contrasts to Hayek's More Radical Social Evolutionary	1
	Theory	418
	21 The Testing Conception	424
	21.1 Testing the Status Quo	424
	21.2 Human Rights as Transcendent Principles	428
	21.3 Moral Criticism and Moral Reform	434
	21.4 The Dangers of Utopianism	443
	Conclusion	446
VIII	The Moral and Political Orders	448
	22 The Authority of the State	449
	22.1 Social Contract Theory and the Supremacy of Political	
	Authority	449
	22.2 The Priority of Social Morality	456
	22.3 Moral and Political Authority	460
	22.4 Political and Moral Authority in a World of States	470
	23 The Justification of Coercive Laws	479
	23.1 The Right against Legal Coercion	479
	23.2 What Is to be Justified?	490
	23.3 Coercion and the Limits of the Liberal State	497
	24 Private Property and the Redistributive State	509
	24.1 The Fundamental Place of Private Property in a Free	-00
	Social Order	509
	24.2 The Ineligibility of Socialism	511
	24.3 Classical Liberalism, Redistribution, and the Eligible Se	
	25 Further Functions of the State and Practical Paretianis	
	25.1 The Abstract Argument for Public Goods Provision	529 524
	25.2 Quasi-Public Goods and Public Justification	534 528
	25.3 Practical Paretianism	538 545
	Conclusion	545
Cone	cluding Remarks on Moral Freedom and Moral Theory	547

Contents	xi
Appendix A: The Plurality of Morality Appendix B: Economic Freedom in States that Best Protect	551
Civil Rights	558
Bibliography	561
Index	591

Preface

"The fox knows many things, but the hedgehog knows one big thing." Perhaps by now, invoking Isaiah Berlin's famous distinction risks banality, but it is more than just an interesting contrast. Philip Tetlock, in his wonderful book Expert Political Prediction, has shown that it has a genuine basis in different cognitive styles. Overall, and of course with many important exceptions, moral, social, and political philosophy is the clash of the hedgehogs. Often political philosophers actually characterize themselves as defending one supreme value - "I'm an egalitarian" or "I'm a libertarian." But even when hedgehogosity is not quite so blatant, moral, social, and political philosophy is often the clash of well-defined schools with well-defined programs: Aristotelians, virtue theorists, perfectionists, Kantians, Humeans, utilitarians, deontologists, expressivists, realists, intuitionists, naturalists, moral sense theorists, and on and on. And when philosophers are dissatisfied with the current state of philosophy and seek to advance a new view, they almost always see the need to ensure that it qualifies as a fully fledged hedgehog view. Thus many moral philosophers who have been impressed by the need to take empirical evidence seriously go on to insist that moral philosophy really is simply cognitive psychology. One experimental moral philosopher once objected to me: "I have no idea what people are talking about when they invoke the idea of rationality." All that old rationality talk is out, and now it is just the study of cognitive processes. Philosophy as the clash of the hedgehogs is central to our pedagogy. The standard philosophy course is a con-

xiii

xiv

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-86856-3 — The Order of Public Reason Gerald Gaus Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

Preface

frontation of the Great Hedgehog views on a topic – a tour of theories that assert a simple truth and seek to fit all the moral, social, or political phenomena into that single truth. The outcome of the course is typically that all have some insight and all fall short. But the next semester we begin, once again, with the clash of the Great Hedgehogs.¹

A fox approach to moral, social, and political philosophy might appear necessarily antitheoretical. Bernard Williams was a foxy philosopher (well, in our sense, at least), and he was also generally against theorizing about morality. But to appreciate the diversity of a phenomenon, and the ways that different schools and methods have contributed to our understanding of it, is not to abandon the idea that we may develop a unified and coherent account of it. A foxy theory will be complex, and it will draw on a variety of approaches. It will be sensitive to the relevance of new data, and so it must allow that its conclusions are revisable (at the same time it will resist turning the study of empirical phenomena into the new hedgehog truth of philosophy). A foxy theory need not take everything on board, singing the bland refrain that "everything is wonderful in its own way." But it will be sensitive to the fact that the complexity of the moral and social world cannot be captured by one value, one method, or one school. Its theory will not be a deduction from one core truth or insight, but a piecing together of many truths that leads to a bigger and, one hopes, true picture. It may even have a central concern or worry. A fox is not one who cannot be moved to answer a single question; it is one who sees the complexity of the answer.

The attentive reader may well have guessed that I aim to present a foxy account of social and political philosophy in this work. This work advances a theory that forms a unified picture of what I call "social morality," and the ways that it relates to the political order. We shall see, though, that unity does not imply simplicity; along the way we will have to grapple with the insights of, among many others, Hobbes, Hume, Kant, Rousseau, J. S. Mill, T. H. Green, P. F. Strawson, Kurt Baier, S. I. Benn, R. M. Hare, F. A. Hayek, David

¹ Of course it will be objected that we include Hume – a fox! But is he just turned into the "empiricist" hedgehog, to be contrasted with the great rationalist one?

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-86856-3 — The Order of Public Reason Gerald Gaus Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

Preface

XV

Gauthier, Alan Gewirth, Kenneth Arrow, John Rawls, James Buchanan, and Amartya Sen. We will draw on game theory, experimental psychology, economics, sociological theories of cultural evolution, theories of emotion and reasoning, axiomatic social choice theory, constitutional political economy, Kantian moral philosophy, prescriptivism, and the concept of reason and how it relates to freedom in human affairs. I am convinced that until philosophy turns away from its obsession with clashing schools and approaches, it will be caught in an eternal circle of covering the clash of the hedgehogs but will never advance in grasping complex truths. I am aware, though, that because hedgehogosity is so firmly ingrained in philosophers' minds, unless one's work fits into a hedgehog category, it is unlikely that anyone will pay much attention to it. (How can it be taught? Where do we put it in our syllabus? Is it really philosophy?) My work is often categorized under the "libertarian" label since I argue that human freedom is terribly important, that coercive interferences infringe freedom and so must always be justified to the person who is being coerced. Scanning over the available hedgehog categories, the philosopher's mind stops at "libertarian." That most of my views on freedom and coercion were learned from Stanley Benn, a traditional Labor Party social democrat, never makes much of a difference to the categorization. To this worry one can only quote the great Doris Day: "Que sera, sera."

Perhaps I am a bit of a hedgehog too, for this book is motivated by one central concern: can the authority of social morality be reconciled with our status as free and equal moral persons in a world characterized by deep and pervasive yet reasonable disagreements about the standards by which to evaluate the justifiability of claims to moral authority? My worry, which I try to show should be yours too, is that claims of social morality may be simply authoritarian. One demands that others must do as he instructs because he has access to the moral truth; another admits that she has no access to any moral truth, but nevertheless employs morality as a way to express (or, to use an older language, emote) her own view of what others must do. But what if reasonable moral persons deny the purported truth or are unimpressed by the expressive act? And what if, in spite of that denial, one goes ahead and makes demands,

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-86856-3 — The Order of Public Reason Gerald Gaus Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

xvi

Preface

blames, punishes, is indignant, and so on at their refusal to comply? In this case, I shall argue, one is just being a small-scale authoritarian. And authoritarians do not respect the moral equality of their fellows. A social order that is structured by a nonauthoritarian social morality is a free moral order: a moral order that is endorsed by the reasons of all, in which all have reasons of their own, based on their own ideas of what is important and valuable, to endorse the authority of social morality. Such a social and moral order is what I shall call "an order of public reason" – it is endorsed by the reasons of all the public. Only if we achieve an order of public reason can we share a cooperative social order on terms of moral freedom and equality. Only in an order of public reason is our morality truly a joint product of the reasons of all rather than a mode of oppression by which some invoke the idea of morality to rule the lives of others.

The idea that morality can itself be authoritarian strikes many as odd. We all know the first line of section 1 of A Theory of Justice -"Justice is the first virtue of social institutions, as truth is of systems of thought." Isn't morality a wonderful thing? And can we have too much of it? Kurt Baier is less enamored of moral discourse. Consider how he begins his great work, The Moral Point of View: "Moral talk is often rather repugnant. Leveling moral accusations, expressing moral indignation, passing moral judgment, allotting the blame, administering moral reproof, justifying oneself, and, above all, moralizing - who can enjoy such talk? And who can like or trust those addicted to it?" Morality does not directly speak to us; it is other people who speak to us, asserting their views of morality as demands that we act as they see fit. Baier's morality is not an "ideal morality" shorn of all blame, reproof, and guilt. It is our real practice, which makes your activities your neighbor's business; he calls on morality to tell you what to do, and he will not simply shrug his shoulders and walk away if you ignore his demands. Confronting this actual practice - in which "imperfect compliance" is a central feature - we have to ask "why do we need it?" and "when can its claims to authority be freely recognized by all?" These are the questions I seek to answer in this work.

Of course, many have sought to answer these questions, from Hobbes to Rousseau and Kant, from Gauthier to Rawls. I build on

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-86856-3 — The Order of Public Reason Gerald Gaus Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

Preface

their great work, but I also believe that these famous proposals ultimately flounder on one or the other of two main obstacles. Some, such as Hobbes and Gauthier, recognize that the authority of social morality is a prerequisite for social life and so suppose that instrumentally rational individuals could reason themselves into acknowledging such authority as a means to secure their aims and goals. In Part One I show that this enticing proposal fails. Moral rules are required if we are to advance our ends, but they are not merely servants of our ends. Others, such as Kant and Rawls, hold that individuals committed to treating each other as free and equal could, under conditions of impartiality, agree on, or will, a common authoritative social morality. This gets us much nearer the truth, but it fails to take account of the pervasiveness of rational disagreement about the correct impartial morality. There is no compelling way to generate rational agreement on a specific morality in anything approaching the diverse and bounded social world we inhabit. We are left confronting the problem of the indeterminacy of rational justification. In Part Two I analyze and defend several ways in which free and equal persons can cope with this real and deep problem of moral disagreement.

As the reader has no doubt noticed, this is a long book. It is long, partly because I seek to integrate empirical and formal work with normative social and moral philosophy, and so almost every reader will find much that is unfamiliar. Because different disciplines are drawn upon, I seek to explain things carefully as I proceed. I have also found that more compressed presentations of these ideas tend to leave readers a bit disoriented. The crux of the account, taking very seriously instrumentalist reasoning, rule-based reasoning, the moral emotions, actual psychological and social facts while providing a Kantian-inspired framework for normative evaluation that admits the importance of the social evolution of norms, runs against the current of much contemporary social and political philosophy which, as I have said, tends to package up views into fairly neat, identifiable, schools. Unless things are developed systematically, readers understandably revert to their existing interpretive frames (e.g., Hobbesian, libertarian, economic-notmoral, empirical-not-normative, Darwinist, Rawlsian) and so (at least in my view) tend to misinterpret the analysis. As useful as it

xvii

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-86856-3 — The Order of Public Reason Gerald Gaus Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

xviii

Preface

would have been, I have not been able to devise clear abridged paths through the book for readers with different interests. I have, though, provided extensive cross references so that those picking the book up at one place can find where they should look for earlier and later relevant discussions. I have also tried to provide an index that is useful for such readers.

In formulating these ideas over the last decade I have benefited from conversations - usually in the form of lively disagreements with a number of colleagues and students. My great and longtime friend Fred D'Agostino has consistently encouraged my line of inquiry and has offered wonderful advice on how to (and how not to) proceed. Kevin Vallier has provided invaluable insights and has discussed the manuscript with me, as has John Thrasher. My deep thanks to both. Kevin was also kind enough to organize a reading group at the University of Arizona on the manuscript (to which I was periodically invited if I bought the beer). Thanks so much to the members of that group, especially Michael Bukowski, Keith Hankins, John Thrasher, Klye Swan, and Kevin - for spurring me to think more deeply about some important issues. Jon Anomaly, Fred D'Agostino, Peter de Marneffe, and Jon Quong also read a draft of the book; my deep thanks for their comments, questions, and suggestions. I am also grateful for discussions with my terrific fellow political philosophers at Arizona, Tom Christiano and David Schmidtz; Chris Maloney has not only been the best department chair in the world, but a wonderful and supportive friend. Many of the ideas in this book are the result of great conversations with Shaun Nichols over a couple of IPAs. I have learned a tremendous amount from Shaun; this book would have been entirely different if it weren't for those beers. Many others have commented on various parts of the project. I hope they will not be offended if I simply list them; to fully note my appreciation for their specific help would make this very long book considerably longer. So, my sincere appreciation, and thanks for pressing and assisting me, to, Robert Berman, Pete Boettke, Jim Bohman, Geoffrey Brennan, Bruce Brower, Shane Courtland, Rich Dagger, Derrick Darby, Christopher Eberle, David Estlund, Steffen Ganghof, Michael Gill, Bill Glod, Thomas E. Hill, Brad Hooker, John Horton, Rachana Kamtekar, Julian Lamont, Charles Larmore, David Lefkowitz, Andrew Lister,

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-86856-3 — The Order of Public Reason Gerald Gaus Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

Preface

Sharon Lloyd, Steve Macedo, Eric Mack, Kirstie McClure, Chris Maloney, Rex Martin, Fred Miller, Michael Moehler, Jim Nichols, Julinna Oxley, Ellen Paul, Jeff Paul, Guido Pincione, Tom Powers, Jon Riley, Andy Sabl, Debra Satz, Geoff Sayre-McCord, Hillel Steiner, Jim Sterba, John Tomasi, Pilvi Toppinen, Piers Turner, Peter Vallentyne, Peter Vanderschraaf, Steve Wall, Will Wilkenson, Andrew Williams, Shaun Young, Enrico Zoffoli, and Matt Zwolinski.

I was fortunate enough to be able to present these ideas in a variety of conferences and colloquia, in which the comments and questions of the audience were immensely valuable. My thanks to the Arizona State University Committee on Law and Philosophy and the members of seminars on rights at the University of Arizona and the University of Kansas in the fall of 2008; the Dutch-American Symposium on Public Reason in Amsterdam in 2008; the Research Triangle Ethics Circle; the Workshop on Equal Respect for Persons held at the University of Genova in 2007; the Political Theory Project at Brown University; the Murphy Institute conference on rights; the seminar on public reason at the University of Arizona in 2007; the Manchester Centre for Political Theory; the Philosophy Departments at the University of Reading, North Carolina State University, Vanderbilt, and the University of Georgia; the UCLA/CATO Institute conference on a common liberalism; the Social Philosophy and Policy Center; the UCLA Political Theory Workshop; the Workshop in Philosophy, Politics and Economics at George Mason University; and various meetings of the American Philosophical Association and the American Political Science Association. My special thanks to all my colleagues and students at the University of Arizona, who make it the best place in the world for me to work, and to learn. The penultimate draft of this book was written while I was a Distinguished Visiting Research Professor at the Murphy Institute at Tulane University; I am deeply grateful to the Institute's former director, Rick Teichgraeber III, for his friendship and support for my work over the last decade. Last, and by no means least, I would like to express my gratitude to my Cambridge editor, Beatrice Rehl, who not only encouraged this project, but who was patient and supportive through far too many delays.

xix

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-86856-3 — The Order of Public Reason Gerald Gaus Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

xx

Preface

For better or worse, my essays are often initial attempts to work out ideas - attempts that often have significantly evolved by the time I am ready to write it all down in a book. A number of essays have been a part of this project. I have employed parts - but seldom large parts - of these essays at various points in this book. They always have undergone significant changes. The papers that have been most important to this project are "The Place of Autonomy in Liberalism" (in Autonomy and the Challenges to Liberalism, edited by John Christman and Joel Anderson); "Liberal Neutrality: A Radical and Compelling Principle" (in Perfectionism and Neutrality, edited by George Klosko and Steven Wall); "On Justifying the Moral Rights of the Moderns" (Social Philosophy and Policy); "Recognized Rights as Devices of Public Reason" (Philosophical Perspectives: Ethics); "The Demands of Impartiality and the Evolution of Morality" (in Partiality and Impartiality, edited by Brian Feltham and John Cottingham); "Reasonable Utility Functions and Playing the Cooperative Way" (Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy); "Coercion, Ownership, and the Redistributive State," (Social Philosophy and Policy); and "On Two Critics of Justificatory Liberalism" (Politics, Philosophy and Economics).

The epigraphs in this book from F.A. Hayek, The Constitution of Liberty and Rules and Order, are used with the permission of the estate of F. A. Hayek. Epigraphs from Kurt Baier's The Rational and the Moral Order are used with permission of Open Court Publishing Company, a division of Carus Publishing Company, Chicago, IL, and are reproduced from The Rational and the Moral Order: The Social Roots of Reason and Morality by Kurt Baier copyright © 1995 by Open Court Publishing. Epigraphs from P. F. Strawson, "Freedom and Resentment," are used with the permission of the British Academy and are reproduced from The Proceedings of the British Academy, vol. 48, 1959. Epigraphs from P. F. Strawson, "Social Morality and Individual Ideal," are used with the permission of Cambridge University Press and are reproduced from Philosophy, vol. 36, 1961. The epigraph from Benjamin Constant, "The Liberty of the Ancients Compared with that of the Moderns," is used with permission of Cambridge University Press and is reproduced from the Political Writings of Benjamin Constant, edited and translated by Biancamria Fontana, Cambridge University Press, 1988.