1

'The North–South divide'

1.1 Introduction: an 'alternative' history of English

Imagine a map of England upside down, as if London was not in the South-east, but 'Up North' in the far North-west, where Carlisle should be; and as if Lancaster was roughly in London's present location 'Down South', with Berwick the furthest point south. Even with the map the right way up, and Scotland included, it is hard to accept the fact that, as Cumbrian-born Melvyn Bragg has stated ([1976] 1987: 15) 'Wigton is the middle of the British Isles'. (Pearce (2000: 172 claims Dunsop Bridge in Lancashire for this same 'epicentre'.) For a rich variety of reasons, some of which will be explored in this book, the perceived centre of national gravity, so to speak, whether culturally, politically or economically, is 'Down South', particularly London and its 'Home' Counties, and this is certainly embedded in history; but one of my major aims is to upturn common conceptions of regions by changing the perspective. In focussing on the North of England and Northern English, a region and a dialect with a history that stretches far back before the Norman Conquest, the aim is also to turn upside down common conceptions of the history of the English language by inverting accepted hierarchies of influence and prestige.

By sheer coincidence this same metaphor recurs on the dust-jacket of a recent book by David Crystal, *The Stories of English* (2004). The book's avowed aim is to 'turn the history of English on its head', by placing 'regional speech and writing . . . centre stage'. Crowley (1991: 2) noted over ten years ago how the history of the English language, on the evidence of the many textbooks on the subject, has been a 'seamless narrative' which takes the story actually to be that of 'Standard' English: a metonym for the whole (see also J. Milroy 2002: 7). This is what I would term a 'funnel vision', not a 'tunnel vision' of the

Cambridge University Press 0521861071 - Northern English: A Cultural and Social History Katie Wales Excerpt <u>More information</u>

2

NORTHERN ENGLISH

development of the language, which has been continually enriched by forms of speech conveniently forgotten or marginalised. Even the four volumes of the Cambridge History of the English Language from the Old English period to 1997 have little to say that is not centred on the development of Standard English; nor indeed more recently Fennell (2001). It is essentially the same story that is being told over and over again. Dialects of English, conveniently subsumed under the general term 'non-standard' (and thus labelled only in relation to the 'standard', a point to which I shall return), are marginalised and silenced, ceasing to have any significance at all after the Middle English period. At an extreme there is the explicit comment by Burnley, but which is indeed implied in many accounts, that he 'sustains the consensus view of the development of the language through successive historical periods to the goal of present-day standard English' (1992b: x, my italics). Such a statement is an inheritance of similar sentiments from language study of the early twentieth century. Here is Wyld's more brutal comment (1929: 16; my italics):

Fortunately at the present time, the great majority of the English Dialects are of very little importance as representations of English speech, and for our present purpose we can afford to let them go, *except in so far as they throw light* upon the growth of those forms of our language which are the main objects of our solicitude, namely the language of literature and *Received Standard Spoken English*.

Further, given the historical fact that standard written English emerged out of London from the late fifteenth century; given London's influence thereafter on fashionable pronunciation with its associated notions of 'correctness'; and given the basis of 'Received Standard Spoken English' or 'Received Pronunciation' (RP) in the phoneme inventory of Southern English, there has also been a strong bias in histories of English towards both a metropolitan bias, and a southern one: what I shall term *metrocentrism* and *austrocentrism* respectively. So take these statements by Lass (1992: 32): 'English in the *normal* sense means one or more of the standard varieties spoken by educated native speakers... These considerations, as well as the weight

The North-South Divide

of tradition, make it natural for histories of English to be tilted southeastwards . . .' (my italics). For Trudgill (1999b: 13) and Crystal (2004: 217), it is the dialects in this same 'southeast of England' which rose to prominence, because this is where Oxford and Cambridge, as well as London, were also to be found. In the South-east? Such comments might go unnoticed, so used are we to the absence or 'silence' of dialects in linguistic historical accounts. We are used also to statements like, for example, 'English does not have front rounded vowels.' As Foulkes and Docherty (1999: 12) protest, however, this is really about Received Pronunciation, for front rounded vowels are certainly prevalent in Tyneside speech. Again at an extreme there is the strangely biased view of Zachrisson (1914: 47), which, thankfully, is no longer accepted: 'Northern English is merely a variety of the Standard speech of the Capital. In earlier days London English was the best and purest form of English, and was therefore imitated by provincial speakers. This pure form of English has remained in the North of England.'

As it so happens, David Crystal provided an invited 'Epilogue' to a collection of essays on what is usefully termed 'alternative histories' of English edited by Trudgill and Watts (2002). This must be seen as a watershed for histories of English, which in future, as Crystal clearly recognised, can no longer provide what Trudgill and Watts describe as the same 'system of self-perpetuating orthodox beliefs and approaches ... passed down from one generation of readers to the next' (2002: 1). Yet it is to be said, my own comments above notwithstanding, that while Crystal's own work (2004) interleaves sections on regional variation in his 'interludes', he otherwise follows the orthodox history of English in the main. It is fitting, however, that a new millennium does appear to be signalling a change of direction in academic discourse towards a more variationist approach. For it is certainly the case, as I shall discuss further in chapter 5, that on the one hand vernaculars continue to be 'threatened' by Standard English but also, on the other hand, there are yet clear signs, especially in the spoken medium, that the ideological hegemony of a 'Standard' is being seriously undermined.

This book, then, is a contribution to the 'Alternative History' of the English language. So far as I know, there is no similar focussed account

Cambridge University Press 0521861071 - Northern English: A Cultural and Social History Katie Wales Excerpt <u>More information</u>

NORTHERN ENGLISH

of the history of a variety of English in England that is not the Standard; and certainly not of Northern English, whose 'pedigree' is much older. Even book-length studies of Northern English viewed synchronically are rare. One hundred years ago appeared R. J. Lloyd's *Northern English* (1899), but a short description only of phonology. Yet Northern history and culture of itself has attracted considerable academic interest (see Musgrove 1990, Jewell 1994 and Kirk (ed.) 2000 in particular), and is the focus of such significant journals as *Northern History* and the *Northern Review*. Interestingly, however, thirty-five years ago Tomaney (1969: 64) complained about the tendency for historians to 'reduce a complex and variegated history of English to a version of the history of the southern core'.

As I hope to reveal, the history of Northern English certainly raises interesting questions about the notion of a 'standard language'. One important and recurring theme is that, in fact, Northern English (and its speakers) since the fifteenth century is perceived very much in relation to an Other, the prestigious Standard English, which is perceived as superior: thus, along with other vernaculars, dismissed not only as 'nonstandard', but also therefore as 'subordinate': cf. the OED's definition of dialect: 'One of the subordinate forms or varieties of a language arising from local *peculiarities* of pronunciation and idiom . . .' (my italics). Further, historically also dialects like Northern English are seen essentially as 'sub-standard': socially stigmatised and culturally inferior, 'provincial' and (in particular) 'working class' and 'uncouth'. As Colls says very strongly (1998: 196-7): 'In England, to be called a region from some metaphorical 'centre' is an act of patronage . . . regions are . . . used to fix a place's relationship to power rather than geography.' Or, as Jackson puts it, 'To refer to a dialect is to make a political rather than a strictly linguistic judgment' (1989: 159). For Northern English (as indeed for Cornwall English no doubt), such a biased opposition is most likely influenced by the perceived geographical periphery of the region. But in one sense, however regrettable, and however much I shall myself be trying to reclaim Northern English from what are sometimes seen as 'post-colonial' phenomena of marginalisation, illegitimacy or subordination, the relationship with Standard English is still part of the modern definition of Northern English,

The North–South Divide

and this 'cultural opposition' in Bakhtin's terms (Morson 1986: 5) has been continuously and dynamically constructed and negotiated over the centuries. However, I have scrupulously tried throughout to avoid using the term non-standard, because of its negative ideological connotations.¹ Since the nineteenth century the opposition has been compounded by the intervention of Received Pronunciation, which has deepened a perceived social contrast between working and middle/ upper class (chapters 4 and 5). Lying behind the relationship between Northern English and written and spoken standards is the North's general relation to the South, an even more significant, and much older, cultural dialectic, to which I return in the sections below, and which again is a pervasive theme of this book. Despite Jewell's historical treatment of the subject (1994) and essays edited by Baker and Billinge (2004) more recently, this relation, as Samuel had earlier stated (1989: xii), 'remains to a great extent unstudied', especially in linguistic terms.

Yet the reclamation of Northern English does raise other important issues in relation to provinciality, the periphery and so-called 'standard' varieties. Viewed over almost 1,500 years the history of Northern English reveals its own periods of cultural and literary prestige; and also time and again as we shall see, reveals the general fact that community and supra-local 'norms' of language or 'regional standards' exert as much influence as extra-regional, right up to the present day. There is also the fact that, particularly in the North-west and the far North-east, dialect, identity and literary output through the centuries have been shaped as much as by the attraction or pull of a Scottish 'standard' as by an English. Indeed, for some linguists the speech of these regions even at the present day could equally be regarded as Scots (Tom McArthur, p.c.). Moreover, in the Anglo-Saxon and medieval periods, as we shall see in chapter 2, the North of England, whose

¹ In the discourse of pedagogy *non-standard* is sometimes synonymous with *ungrammatical* or *unidiomatic*: as in the QCA document *Improving Writing at Key Stages 3 and 4* (1999), where it is equated with a 'poor understanding of written standard English', 'errors', and inappropriate 'informality' (p. 19). Cheshire and Stein (1997) try to distinguish between *vernacular* and *non-standard*, but do admit that their contributors vary in their use of these terms (p. 11).

Cambridge University Press 0521861071 - Northern English: A Cultural and Social History Katie Wales Excerpt <u>More information</u>

6

NORTHERN ENGLISH

domain stretched from Edinburgh to the Humber, remained politically, culturally and also linguistically distinctive, almost another 'country'.² Even after the ravages of the early Vikings in the late eighth century which destroyed the best of Northern arts and literature famed throughout Europe, the North, precisely because of these same Scandinavian tribes who turned settlers, remained distinctive. York became the capital of a powerful Anglo-Scandinavian and ecclesiastical 'province' (the antonym of 'provincial') with its own distinctive language and laws, and the locus arguably of an important standard or linguistic 'norm' within the region's bounds. Interestingly, Trudgill (1999b: 13) contemplates what might have been, in another twist to the idea of turning ideas upside down. 'If the capital of England had been, say, York, then Standard English today would have shown a close resemblance to northern dialects of English.' In actuality, the capital of part of England certainly has been York, and a strong challenger until the Industrial Revolution to London's dominance; and it is precisely because of this, as we shall see in chapter 3, that Northern English did have some considerable influence on the emerging 'Standard English'. Moreover, Northern English had momentous effects on the English language in general, since its dramatic sea-change from a highly inflected language to its so-called 'analytic' form happened first in the North.

While it has to be said that this significant shift has been much discussed in histories of English, the idea of a possible catalyst of an Anglo-Scandinavian koiné has been underplayed; and the mechanisms or agencies and motivations for the influence of Northern English on London English have been seriously left unexplained. The so-called 'spread' of Northernisms is presented simply as a fait accompli. This does lead to problems of understanding and explanation, and I cannot say that I have necessarily resolved them. Clearly, a scarcity of relevant documents and documentation does not help. Other problems have to do with what I term the 'anachronistic fallacy' or what Banton (1980: 21) terms 'chronocentrism': the tendency to interpret other historical periods in terms of the values and

² C. H. Williams (1993: 176) notes how Northumbria was not fully incorporated into the English state until the 1530s. The prince bishops of Durham used to hold their own parliament and mint their own money.

The North-South Divide

concepts of the present time, and hence to see language change and diffusion from a modern, and particularly urban, even metropolitan, sociolinguistic perspective. I recognise that my own ideas and approaches are not all of them found in the conventional textbooks, in my attempt to imagine what it must have been like to be a Northerner in a distant time whose mental map of the landscape, its boundaries and trade routes, would have been quite different. One version of chronocentrism is certainly to underestimate the significance of waterways, as distinct from roadways, for Northern success and influence, and more research is needed. For despite the persistent image of isolation, the North's 'water-map' included the great estuaries of the Clyde and the Humber in the Anglo-Saxon period, 'highways to wider worlds' (Musgrove 1990: 45); the ports of Newcastle for coal with a direct searoute to London, and of Liverpool and Whitehaven, gateways to Ireland and to the United States for exports and a flow of immigration and emigration (chapters 3 and 4).

Other problems of understanding and explanation are connected to the very fact of standardisation itself: notably with the gradual suppression over time of dialect syntax and spellings in printed public documents reflecting local accents in public writing as the written standard grammar and orthography took hold. As Cheshire and Stein (1997: 5) have said, and as will certainly be revealed in chapter 5, it is thus very difficult to 'relate present-day dialect forms to past . . . and to establish historical continuities'. But the general lack of interest in dialect grammar on the part of modern linguists does not help: the codification of the language essentially means the codification of Standard English.

There is the danger, it has to be said, that the suppression of the vernaculars in the written standard belies their strong voice in the spoken medium, however hard to retrieve before an age of technical recording. (But even in this new age, studies of dialect prosody, for example, are rare, as we shall see in chapter 5.) The emphasis on the written standard and the apotheosis of Wyld's mainstream literary standard in our educational system also suppress the flourishing dialect voices in popular literature, both oral and written. This book is therefore a 'cultural' as well as social history of Northern English in the broadest sense in order to bring into stronger focus the North's rich heritage of

8

Cambridge University Press 0521861071 - Northern English: A Cultural and Social History Katie Wales Excerpt <u>More information</u>

NORTHERN ENGLISH

genres such as ballads and dialogues, particularly from the eighteenth century onwards (see chapters 3 and 4): confirming a continuing sense of strong regional, and also local, identities.³

As we shall see time and again from chapter 3 onwards, literature written in 'deviant' dialect spellings has generally been received by readers and reviewers outside the region with either distrust or disgust. It is dismissed as unintelligible, and its authors as uneducated. An author who attempts to represent local dialect is caught in the double bind of having no local 'standard' orthography that is not the mainstream, and so must invent his or her own. Yet dialect spelling provides useful evidence in the reconstruction of historical linguistic features, despite some modern academic misgivings. For while it may be technically inconsistent and potentially an inaccurate guide to accent, we have to recognise, as Murray states (1873: vi), that it is 'in so many cases . . . our only guide to the living organism which once breathed within'. And whilst we must also allow for literary licence in representation, there is no doubt that local authors were generally sensitive to the 'high-frequency variables' (Glauser 1997: 125) or shibboleths of their vernacular, whether pronunciations, lexis or discourse markers, in those genres which attempted to mimic or recreate the informality of everyday dialect in use. Indeed, without such popular literature valuable clues would be lost. While cultural historians of the Industrial Revolution have recognised, as we shall see in chapter 4, the social value of the ballads of the mill-worker and pitman, they await further linguistic and pragmatic analysis; as indeed the songs and play-bills of the Northern music-hall which flourished well into the twentieth century (chapter 4).

³ Studies of the history of Northern literature generally are surprisingly scarce, although northern texts have featured in studies of the nineteenth-century regional novel (see e.g. Snell 1998; also Pocock 1978). Russell's (2004) study of popular cultural representations of the North since the nineteenth century unfortunately appeared too late for discussion here. Students of Wordsworth are rarely introduced to the Cumbrian ballad tradition from which he drew inspiration, the focus of 3.4. Despite its title, Craigie's *The Northern Element in English Literature* published some seventy years ago (1933) is mostly about the 'far North' of Scotland and Scandinavia. But his ruteful comment on the North's 'absence' in histories of English literature provides a nice illustration of one of the enduring myths of the North, discussed further below (1.3) and in chapter 2: perhaps, he says, it is because the North was 'regarded with the same aversion as the Frisians who gave it the significant name of *de grime herne*, "the grim corner of the world"' (p. 9).

The North-South Divide

Moreover, there is considerable value from popular literature defined in its widest sense for what it tells us about the speakers of a dialect, their beliefs about their own vernacular and local identity, and attitudes to others and 'posh' speech. Conversely popular literature, including regional novels, can tell us a great deal about the attitudes of 'outsiders' and those who presume themselves to be sophisticated metropolitans; it also contributes to the creation of stereotypes for external consumption. Whether it be the novels of Emily Brontë or John Braine, the television soap Coronation Street or the strip cartoon of Andy Capp, all have contributed to the formation of images of the North and its dialects which continue to haunt the images of the present. These themes recur throughout the book. Hence my definition of 'culture' follows that of Schiffman (1996: 5): 'the set of behaviours, assumptions, cultural forms, prejudices, folk belief systems, attitudes, stereotypes, ways of thinking about language'. It therefore includes not only literature but any kind of discourse or text which embodies or illuminates ideas and myths about Northernness and Northern English, in space as well as time, and so the Northern mental landscape as well as the physical. For the twentieth century this would therefore include the mass media: film and television soaps and advertising (chapter 5); and newspaper headlines and cartoons (see 1.3 below). Again, I think the significance of the media has been seriously understudied in relation to language change, and so too the general phenomenon of the *perceptions* of the speech of others, outside the local community. Coupland (1988: 95) is right to suggest that dialects are 'value-laden'. Certainly in this book, the history of Northern English and the history of perceptions of it are inextricably linked. In the next section I show how such perceptions colour our very sense of where the North actually is.

1.2 The 'boundaries' of Northern English

Where does the North of England begin? Where does it end? Where is Northern English to be heard? There is no 'North' on a map (or 'South of England'), but most English people have some idea of their own, if there is no common agreement. Pocock (1978) argues rightly that the

Cambridge University Press 0521861071 - Northern English: A Cultural and Social History Katie Wales Excerpt <u>More information</u>

10

NORTHERN ENGLISH

North is a geographical expression, with a relative rather than exact latitudinal definition (cited Law 2004: 33). In a similar way the phrase the 'North-South divide' has been used constantly in the media since the Thatcher-led Conservative government of the 1980s, right up to the present day, largely with reference to the economy and social issues such as housing, and with a polarity negatively weighted towards the North; but it is marked in different places in different mental landscapes. Asked in a perceptual exercise to mark it on a map of England, students at the University of Leeds ranged widely from a Humber-Mersey line, to a Severn-Wash, but in all cases to the exclusion of 'the Midlands' (Shuttleworth 1998). When my own students (sixty-six over two years) were asked to mark dialects they knew, a line just north of Birmingham across the country corresponded to the lowest limits of Northern dialect areas they offered. (See further below.) 'Beyond Birmingham' seems to have been George Orwell's boundary, in his Road to Wigan Pier (1937; see also 1.3 below).

A great deal depends on the *origo*, the point of departure: southerners tend to place a 'divide' much further south than northerners. 'Beyond the Solent' marks the North for those who live on the Isle of Wight (letter to the *Times* 4 October 2003). For Londoners and the metropolitan-oriented media, popular ironic phrases like 'North of Potters Bar' or 'North of Watford', beyond the northern limits of the former Greater London Council and the last stop on the Metropolitan underground line respectively, suggest that these are cultural faultlines, the bounds of civilisation (see also Wales 2000: 4).⁴ The cultural historian Robert Colls (1977: 12), born in South Shields, ruefully remarks that 'the first flat vowel dropped and the suburban serfs *south of Hatfield* know a Geordie for what he is'. I return to the question of a linguistic 'North–South divide' below; and 'flat vowels' in 1.3. More recently has appeared the phrase 'north of Notting Hill' (A. A. Gill, *Sunday Times* 3 September 2000).

⁴ 'North of Watford' is noted in the *OED* (from 1973); but strangely, 'North of Potters Bar' is absent. In the early 1970s the Council in Doncaster (noted below) produced a caricature of Londoners' perceptions of the North in the form of a distorted map, which marked Potters Bar as 'the end of civilisation', Manchester as 'the end of railways', and Scotland as 'the end of roads': see Gould and White 1974: 40, also Wales 2000: 6–7.