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Law is made for man, not man for the law.

I have taken this epigraph as the motto of my book. It is not clear where it comes from: a Google search suggests various possibilities, including Jesus and St Paul. No matter who said it first, it expresses better than anything else the approach I take. The same idea was advanced, less pithily and more mundanely, by the Supreme Court of Canada in 2006, in *Pro Swing v. Elta Golf*, when Deschamps J said, ‘The law and the justice system are servants of society, not the reverse.’ That is what I believe too.
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Preface

This is a book about international commercial transactions and the litigation that results from them. It focuses on litigation in national courts, not international ones. The international element may affect the proceedings in three main ways:

1. the international element may call into question the power of the court to hear the case (its jurisdiction) and raise the issue of whether it should exercise that power, even if it has it;
2. the court may have to consider the application of foreign law (choice of law); and
3. the court may have to take account of a foreign judgment.

These three issues form the main themes of the book, but we will also look at other aspects of international civil procedure – for example, international freezing injunctions and the procedures for obtaining evidence from abroad – as well as questions that verge on public international law, such as extraterritoriality.

The reference in the subtitle to 'Private International Law' links up with the more traditional subject that deals with these matters. However, this is not a traditional book. First, it is practice-oriented, not theory-oriented: extensive analysis of abstruse concepts will not be found. Secondly, it adopts a functional approach. Law should serve economic and social objectives: it is not an end in itself, based on supposedly self-justifying principles. This does not mean that logic has no place: it has a function, that of promoting certainty. But legal logic fulfils that function only to the extent that it makes the answer clear to the ordinary person, or at least the ordinary lawyer. Legal logic has no place if it goes beyond this. The convoluted reasoning of some cases in the past that has extended legal logic beyond the wildest imaginings of any reasonable lawyer has no place in a modern system of private international law.

A third characteristic of the book is that it gives jurisdiction and other aspects of international civil procedure more attention than choice of law, the main topic of more traditional books. This is because they are more important. The book also focuses on commercial litigation. Although there is discussion of personal-injury litigation and other topics in which the relevant legal principles are the same as those applied to commercial cases, there is no discussion of family law or succession.

The book includes a comparative element. Although focused primarily on English law, and the law of Commonwealth countries like Canada and Australia
that follow the English tradition, it pays attention to the wider world. The United Kingdom is part of the European Community, and a significant part of the subject-matter of the book has been taken over by the EC. Here, Community law is UK law. It is the law of the land and we have to know it. In addition, the book contains material on US law. The United States is so important today, especially in international business, that any book on international transactions and litigation has to take account of its law. A lawyer who lacks at least a basic grasp of some of its concepts and procedures cannot be regarded as qualified to practise in the area.

One final point: this is an area of law that changes rapidly. If the book simply presented a snapshot of the law as it existed at a given moment, it would be of only limited use. Within five years things would have changed. Of course, it is not possible to predict what will happen in the future. However, if we study the past, we can understand the forces that shape the present. These forces will continue to operate in the future. So, if we look at the past development of the law, we can get some idea of how it may change. Solutions that were rejected in the past are unlikely to prove any more successful in the future. For this reason, cases that have been superseded are sometimes set out in the text. They may no longer be good law, but they are still worth knowing about.

International commercial litigation is an area where the law changes fast. To keep the book up to date, new material will be posted on the book’s website, www.cambridge.org/thartley. This will cover both cases and legislation.
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