

Index

- adolescent patients
 - ability to understand their situation 193–194
 - decision-making ability 194, 196–197, 212–213
 - question of providing full information 193–194
 - transplantation for 196–197
- advance directives, for end-of-life issues 91, 131–132
- aggressive therapy, use when benefit is unlikely 221–227
- alternative medicine see unconventional medicine
- Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) 127–129, 187–188
- anencephalic infants, debate over organ donation 174–175, 181–182, 187
- authority and responsibility 253–254
- autonomy
 - and decisional capacity 200
 - for adult patients 17–18
 - paradigms of 123–125
 - respect for 122, 204
- autonomy-preserving principle 204
- Baby Doe regulations 41–43, 162–164, 180–181
- beneficence, and paternalism 200
- bereavement support groups 104–105
- best-interests standard, for decision making 19, 131–132, 206–207
- bioethics 4–6 see also ethics
- BMT (bone marrow transplant) with respiratory failure (case of DC) 223–224
- brain-dead child
 - artificial support and appearance of life 143
 - family’s reluctance to accept diagnosis 138–139, 143, 147
 - legal responsibility of physicians 142–143
 - management of (case report) 135–136
 - management problems for physicians 142–143
 - use of medical resources 142
- brain death 92, 99–101
 - and anencephaly 181–182
 - and organ transplantation 143–144, 145–147, 148–149
 - as a social construct 143–144
 - clinical criteria 148–149
 - debate about use as criterion of death 144–147
 - debates over definition 149
 - descriptive problems and misleading terminology 140–141, 147
 - public confusion about 143–144
 - Uniform Determination of Death Act (1981) 148–149
 - variability in determination 138
- CAPTA (Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act) 41–43
- cardiopulmonary death 92, 100–101
- care teams
 - communication between 221–226
 - communication within 46–47, 53–54
 - conflicts between 232
 - coordination between services 236–237
- case reports, and impersonality 238
- casuistry (case-based moral reasoning) in clinical ethics 119–120, 121–122, 129–130
- child abuse see also Munchausen syndrome by proxy (MSBP)
 - CAPTA (Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act) 41–43
 - statutory requirement to report 82
- children
 - application of best interests standards 19

297 Index

- consideration of opinions in decision making 229–232
 - chromosomal abnormalities, and eligibility for transplant 175, 180–181, 187–188
 - chronic ventilation see long-term ventilation
 - civil law, and decision making 40, 41–43
 - clinical decision making see decision making
 - clinical ethics 2–4 see also ethics
 - and health law 53
 - differences between pediatric and general clinical ethics 2–3
 - relation to nursing ethics 248–250
 - coma, permanent 100–101
 - comfort care versus treatment 162–164
 - communication
 - between caregivers and families 46–47, 53–54
 - coordination between services 236–237
 - communication failure
 - and moral distress 254–255
 - and organizational structure 236–237
 - stress caused by
 - community values, caring for vulnerable members 122, 125–129
 - complementary medicine see unconventional medicine
 - confidentiality, and privacy 81
 - conflict of interest, and conflict of commitment 277
 - consent see informed consent
 - criminal behavior, medicalization of (MSBP) 67–68
 - criminal law, and decision making 40–41
 - culturally sensitive healthcare 18–19, 25–28
 - attitudes and beliefs concerning death 149–150
 - death
 - choice of where child will die (UK) (case of William) 157–160, 161
 - choice of where child will die (USA) 164–165
 - criteria for determining 92, 143–147
 - debates over definition 149
 - definitions of 144–147
 - legal issues require a uniform definition 145–146
 - Uniform Determination of Death Act (1981) 148–149
 - deception and invasion of privacy, permissibility of 70–77, 81–82
 - decision making see also family; parents;
 - paternalism; surrogate decision makingauthority 226–228
 - best-interests standard 19, 131–132, 206–207
 - consideration of child’s perspective 229–232
 - consideration of family well-being 133
 - cost as a factor 132–133
 - importance of systematic approach 49–50
 - legal considerations 40–48, 49–50
 - paternalism by physicians 96
 - patient autonomy (“patient sovereignty”) 96–97
 - reasonable-person standard 206–207
 - shared decision making 97–98
 - standards 131–132, 206–207
 - substituted-judgment standard 131–132, 206–207
 - when family and physician disagree 98–99
 - who makes treatment decisions (case of William) 157–160
- decisional capacity
 - and autonomy 200
 - and paternalism 199–206
- disabilities
 - Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) 127–129, 187–188
 - and transplantation 175, 180–181, 187–188
 - avoiding discrimination against 109–110, 127–129
- distributive justice
- DNR (do not resuscitate) orders 230, 237
- Down syndrome, and eligibility for transplant 175, 180–181, 187–188
- EMTALA (Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act) 42–43
 - physician’s obligations to non-plan patients 264–265, 272–273
- end-of-life care
 - advance directives 91, 131–132
 - criticisms of 164
 - humane care 164
 - legal position of those who withdraw life support 91
 - pain management and discharge planning
 - palliative care
- ERISA (Employee Retirement Income Security Act) 278
- ethical anguish 242

298 Index

- ethical principles
 - application of 16, 19
 - beneficence, non-maleficence, autonomy, and justice 16
 - bioethical casuistry 119–120, 121–122, 129–130
 - bioethical principlism 119–120, 121–122, 129–130
 - institutional impediments 217–220
 - threat from market-driven MCOs 265–266
 - transformational approach to health care 25–28
- ethics see also bioethics; clinical ethics; medical ethics; nursing ethics; organization ethics and health law 53
 - implications of language used 67–68, 237
 - of organizations and structures 217–220
 - process 3–4
 - questions which arise 6
- ethics committees 3–4, 53 see also pediatric ethics
 - committee judgment
 - and case consultation 253
 - communication issues 250–251
 - involvement in organizational ethics 217–220, 251–252
 - lack of involvement of nurses 243–245
 - need for openness and inclusion 250–251
 - need to clarify role and procedures 250–251
 - nurses’ need for more knowledge about 243–245
 - operation of 250–252
- ethics of care 248–250
- experimentation, and protection for patients 186
- extremely premature infant (baby girl M)
 - care of 34–36
 - consultation with ethics committee
 - decisions on initial care 39–40, 43–48, 49–51
 - factors in deciding on aggressive care 37–38
 - legal considerations in decision making 40–48
 - malpractice considerations 43–48
 - quality of life and infant suffering 38–39
 - shifting parameter of viability 52
 - unpredictable results from interventions 52–53
- factitious disorder by proxy (FDP), and MSBP 80–81
- family see also parents; surrogate decision making
 - beliefs and culture (case of baby Ericka) 25–28
 - choice of where child will die (UK) (case of William) 157–160, 161
 - choice of where child will die (USA) 164–165
 - communications with caregivers 46–47, 53–54
 - decisional authority 92–93, 95–96, 98–100, 110–111
 - involvement in pediatric medical interventions 6
 - possible reunification after parental psychiatric treatment 82
 - privacy and decision making (case of William) 157–160, 162–164
 - rights of 3–4
 - support which physicians can give 101–103, 104–105
 - well-being as a consideration in decision making 133
- family counseling 104–105
- fee-for-service health care 257, 278–279
- fetus
 - allocation of organs to 172–173
 - listing for heart transplantation 172–174, 182–183
- food and fluids withdrawal see withdrawal of food and fluids
- futility 85–86, 229–232
 - and quality of life 91
 - and value judgments 108–109
 - as reason for withdrawing medical therapies 108–109
 - physicians’ desire to limit care 233–234, 235
 - values and allocation of resources 127–129
- future-oriented consent, and paternalism 201
- health care see also HMOs (health maintenance organizations); MCOs (managed care organizations)
 - and resource allocation 127–129, 278–281
 - changing patterns of delivery in USA 6–7
 - culturally sensitive 18–19, 25–28
 - delivery systems 257
 - national differences in 278–281
 - those who lack healthcare coverage 272–273
- heart transplantation see also transplantation
 - allocation of organs 172–173
 - and hypoplastic left heart syndrome (HLHS) 185–186
 - chromosomal abnormalities and eligibility 175, 180–181, 187–188
 - comparison with Norwood procedure 174, 178–180
 - for hypoplastic left heart syndrome (case report) 170–171

299 Index

- listing of fetuses 172–174, 182–183
- survival rates 171–172
- herbal therapies, potential for benefit or harm 16–17 see also unconventional medicine
- HLHS see hypoplastic left heart syndrome
- HMOs (health maintenance organizations) 272–273, 279
- hospice programs
- humane care, for those approaching death 164
- hypoplastic left heart syndrome (HLHS) (case report) 170–171
 - allocation of organs for transplantation 172–173
 - and heart transplantation 185–186
 - comparison of options for treatment 171–172
 - financial comparison of treatment options 174, 180
 - functional outcomes of surgical options 178–180
 - heart transplantation option 174, 176, 178–180
 - listing fetus for transplantation 172–174, 182–183
 - Norwood procedure option 174, 178–180
 - shortage of organs for transplant 176, 180–182
- hypothetical consent 72–75
- informed consent
 - and clear communication 221–225, 227–228, 234–235
 - ethical and legal considerations 213–214
 - problems with 214
- innovative treatments
 - and risk 212
 - and use of resources 212
- intensive care (case of baby Ericka) 13–14
 - and family beliefs and culture 14–16, 18–19
 - parents’ rights concerning treatment 18–19
 - physician’s ethical obligations 14–16, 19
 - use of unconventional therapy 14–17
- intensive care unit, priorities for treatment 142
- intestinal transplants see also liver and intestinal transplants
 - history of 190–191
 - procedures 191
 - question of best use of resources 194–195
 - reasons for 191
- invasive therapy, use when benefit is unlikely 221–227
- Kant, Immanuel 146–147, 204
- language used for description, implications of 67–68, 237
- law
 - and decision making 40–48, 49–50
 - relationship with clinical ethics 53
- life-and-death decision making (case of JM) 89–91
 - discussion of withdrawal of life support 92–93, 101–103
 - family decisional authority 92–93, 95–96, 98–100, 110–111
 - importance of effective communication 103
 - possible outcomes and value judgments 100–101
 - religious considerations 99–100, 105–107
 - role of the medical staff 92–93, 95–96, 101–103
 - support which physicians can give to the family 101–103, 104–105
 - when family and physicians disagree 98–99, 103, 105–107
- life support
 - and judgment of futility 108–109
 - and religion 99–100
 - costs and allocation of resources 105–107
 - criteria for withdrawal 92
 - legal position of those who withdraw it 91
 - options for the profoundly damaged patient 92
 - possible outcomes and value judgments 100–101
- liver and intestinal transplants
 - arguments concerning just allocation 207–209
 - case of 16-year-old girl 192–193
 - history of 190–192
 - patient autonomy and justified paternalism 209–210
 - reasons for and procedures 191
 - surrogate decision making 210
- “locked in” syndrome 148–149
- long-term ventilation
 - and community values 122, 125–129
 - avoiding futility 122, 127–129
 - child in a permanent vegetative state (case report) 113
 - concerns about inappropriate usage 114
 - conditions which can benefit from 114
 - respecting autonomy 122, 123–125
- low birth weight see extremely premature infant

300 Index

- malpractice law, and decision making 40, 43–48
- MCOs (managed care organizations) 257, 279
 - challenges to duty of honest disclosure 258–259
 - challenges to physicians’ obligations to patients 258–264
 - incentives to limit patient care 259–262
 - market forces threaten ethical tradition 265–266
 - physicians as patient advocates 262–264
 - threats to informed consent 261–262
- mechanical ventilation, use when benefit is unlikely 221–227
- medical ethics 3 *see also* ethics
- medical input from multiple services 221–226
- medicalization of criminal behavior in MSBP 67–68
- minimally conscious state 131, 148–149
- moral distress
- moral reasoning
 - case-based 119–120, 121–122, 129–130
 - principle-directed 119–120, 121–122, 129–130
 - role of paradigms 121–122, 129–130
- MSBP *see* Munchausen syndrome by proxy (MSBP)
- multi-system organ failure and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (case of AD) 221–223
- Munchausen syndrome by proxy (MSBP)
 - and child abuse 69
 - and the parent–patient–physician relationship 55–57, 81
 - case report 58–64
 - comparison of various discovery procedures 77–78
 - components of 68–69
 - description and incidence 55, 56
 - diagnosis of perpetrator or victim 80–81
 - diagnostic criteria 55, 56
 - difficulty of diagnosis 55–58
 - doctors’ aims 70
 - factitious disorder by proxy (FDP) 80–81
 - medicalization of criminal behavior 67–68
 - motivation of parents to manipulate doctors 68–69
 - multidisciplinary approach to diagnosis 57–58
 - pediatric condition falsification (PCF) 80–81
 - permissibility of secret monitoring and searching 70–77, 81–82
 - physician’s involvement once MSBP suspected 66
 - physician’s role in causing harm 68–69
 - psychiatric treatment for the perpetrator 82
 - question of continuing testing 65–66
 - question of covert video monitoring 64–65
 - question of disclosure of tests to parent 66
 - question of limiting parents’ contact 65
 - question of searching belongings or homes 65
 - reasons to avoid identifying 66
 - responsibility for healthcare costs 66
 - warning signs for physicians 55–57
- national health service 277–281
- non-autonomous patients, best-interests standards 19
- Norwood surgical procedure (for hypoplastic left heart syndrome)
 - comparison with heart transplant 174, 178–180
 - survival rates 171–172
- nursing ethics 255 *see also* ethics
 - affect 241–242
 - effects of proximity 241–242
 - particularity 241–242
 - personal involvement 241–242
 - relation to clinical ethics 248–250
- nursing perspectives on withholding food and fluids (case of Latasha) 239–240
 - affect 241–242
 - confusion over decision making 243–245
 - effects of proximity 241–242
 - family’s portrayal of the decision 243–245
 - lack of input into decision 243–245
 - mutual support among nursing staff 243–245
 - need for more knowledge about Ethics Committee 243–245
 - particularity 241–242
 - personal involvement 241–242
 - psychological issues related to 242–245
 - stress caused by lack of communication
- Omnibus Reconciliation Act (1986) 150–151
- organ allocation *see also* UNOS (United Network for Organ Sharing)
 - and chromosomal abnormalities 175, 180–181, 187–188
 - factors involved in distributive justice 197–199
- organ donation
 - and brain death 138, 143–144, 145–147, 148–149
 - debate over anencephalic infants 174–175, 181–182, 187
 - donor-to-recipient disparity 176, 180–182

301 Index

- ethical and practical questions 150–151
- Omnibus Reconciliation Act (1986) 150–151
- organ transplantation see transplantation and specific organs
- organizational ethics 217–220, 251–252 see also ethics
- organizational structures
 - and communication problems 236–237
 - ethical consideration of 217–220
- pain management
- palliative care
 - and hospice programs
 - components of
- paradigms, role in moral reasoning 121–122, 129–130
- paradigms of autonomy, inappropriateness for children 122, 123–125
- parents see also family; surrogate decision making
 - adequacy of physicians’ explanations 194
 - breakdown of communications with caregivers (case of DC) 223–224
 - choice of comfort care versus treatment 162–164
 - clear communication and informed consent 221–225, 227–228, 232, 234–235
 - decision making power 226–228, 229–232
 - decisions about very premature infants 39–40, 43–48, 49–50
 - listing unborn child for transplantation 173–174
 - manipulation of doctors in MSBP 68–69
 - reluctance to accept brain-death diagnosis 138–139, 143, 147
 - support which physicians can give 101–103, 104–105
- parents’ rights (case of baby Ericka) 13–14
 - concerning treatment 18–19
 - family beliefs and culture 14–16, 18–19
 - legal limits on
 - physician’s ethical obligations 14–16, 19
- paternalism see also decision making
 - and decisional capacity 199–206
 - and patient autonomy (case of 16-year-old girl) 209–210
 - autonomy-preserving principle 204
 - justifications for 200–206
 - Kantian approach 204
- patients
 - assessment of quality of care from MCO 277
 - autonomy 229–232
 - rights 3–4
 - those who lack healthcare coverage 272–273
- pediatric care
 - and family relationships 6
 - moral differences to adult care 28–29
- pediatric condition falsification (PDF), and MSBP 80–81
- pediatric ethics committee judgment (case of Latasha) 239–240 see also ethics committees
 - issues discussed 240–241
 - lack of openness about 243–245
 - nurses’ lack of involvement with 243–245
 - nursing perspective 241–243
- pediatric life-and-death decision-making (case of JM) 89–91
 - discussion of withdrawal of life support 92–93, 101–103
 - family decisional authority 92–93, 95–96, 98–100, 110–111
 - importance of effective communication 103
 - possible outcomes and value judgments 100–101
 - religious considerations 99–100, 105–107
 - role of the medical staff 92–93, 95–96, 101–103
 - support which physicians can give to the family 101–103, 104–105
 - when family and physicians disagree 98–99, 103, 105–107
- permanent coma 100–101
- persistent vegetative state see PVS
- physicians
 - assessment of surrogate’s decisions 207, 210
 - breakdown of communications with family (case of DC) 223–224
 - care of short-bowel patients 195, 196–197
 - challenges of different health delivery systems 257
 - choice of language 238
 - communication with families 46–47, 53–54, 103, 194, 232, 234–235
 - cultural sensitivity in communication 149–150
 - deception by parents in MSBP 55–57
 - decision making power 226–228
 - decisions about very premature infants 39–40, 43–48, 49–51
 - desire to limit futile care 233–234, 235
 - emphasis on mental functions 125–129
 - involvement in rationing 237

302 Index

- physicians (cont.)
 - helping parents come to terms with child's death 141–142
 - legal position when withdrawing life support 91
 - lies to patients 68–69
 - limits to what they can do 107
 - MCOs and duty of honest disclosure 258–259
 - MCOs challenge obligations to patients 258–264
 - MCOs incentives to limit patient care 259–262
 - MCOs threaten informed consent 261–262
 - medical input from multiple services 221–226
 - moral obligation to those who are brain dead 141–142
 - obligations under EMTALA 264–265, 272–273
 - patient advocacy to MCOs 262–264
 - problems in managing a brain dead child 142–143
 - professional integrity challenged by MCOs 265–266, 274
 - role in harming victims of MSBP 68–69
 - role in monitoring and regulating rationing 274–276
 - role in rationing within MCOs 267–273
 - views on continuation of life support in PVS 100–101
 - violation of their trust in parents (MSBP) 68–69, 81
- physicians' ethical obligations (case of baby Ericka) 13–14
 - application of ethical principles 16
 - child's best interests 18–19
 - family beliefs and culture 14–16, 18–19
 - family members' needs 25–28
 - response to parent's demand 14–16
 - transactional and transformational approaches to care 25–28
 - use of unconventional therapy 16–17
- policy making, and understanding of values 119–120
- premature infant see extremely premature infant
- principle-directed moral reasoning 119–120, 121–122, 129–130
- principles, failure to act on 120–122
- privacy
 - and confidentiality 81
 - invasion of 70–77, 81–82
 - versus care 81–82
- PVS (persistent vegetative state) 100–101 see also vegetative state
 - and paradigms of autonomy 123–125
 - different to brain death 144–147, 148–149
 - evaluation on community paradigm 127–129
 - long-term ventilation 113, 114
- quality of life
 - and case-based reasoning 129–130
 - and futility 91
 - attempts to evaluate 109–110
 - avoiding discrimination against disabilities 109–110
- rationing of resources 127–129, 132–133, 278–281 see also resource allocation
 - and quality of life 109–110
 - involvement of physicians 237
 - triage model 127–129
- rationing within MCOs
 - ethical criteria 267–273, 274
 - methods 267–274
 - role of physicians 267–273, 274–276
- reasonable-person standard, for decision making 206–207
- religion
 - and life-and-death decisions 99–100, 105–107
 - and withdrawal of life support 99–100
- research, and protection for patients 186
- resource allocation see also rationing of resources
 - and care 133
 - and costs 132–133
 - criteria for non-wasteful 127–129
- secret monitoring and searching, permissibility of 70–77, 81–82
- shared decision making 97
 - ethical and legal considerations 97–98
- social criteria, and transplant decisions 199
- substituted judgment standard, for decision making 131–132, 206–207
- surrogate decision making 206 see also family; parents
 - assessment of surrogate's decisions 207, 210
 - case of 16-year-old girl 210
 - standards for 206–207
- therapeutic misalliances 9–11
- TPN (total parenteral nutrition) 191
- transformational approach to health care 25–28

303 Index

- transplantation 185–186 see also specific organs
 - adolescent patients 196–197
 - and chromosomal abnormalities 175, 180–181, 187–188
 - and disability 175, 180–181, 187–188
 - and retransplantation 198–199
 - emotional impact of receiving tissue from a dead person 194
 - ethical and practical questions 150–151
 - factors relevant to distributive justice 197–199
 - just allocation (case of 16-year-old girl) 207–209
 - triage approach to 198–199
- treatment
 - aims of (case of William) 157–161
 - versus comfort care 162–164
- triage approach to transplantation 198–199
- triage model of resource rationing 127–129
- trisomy 13, and eligibility for transplant 175, 180–181, 187–188
- trisomy 18, and eligibility for transplant 175, 180–181, 187–188
- trisomy 21, and eligibility for transplant 175, 180–181, 187–188
- Turner’s syndrome, and eligibility for transplant 175, 180–181, 187–188
- UK
 - choice of where to die (case of William) 157–160, 161
 - family privacy and treatment decision making (case of William) 157–160
 - hospice programs
 - unconventional medicine (case of baby Ericka) 13–14
 - child’s best interests 18–19
 - difficulty of evaluation 16–17
 - ethics of non-therapeutic use 24
 - family beliefs and culture 14–16, 18–19
 - in conjunction with conventional medicine 17–18
 - judgments and cultural differences
 - parent’s demand for 14–16
 - physician’s ethical obligations 14–16
- Uniform Determination of Death Act (1981) 148–149
- UNOS (United Network for Organ Sharing) see also organ allocation
 - policy on allocation of organs 172–173, 197–199
 - policy on listing fetuses 172, 182–183
- vegetative state see also PVS (persistent vegetative state)
 - characteristics of 114
 - continuing demand for life-saving therapy 115
 - difficulty of diagnosis 131
 - physicians’ objections to treatment 115–118
 - question of futility of intensive care 115
 - scope of parent or surrogate’s authority 115–118
 - terminology used to describe 131
 - use of “persistent” and “permanent” 114
 - use of resources to provide intensive care 115
- ventilation see life support; long-term ventilation
- ventilator-dependent individuals, public familiarity with 125–127
- withdrawal of food and fluids (case of Latasha) 239–240
 - authority to make the decision 246–248
 - ethical issues 240–241
 - indirect nature of decision 246–248
 - nursing perspective 241–243
 - parents’ concern for suffering 240–241