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Foreword

The United States spends considerable efforts trying to prove to itself and the world that it is as child-friendly and child-centered as the next country. But this is a difficult challenge for a country that remains alone in the world in its refusal to sign the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and it remains in the company of many significantly poorer nations in its refusal to guarantee a minimum degree of public support or health benefits for children. Even worse, for those who insist on ranking the United States as a nation devoted to the well-being of children, children comprise the largest group of extremely poor Americans. Worse still, the percentage of the population of children who are poor has grown considerably larger over the past generation, even as the United States has all but eliminated extreme poverty for the elderly.

According to the KIDS COUNT Data Book, published annually by the Annie E. Casey Foundation, there were more than 13 million children living in poverty in the United States in 2003, an increase of more than 500,000 since 2000. More than 4 million children currently live in households where no parent has worked within the past year. The Children’s Defense Fund reports that more than 9 million children, more than 12 percent of all of America’s children, go without any kind of health insurance.

Nonetheless, the United States is able to point with pride to the very large number of legal matters that are litigated on a daily basis in American courts that affect children and their well-being. These cases include a virtual explosion of child custody, visitation, and relocation cases, as well as an ever-growing number of child welfare cases in which parents are charged with inadequately caring for their children, and related foster care review and termination of parental rights and adoption matters. Add all of these matters together, and the United States plainly is the world leader in the extent to which children are the subject of legal proceedings.

But more is owed children than that the significant questions concerning their lives be decided by judges in contested legal proceedings. Even if one were to regard the extraordinarily high number of cases involving children that are contested each day in the courts within the United States as a positive
sign, we should rejoice only if it were true that the investment in the judicial system ensured the level of careful, individualized attention that children require if we are to make thoughtful and intelligent decisions about them.

Regrettably, few jurisdictions in the United States commit sufficient resources to these systems. Instead, too often the children are treated as persons without adults who care very much for or about them. In many cities, legislatures and court officials allow judges routinely to handle as many as fifty cases each day on their dockets. Lawyers assigned to represent children sometimes carry caseloads of more than 300 active cases. And other lawyers performing equally crucial roles in custody and child welfare cases are too often vastly underpaid relative to the other available markets for lawyers, and they are undertrained, undermotivated, or overwhelmed with work to give any given case the level of attention it demands and deserves.

In short, too often in too many quarters in the United States, the justice being meted out in cases involving children is a second-class justice that would be unacceptable to judges, legislators, and voters if it affected them and their families. What can be done about all of this?

In addition to complaining about and recognizing the problem, we need to apply the same principles of fairness, ethics, and justice to matters involving children that we insist be made available to the richest corporations that use the justice system when necessary to advance their interests. An important first step toward eliminating the second-class status of courts that address matters involving children is to pay the same degree of attention to them that is paid to our most important institutions. This means more than acknowledging the ways in which we underfund children's court. It also means insisting upon the same requirements for professional standards that are expected in our most important institutions.

This book is especially important because it strives to clarify and establish basic rules of ethical conduct for children's lawyers and other legal representatives of children, as well as for all of the professionals (including the judges) who handle these cases. Beyond explaining the various roles that different jurisdictions expect of professionals in these cases, this book insists that the standards of representation and professional performance improve, if we are to be true to the call for justice for children and if the justice system affecting children is to live up to the basic rules established elsewhere in the legal profession.

This is an important and worthy goal, and those who care about children would do well to insist on raising the bar for everyone associated with legal matters involving children.

Martin Guggenheim
Professor of Clinical Law
New York University School of Law
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