

A

Abortion rate: The annual number of abortions per 1000 women of reproductive age (usually defined as age 15–44 years). For example, in the USA in 1970 the rate was five, in 1980 it was 25 and in 1990 it was 24. [*Family Planning Perspectives*, 1998, **30**, 244–7.]

Abortion ratio: The estimated number of abortions per 1000 live births in a given year. For example, in the USA in 1970 the ratio was 52, in 1980 it was 359 and in 1990 it was 344. [*Family Planning Perspectives*, 1998, **30**, 244–7.]

Abscissa: The horizontal (or x-axis) on a graph, or a particular point on that axis.

Absolute cause-specific risk: Synonym for **absolute risk**.

Absolute deviation: Synonym for **average deviation**.

Absolute risk: Often used as a synonym for incidence, although also used occasionally for attributable risk, excess risk or risk difference. Defined more properly as the probability that a disease-free individual will develop a given disease over a specified time interval given current age and individual risk factors, and in the presence of competing risks. Absolute risk is a probability and consequently lies between 0 and 1. See also **relative risk**. [Kleinbaum, D. G., Kupper, L. L. and Morgenstern, H., 1982, *Epidemiologic Research: Principles and Quantitative Methods*, Lifetime Learning Publications, Belmont.]

Absolute risk reduction: The proportion of untreated people who experience an adverse event minus the proportion of treated people who experience the event. For example in a clinical trial of mammography it was found that out of 129 750 women who were invited to begin having mammograms in the late 1970s and early 1980s, 511 died of breast cancer over the next 15 years, a death rate of 0.4 percent. In the control group of 117 260 women who were not invited to have regular mammograms, there were 584 breast cancer deaths over the same period, a death rate of 0.5 per cent. So the estimated absolute risk reduction is 0.1 per cent. See also **relative risk** and **number needed to treat**. [Sackett, D. L., Richardson, W. S., Rosenberg, W. and Haynes, R. B., 1997, *Evidence Based Medicine: How to Practice and Teach EBM*, Churchill Livingstone, New York.]

Absorbing barrier: See **random walk**.

Accelerated failure time model: A general model for data consisting of survival times, in which explanatory variables measured on an individual are assumed to

act multiplicatively on the timescale, and so affect the rate at which an individual proceeds along the time axis. Consequently the model can be interpreted in terms of the speed of progression of a disease. This model which simply regresses the logarithm of the survival time on the covariates, although used far less often than Cox's proportional hazards model, might be a useful alternative in many situations because of this intuitive physical interpretation. [Collett, D., 2003, *Modelling Survival Data in Medical Research*, 2nd edn, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL.]

Acceptable quality level: See **quality control procedures**.

Acceptable risk: The risk for which the benefits of a particular medical procedure are considered to outweigh the potential hazards. For example, islet transplantation would help to control the many secondary effects of type 1 diabetes, but what is the appropriate level of risk to implement this technology responsibly considering the possible dangers from retroviruses? [*Nature*, 1998, **391**, 326.]

Acceptance region: A term associated with statistical significance tests, which gives the set of values of a test statistic for which the null hypothesis is to be accepted. Suppose, for example, that a z-test is being used to test the null hypothesis that the mean blood pressure of men and women is equal against the alternative hypothesis that the two means are not equal. If the chosen significance of the test is 0.05, then the acceptance region consists of values of the test statistic z between -1.96 and 1.96. [Altman, D. G., 1991, *Practical Statistics for Medical Research*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL.]

Accident proneness: A personal psychological factor that affects an individual's probability of suffering an accident. The concept has been studied statistically under a number of different assumptions for accidents:

- pure chance, leading to the Poisson distribution;
- true contagion, i.e. the hypothesis that all individuals initially have the same probability of having an accident, but that this probability changes each time an accident happens;
- apparent contagion, i.e. the hypothesis that individuals have constant but unequal probabilities of having an accident.

The study of accident proneness has been valuable in the development of particular statistical methodologies, although in the last two decades the concept has, in general, been out of favour. Attention now appears to have moved more towards risk evaluation and analysis. [Shaw, L. and Sichel, H. S., 1971, *Accident Proneness*, Pergamon Press, Oxford.]

Accrual rate: The rate at which eligible patients are entered into a clinical trial, measured as people per unit time. Often disappointingly low, for reasons that may be both physician and patient related. [*Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 2001, **19**, 3554–61.]

Accuracy: The degree of conformity to some recognized standard value. See also **bias**.

Accuracy versus precision: An accurate estimate is close to the quantity being

estimated. A precise interval estimate is a narrow one, but it may not be accurate even when quoted to a large number of decimal places.

ACES: Abbreviation for **active control equivalence studies**.

ACF: Abbreviation for **autocorrelation function**.

ACORN: Acronym for ‘a classification of residential neighbourhoods’. A system for classifying households according to demographic, employment and housing characteristics of their immediate neighbourhood. Derived by applying cluster analysis to 40 variables, including age, class, tenure, dwelling type and car ownership, used to describe each neighbourhood. [Dorling, D. and Simpson, S., 1999, *Statistics in Society*, Arnold, London.]

Acquiescence bias: The bias produced by respondents in a survey who have the tendency to give positive answers, such as ‘true’, ‘like’, ‘often’ or ‘yes’ to a question. At its most extreme, the person responds in this way irrespective of the content of the question. Thus a person may respond ‘true’ to two statements such as ‘I always take my medicine on time’ and ‘I often forget to take my pills’. See also **end-aversion bias**. [*Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 1995, **39**, 331–40.]

Active control equivalence studies (ACES): Studies that aim to demonstrate that an experimental treatment is equivalent in efficacy to a standard treatment. The justification for undertaking such studies is that even if the new treatment is no more effective than the existing treatment in alleviating a particular condition, it may still be of use for patients who are resistant to, or who simply cannot tolerate, the standard treatment. So clinical trials are sometimes undertaken when the object is simply to show that the new treatment is at least as good as the existing treatment. [Senn, S., 1997, *Statistical Issues in Drug Development*, J. Wiley & Sons, Chichester.]

Active control trials: Clinical trials in which the new treatment is compared with some other active agent rather than a placebo. For example, a clinical trial investigating treatments for asthma might compare the long-acting beta-agonists salmeterol and formoterol with the shorter-acting beta-agonist salbutamol. [Senn, S., 1997, *Statistical Issues in Drug Development*, J. Wiley & Sons, Chichester.]

Active life expectancy (ALE): Defined for a given age as the expected remaining years free of disability. In life expectancy the end point is death. In active life expectancy the end point is the loss of independence or the need to rely on others for assistance with daily activities. ALE is a useful index of public health and quality of life in a population. Interest in recent years has centered on whether current trends towards longer life expectancy have been accompanied by comparable increases in active life expectancy. See also **disability-free life expectancy**. [*New England Journal of Medicine*, 1983, **309**, 1218–24.]

Activities of daily living scale (ADLS): A scale designed to measure physical ability/disability that is used in investigations of a variety of chronic disabling conditions, such as arthritis. The scale is based on scoring responses to questions

about mobility, self-care, grooming, etc. See also **Barthel index** and **health assessment questionnaire**. [*Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1963, **185**, 914–19.]

Actuarial statistics: The statistics used by actuaries to evaluate risks, calculate liabilities and plan the financial course of insurance, pensions, etc. An example is **life expectancy** for people of various ages, occupations, etc. See also **life table**. [Benjamin, B. and Pollard, J. H., 1993, *The Analysis of Mortality and Other Actuarial Statistics*, 3rd edn, Institute of Faculty of Actuaries, Oxford.]

Adaptation: A heritable component of the **phenotype** that confers an advantage in survival and reproduction success; the process by which organisms adapt to environmental conditions. [Sham, P. C., 1998, *Statistics in Human Genetics*, Arnold, London.]

Adaptive design: A type of **clinical trial** in which the treatment a patient receives depends to some extent on the response to treatment of previous patients in the study. The aim is to diminish the proportion of patients being given the ‘inferior’ treatment as the trial proceeds. Despite considerable efforts by statisticians, most clinicians regard the methodology behind such designs to be too simplistic to be a credible approximation to the realities of clinical research. As a result, the approach is not used widely in practice. See also **play-the-winner rule** and **two-armed bandit allocation**. [*International Statistical Review*, 1985, **53**, 31–4.]

Addition rule for probabilities: For two *mutually exclusive events*, i.e. events that cannot occur together, the probability of either event occurring is the sum of the two individual probabilities. The rule extends in an obvious way to more than two mutually exclusive events. See also **multiplication rule for probabilities**.

Additive effect: A term used when the effect of administering two or more factors together is the sum of the effects that would be produced by each of the factors in the absence of the others.

Additive genetic variance: The variance of a characteristic that can be explained by the additive effects of genes. [Sham, P. C., 1998, *Statistics in Human Genetics*, Arnold, London.]

Add-on trial: A **clinical trial** that compares treatments, say *A* and *B*, in the presence of a standard treatment, say *S*, the randomized comparisons being *S + A* versus *S + B*. Under certain conditions, *B* may be a placebo version of *A*. Used routinely in AIDS trials. [*Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 2002, **11**, 1–22.]

Adequate subset: A term used most often in regression analysis for a subset of the explanatory variables that is thought to be as adequate for predicting the response variable as the complete set of explanatory variables under consideration. See also **all-subsets regression** and **selection methods in regression**.

Adherence: Synonym for **compliance**.

Adjectival scales: Scales with adjectival descriptions and discrete or continuous responses. Two examples are:


1. Discrete response (participants may circle one)

How much pain are you suffering today?

Below average Average Above average

2. Continuous response (participants may mark anywhere on the line)

How satisfied are you with your treatment?



[Streiner, D. L. and Norman, G. R., 1989, *Health Measurement Scales*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.]

Adjusted R²: The square of the multiple correlation coefficient adjusted for the number of parameters in the model under consideration. [Der, G. and Everitt, B. S., 2001, *A Handbook of Statistical Analysis using SAS*, 2nd edn, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL.]

Adjusted treatment means: A term usually applied to the estimates of the treatment means in an analysis of covariance after they have been adjusted for the covariates of interest using the estimated relationship between the covariates and the response variable. [Fisher, L. D. and Van Belle, G., 1993, *Biostatistics*, J. Wiley & Sons, New York.]

Adjusting for baseline: The process of allowing for the effect of baseline characteristics, particularly a pre-randomization measure of the response variable, on the response variable, usually in the context of a clinical trial. A number of methods might be used, for example the analysis of simple change scores, the analysis of percentage change, or, in some cases, the analysis of more complicated variables, such as 100 × change/baseline. In general, it is preferable to use the adjusted variable that has least dependence on the baseline measure. In the context of a longitudinal study in which the correlations between the repeated measures over time are moderate to large, then using the baseline values as covariates in an analysis of covariance is known to be more efficient than analysing change scores. See also **baseline balance**. [Senn, S., 1997, *Statistical Issues in Drug Development*, J. Wiley & Sons, Chichester.]

Adjusting for baseline: Change scores remain popular despite being less powerful than using analysis of covariance. It is difficult to think why.

ADLS: Abbreviation for activities of daily living scale.

Administrative databases: Databases derived from information collected routinely and systematically for purposes of managing a healthcare system. Such data can be

used to examine admission procedures and lengths of stay and make comparisons across hospitals, communities and regions. [Grady, M. L. and Schwartz, H. A., 1992, *Medical Effectiveness Research Data Methods*, Department of Health and Human Services, Rockville, MD.]

Admixture in human populations: The exchange of genes by breeding between members of different linguistic and cultural groups, or the sudden infusion of genes caused by large-scale migration. [*Annals of Human Genetics*, 1971, **35**, 9–17.]

Adoption studies: Studies involving subjects raised by nonbiological parents. Such studies have played a prominent role in the assessment of genetic variation in human and animal traits. For example, if the shared environment is influential, then siblings raised in the same family should be more similar than adopted-away siblings (siblings reared apart). [Fuller, J. L. and Thompson, W. R., 1978, *Foundations of Behavior Genetics*, Mosby, St Louis, MO.]

Adverse event: Any undesirable consequences experienced by a patient during a medical investigation or study, particularly a clinical trial. These can range from the minor, for example constipation, to the far more serious, for example a heart attack.

Aetiological fraction: Synonym for **attributable risk**.

Age heaping: A term applied occasionally to the collection of data on ages when these are accurate only to the nearest year, half-year or month. See also **rounding**. [*Geographical Journal*, 1992, **28**, 427–42.]

Age–incidence curve: A plot of age against the age-specific incidence rate for some disease or condition of interest. For example, for cancer of the uterine cervix, the curve rises steeply from puberty to age 40, after which it plateaus. [*Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United States of America*, 1977, **74**, 1341–2.]

Age-of-onset estimation: The estimation of the distribution, as a function of age, of the time a trait or condition first appears. For example, a psychiatrist might be interested in the age-of-onset of schizophrenia. Estimating age-of-onset is important in studies of disease aetiology. [*Genetic Epidemiology*, 1989, **6**, 217–20.]

Age–period–cohort analysis: A family of statistical techniques for understanding temporal trends in an outcome measure in terms of three related time variables: the subject’s age, the subject’s date of birth and the calendar period. Early methods employed informative graphical displays of the data, but recently more formal modelling techniques have been used to try to disentangle the separate contributions of each of the factors. See also **Lexis diagram**. [*Annual Reviews of Public Health*, 1991, **12**, 425–57.]

Age-related reference ranges: Range of values of a measurement of interest that identify to upper and lower limits of normality in some population, where the range varies according to the subject’s age. An example is shown in Figure 1. [*Statistics in Medicine*, 1993, **12**, 917–24.]




Figure 1 Age-related 95% reference ranges for blood pressure in boys: systolic (solid lines); diastolic (dotted lines). Figure after that in Age-related reference ranges in *Encyclopaedic Companion to Medical Statistics*, eds. B. S. Everitt and C. R. Palmer, Arnold, London.

- Age–sex pyramid:** See population pyramid. [*British Journal of Medicine*, 1985, 291, 1391–3.]
- Age–sex register:** A list of all patients or clients of a medical practice or service classified by age and sex. Such information is often needed for calculating, for example, age-specific birth rate, age-specific death rate and sex-specific death rate for conditions of interest.
- Age-specific birth rate:** The number of live births per 1000 women in a specific age group. For example, in California in 1990, the rate for women aged 15–19 years was 11.4; in 1998, the corresponding figure was 11.2.
- Age-specific death rate:** Death rate calculated for a specified age group. For example, for 20- to 30-year-olds:

$$DR(20/30) = \frac{\text{number of deaths among 20–30-year-olds in a year}}{\text{average population size of 20–30-year-olds in the year}}$$

Calculating death rates in this way is usually necessary since such rates almost invariably differ widely with age, a variation not reflected in the crude death rate. In England and Wales in 1990, the age-specific death rates per 1000 for men

in four age groups were:

- 45–54 years: 4.8
- 55–64 years: 14.8
- 65–74 years: 39.5
- 75–84 years: 94.3

See also **cause-specific death rates** and **standardized mortality rate**. [Fisher, L. D. and Van Belle, G., 1993, *Biostatistics*, J. Wiley & Sons, New York.]

Age-specific fertility rate: The number of births occurring during a specified period to women of a specified age group, divided by the number of person-years lived during that period by women of that age group. For example, in the period 1990–95, the rate per 1000 women in the 15–19 years age group in Africa was 136, in Asia 45 and in Europe 27. The corresponding figures for the 40–44 years age group were Africa 82, Asia 22 and Europe five.

Age-specific incidence rate: Incidence rates calculated within a number of relatively narrow age bands. For example, age is the most important risk factor for prostate cancer, with the incidence rate being very small for men below 45 years but about 1000 per 100 000 at age 65. [*American Journal of Epidemiology*, 2000, **151**, 1158–71.]

Age standardization: A process of adjusting rates before they are compared in different populations, so as to minimize the effects of possible differences in age composition of the populations. See also **standardized mortality rate**.

Agglomerative hierarchical clustering methods: Methods of cluster analysis that begin with each individual defining a separate cluster and proceed by combining individuals and later groups of individuals into larger clusters ending when all the individuals have been combined into a single cluster. At each stage, the individuals or groups of individuals who are closest according to some particular definition of distance are joined. The whole series of steps can be summarized by a dendrogram. Solutions corresponding to a particular number of clusters are found by cutting the dendrogram at some level. See also **average linkage clustering**, **complete linkage cluster analysis**, **single linkage clustering**, **Ward’s method** and **K-means cluster analysis**. [Everitt, B. S., Landau, S. and Leese, M., 2001, *Cluster Analysis*, 4th edn, Arnold, London.]

Agresti’s alpha: A generalization of the odds ratio for two-by-two contingency tables to larger contingency tables arising from data where there are different degrees of severity of a disease and differing amounts of exposure. [Agresti, A., 1984, *Analysis of Ordinal Categorical Data*, J. Wiley & Sons, New York.]

Aickin’s measure of agreement: A chance-corrected measure of agreement that is similar to the kappa coefficient but that uses a different definition of chance agreement. [*Biometrics*, 1990, **46**, 293–302.]

Akaike’s information criterion: An index often used as an aid in choosing the most suitable model for a set of observations. The index takes into account both the

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86763-4 - Medical Statistics from A to Z: A Guide for Clinicians and Medical Students, Second Edition

B. S. Everitt

Excerpt

[More information](#)

statistical goodness of fit and the number of parameters that have to be estimated to achieve this degree of fit, by imposing a penalty for increasing the number of parameters. Lower values of the index indicate the preferred model, i.e. the one with the fewest parameters that still provides an adequate fit to the data. See also **parsimony principle**. [*Psychometrika*, 1987, **52**, 345–70.]

Algorithm: A well-defined set of rules that, when applied routinely, lead to a solution of a particular class of mathematical or computational problem.

Alias: See **confounding**.

Allele: One of two or more genes that may occur at a given location in the genes of an individual; essentially alternative forms of a gene occupying the same locus on a chromosome.

Allocation rule: See **discriminant analysis**.

Allometric growth: Changes in the shape of an organism associated with different growth rates of its parts. Shape changes in growing organs or whole organisms may be triggered by either biological or physical needs. [Bookstein, F. L., 1978, *The Measurement of Biological Shape and Shape Change*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.]

All-subsets regression: A form of regression analysis in which all possible models are compared and the ‘best’ selected using some appropriate index of performance of each model. If the number of explanatory variables is q , then there are a total of $2^q - 1$ models to consider, since each explanatory variable can be either ‘in’ or ‘out’ of the model and the model with no explanatory variables is excluded. So, for example, with $q = 10$, a total of 1023 models have to be considered. The leaps-and-bounds algorithm is generally used to make the approach computationally feasible when there is a large number of explanatory variables. [Rawlings, J. O., Pantula, S. G. and Dickey, D. A., 1998, *Applied Regression Analysis: A Research Tool*, Springer, New York.]

Alpha (α): The probability of a type I error. See also **significance level**.

Alpha spending function: An approach to interim analysis for clinical trials that allows the control of the type I error rate whilst retaining flexibility in the number of interim analyses to be conducted and their timing. [*Statistics in Medicine*, 1996, **15**, 1739–46.]

Alpha-trimmed mean: A statistic for estimating the mean of a population that is less affected by the presence of outliers than the arithmetic mean. Involves dropping a proportion (α) of the observations from both ends of the sample before calculating the mean of the remainder. [Fisher, L. D. and Van Belle, G., 1993, *Biostatistics*, J. Wiley & Sons, New York.]

Alternate allocation: A method of allocating patients to treatment groups in a clinical trial that places alternate patients into the groups. Not to be recommended since it is open to accusations of abuse, with, for example, the treating clinician having the possibility of manipulating which patient receives each treatment. [Everitt, B. S. and Wessely, S., 2004, *Clinical Trials in Psychiatry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.]

Alternate allocation: Never use this method of allocation if you wish your clinical trial to be taken seriously.

Alternative hypothesis: The hypothesis against which the null hypothesis is tested generally in the context of statistical significance tests.

Analysis as randomized: Synonym for **intention-to-treat analysis**.

Analysis of covariance: Essentially an application of multiple linear regression in which some of the explanatory variables are categorical, often binary, for example treatment group, and others are continuous, for example age. The aim is to increase the sensitivity of the F-tests used in assessing treatment differences. [Altman, D. G., 1991, *Practical Statistics for Medical Research*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL.]

Analysis of dispersion: Synonym for **multivariate analysis of variance**.

Analysis of variance: The separation of variation attributable to one factor from that attributed to others. By partitioning the total variance of a set of observations into parts due to particular factors, for example sex, treatment group, etc., differences in the mean values of the dependent variable can be assessed. The simplest analysis of this type involves a *one-way design*: a sample of individuals from a number of different populations are compared with respect to some outcome measure of interest. The total variance in the observations is partitioned into a part due to differences between the group means (*between-groups sum of squares*) and a part due to differences between subjects in the same group (*within-groups sum of squares* or *residual sum of squares*). The results of this division are usually arranged in an *analysis of variance table*. The equality of the means of the populations involved implies that the between-group and within-group variances are both estimating the same quantity, and this can be tested by an appropriate F-test. [Altman, D. G., 1991, *Practical Statistics for Medical Research*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL.]

Analysis of variance: The model underlying the analysis of variance is essentially the same as that involved in multiple linear regression, with the explanatory variables being dummy variables coding factor levels and interactions between factors.

Analysis of variance table: See **analysis of variance**.

Analytic epidemiology: A term for epidemiological studies, such as case-control studies, that obtain individual-level information on the association between disease status and exposures of interest.

ANCOVA: Acronym for **analysis of covariance**.

Anecdotal evidence: Evidence from case reports or observations on a single patient by a particular clinician rather than from systematically collected data. Although such evidence is not acceptable for drawing conclusions about treatments or therapies, it