Faith in Moderation

Islamist Parties in Jordan and Yemen

Does political inclusion produce ideological moderation? Jillian Schwedler argues that examining political behavior alone provides insufficient evidence of moderation because it leaves open the possibility that political actors might act as if they are moderate while harboring radical agendas. Through a comparative study of the Islamic Action Front (IAF) party in Jordan and the Islah party in Yemen, she argues that the IAF has become more moderate through participation in pluralist political processes, while the Islah party has not. The variation is explained in part by internal group organization and decision-making processes, but particularly by the ways in which the IAF has been able to justify its new pluralist practices on Islamic terms while the Islah party has not. Based on nearly four years of field research in Jordan and Yemen, Schwedler contributes both a new theory of ideological moderation and substantial new detail about the internal workings of these two powerful Islamist political parties.

Jillian Schwedler is Assistant Professor of Government and Politics at the University of Maryland and Chair of the Middle East Research and Information Project (MERIP), publishers of *Middle East Report*. She has received awards and fellowships from the National Science Foundation, the Social Science Research Council, and the Fulbright Scholars Program, among others. Dr. Schwedler's publications include three edited volumes: *Toward Civil Society in the Middle East?* (1995), *Islamist Parties in Jordan* (1997), and the award-winning *Understanding the Contemporary Middle East*, with Deborah J. Gerner (2004). Her articles have appeared in *Comparative Politics, Journal of Democracy, SAIS Review of International Affairs, Social Movement Studies*, and *Middle East Policy*, among other journals. She is currently working on a book project on protest and policing in Jordan and a collaborative project on the repression-dissent nexus in the Middle East.

Faith in Moderation

Islamist Parties in Jordan and Yemen

JILLIAN SCHWEDLER

University of Maryland



CAMBRIDGE

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521851138

© Jillian Schwedler 2006

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2006

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Schwedler, Jillian.
Faith in moderation : Islamist parties in Jordan and Yemen / Jillian Schwedler.
p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN-13 978-0-521-85113-8 (hardback)
ISBN-10 0-521-85113-0 (hardback)
I. Political culture – Jordan. 2. Political parties – Jordan. 3. Islam and politics – Jordan.
4. Political culture – Yemen. 5. Political parties – Yemen. 6. Islam and politics –
Yemen. I. Title.
JQ1833.A91.S39 2006
324.2533'082-dc22 2005027921
ISBN-13 978-0-521-85113-8 hardback
ISBN-10 0-521-85113-0 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

> For Mom and Dad, Wish You Were Here

Contents

Li	ist of Figures	page viii
Li	st of Tables	ix
Pr	eface	xi
Ac	knowledgments	XV
Li	st of Abbreviations	xix
No	ote on Transliterations and Translations	xxi
I	Moderation and the Dynamics of Political Change	I
2	Political Liberalization as a Mechanism of Control	34
3	Public Political Space	77
4	Cultural Dimensions of Political Contestation	117
5	Justification and Moderation	149
6	Conclusion: Does Inclusion Lead to Moderation?	192
References		217
In	dex	245

List of Figures

1.1 Liberalization Producing Moderation through Inclusionpage 231.2 Liberalization Producing Multiple Dimensions of Change24

List of Tables

1.1	Islamists and Liberalization in Jordan and Yemen	page 30
2.1	Jordan's Elected National Assemblies	41
2.2	.2 Advisors to King Hussein Concerning Responses to the	
	1989 Riots	49
4.1	Ideational Dimensions of a Cultural Field	126
4.2	Themes in Elections Platforms in Yemen, 1993	138
5.1	Theme of Women's Rights in Elections Platforms in	
	Yemen, 1993	186

Preface

Grappling with the question of the inclusion of Islamists in democratic processes has been personally challenging. As a progressive, I have frequently lent my voice to those who have argued against cultural explanations for why few Muslim societies are democratic. I am committed to encouraging democratization on a global scale, although I question whether positive change can be realized through external intervention. I believe that international standards of human rights should be applied throughout the Middle East and Muslim world (indeed, globally) and that the greatest obstacles to the realization of those norms and practices are the repressive and nondemocratic regimes that prevail in the region. It is a sad truth, as well, that many of these nondemocratic regimes came to power, have remained in power, or have been substantially strengthened by direct support from democratic nations. I am shamed and embarrassed by these ongoing practices.

An honest commitment to democratization in the Middle East and Muslim world requires recognition that Islamists are legitimate political actors with substantial constituencies. They cannot be excluded wholesale from the political arena on either normative or practical grounds. Yet I recognize that Islamist groups do not aspire to the same secular vision of freedom and equality that I embrace. They may, in fact, aspire to banish that vision from the political arena. The tension – sometimes, the open conflict – between these personal commitments is not easily resolved.

Nor, unfortunately, is it easily addressed. Most scholarship on the Middle East is haunted by what might be characterized as the Edward Said–Bernard Lewis divide: striving to understand Middle Eastern cultures and societies on their own terms (Said 1978, 1997) versus viewing Middle

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 0521851130 - Faith in Moderation: Islamist Parties in Jordan and Yemen Jillian Schwedler Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

xii

Preface

Eastern and particularly Islamic culture as partly or wholly responsible for the region's failure to follow global trends of democratization (Lewis 1994, 2001, 2003). The intellectual climate, particularly since September 11, 2001, but also previously, has virtually denied a full hearing to forthright discussion of the tensions between commitments to democratization and secular liberalism. The debates are so polarized that I sometimes find myself defending Islamists alongside apologists whose willingness to overlook the regressive dimensions of many Islamist agendas makes me extremely uncomfortable.

I have contended, along with others, that not all Islamists are radical, and I believe that to be true. I have written that Islamists are unlikely to win the majorities that would enable them to overturn new democratic processes, and I also believe that to be true. But among the hundreds of "moderate" Islamists I have interviewed, I have encountered tremendous anti-Semitism (not to be confused with anti-Zionism - a legitimate political position that should be decoupled from racism). I have close friends who have lost partners and family to acts of political violence perpetrated by extremist Islamists. I am nervous when Islamists ask about my husband, as I wonder whether they will recognize his name as Jewish. I doubt that as a white, red-haired American female, I could conduct my research as easily if certain Islamist groups were successful in implementing their agendas. Nor do I not want my friends in the region to be subjected to conservative and sometimes regressive social programs - even if a majority of the citizenry supports them. But because the political climate is so polarized and the stakes are so high, progressives seldom talk about these tensions, even among ourselves. We are all worse off for that silence.

The (largely) unspoken obstacle to such frank debate is that those of us who study the Middle East recognize that our scholarship may "add evidence" to one position or the other in a public discourse full of caricatures and half-truths. Fearful of contributing to lines of reasoning that obscure complex processes and/or support undesirable policies, we sometimes frame our arguments in ways that ultimately weaken both progressive politics and our intellectual contributions. The problem is not that we hold normative commitments, but that we routinely fail to comment on these and other factors that influence the direction and shape of our scholarship.

I recognize that a great deal is at stake in the deployment of categories, such as moderate and radical, and in the characterization of Islamist participation in democratic political practices. In particular, the question of moderation in the Middle East is charged because it tends to imply that

Preface

xiii

Islamists may uniquely threaten the prospects for democratization. In this regard, encouraging moderation is often shorthand for the project of turning Islamists into democrats, if not liberals. My intention is not to limit the discussion of moderation to Islamists nor to frame the overall theoretical debate around promoting democratization per se. Rather, I hope to pose a more normatively neutral question about how groups move from a relatively closed ideology to one that is more open, tolerant, and pluralist. I take seriously the concern that we can never know what any Islamist – or any person, for that matter – believes or intends. My claims are modest and primarily theoretical, though I believe they are also highly relevant to practical debates about democratization. I hope that my transparency regarding my normative commitments will better inform readers as to my intellectual motivations and that, in turn, readers will be generous in judging the success of this effort on its own terms.

Acknowledgments

Book acknowledgments typically begin with formal thanks to institutions and granting agencies and move to more personal expressions of gratitude to friends (with veiled references to heavy drinking), family, and partner. I must start with the most personal, however, as this project spanned the loss of several lives and the introduction of several new ones. My father died as I entered graduate school and my mother died as I completed my dissertation. My dad was endlessly supportive, though I suspect he worried when his little girl decided to run off to study the Middle East. My mom saw me through most of this project and was overjoyed by the possibilities I faced compared to her own difficult and often unhappy life. They were incredible parents, and my world will never be quite right without them. My best friend from graduate school died tragically days before my first job interview, and the loss paralyzed me for months. A dear friend in Jordan who shared my commitment to progressive politics lost a devastating struggle with cancer. During those black periods, my husband and my truest love kept me alive, though I watched helplessly as he suffered when his mother died a year before my own. For twenty-one years, he has given me his undying love, steadfast support, and countless laughs. For this and more, I adore him endlessly. We started our own family, as many do, with a dog, a beautiful stray pit bull with grateful brown eyes. As I finish this manuscript, two new little people, my twin sons, happily disrupt my work with their squeals of laughter. I hope that they find life as joyous as I have, not withstanding the pain of losing loved ones. These precious lives, lost and found, have made life wonderful: Marvin Schwedler, Diana Schwedler, Janet Sherman, Aida Dabbas, John

CAMBRIDGE

xvi

Acknowledgments

Vantine, Joel Sherman, and my big little guys, Jake and Nick Ronin. And, of course, Ruby.

I owe special thanks to my dearest friends whose wise council, tireless support, and patient reading of various drafts are responsible for anything of value in this book: Paul Amar, Shiva Balaghi, Kathleen Cavanaugh, Janine Clark, Geoff Hartman, Marc Lynch, Pete Moore, Agnieszka Paczynska, Curt Ryan, and Lisa Wedeen. Colleagues and friends supported me at every turn, sharing precious contacts in the field and many cheap and "interesting" bottles of wine: Abla Amawi, Maha Abu Ayyash, Deborah Boardman, Marion Boulby, Laurie Brand, Bassel Burgan, Sheila Carapico, Greg Gause, Deborah "Misty" Gerner, Iris Glossimer, Lisa Hajjar, Kimberly Katz, Sa'eda Kilani, Ellen Lust-Okar, Awni Nabulsi, Scott Nilson, Dick Norton, Megan Perry, Lynne Rienner, Noha Sadek, Katri Saari Seiberg, Rajiv Sethi, Eric Thompson, Chris Toensing, Jeff Togman, Bob Vitalis, James Vreeland, Shelagh Weir, Derek Wildman, and Anna Würth. Dermot O'Brien should also be included, but I want to express special thanks to him for suggesting "Faith in Moderation" as the title of a talk I gave at New York University in the mid-1990s.

My incredible dissertation committee provided inspiration, support, and extraordinarily challenging questions: Timothy Mitchell (Chair), Stathis Kalyvas, Farhad Kazemi, Adam Przeworski, and Elisabeth Wood.

My MERIP family has been a source of inspiration and encouragement for more than a decade. In addition to those mentioned, I am particularly grateful to Joey Beinin, Joe Stork, and Michelle Woodward, who located the cover photo.

New colleagues at the University of Maryland have made my work stronger and broader, and they have become cherished friends: Charles Butterworth, Ken Conca, Christian Davenport, Virginia Haufler, Marc Morjé Howard, Karen Kaufmann, Mark Lichbach, James Riker, Shibley Telhami, and Lois Vietri. Like many scholars, I am indebted to the challenging questions of doctoral students: Cornel Ban, Diana Boros, Laryssa Chomiak, Carter Johnson, Joanne Manrique, and Shana Marshall. In particular, four students provided continual support and careful readings during the final two years of revision: Waseem El-Rayes, Samir Fayyaz, Maren Milligan, and Neha Sahgal. Participants in the DC Area Workshop on Contentious Politics provided critical readings and invaluable suggestions on many parts of this project, as did the participants of the Workshop on Contentious Politics at Columbia University and its tireless organizer, Chuck Tilly.

Acknowledgments

Financial support for research and writing was extremely generous: a Fulbright Dissertation Fellowship, the Fulbright New Century Scholars Program, the Near and Middle East Research and Training Initiative of the Social Science Research Council, the American Institute for Yemeni Studies, and the Council of American Overseas Research Centers.

Innumerable individuals in Jordan and Yemen made my work possible, but I am particularly indebted to those who generously shared their knowledge and tirelessly endured my sometimes pedantic questions. The members and leaders of the Muslim Brotherhood and IAF in Jordan and the Islah party in Yemen were extraordinarily generous in opening their libraries, archives, and homes to me. Mustafa Hamarneh, Hani Hourani, Nasr Taha Mustafa, Muhammad 'Abd al-Malik al-Mutawakkil, Muhammad Qahtan, and Faris Saqqaf were especially generous with their time and endured long conversations about my research. 'Abd al-Rahman Ishaq and his family welcomed me repeatedly into their home, giving me an extended family in Yemen. They have all enriched my life as well as my understanding of their countries.

Several institutions have provided additional support in a variety of forms. In the United States: New York University's Kevorkian Center for Near East Studies and Department of Politics and University of Maryland's Department of Government and Politics. In Jordan: the American Center for Oriental Research, the Jordanian-American Binational Fulbright Commission, the Arab Archives Institute, the Center for Strategic Studies at the University of Jordan, and al-Urdun al-Jadid Research Center. In Yemen: the American Institute for Yemeni Studies, the Yemeni Center for Research and Studies, the Center for Strategic Studies, and the Center for Future Studies.

My editor at Cambridge University Press, Lewis Bateman, was extraordinarily supportive and patient during the preparation of this manuscript. Christine Dunn was a terrific copy editor, and two anonymous reviewers provided detailed and substantive comments that significantly improved the manuscript.

Unconventional institutional support provided sanity through the storm that is graduate school: Stromboli Pizza on St. Marks, the Holiday Cocktail Lounge, *The Daily Show* with Jon Stewart, Mistress Formika at the Pyramid Club, Click and Drag at Mother, and New York City.

List of Abbreviations

AAIA	Aden-Abyan Islamic Army, Yemen
GID	General Intelligence Department (mukhabarat), Jordan
GPC	General Popular Congress party, Yemen
HAMAS	Islamic Resistance Movement, Palestine
IAF	Islamic Action Front party, Jordan
IJM	Islamic Jihad Movement, Yemen
IMF	International Monetary Fund
NCC	National Consultative Council, Jordan
NDF	National Democratic Front, South Yemen
NGO	nongovernmental organization
NSP	National Socialist Party, Jordan
PDRY	People's Democratic Republic of Yemen (South Yemen)
PELP	Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine
PKK	Kurdish Workers Party
PLO	Palestinian Liberation Organization
ROY	Republic of Yemen (united Yemen)
SCCO	Supreme Coordination Council of the Opposition, Yemen
USAID	U.S. Agency for International Development
YAR	Yemen Arab Republic (North Yemen)
YCCSS	Yemeni Center for Cultural and Strategic Studies
YSP	Yemeni Socialist Party

Note on Transliterations and Translations

In an effort to make this work of political ethnography accessible to a non-Arabic-speaking audience, I have adopted a modified transliteration system that represents only the medial 'ayn, 'ghayn, and hamza, except in rendering proper names. Arabic terms appear in italics, often parenthetically following the English use: Council of Deputies (*majlis al-nuwab*). Because Arabic plurals take many forms, I have noted where I give the Arabic term in the singular: Islamic religious opinions (sing. *fatwa*). I have avoided pluralizing Arabic words by adding *s*. Words and names common in the English language take the familiar form (thus, "Amman" and not "'Amman") and when an individual has a preferred spelling of his name in English (thus, "Saad Eddin Ibrahim" and not "Sa'ad al-Din Ibrahim"). I have reviewed my translations and transliterations for accuracy and consistency, but if a careful Arabic reader finds fault with some of my renderings I hope he or she forgives me for erring on the side of accessibility. Unless noted, all translations are my own.

> Faith in Moderation Islamist Parties in Jordan and Yemen

© Cambridge University Press