What does literature know? Does it offer us knowledge of its own or does it only interrupt and question other forms of knowledge? This book seeks to answer and to prolong these questions through the close examination of individual works and the exploration of a broad array of examples. Chapters on Henry James, Kafka, and the form of the villanelle are interspersed with wider-ranging enquiries into forms of irony, indirection and the uses of fiction, with examples ranging from Auden to Proust and Rilke, and from Calvino to Jean Rhys and Yeats. Literature is a form of pretence. But every pretence could tilt us into the real, and many of them do. There is no safe place for the reader: no literalist’s haven where fact is always fact; and no paradise of metaphor, where our poems, plays and novels have no truck at all with the harsh and shifting world.
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The Empson Lectures, named after the great scholar and literary critic Sir William Empson (1906–84), have been established by the University of Cambridge as a series designed to address topics of broad literary and cultural interest. Sponsored jointly by the Faculty of English and Cambridge University Press, the series provides a unique forum for distinguished writers and scholars of international reputation to explore wide-ranging literary-cultural themes in an accessible manner.
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teachers of thought and courage and care
'That is what comes of the taste for generalisation. You have only to hear nothing for a few days, in your hole, nothing but the sounds of things, and you begin to fancy yourself the last of human kind.'

Samuel Beckett, *Malone Dies*

'It does not even satisfy the understanding to stop living in order to understand.'

William Empson, *Seven Types of Ambiguity*
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This book began life as the William Empson Lectures, given in Cambridge in October and November 2003, and I am deeply grateful to the University of Cambridge English Faculty and Cambridge University Press for the honour of their invitation. The occasion was a particular pleasure because I have always associated Empson, and my reading of *Seven Types of Ambiguity*, with my earliest sense of what criticism could really do – how much it could do, and how exciting it could be. Not that it’s exciting all the time, or that the rest of us are Empson.
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Patterson and Linda Peters. And then there are traces in this book of more conversations than I can count. I should like to mention, as emblems of so many others, my talks long and short with Rita Copeland, Stanley Corngold, Jeff Dolven, Hal Foster, Claudia Johnson, Mark Johnson, Jeff Nunokawa, Philip Pettit, Jonathan Steinberg, Susan Stewart, David Wallace, Gillian White and C. K. Williams.

I have added to the text here and there, filling out discussions and examples, and I have tried to get rid of some of the wilder inconsistencies. I have modified the conversational tone where it wouldn’t translate on to the page, and dropped the local jokes where they wouldn’t travel beyond the Fens. But I have tried to maintain the pace and style of the lectures, their sense of ongoing speculation and often surprised discovery, their conjuring of questions in rather restless dialogue with further questions.

Last but far from least, I should like to thank Sarah Stanton for all her thoughtful help in putting this book together, and Libby Willis for her delicate copy-editing and the inspired example of an alternative meaning on p. 110.
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