The Anglican conflict over homosexuality has drawn worldwide interest and divided the church. However, conflict within Christianity is not new. This book traces the steps by which the crisis emerged, and reveals the deeper debates within the church which underlie both the current controversy and much earlier splits.

William L. Sachs contends that the present debate did not begin with opposition to homosexuality or in advocacy of it. He argues that, like past tensions, it originates in the diverging local contexts in which the faith is practised, and their differing interpretations of authority and communion. In the aftermath of colonialism, activists and reformers have taken on prominent roles for and against the status quo. The crisis reveals a church in search of a new, global consensus about the appropriate forms of belief and mission.

William L. Sachs is Director of the Center for Interfaith Reconciliation at St Stephen’s Episcopal Church, Richmond, Virginia. He is author of *Restoring the Ties that Bind* (with Thomas Holland, 2004), *The Transformation of Anglicanism* (1993), *Of One Body: Renewal Movements in the Church* (with Joseph W. Trigg, 1986) and over 150 articles.
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Although it appeared unprecedented the Anglican struggle over homosexuality in the early twenty-first century revealed dynamics with considerable historical background. Issues of human sexuality and questions of faith, leadership, and the moral life have absorbed the energies of religious people for centuries. They now prove divisive among religious groups in the global North and beyond. Anglican tensions may have cast an international shadow, and threatened the unity of one of the world’s largest Christian bodies, but the circumstances were not lacking precedent. On a smaller scale there had been prior fractures among Anglicans and the shape of the moral life had long been the source of dispute among all Christians.

Unlike earlier conflicts, Anglican debates over homosexuality were intensified by travel and communications technology of unprecedented speed and range. An incessant, global conversation about being Anglican showed no sign of abating. Amid it one could sense that homosexuality was not the underlying issue and that fear for the church’s future was paramount. At conferences or in chat rooms, across an array of websites and by magazines and newspapers, Anglicans voiced frustration and dismay. Many conversations followed the lines of division. Expressions of indignation and alienation could be cited without hint of a path toward resolution.

But an increasing number of conversations reveal promise for the Anglican future. This book has been shaped by such opportunities and I am grateful for them. Looking back on the Lambeth Conference of the world’s Anglican bishops in 2008, Ian Douglas, a member of the design team, observed that “multi-vocal conversations” were launched and were proving resilient. Even in the midst of tension, Douglas sensed a new basis for understanding that he found promising. Although over 200 bishops boycotted the conference because of the American and Canadian presence, three times that number attended and the conference took a positive direction.
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