The Reinforcement Sensitivity Theory of Personality

One of the major neuropsychological models of personality, developed by world-renowned psychologist Professor Jeffrey Gray, is based upon individual differences in reactions to punishing and rewarding stimuli. This biological theory of personality – now widely known as ‘Reinforcement Sensitivity Theory’ (RST) – has had a major influence on motivation, emotion and psychopathology research. In 2000, RST was substantially revised by Jeffrey Gray, together with Neil McNaughton, and this revised theory proposed three principal motivation/emotion systems: the ‘Fight-Flight-Freeze System’ (FFFS), the ‘Behavioural Approach System’ (BAS) and the ‘Behavioural Inhibition System’ (BIS). This is the first book to summarize the Reinforcement Sensitivity Theory of personality and bring together leading researchers in the field. It summarizes all of the pre-2000 RST research findings, explains and elaborates the implications of the 2000 theory for personality psychology, and lays out the future research agenda for RST.
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Preface

Jeffrey Alan Gray was known for his important contributions to many areas of psychology, latterly in his career, schizophrenia, stem-cell transplantation and a full-blown theory of the functions of consciousness. But his theory of anxiety and personality more generally – now known as Reinforcement Sensitivity Theory (RST) – is one of his greatest achievements and secures his place in the history books.

The high regard in which Jeffrey is held by colleagues world-wide was evident in the fact that all the authors I approached to contribute to this book readily agreed. I am grateful to them all for their scholarly chapters. I am especially grateful to William Revelle for agreeing to read all chapters before giving his reflections on the position of RST in the wider field of personality psychology. The contributors have given us much to consider, and in their work we may discern many of the landmarks that will surely characterize RST in the decades to follow.

This book testifies to the important developments that have taken place in Jeffrey’s thinking over the years, as well as the thinking of others inspired by his ground-clearing work. As show-cased in this book, ‘Gray’s theory’ is not a fossilized set of principles, assumptions and contentions; rather, it is a fecund scientific perspective that opens up new research possibilities. We witness this fecundity in the vitality and variety of theories and approaches that characterize RST research today, as well as in the variegated shoots of related reinforcement-based theories of motivation, emotion and personality. And we witness its true scientific credentials in its own refutation of some of the central elements of the original theory.

This book has one aim: to encourage the further development of RST. So in keeping with Jeffrey’s scientific perspective, it is to the future that we must look, with all its challenges, rather than to the past with its seductive certitudes afforded by 20–20 hindsight. As the 2000 revision of Gray’s 1982 The Neuropsychology of Anxiety marks a watershed in RST, this book serves to demarcate ‘old’ (pre-2000) RST from ‘new’ (post-2000) RST and, thereby, help to clarify new avenues of research.
This delineation should be of value to all students of personality, distinguished and novice alike.

I am very grateful to Cambridge University Press for agreeing to publish this work; especially to Sarah Caro, Commissioning Editor, for seeing the merit in the original proposal and for constant encouragement and advice; and then, after Sarah’s departure, Andrew Peart, who saw the project through to its fruition.

PHILIP J. CORR

26 December 2006