accountability and Transitional Identity Model, 278–279, 280
accuracy of predictions from models, 246–247
advantages of comparative cross-regional analysis
availability and appropriate use of data, 108–110
bridge between methods, 111–112
comparative emphasis, 105–108
election-specific effects, controlling for, 81
overview of, 103
strategic voting, 110–111
aggregate level hypotheses
empirical tests and, 258
individual behavior and, 31, 51–52
omitted variable bias and, 112–116
Alliance of Free Democrats (SzDSz), 149, 150, 163, 187, 290
Alliance of the New Citizen (ANO), 292
Alvarez, R. Michael, 107
Anpilov, Viktor, 220
Association of Slovak Workers (ZRS), 158, 218
AWS (Solidarity Electoral Action), 147, 193, 289–290
Bakatin, Vadim, 220
Balcerowicz, Leszek, 181
“Barometer” surveys, 285
Bartoszcze, Roman, 161
BBWR (Non-Partisan Bloc to Support Reforms), 213
Beck, Nathaniel, 116
Berezovskii, Boris, 134
Bielecki, Jan, 181
Bloc of Ivan Rybkin, 138
Bozóki, András, The Communist Successor Parties of Central and Eastern Europe, 299
Bruszt, László, Post-Socialist Pathways, 298
Bunce, Valerie, “Uncertainty in Transition: Post Communism in Hungary,” 300
Buzek, Jerzy, 148
Carnogursky, Jan, 161, 182
case selection
of countries, 13–18
of elections, 19–20
generalizability of findings and, 18
omitted variable bias and, 114
case studies. See also case selection; paired case studies
coding of, 158–163
1992 Czech and Slovak elections
Incumbency hypothesis and, 159–161, 164–165
New Regime hypothesis and, 189–190, 195
Old Regime hypothesis and, 221–222
overview of, 126, 127–130
overview of, 24, 126–127
1995 Polish and 1996 Russian elections
case studies (cont.)
  Incumbency hypothesis and, 161–162, 165
  New Regime hypothesis and, 190–191, 196
  Old Regime hypothesis and, 222
  overview of, 127, 130–134
1997 Polish and 1998 Hungarian elections
  Incumbency hypothesis and, 163, 166–167
  New Regime hypothesis and, 192–194, 197
  Old Regime hypothesis and, 224
  overview of, 127, 145–151
1993, 1995, 1999 Russian elections
  Incumbency hypothesis and, 162–163, 166
  New Regime hypothesis and, 191–192, 196–197
  Old Regime hypothesis and, 222–224
  overview of, 127, 135–145
Catholic Election Committee – Fatherland (WAK), 156
Chechen Republic, 140, 144
Chernomyrdin, Viktor, 135, 138, 141
Christian Democratic Movement (KDH), 292
Christian Democratic People’s Party (KDNP), 187
Christian Democratic Union (KDU), 79, 129, 160
Chubais, Anatolii, 134, 187
Cimoszewicz, Włodzimierz, 161, 163, 217
Civic Democratic Alliance (ODA), 79, 97, 129, 157
Civic Democratic Party (ODS), 79, 97, 129, 157, 291
Civic Democratic Union (ODU), 130, 161, 189, 190
Civic Forum, 128, 159–160, 189
Civic Movement (OHi), 129
clarity of responsibility argument, 8–10, 52–53, 286
  See also responsibility based conditional hypotheses
coalition hypothesis
  Incumbency hypothesis and, 172–174
  overview of, 60
coding
  borderline New Regime parties, 210–214
  of case studies, 158–163, 189–194, 216–221
  incumbent parties, 154–163
  nationalista parties, 231
  New Regime parties, 44, 69, 185–194, 205
  Old Regime parties, 44, 69, 216–221
  primary and other coalition members, 178–183
  of variables, 82
Colton, Timothy J., Transitional Citizens, 296
Communist Party of Bohemia and Moravia (KSČM)
coding of, 80
resurgence of, 288, 291
support for, 97, 159
as unreformed, 217
Communist Party of Slovakia (KSS), 218, 292
Communist Party of the Russian Federation (KPRF), in parliamentary elections
  in 1993, 136, 137
  in 1995, 139, 140, 222–224
  in 1999, 143, 145, 235
  support for, 290
communist successor parties, 44, 299–300
  See also Old Regime parties; reformed vs. unreformed Old Regime parties
Communist Workers of Russia for the Soviet Union (KTR-SS), 219, 222–224
comparative cross-regional analysis. See also
  advantages of comparative cross-regional analysis; disadvantages of comparative cross-regional analysis; empirical analysis
  aims of, 78
  appropriateness of, 108–109
  components of, 78–79, 102–103
  conclusions from, 257–259
  dataset for, 23, 108–110
  format for, 24
  overview of, 3–4, 12
Comparative Study of Electoral Systems data, 285
compositional data model, 79
conditional economic voting hypotheses. See also
  party orientation Conditional economic voting hypothesis;
  responsibility based conditional
economic voting hypotheses; time based conditional economic voting hypotheses
comparative assessment of, 259–274
definition of, 21
empirical support for, 282–286
examination of subsections of data, 252–257
interactive, 74–76, 270–271
overview of, 9–10, 52
reasons for including in study, 53–54
supply-side, 62–68, 175–176, 204, 238
testing of, 54
theoretically-based, 55
congress of liberal democrats (KLD), 156, 190
congress of Russian communities (KRO), 140
core party identity, 42–43
Csanadi, Maria, “uncertainty in transition: post communism in hungary,” 300
CSSD (czech social democratic party), 291
Cultural cleavages, 81–83, 113
Czechoslovakia. See also czech republic; slovakia
dissolution of, 128, 130
elections in, 128
Czech Republic. See also elections, czech republic
economy in, 17
incumbency hypothesis and, 164–165
incumbent parties in, 159–160
jesenik region, 85
New Regime parties in, 189
Old Regime hypothesis and, 235
Old Regime parties in, 217, 221–222
political development in, 17
political system in, 58
status of transition in, 291
Czech social democratic party (CSSD), 129, 291
dataset
appropriateness of, 297
availability of, 25
cross-regional analysis and, 23, 108–110
quality of raw data, 255–257
regional level data, 25
variation in size of across elections, 116–120
decreasing support over time hypothesis
incumbency hypothesis and, 176–177
New Regime hypothesis and, 207
Old Regime hypothesis and, 239–241
overview of, 72–74
democracies, established, 39–42 See also United States
democracies, new. See also transition countries
incumbency, defining in, 153
patterns of voting and consolidation of, 295
Democratic Left Alliance (SLD)
in 1993 parliamentary elections, 147
in 1995 presidential election, 131, 161
in 1997 parliamentary elections, 148
as Old Regime party, 216
Polish peasant party and, 146, 163
support for, 288, 289
Democratic Party of Russia, 136
Democratic Union (UD), 156, 190
Dienstbier, Jiří, 160
disadvantages of comparative cross-regional analysis
appropriateness for measuring traditional retrospective voting, 120–123
different sized datasets, 116–120
individual level behavior and, 24
omitted variable bias, 112–116
overview of, 103
Dlouhý, Vladimír, 72, 160
dominant body for policy, 58
Dubček, Alexander, 130
Dzurinda, Mikuláš, 291
East-Central Europe
comparative assessment of, 261–262
definition of, 21
incumbency hypothesis and, 168
New Regime hypothesis and, 199
Old Regime hypothesis and, 226–228
East European, definition of, 21
economic conditions
availability of data on, 109–110
in countries selected for study, 15–17
in Czech Republic, 17
elections in transition countries and, 29, 282–286
in Hungary, 17, 148
Incumbency hypothesis and, 6, 177
omitted variable bias and, 112–116
partisan approach in established
democracies and, 39–42
partisan approach in transition countries
and, 43–47
in Poland, 15–17, 146
political developments and, 1
in Russia, 15–17, 133, 144
in Slovakia, 17
in United States after Civil War, 113, 289
as variable in empirical analysis, 83–88
economic shock, size of, and robustness
tests, 101, 247–249

economic voting. See also regional economic
ing Analyses of, 4
clarity of responsibility argument and, 8–9
conditional hypotheses of, 9–10
definition of, 22
hypotheses regarding, 5
incumbent parties and, 6
level of analysis of, 11–13
literature of, 307
models of, 7–8
partisan approach to, in established
democracies, 39–42

EKA (Ellenzeki Kerekasztal), 187, 211
elections
case selection of, 19–20, 24–25
in Czechoslovakia, in 1990, 128, 159–161
in transition countries, 27–30
elections, Czech Republic
1992 parliamentary election
first differences, 356
overview of, 67, 126, 129
regression results, 319
results, 309
variables used, 320
1996 parliamentary election
first differences, 357
overview of, 79–80, 92, 106
regression results, 321
results, 310
variables used, 322
1998 parliamentary election
first differences, 358
overview of, 157, 189
regression results, 323
results, 310
variables used, 324
elections, Hungary
1990 parliamentary election
first differences, 359
overview of, 67, 157
regression results, 325
results, 311
variables used, 326
1994 parliamentary election
first differences, 360
regression results, 327
results, 311
variables used, 328
1998 parliamentary election
first differences, 361
overview of, 127, 145, 148–151
regression results, 329
results, 107, 312
variables used, 330
elections, Poland
1990 presidential election
first differences, 362
overview of, 161
regression results, 331
results, 312
variables used, 332
1991 parliamentary election
first differences, 363
overview of, 85, 255
regression results, 332
results, 313
variables used, 333
1993 parliamentary election
first differences, 364
overview of, 156
regression results, 333
results, 313
variables used, 334
1995 presidential election
first differences, 365
overview of, 127, 131–132
regression results, 335
Index

results, 314
variables used, 336
1997 parliamentary election
first differences, 366
overview of, 127, 145–148
regression results, 337
results, 314
variables used, 338
elections, Russia
1991 presidential election
first differences, 367
overview of, 66, 255, 266
regression results, 339
results, 314
variables used, 339
1993 parliamentary election
first differences, 368
regression results, 340
results, 315
variables used, 341
1995 parliamentary election
first differences, 369
overview of, 127, 138–141, 234
regression results, 342
results, 315
variables used, 343
1996 presidential election
first differences, 370
overview of, 127, 132–134, 234
regression results, 344
results, 316
variables used, 345
1999 parliamentary election
first differences, 370
overview of, 127, 141–145, 234–236
regression results, 346
results, 316
variables used, 347
elections, Slovakia
1992 parliamentary election
first differences, 371
overview of, 126, 129, 266
regression results, 348
results, 317
variables used, 349
1994 parliamentary election
first differences, 372
regression results, 350
results, 317
variables used, 351
1998 parliamentary election
first differences, 373
overview of, 155
regression results, 352
results, 318
variables used, 353
1999 presidential election
first differences, 374
overview of, 60, 155, 256
regression results, 354
results, 318
variables used, 355
electoral stability, 287, 307–308
empirical analysis. See also statistical model
economic variables and, 83–88
goal of, 80–81
political development and, 81
robustness tests, 100–102
societal cleavages and, 81–83
statistical model, 90–100
European Union, accession of countries to, 293–295
Fatherland-All Russia (OVR), 142, 143, 145, 234–236
FIDESZ-Hungarian People’s Party
(Fidesz-MPP), 149–150, 151, 290
FIDESZ (League of Young Democrats), 40, 149, 187
Fidrmuc, Jan, 12
Fiorina, Morris P., Retrospective Voting, 22, 37, 279
first differences measure. See also Appendix
III
methodological concern with, 119–120
overview of, 94–100
FKgp (Independent Smallholders Party), 151, 187
foreign direct investment, 87
Freedom Union (US), 157, 190
“free pass” scenario, 72
Gaidar, Yegor, 135, 136, 144, 187, 191
generalizability of findings, 15, 18
Glasgow, Garret, 102
Gronkiewicz-Waltz, Hanna, 132, 190
growth, economic, as indicator, 85, 87
Index

Grzyma-Busse, Anna, *Redeeming the Communist Past*, 298, 299
Gurov, Aleksandr, 143
Gusinskii, Vladimir, 134
Gyurcsany, Ferenc, 291

history and politics, 288, 293–295
Hungarian Democratic Forum (MDF), 151, 187
Hungarian Party of Truth and Life (MIEP), 151
Hungarian Socialist Party (MSzP), 148–149, 150, 157, 163, 217, 290
Hungarian Socialist Workers Party (MSzMP), 157, 217

Hungary. *See also* elections, Hungary economy in, 17, 148
Incumbency hypothesis and, 166–167
New Regime parties in, 186–187, 194
Old Regime parties in, 217–218, 224
political development in, 17
political system in, 58
status of transition in, 290–291
HZDS (Movement for a Democratic Slovakia), 129, 161, 189, 212

income, change in, as economic indicator, 86–87
increasing support over time hypothesis
Incumbency hypothesis and, 176–177
New Regime hypothesis and, 207
Old Regime hypothesis and, 239–241
overview of, 71–72
Incumbency hypothesis alternative specifications of, 249–252
coding and, 153–163
comparative analysis and, 167–168
cross-national models and, 36
economic conditions and, 177
empirical support for, 152–153, 271–272
information environment and, 32
interactive hypotheses and, 74–77, 270–272
intuitive explanations and, 168–171
overview of, 32
paired case studies, empirical results from, 164–167
partisan approach compared to, 42
personality hypothesis and, 175–176
predictions of, 36
responsibility based conditional hypotheses and, 172–175
retrospective voting and, 120–122
standard retrospective voting models and, 37
supply-side hypotheses and, 175–176
time based hypotheses and, 176–177
Transitional Identity Model and, 165, 178, 270–272
incumbent parties. *See also* Incumbency hypothesis
alternative approach to in transitional environment, 280–281
coding, as primary coalition member, 178–183
coding and, 153–163
definition of, 21, 153–158
economic conditions and, 6
Independent Smallholders Party (FKgP), 151, 187
individual level behavior
assumptions about, 31, 51–52
quantitative analysis and, 99
inflation
as economic indicator, 85
in transition countries, 30
institutional responsibility hypothesis
Incumbency hypothesis and, 172
New Regime hypothesis and, 201
Old Regime hypothesis and, 236–237
overview of, 59
interactive conditional economic voting hypotheses
empirical support for, 271
overview of, 74–76
predictions of, 74–77, 270–271
Interregional Movement of Unity (Unity), 142, 143, 145, 162, 234–236
intuitive conditional hypotheses
comparative assessment of, 260–262
Incumbency hypothesis and, 168–171
New Regime hypothesis and, 198–201
Old Regime hypothesis and, 226–236
overview of, 54–55
Ishiyama, John, *The Communist Successor Parties of Central and Eastern Europe*, 299
Index

Jones Luong, Pauline, *Institutional Change and Political Continuity in Post-Soviet Central Asia*, 298

Karelin, Aleksandr, 143

KDH (Christian Democratic Movement), 292

KDNP (Christian Democratic People’s Party), 187

KDU (Christian Democratic Union), 79, 129, 160

Keohane, Robert, 109

Khakamada, Irina, 144

Khodorkovsky, Mikhail, 290

Kiewiet, D. Roderik, 39

Kinder, Donald, 113

King, Gary, 109

Kirienko, Sergei, 144, 187

Kitschelt, Herbert, *Post-Communist Party Systems*, 298, 299

Klaus, Vaclav, 129, 160, 181

KLD (Congress of Liberal Democrats), 156, 190

Korzhakov, Aleksandr, 134

KPRF. *See* Communist Party of the Russian Federation (KPRF)


KRO (Congress of Russian Communities), 140

Kryuchkov, Anatoli, 220

KSCM. *See* Communist Party of Bohemia and Moravia (KSCM)

KSS (Communist Party of Slovakia), 218, 292

KTR-SS (Communist Workers of Russia for the Soviet Union), 219, 222–224

Kuroń, Jacek, 131, 190

Kwaśniewski, Aleksander, 131–132, 161, 217, 222

LDPR. *See* Liberal Democratic Party of Russia (LDPR)

League of Polish Families, 294

League of Young Democrats (FIDESZ), 40, 149, 187

least squares regression analysis, 90–91

Lebed, Aleksandr, 133, 134, 140

Liberal Democratic Party of Russia (LDPR), in parliamentary elections in 1993, 136, 137 in 1995, 139, 140 in 1999, 144, 145

Lin, Tse-min, 107

losers, economic accountability and, 278–279 definition of, 22 motivation of, 277 political stability and, 287 voting by, 3

Luptak, Jan, 218

Luzhkov, Yuri, 142, 235

macro-level hypotheses. *See* aggregate level hypotheses

Manin, Bernard, 278

Mansfeldova, Zdenka, *Post-Communist Party Systems*, 298

Markowski, Radoslaw, *Post-Communist Party Systems*, 298

Mazowiecki, Tadeusz, 161, 180

MDF (Hungarian Democratic Forum), 151, 187

measurement error, 109, 118

Meciar, Vladimír coding of, as incumbent, 155 HZDS and, 130, 181, 182, 212 VPN and, 160–161

Medgessy, Peter, 291

micro-level hypotheses. *See* individual level behavior

MIEP (Hungarian Party of Truth and Life), 151

mixed electoral system, 135, 146

mixed system coding in, 155 Poland as, 58–59 responsibility for policy and, 58

Moravcik, Jozef, 183

Movement for a Democratic Slovakia (HZDS), 129, 155, 161, 189, 212

Movement for the Reconstruction of Poland (ROP), 148, 212

MSzMP (Hungarian Socialist Workers Party), 157, 217

411
Index

MSzP (Hungarian Socialist Party), 148–149, 150, 157, 163, 217, 290

multi-party context
comparative studies and, 106–107
incumbency and, 6

Nagler, Jonathan, 107

national election results, implications for understanding patterns of, 302–306

nationalist parties
coding of, 231
in Russia, 230–232

NDR (Our Home is Russia), 138, 139, 140, 141, 162

Nemtsov, Boris, 144, 145, 187

New Regime hypothesis
alternative specifications of, 249–252
coding parties and, 44, 185–194
empirical support for, 184–185, 195–197, 209–210, 286–288
interactive hypotheses and, 74–77, 270–272
intuitive explanations and, 198–201
party orientation hypothesis and, 69, 205–207
personality hypothesis and, 204
predictions of, 45–46
responsibility hypotheses and, 201–204
supply-side hypotheses and, 204
time-based hypotheses and, 207–209
New Regime orientation hypothesis, 69, 205–207

New Regime parties. See also New Regime hypothesis
“borderline,” 188, 210–214
definition of, 38, 44
economic winners and, 3
Non-Partisan Bloc to Support Reforms (BBWR), 213

ODA (Civic Democratic Alliance), 79, 97, 129

ODS (Civic Democratic Party), 79, 97, 129, 291

ODU (Civic Democratic Union), 130, 161, 189, 190

OECD (Organization of Economic Co-operation and Development), 8

OH (Civic Movement), 129

Old Regime hypothesis
alternative specifications of, 249–252
empirical support for, 215, 221–222, 241–242, 286–288
interactive hypotheses and, 74–77, 270–272
intuitive explanations and, 226–236
party orientation hypothesis and, 68–70, 229–230
personality hypothesis and, 238
predictions of, 46–47
responsibility hypotheses and, 236–237
supply-side hypotheses and, 238
time-based hypotheses and, 239–241
Old Regime orientation hypothesis
overview of, 69, 221
Russia and, 228–230
Old Regime parties. See also Old Regime hypothesis
coding, 44, 216–221
definition of, 38, 44
economic losers and, 3
incumbency and, 157
as reformed or unreformed, 69, 220, 229–230
Olechowski, Andrzej, 213
Olesky, Józef, 163
Olszewski, Jan, 131, 156, 212
omitted variable bias, 112–116
Organization of Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 8
orientation conditional economic voting hypotheses. See party orientation conditional economic voting hypotheses
Our Home is Russia (NDR), 138, 139, 140, 141, 162
OVR (Fatherland-All Russia), 142, 143, 145, 234–236

Paldam, Martin, 283
parliamentary elections. See also specific elections
coding of incumbency in, 155–157
comparative assessment of standard economic voting hypotheses in, 260–261
Incumbency hypothesis and, 168
New Regime hypothesis and, 198
Old Regime hypothesis and, 226
parsimony of models, 245
parties. See also incumbent parties; multi-party context; New Regime parties;
Old Regime parties
categories for in transition countries, 41–42
communist successor, 299–300
core identity of, 42–43
de novo status of, 115
familiarity with, 28–29, 264
knowledge about, 45
number of, and robustness tests, 101
number of, in elections, 28
percentage positive simulations by, 375
stickiness of Transitional Identities of, 286–288
Transitional Identity, 21
partisan approach to economic voting
in established democracies, 39–42
in transition countries, 42–47
“party of power” in Russia, 136, 162
Party of Russian Unity and Concord
(PRES), 136, 162
Party of the Democratic Left (SDL’), 129, 218, 292
party orientation conditional economic voting hypothesis. See also New
Regime orientation hypothesis; Old
Regime orientation hypothesis
comparative assessment of, 269
New Regime parties and, 205–207
Old Regime parties and, 229–230
overview of, 68–70
Pawlak, Waldemar, 132, 156, 163
Peasant Party (PL), 156
personality hypothesis
comparative assessment of, 265
Incumbency hypothesis and, 175–176
New Regime hypothesis and, 204
Old Regime hypothesis and, 238
overview of, 62–64
pocketbook voting, 11, 48–49
Poland. See also elections, Poland
economy in, 15–17, 146
European Union and, 294
Incumbency hypothesis and, 165, 166–167
as mixed system, 58–59
New Regime parties in, 190–191, 192–193
Old Regime parties in, 216–217, 222, 224
political development in, 17
Solidarity movement in, 193
status of transition in, 289–290
“policy oriented” economic voting hypothesis, 39
Polish Peasant Party (PSL), 131, 146, 156, 163
Polish Social Democratic Union (PUS), 217
political development
degree of support for types of parties and, 81
patterns of in countries selected for study, 17
political parties. See parties
post-communist experience
communist successor parties and, 299–300
economic conditions and, 1
exploration of facets of, 4
index of responsibility for policy and, 57
links in theoretical work and, 296–299
literature of, 105, 269, 295–302, 306
stability of political behavior and
uncertainty and, 300–302
poverty
measures of, 100
robustness tests and, 100
Russia, Old Regime hypothesis, and, 233
in transition countries, 30
Powell, G. Bingham, 52–53, 56, 59, 284
presidential elections. See also specific elections
coding of incumbency in, 154–155
comparative assessment of standard
economic voting hypotheses in,
260–261
Incumbency hypothesis and, 168
New Regime hypothesis and, 198
Old Regime hypothesis and, 226
PRES (Party of Russian Unity and
Concord), 136, 162
Primakov, Yevgenii, 142, 143, 235
proportional representation (PR)
in Czech and Slovak elections, 128
personality hypothesis and, 64
in Polish elections, 146
prospective voting, 49–51, 282
Przeworski, Adam, 15, 72, 278
PSL (Polish Peasant Party), 131, 146, 163
Public Against Violence (VPN), 128,
160–161, 189

© Cambridge University Press
punishment strategy, 51
PUS (Polish Social Democratic Union), 217
Putin, Vladimir
  political changes made by, 290
  popularity of, 144
  as prime minister, 141
  SPS and, 144
  Unity and, 143, 234–236

Referendum Model. See also Incumbency hypothesis
  alternative specifications of, 249–252
  empirical support for, 7–8, 10, 246–247
  examination of subsections of data, 252–257
  introduction of, 7
  overview of, 32–39
  predictions of, 7
  retrospective voting and, 50
  Transitional Identity Model compared to, 30–31, 245–249, 257–259, 272
reformed vs. unreformed Old Regime parties, 69, 220, 229–230
regime future hypothesis
  comparative assessment of, 266–267
  elections and, 66
  Old Regime hypothesis and, 221
  overview of, 64–67
  Russia and, 232–233
  Slovakia and, 165
region, definition of, 21
regional economic voting
  definition of, 22–23
  patterns of national election results and, 302–306
relative deprivation argument, 34
responsibility based conditional economic voting hypotheses
  comparative assessment of, 262–265
  Incumbency hypothesis and, 172–175
  New Regime hypothesis and, 201–204
  Old Regime hypothesis and, 223, 236–237
  overview of, 56–62
retrospective voting
  comparative cross-regional analysis and measurement of, 120–125
  “pure,” 37
  Transitional Identity Model and, 49–51, 279
reward strategy, 51
robustness tests
  alternative specifications of models, 249–252
  conditional economic voting hypotheses and, 53, 252–257
  different thresholds for “strong support” and, 102, 247–249
  economic variables and, 84
  “out of sample,” 257
  overview of, 79, 100–102
  on Transitional Identity Model compared to Referendum model, 247–249
ROP (Movement for the Reconstruction of Poland), 148, 212
Russia. See also elections, Russia
economy in, 15–17, 133, 144
including in comparative study with East-Central European countries, 15, 261–262
Incumbency hypothesis and, 165, 168
New Regime parties in, 187–188, 191
Old Regime hypothesis and, 228–236, 241–242
Old Regime parties in, 219–220, 222–224
  “party of power” in, 136, 162
  political development in, 17
  political system in, 58
  Transitional Identity Model and, 290
Russia’s Choice (VR), 136, 137, 162, 188
Russia’s Democratic Choice (VDR), 138, 140, 144, 188
Rutskoi, Alexsandr, 135
Ryzhkov, Nikolai, 66, 162, 220
Schuster, Rudolf, 60, 155, 194, 206
scope of models, 245
SDK (Slovak Democratic Coalition), 200, 201, 291
SDKU (Slovak Democratic and Christian Union), 292
SDL’ (Party of the Democratic Left), 129, 218, 292
seemingly unrelated regression (SUR) model, 91–94
Self-Defense of the Republic of Poland, 294
Shogui, Sergei, 143
size of vote hypothesis
  comparative assessment of, 262–264
  Incumbency hypothesis and, 174
Index

New Regime hypothesis and, 202
Old Regime hypothesis and, 238
overview of, 59–60

S.L.D. See Democratic Left Alliance (SLD)
Slovak Democratic and Christian Union (SDKU), 292
Slovak Democratic Coalition (SDK), 200, 201, 291

Slovakia. See also elections, Slovakia
economy in, 17
ethnicity in, 82
Incumbency hypothesis and, 164–165
New Regime hypothesis and, 199–201
New Regime parties in, 189–190
Old Regime parties in, 218–219, 221–222
political development in, 17
political system in, 58
status of transition in, 291–292
Slovak National Party (SNS), 130, 160
Social Democratic Party of Slovakia, 130
societal cleavages, 81–83, 113
sociotropic voting, 11, 48–49
Solidarity Electoral Action (AWS), 147, 193, 289–290
Solidarity movement, 193
Solidarity Trade Union, 147, 193
Solovie, Valery, *Russia’s Communists at the Crossroads*, 299
Soskets, Oleg, 134
SPS (Union of Right Forces), 144, 145, 188, 191–192

standard economic voting hypotheses. See also Incumbency hypothesis; New Regime hypothesis; Old Regime hypothesis; Referendum Model; Transitional Identity Model
definition of, 21
observations on, 47–48
pocketbook vs. sociotropic debate and prospective vs. retrospective voting debate and, 48
Stark, David Charles, *Post-Socialist Pathways*, 298
statistical model. See also empirical analysis
first differences, 94–100, 119–120
least squares regression analysis, 90–91
seemingly unrelated regression (SUR) model, 91–94
stochastic simulation and, 96–99

vote, previous, as control variable, 122–125
Stephashin, Sergei, 187
stickiness
of New Regime identity, 207, 208
of Old Regime identity, 240
of Transitional Identities, 286–288
stochastic simulation of first differences, 96–99, 375
Stokes, Susan, 278
strategic voting and cross-regional analysis, 110–111
Suchocka, Hanna, 156, 180
supply-side conditional economic voting hypotheses
comparative assessment of, 265–267
Incumbency hypothesis and, 175–176
New Regime hypothesis and, 204
old Regime hypothesis and, 238
overview of, 62–68
SUR (seemingly unrelated regression) model, 91–94
survey analysis, 104
survey data, 284–285
SzDSz. See Alliance of Free Democrats (SzDSz)

*tabula rasa* approach, 297–299
theoretically-based conditional economic voting hypotheses, definition of, 55
See also interactive conditional economic voting hypotheses; party orientation hypothesis; responsibility based conditional hypotheses; supply-side conditional economic voting hypotheses; time based conditional economic voting hypotheses
time based conditional economic voting hypotheses
comparative assessment of, 267–269
completion of transition and, 289
Incumbency hypothesis and, 176–177
New Regime hypothesis and, 207–209
Old Regime hypothesis and, 239–241
overview of, 70–74
time-series analysis
cross-national, 104
single country, 104

415
Index

Toka, Gabor, *Post-Communist Party Systems*, 298
Tomz, Michael, 91
Tosovsky, Josef, 157
trade, foreign, 87
Transitional Identity Model. *See also* New Regime hypothesis; Old Regime hypothesis
alternative specifications of, 249–252
conditional economic voting hypotheses and, 252–257
empirical support for, 7–8, 10, 246–247, 276–282
future of, 288–295
Incumbency hypothesis and, 165, 178, 270–272
introduction of, 7
limitations of, 201
overview of, 7, 38–39, 42–47
partisan approach to economic voting, 47
Polish and Hungarian elections, and, 166–167
Referendum Model compared to, 30–31, 245–249, 257–259, 272
retrospective voting and, 51
transition countries. *See also* democracies, new elections in, 27–30
parties, categories for in, 41–42
partisan approach to economic voting in, 40–47
wages in, 30
Travkin, Nikolai, 136
Tucker, Joshua A., 91
two-party system, 6
Tworzecki, Hubert, *Learning to Choose*, 296, 298
Tymirński, Stanislaw, 161, 231
Túylkin, Viktor, 220
UD (Democratic Union), 156, 190
umbrella movements, 158, 160, 180, 186, 212
uncertainty
Incumbency hypothesis and, 34–35
as paradigm, 2
post-communist experience and, 300–302
unemployment, as economic indicator, 86
Unified Russia, 290
Union of Freedom (UW), 131, 147–148, 289–290
Union of Polish Communists “Proletariat” (ZKP “P”), 217
Union of Right Forces (SPS), 144, 145, 188, 191–192
United States analyses of, 5
Civil War and economic conditions in, 113, 289
economic voting model and, 63, 282
incumbency, defining in, 153
time-series analysis and, 11, 104
unit of analysis, 88
Unity (Inter-Regional Movement of Unity), 142, 143, 145, 162, 234–236
unreformed vs. reformed Old Regime parties, 69, 220, 229–230
Urban, Joan Barth, *Russia’s Communists at the Crossroads*, 299
UW (Union of Freedom), 131, 147–148, 289–290
VDR (Russia’s Democratic Choice), 138, 140, 144, 188
Verba, Sidney, 109
Visegrad Four, 14
voting. *See also* economic voting; prospective voting; retrospective voting
degree of political sophistication in, 277–278, 281, 286
by economic losers, 3
during economic transition, 276–282
by economic winners, 3
previous, as control variable, 122–125
sociotropic compared to pocketbook, 11, 48–49
VPN (Public Against Violence), 128, 160–161
VR (Russia’s Choice), 136, 137, 162, 188
wage arrears, in Russia, 87
wages, in transition countries, 30
WAK (Catholic Election Committee – Fatherland), 156
Walęsa, Lech, 130, 161, 190
Whitten, Guy D., 52–53, 56, 59, 107, 284
Willette, Jennifer, 107

© Cambridge University Press  www.cambridge.org
Index

winners, economic
   definition of, 22
   motivation of, 277
   New Regime parties and, 279
   voting by, 3
Wittenberg, Jason, 91, 115, 298

Yabloko party, 136, 141, 143, 145, 210–211
Yavlinskii, Grigorii, 136, 210
Yeltsin, Boris
   New Regime parties and, 188
   parliamentary elections and, 138, 139, 141
   as president, 135
   presidential elections and, 132–134, 161
   resignation of, 145
   Russia’s Choice and, 137
   Yukos company, 290

Zhirinovskii, Vladimir, 133, 136
Zhirinovskii Bloc. See Liberal Democratic Party of Russia (LDPR);
   Zhirinovskii, Vladimir
ZKP “P” (Union of Polish Communists “Proletariat”), 217
ZRS (Association of Slovak Workers), 158, 218
Zyuganov, Gennadii, 133, 134, 140, 219, 222