

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86641-5 - Managing to Improve Public Services

Edited by Jean Hartley, Cam Donaldson, Chris Skelcher and Mike Wallace

Index

[More information](#)

Index

- accountability
 - and democratic performance 30
 - democratic context 282–3
 - of police behaviour 49–51
 - performance as object of 48–9
 - public sector managers 52
 - public sector performance 46–7
- ageing population, impact on public services 11
- altruism and the WTP-based VPF 163
- ambiguity in public service provision 80–1, 82–4
- Balanced Scorecard initiative 101, 102–3,
- Balanced Scorecards 70
 - use in the public sector 70
- Best Value initiative 101, 103–4,
 - public consultation 74
 - virtualism in performance practices 73–4
- 'big government', perspectives on 6–7
- bottom-up innovation in the public sector 202–3
- bureaucratic control 77–8,
- case study approach, public management research 20, 21
- causality, assumptions in governance design 38–41
- central control aspect of performance
 - measurement 68
- change management *see* public service change
- chaos and complexity theory, limitations of 259–62
- clan, control by 77–8,
- cluster analysis, geodemographics 179–80,
- consent, and democratic performance 30
- contingent valuation (expressed value) approach to
 - valuing safety 164
- control by clan 77–8,
- control mix
 - performativity in policing 58
 - public sector governance 54–5
- control systems
 - ambiguity in public service provision 80–1, 82–4
 - bureaucratic control 77–8,
 - control by clan 77–8,
 - cybernetic metaphor of control 76–7,
 - feedback control 76–7,
 - grid: group theory of control 78–9,
 - intrinsic control in professional practice 81–2,
 - market control 77–8,
 - political control 76–7,
 - routine control 76–7,
 - uncertainty in public service provision 80–1, 82–4
- 'convergence' view of public and private sectors 6–7
- cost-benefit analysis (CBA)
 - equivalence with cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA) 157–9
 - priority setting in public services 91, 93
- cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA), priority setting in
 - public services 91
- cost-weighted output index (CWOI) 129–30
- costs of a performance measurement system 72–3
- criminal justice system (England), performance
 - classification scheme 254–5,
- criminal justice system (Scotland) 136–8
- criminal justice system (Scotland) performance
 - boundaries and performance issues 142–4
 - communication between agencies 148–9
 - complex interactions between agencies 142–4
 - mapping the system 146–7
 - meaningful measures 144–5
 - nature of work organization and work flows 145–7
 - operational and administrative difficulties 136–8
 - opposition to setting targets 139, 144–5
 - overarching aims and objectives 138–9,
 - political significance of performance measures 139–42
 - rationalized myths about performance measures 139–42
 - review of the system (Normand report, 2003) 138–9
 - situated rationality of work organization 145–7
- customer service provision, public service
 - management 7–8
- cybernetic metaphor of control 76–7,

- democratic context of governance and accountability 282–3
- democratic performance 29, 30
 - and public governance mode (empirical evidence) 34–5
 - in hierarchical governance modes 31, 32
 - in market governance modes 31, 32
 - in network governance modes 31, 32
- demographic changes, impact on public services 11
- economic competitiveness, contribution of public services 5–6
- economic problems in public services
 - allocation of scarce resources 89–91
 - challenge of competing demands 89–90
 - need for a framework to manage scarcity 90–1
 - NICE recommendations 90–1, 92
 - priority setting 89–90
 - sacrifices made to improve performance 89–90
- economics in public services
 - adoption at national level 90–1
 - lack of penetration at local level 90–1
- education, performance classification scheme (England) 252
- executive agencies 27–8
- external environment
 - influence on public service management 8–9
 - policy context 19–20
- factorial ecology method of analysis,
 - geodemographics 178–80,
- feedback control 76–7,
- fire service, performance classification scheme (England) 253–4
- geodemographic indicator usage limitations 181–3
 - choice of defining variables 183
 - loss of information in the clustering process 182–3
 - spatial heterogeneity 183
 - within-cluster variability 182–3
- geodemographic indicators
 - alternative data sources 183–4
 - cluster analysis 179–80,
 - commercial systems 177
 - customer loyalty programmes 184
 - definitions 177–80,
 - derivation from census data 177–8
 - factorial ecology method of analysis 178–80,
 - history and development 177–80,
 - inclusion of lifestyle data 183–4
 - private sector applications 179, 180–1
 - public sector applications 179, 181
 - geodemographic indicators in the public sector
 - limitations on usefulness for researchers 189–93
 - need for scientific standards in geodemographics 189–93
 - new applications 185–8,
 - public services perspective 186–7, 193–4
 - research applications 184–9,
 - research on clustering methods 188–9
 - strategies for increasing research applications 189–93
 - stratified sampling and panel selection 188
 - geographic information systems (GIS), use in
 - public service delivery 176–7
 - globalization, impact on public services 11
 - good practice, sharing in the public sector 202–3, 209–11
 - governance
 - avoidance of overlap with other functions 60–1
 - concept 28–9,
 - confusion of policy and governance roles 60–1
 - definition 16
 - forms of public service governance 16–17
 - hierarchical government 16–17
 - implications for public management 16–17
 - issues in public service management 8
 - networked governance 16–17
 - relationship to government 28–9
 - see also* public governance
 - governance and accountability, democratic context 282–3
 - governance and performance
 - empirical evidence 31
 - empirical perspectives 32–3
 - governance and performance research agenda 38–42
 - alternative causalities 38–41
 - examination of assumed causality 38–41
 - interpretivist approach 42
 - reformulating the research design 41–2
 - governance arrangements
 - changes in public service 27–8
 - range of forms 27–8
 - relationship with public service performance 27–8
 - governance design
 - and system performance 30
 - examination of assumed causality 38–41
 - interpretivist research approach 42
 - governance modes 28–9,
 - and public service performance 28, 30–1, 32
 - governance of policing 55–6
 - chief constable roles and accountability 55–6
 - competing power relations 55–6
 - diverse demands of stakeholder groups 56

- Home Office role 55–6
- police authority role and accountability 55–6
- The Police Act (1996) 55–6
- tripartite governance structure 55–6
- governance of public sector performance 54–5
 - control mix 54–5
 - influence of new public management 54
 - multiple publics 54
 - pluralistic governance model 54
 - systems of management control 54–5
- governance of public services 16–17
- governance structures 60
- government, relationship to governance 28–9
- grid: group theory of control 78–9,
- gross output (human capital) approach to valuing safety, 161
- health sector characteristics
 - health care system structure in the EU and US 118–21,
 - historical developments in health provision 116–17
 - information asymmetries 117–18
 - national variations in financing and delivery 118–21,
 - nature of the production process 117–18
 - performance measurement challenges 117–18
- health sector performance measures
 - age-standardized death rates (SDR) for major diseases 122–5,
 - application of productivity performance indicators 115–16
 - cost-weighted output index (CWOI) 129–30
 - dangers of management by numbers 131–2
 - disease-specific microeconomic studies 126–8
 - impact of health status of the population 125
 - input measures 130–1
 - lack of a theoretical framework 128–9
 - life expectancy 122, 123, 124, 125
 - limitations of quantitative data 131–2
 - macroeconomic indicators 121–6,
 - outcome measures 129–30,
 - output measures 129
 - performance relative to resources 121–8,
 - theory of productivity measurement 128–31
 - total health expenditure 121–6,
 - WHO ‘attainment’ index 125–6,
 - WHO efficiency of health provision indicator 125–6,
- health service, performance classification scheme (England) 250
- hierarchical government 16–17
- hierarchical modes of governance 28–9,
 - and public service performance 31, 32
- Home Office, approach to police performance 56–7
- improvement in public services, relationship to innovation 205–9,
- innovation
 - as fashionable rhetoric 197
 - barriers and facilitators 200
 - classifications 200–1
 - definitions 199–201, 219
 - learning from failures 200
 - responsiveness to needs of users 197–8
 - scale of change involved 199
 - value in public service delivery 197–8
- innovation in the public sector
 - adaption of innovations 210–11
 - applicability of innovation theory 198
 - as route to improved performance 217
 - Beacon Scheme 210–11
 - bottom-up innovation 202–3
 - catalysts of innovation 201
 - comparison with the private sector 201–2
 - creating public value 203
 - current themes and future directions 287
 - diffusion of innovations 202–3, 209–11
 - dimensions of innovation 200–1
 - innovations in English local government 217
 - innovations in governance 199
 - lack of empirical evidence for effectiveness 217–18
 - leading and managing innovation processes 203–5
 - organizational innovation 199–200
 - perceptions of stakeholders 200
 - political motivation 217
 - product innovation 199–200
 - public value approach 208–9
 - relationship to improvement 205–9,
 - role of users 203
 - service innovation 199–200
 - sharing of good practice 202–3, 209–11
 - stages of the innovation process 203–5
 - top-down processes 202
 - unintended consequences 209
- innovation–performance relationship empirical
 - research 218–19,
 - conclusions 231–2
 - controls 225, 226–7
 - data source 221–3
 - innovation measures 224–6,
 - innovation theory 219–21
 - innovation types and organizational performance 228–31,
 - measures 223–7
 - methods 221–7
 - organizational innovation and performance 228,

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86641-5 - Managing to Improve Public Services

Edited by Jean Hartley, Cam Donaldson, Chris Skelcher and Mike Wallace

Index

[More information](#)

- innovation–performance relationship (cont.)
 - performance measures 223–4, 225
 - process innovations and organizational performance 228–31,
 - results 227–31,
 - service innovations and organizational performance 228–31,
- innovation–performance relationship theory 220–1
- innovation theory
 - applicability in the public sector 198
 - definition of innovation 219
 - innovation and organizational performance 220–1
 - performance gap theory 220–1
 - process innovations 220
 - service innovations 220
- institutional field, policy contexts 20
- instrumentalism 260
- internal context (policy context) 20
- interpretivist research approach 42
- interval scales 69,
- knowledge-for-action concept 259–62
- league tables, impact on public service failure 237
- legitimacy
 - and democratic performance 30
 - as measure of performance 65–6
- lifestyle data, inclusion in geodemographic indicators 183–4
- Likert scale 69
- local authorities, performance classification scheme (England) 251
- macro-level performance measurement 71–2
- management of performance 52
 - accountability of public sector managers 52
 - application to policing 53–4
 - clash with public sector professional values 52
 - unintended effects of performance measurement 72–5
- management processes, link with performance 17–19
- management theory, application to public services 7–10,
- margin concept 93
- marginal analysis, priority setting in public services 91 *see also* programme budgeting and marginal analysis (PBMA)
- market control 77–8,
- market modes of governance 28–9,
- and public service performance 31, 32
- markets for public services 7–8
- measureability of service outcomes 243–4
- multi-organizational collaboratives 27–8
- National Intelligence Model (NIM) 51, 53, 58–9
- neo-liberal ideologies, influence on public services 4–5, 6–7
- network modes of governance 28–9,
- and public service performance 31, 32
- networked governance 14–15, 16–17
- New Public Management 6–7, 13–14, 32–3
 - definition 66–7
 - influence on public sector governance 47–8, 54
 - performance measurement and control 76–84,
 - role of performance measurement 66–7
 - shifts in corporate governance 54
- New Right, influence on public services 6–7
- NHS Trusts, virtualism in performance practices 74 *see also* health sector
- NICE (National Institute for (Health and) Clinical Excellence)
 - recommendations to PCTs 90–1, 92
 - valuing health gain 154–6
 - use of QUALYs 154–5,
- nominal scales 69
- open systems theory 259
- opportunity costs 93
 - priority setting in public services 91
- ordinal scales 69,
- organizational culture and control 77–80,
- organizational innovation 199–200
- organizational performance 29–30,
- and public governance mode (empirical evidence) 33–4
- in hierarchical governance modes 31, 32
- in market governance modes 31, 32
- in network governance modes 31, 32
- influence of performance indicators 29–30
- panel selection, use of geodemographic indicators in the public sector 188
- partnerships
 - implications for public management 16–17
 - public–private partnerships 27–8
- PBMA *see* programme budgeting and marginal analysis (PBMA)
- performance 29–30,
- accountability of police behaviour 49–51
- as a continuous process 49
- as a discrete event 48–9
- as object of accountability 48–9
- as results 49
- as theatre 49

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86641-5 - Managing to Improve Public Services

Edited by Jean Hartley, Cam Donaldson, Chris Skelcher and Mike Wallace

Index

[More information](#)

- link with management processes 17–19
- multi-dimensional construct 48–9
- problems related to understanding 48–9
- process approach 48–9
- qualitative assessment 48–9
- quantitative assessment 49
- performance assessment, current themes and future directions 283–5
- performance classification schemes (England) 250–5
 - criminal justice 254–5,
 - education 252
 - fire service 253–4
 - health 250
 - local authorities 251
 - police services 254–5
 - prison service 254
 - social services 251–2
- performance gap theory 220–1
- performance indicators
 - combining baskets of measures 70–1
 - correlations between component measures 71
 - dangers of oversimplification 82–4
 - influence on organizational performance 29–30
 - introduction in public services 237–8
 - relative weighting of different measures 71
 - use of multiple criteria 70–1
- performance measurement
 - costs of a performance measurement system 72–3
 - effects on people whose performance is being measured 72–5
 - ossification effect on management 72
 - perverse effects and unintended consequences 72–3
 - potential for dysfunctional effects 66
 - public consultation 74
 - results (outputs and outcomes) 65–6
 - star ratings (NHS Trusts) 74
 - tunnel vision effect on management 72
 - types of performativity 75
 - virtualism in Best Value practices 73–4
 - why it matters 65–6
- performance measurement basics 68–9
 - interval scales 69,
 - Likert scale 69
 - nominal scales 69
 - ordinal scales 69,
 - ratio scales 69,
 - star ratings 69,
- performance measurement in New Public Management 76–84,
 - ambiguity in public service provision 80–1, 82–4
 - approaches to control 76–7,
 - bureaucratic control 77–8,
 - control by clan 77–8,
 - control mechanisms 77–80,
 - cybernetic metaphor of control 76–7,
 - dangers of oversimplified PIs 82–4
 - feedback control 76–7,
 - grid: group theory of control 78–9,
 - handling statistical uncertainty 82–4
 - market control 77–8,
 - organizational culture and control 77–80,
 - political control 76–7,
 - professional activity 81–2,
 - routine control 76–7,
 - uncertainty in public service provision 80–1, 82–4
- performance measurement in public services
 - provision 66–8
 - central control aspect 68
 - New Public Management 66–7, 68
 - political aspect 68
 - reasons for measuring performance 68,
 - Royal Statistical Society recommendations 68, 82–4
 - symbolic aspect 68
 - typical approach (UK example) 67
- performance measurement methods
 - Balanced Scorecards 70
 - basics 68–9
 - baskets of measures 70–1
 - combining measures into performance indicators 70–1
 - macro level performance measurement 71–2
- performance measurement systems
 - aims 134–5
 - conventional explanations for failures 135–6
 - failure to produce desired effects 134
 - multiple and conflicting rationalities 135–6
 - negative effects of 134
 - rationale for 134–6
- performance measures
 - and situated rationality of work organization 145–7
 - communicative rationality 148–9
 - technical rationale for identifying targets 144–5
 - underlying assumptions 239–41
- performance measures applied to the health sector
 - age-standardized death rates (SDR) for major diseases 122–5,
 - cost-weighted output index (CWOI) 129–30
 - dangers of management by numbers 131–2
 - disease-specific microeconomic studies 126–8
 - impact of health status of the population 125
 - input measures 130–1
 - lack of a theoretical framework 128–9

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86641-5 - Managing to Improve Public Services

Edited by Jean Hartley, Cam Donaldson, Chris Skelcher and Mike Wallace

Index

[More information](#)

- performance measures applied (cont.)
 - life expectancy 122, 123, 124, 125
 - limitations of quantitative data 131–2
 - macroeconomic indicators 121–6,
 - outcome measures 129–30,
 - output measures 129
 - performance relative to resources 121–8,
 - theory of productivity measurement 128–31
 - total health expenditure 121–6,
 - WHO ‘attainment’ index 125–6,
 - WHO efficiency of health provision indicator 125–6,
- performance measures in government
 - political significance 139–42
 - rationalized myths 139–42
- performance metrics 17–19
 - interpretation of data 18–19
 - link to actual service performance 18–19
 - reliability and validity 18–19
- performativity
 - consequences of 46
 - definition 46
 - effects of performance measurement 75
 - historical approach in the public sector 47–8
 - limitations in the public sector 47–8
 - limitations of quantitative approaches 61–2
 - meanings of the term 75
 - performance as multi-dimensional construct 61–2
- performativity in policing 57–9
 - accountability of modes of control 58–9
 - control mix 58
 - information-led approach 58–9
 - legitimizing principles 58–9
 - legitimation of knowledge 58–9
 - limitations of quantitative approaches 61–2
 - National Intelligence Model (NIM) 58–9
 - performance-driven approach 58–9
 - sub-cultures within police forces 58
 - tensions between modes of control 58
- pluralistic governance model 54, 60–1
- police performance 49–51
 - accountability of police behaviour 49–51
 - intelligence-led approach 51
 - National Intelligence Model (NIM) 51
 - performance classification scheme (England) 254–5
 - performance-driven regime 50–1
 - performance measurement initiatives 50–1
 - Police and Criminal Evidence Act (PACE) 1984 49
 - policy issues 56–7
 - regulation of police powers and responsibilities 49–50
 - tension with ethical responsibilities 50–1
 - see also* performativity in policing
- police performance management 53–4
 - chief constable accountability 53
 - data collection and reporting 53
 - National Intelligence Model process 53
 - performance regime 53
 - resource allocation issues 53–4
 - unique aspects of policing 53
- policing governance 55–6
 - chief constable roles and accountability 55–6
 - competing power relations 55–6
 - diverse demands of stakeholder groups 56
 - Home Office role 55–6
 - police authority role and accountability 55–6
 - The Police Act (1996) 55–6
 - tripartite governance structure 55–6
 - weakness of governance structures 60
- policy and governance, confusion of roles 60–1
- policy and police performance 56–7
- policy contexts for public service management 8, 19–20
 - external environment 19–20
 - institutional field 20
 - internal context 20
 - levels of analysis 19–20
- policy issues
 - public as consumer 56
 - public as taxpayer 56
- political aspect of performance measurement 68
- political context of public service management 8
- political control 76–7,
- priority setting in public service commissioning
 - challenge of competing demands 89–90
 - cost-benefit analysis (CBA) 91
 - cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA) 91
 - lack of capacity to implement guidance 92
 - marginal analysis 91
 - multi-disciplinary approach 92
 - need for a decision-making framework 91–2,
 - need for qualitative economic research 91–2
 - opportunity costs 91
 - role for economics 91–2
 - see also* programme budgeting and marginal analysis (PBMA)
- priority setting in public services
 - Balanced Scorecard 101, 102–3,
 - Best Value 101, 103–4,
- prison service, performance classification scheme (England) 254
- private sector management ideas, application to public services 6–7

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86641-5 - Managing to Improve Public Services

Edited by Jean Hartley, Cam Donaldson, Chris Skelcher and Mike Wallace

Index

[More information](#)

- process innovations 220
 - and organizational performance, empirical research 228–31,
- product innovation 199–200
- productivity measurement theory 128–31
- productivity performance indicators
 - application in the public sector 115–16
 - private sector 115
 - tools for policy analysis 115
- professional practice
 - intrinsic control 81–2,
 - performance measurement in public services 81–2,
- professional values, clash with performance management 52
- programme budgeting and marginal analysis (PBMA) 60–1, 93
 - application of PBMA in organizations 95
 - basic principles 93
 - concept of ‘the margin’ 93
 - cost-benefit analysis 93
 - creation of a management process 95
 - lack of uptake by NHS PCTs 95
 - opportunity cost concept 93
 - questions about resource use 93–5,
- programme budgeting and marginal analysis (PBMA) model 105–8,
 - evaluation tool for PBMA studies 107–8
 - points for future priority-setting exercises 107
- programme budgeting and marginal analysis (PBMA) process 95–100,
 - advisory panel 96
 - aim and scope of the priority-setting exercise 95–6
 - decision-making criteria 96, 97
 - identifying options for change 96, 97
 - programme budget 95–6
 - rating options for change 96, 97–9
 - releasing resources 96, 97
 - research evaluations 100–1
 - results of health care applications 100–1
 - supporting evidence and information 96, 99
- public
 - changing expectations of public services 11
 - consultation in Best Value practice 74
 - consumer policy issues 56
 - taxpayer policy issues 56
- public administration, comparison with public management 13
- public governance, definition 29 *see also* governance
- public governance and performance (empirical evidence) 32–3
 - democratic performance 34–5
 - initial conclusions 36–8
 - organizational performance 33–4
 - system performance 35–6
- public governance forms, embodied assumptions 32–3
- public interest companies 27–8
- public management
 - accountability for performance 52
 - comparison with public administration 13
 - definitions 13–15
 - governance concept 28–9,
 - implications of governance 16–17
 - importance in public service improvement 13–16
 - link with performance 17–19
 - networked governance concept 14–15
 - new public management concept 13–14
 - policy contexts 19–20
 - see also* public service management
- public management research
 - case study approach 20, 21
 - methodologies 20–1
 - qualitative approaches 20, 21
 - quantitative approaches 20–1
- public–private partnerships 27–8
- public sector initiatives
 - Balanced Scorecard 101, 102–3,
 - Best Value 101, 103–4,
- public sector performance
 - accountability issues 46–7
 - historical approach to performativity 47–8
 - influence of new public management (NPM) 47–8
 - limitations of performativity 47–8
 - negative consequences of measuring performance 47–8
 - separation of policy, governance and management 46–7
 - see also* performativity
- public sector performance measurement, use of
 - Balanced Scorecards 70
- public service change
 - differing values and priorities 285–7
 - empirical studies 258
 - growing complexity and pervasiveness 258–9
 - instrumentalism 260
 - knowledge-for-action concept 259–62
 - limitations of chaos and complexity theory 259–62
 - limitations of older theoretical conceptions 259
 - limitations of the deductive approach 260–2
 - managing change to improve services 274–6
 - open systems theory 259
 - re-engineering 259
 - social movement theory 259

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86641-5 - Managing to Improve Public Services

Edited by Jean Hartley, Cam Donaldson, Chris Skelcher and Mike Wallace

Index

[More information](#)

- public service change planning framework 269–74,
 - applying the framework 274
 - change management themes 271
 - characteristics of complexity dictating management themes 271–2
 - componential aspect of change 272
 - contextually dependent nature of change 272
 - culture building and communication 271
 - differentially impacting change 272
 - differentiated support 271
 - flexible planning and coordination 271
 - large-scale nature of change 271–2
 - orchestration 269–71, 273
 - orchestration imperatives 271–2
 - sequential stages of the change process 272–3
 - systemic change 272
- public service change theoretical conception 262–9
 - ambiguity in the change process 264–5
 - characteristics of complexity with management implications 265–7,
 - complex change 263
 - componential nature of complex change 265–7,
 - contextual dependence of complex change 266, 267
 - coping through orchestration 267–9
 - differentially impacting effects of complex change 266, 267
 - irony in the change process 264–5
 - large-scale nature of complex change 265, 266
 - limited manageability of complex and programmatic change 264–5,
 - programmatic change 263–4
 - role of visionary (transformational) leadership 268–9
 - systemic nature of complex change 266, 267
 - transmissional leadership 268–9
- public service failure
 - assumptions underlying measures of performance 239–41
 - evidence for 237–9,
 - historical perspective 237–9,
 - impact of league tables 237
 - inspection systems 238–9,
 - introduction of performance indicators 237–8
 - legitimacy of service delivery methods 239, 241–5,
 - measureability of service outcomes 243–4
 - poor results 239–41, 243–5
 - relative importance of results and legitimacy 243–5
 - structuration of the institutional environment 244–5
 - types of failure 239–45
 - variations in performance of services 236
- public service failure turnaround strategies 238, 245–9
 - contingent effectiveness of various strategies 238, 247–9
 - evidence from the private sector 246–7
 - improving low legitimacy 248–9
 - improving poor performance results 247–8
 - methodological problems in case studies 245–6
 - reorganization 246–7, 248–9
 - repositioning 246, 247–8,
 - retrenchment 246, 247–8,
- public service improvement
 - conformance to a standard 10–11
 - definitions 10–12
 - differing values and priorities 285–7
 - government initiatives 11–12
 - range of approaches 10–11,
 - reasons for emphasis on 11
- public service improvement framework 12–21
 - governance and accountability 16–17
 - management component 13–16
 - performance metrics 17–19
 - policy contexts 19–20
 - research methodologies 20–1
- public service management
 - aims of public organizations 9
 - contextualized application of management theory 9–10
 - contingent application of management theory 9–10
 - customer service provision 7–8
 - distinctive features 7–10
 - factors affecting application of management theory 7–10
 - governance issues 8
 - influence of the external environment 8–9
 - nature of areas of operation 8–9
 - nature of markets for services 7–8
 - political and policy context 8
 - public value concept 9
 - range of stakeholders 8–9,
 - see also* public management
- public service performance
 - and mode of governance 28, 30–1, 32
 - relationship with governance arrangements 27–8
- public service reform movement 32–3
- public services
 - application of private sector management ideas 6–7
 - challenges to their size and influence 6–7
 - changing expectations of the public 11
 - contribution to well-being of society 5–6
 - expenditure as percentage of GDP 5–7
 - influence of neo-liberal ideologies 4–5, 6–7
 - numbers of employees (direct and indirect) 5

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86641-5 - Managing to Improve Public Services

Edited by Jean Hartley, Cam Donaldson, Chris Skelcher and Mike Wallace

Index

[More information](#)

- perspectives on 'big government' 6–7
- role in economic competitiveness of a nation 5–6
- scale and range of functions 4–5
- why they matter 4–5
- see also* New Public Management
- public value 9, 70
 - innovation in the public sector 203, 208–9
 - public value scorecard 70
- qualitative approaches, public management
 - research 20, 21
- quality-adjusted life year (QALY)
 - definition and purpose 154–5
 - equivalence of CBA and CEA 157–9
 - studies on willingness to pay (WTP) 156–60
 - survey research value of a QALY 168–70
 - use in evaluation of health gain 154–5,
 - use of VPF to model the value of a QALY 167–8
 - valuation studies 156–60
- quantitative approaches
 - limitations of performativity 61–2
 - public management research 20–1
- quasi-governmental partnerships 27–8
- ratio scales 69,
- re-engineering 259
- relative valuation approach to valuing safety 164–5
- reorganization, turnaround strategy 246–7, 248–9
- repositioning, turnaround strategy 246, 247–8,
- research agenda (governance and performance)
 - 38–42
 - alternative causalities 38–41
 - examination of assumed causality 38–41
 - interpretivist approach 42
 - reformulating the research design 41–2
- research methodologies
 - case study approach 20, 21
 - public management research 20–1
 - qualitative approaches 20, 21
 - quantitative approaches 20–1
- results (outputs and outcomes), as measure of
 - performance 65–6
- retrenchment, turnaround strategy 246, 247–8,
- revealed preference (implied value) approach to
 - valuing safety 164
- routine control 76–7,
- sampling, use of geodemographic indicators in
 - the public sector 188
- service innovations 199–200, 220
 - and organizational performance, empirical
 - research 228–31,
 - social change, impact on public services 11
 - social movement theory 259
- social services, performance classification scheme
 - (England) 251–2
- society, public services contribution to well-being 5–6
- stakeholders in public services 8–9,
 - perception of innovations in public services 200
- standards, conformance to 10–11
- star ratings 69, 74
- structuration of the institutional environment 244–5
- symbolic aspect of performance measurement 68
- system performance 29, 30
 - and public governance mode (empirical
 - evidence) 35–6
 - in hierarchical governance modes 31, 32
 - in market governance modes 31, 32
 - in network governance modes 31, 32
- top-down innovation in the public sector 202
- uncertainty in public service provision 80–1, 82–4
- value of preventing one statistical fatality (VPF)
 - 162–3,
- value of statistical life (VOSL) 162–3 *see also* value
 - of preventing one statistical fatality (VPF)
- valuing health 154–60
 - combining safety and health valuation 167–70
 - future research agenda 167–70
 - learning from safety valuation 160
 - NICE evaluation methods 154–6
 - quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) 154–6
 - survey research value of a QALY 168–70
 - use of VPF to model the value of a QALY 167–8
 - valuing a QALY 156–60
 - willingness-to-pay (WTP) 155–6
 - willingness-to-pay (WTP) for a QALY 156–60
- valuing safety 160–7
 - altruism and the WTP-based VPF 163
 - combining safety and health valuation 167–70
 - contingent valuation (expressed value)
 - approach 164
 - economic effects of safety improvements 163
 - empirical estimation of WTP-based values 164–5
 - figures applied in various countries 165
 - gross output (human capital) approach 161
 - relative valuation approach 164–5
 - reliability and validity concerns 165–7
 - revealed preference (implied value)
 - approach 164
 - value of preventing one statistical fatality (VPF)
 - 162–3,
 - value of statistical life (VOSL) 162–3,
 - willingness-to-pay (WTP) approach 160–7,
 - WTP-based VPF 162–3,
- VPF (value of preventing one statistical fatality) 162–3,

| | |
|--|---|
| weighting of different performance measures 71 | WTP-based values of safety |
| WHO (World Health Organization) | contingent valuation (expressed value) |
| ‘attainment’ index 125–6, | approach 164 |
| efficiency of health provision indicator | empirical estimation approaches 164–5 |
| 125–6, | figures applied in various countries 165 |
| WTP (willingness to pay), definition and purpose | relative valuation approach 164–5 |
| 155–6 | reliability and validity concerns 165–7 |
| WTP (willingness to pay) for a QALY | revealed preference (implied value) |
| empirical studies 159–60 | approach 164 |
| equivalence of CBA and CEA 157–9 | WTP-based VPF 162–3 |
| health economics studies 156–60 | economic effects of safety improvements 163 |
| theoretical perspectives 157–9 | effects of altruism 163 |