

Cambridge University Press

0521844061 - The Frankfurt Auschwitz Trial, 1963-1965: Genocide, History, and the Limits of the Law

Devin O. Pendas

Index

[More information](#)

Index

- Abendpost* (Frankfurt), 256, 265, 266, 267
 accomplice: definition of in American law, 55; definition of in German law, 43, 55, 62; sentencing of in American law, 56; sentencing of in German law, 43, 62. *See also Täterschaft*
 Adenauer, Konrad, 16
Allgemeine Wochenzeitung der Juden in Deutschland (AWJD), 264; coverage of Auschwitz Trial in, 267–69; Giordano commentaries in, 268–69
 Allied Control Council Law No. 10 (1945), 9
 Ambrose, Otto, 153
 Anti-Semitism: of defendants, 206, 243–44; and Hitler, 146, 160, 199; as legally sanctioned form of “reprisal,” 195; as motivation for genocide, 78, 111, 199, 201, 206, 243–44, 266, 275, 293; nineteenth-century manifestations of, 146; and opposition to Auschwitz Trial, 185–86, 225, 280
 Arendt, Hannah, 54, 79, 263, 303
 Arnsberg Trial (1958), 19
 Aschenauer, Rudolf, 153–54
Augsburger Allgemeine, 155
 Auschwitz: analysis of by Institute for Contemporary History, 142–46, 233, 293; anti-Semitism as motive for, 78, 110, 201; benefits of service at, 206; brutality of, 110, 112–13, 207, 235; *Bunkerbuch* of, 30; bureaucratic structure of, 112–13, 115–17, 202, 233, 238, 273; construction of, 110; daily management of, 98–99, 157–58, 238; death toll at, 195, 255; deportation to, 112, 214, 217; executions at, 46, 99, 112–13, 114, 117–18, 119, 120–21, 134, 135, 138, 158, 163, 164–65, 202–203, 233, 235, 237–38, 240–42; as extermination camp, 45, 107, 110–11, 112–13, 114, 119, 156, 197, 201, 207, 215, 233, 245, 248; gas chambers in, 111, 112–13, 115, 135, 138, 156, 163, 242, 274; harsh living conditions in, 156, 157, 181, 201; history of, in Auschwitz Trial, 106–107, 110–13, 201, 209–11; history of, in court’s written verdict, 233–34, 245, 247; ineffability of magnitude of, 232, 269; inmate functionaries (*Funktionshäftlinge*) in, 101, 117, 162, 217, 239, 262; interrogation at, 26, 32–33, 44, 46, 99, 114; medical experiments at, 99–100, 114, 166, 235; medical service in, 99–100, 114, 119, 120–21, 166, 216, 244, 247; memorialization of, 185, 252; mortality rate at, 111, 157–58; oversight of, 98; Polish commemoration of, 185; registration of deaths at, 157–58; regulations of inmate treatment in, 207; role of Gestapo in, 26, 99, 115, 202, 233, 238; role of IG Farben in, 141, 147, 151–53, 277, 281; role of Kapos in, 32, 100, 238; role in Nazi occupation of Poland, 110; role of SS in, 108, 145–46, 157, 158–59, 205–206, 209, 274; selection of inmates at, 114, 115, 116, 120–21, 160, 165, 214–15, 217, 235, 236, 237, 242, 247; slave labor at, 152–53, 201, 211, 233, 248; survivors’ testimony regarding, 156–59; systematic nature of, 78, 112–13, 157–58, 197, 202, 212–13, 273, 293

Auschwitz (*cont.*)

torture at, 26, 32–33, 44, 99, 114, 181, 235, 246; visit to by Auschwitz court, 179–82; visit to by Düx, 51, 175

Auschwitz Museum, 30, 39, 41, 177, 179, 187, 203, 217

“*Auschwitz: Pictures and Documents*” exhibition (Frankfurt, 1964), 182–87, 223

Auschwitz Trial, Frankfurt (1963–65): actors in, 81–103; and anti-Semitism, 186, 225, 280; appraisal of by CIC, 289–90; attacks on legitimacy of, 189, 212, 217–22, 224–25, 231, 280–82; Bauer’s role in initiating, 52; as challenge to GDR, 73, 276–77; charges of procedural irregularities in, 222–25; charges of witness tampering in, 189, 190–91, 200, 232, 280; circumstantial evidence in, 236–37; civil plaintiffs (*Nebenkläger*) in, 91–92, 124–25, 208; composition of court in, 82–83; court visit to Auschwitz during, 142, 168–82; criticism of by Kaul, 288; criticism of by nationalists, 278–81; deemphasis of Jewish identity of victims in, 266–68; distinction between accomplice and perpetrator in, 119–21, 133, 139, 158–59, 194, 204, 212–16, 234, 240–44, 245, 246, 291, 297–98; as emblematic of its era, 21, 119–21, 133, 139, 158–59, 194, 204, 212–16, 234, 240–44, 245, 246, 291, 297–98; evaluation of evidence in verdict, 235–39, 244; focus on individual acts in, 112, 192–93, 197, 216, 228, 230, 233–34, 244–45, 282, 291, 292–93, 294, 300, 301; historical distortion of Auschwitz in, 294–96, 298–300, 301; historical testimony in, 102, 141, 142–54, 196, 227–28, 233; initial interrogation of defendants (*Vernehmungen zur Person*) in, 130–39; judges’ role in, 84–86, 87; juridical structuring of, 21–22, 55, 81, 102, 104–105, 187, 193, 290–91, 297; jury in, 87–88; justification of, 269–75; literary response to, 269; as means to master the past, 106, 142, 144, 194, 195–96, 248, 251–52, 299–300; as means to prevent recurrence of fascism, 283–84; as means to restore rule of law, 196, 271–72, 273; opening days of, 122–39; order to convene (*Eröffnungsbeschluss*) in, 105, 119–21, 134, 194; pedagogical function of, 182, 196, 225, 249–52, 253, 271–75, 283–84;

Plädoyers (closing arguments) in, 192–226; political nature of, 2, 5, 123, 129, 130, 182, 187, 225, 239, 275, 285–86; prelude to, 24–52, 105; as productive of sense of German victimhood, 287; as public event, 251; public ignorance of, 253, 255–56; public reaction to, 2, 21, 249–58; public resistance to, 253–57, 284; rejection of defense challenges to court jurisdiction in, 228–29; review of indictment in, 118, 119–20; role of civil counsel in, 123–30, 193; role of Cold War in, 25, 28, 40–41, 42, 82, 123–25, 128, 129, 142, 168–69, 170, 276–78, 293; role of GDR in, 92, 123–25, 129, 147, 170–71, 188, 276–78; role of Wulkan’s documents in, 46; sensationalistic portrayal of, 251; sentences in, 232, 235, 246–47, 289–90; significance of to contemporaries, 250–58; social failure of, 303–305; subsequent appraisals of, 288–91; survivors’ attitudes to, 40, 84; uniqueness of, 1, 123; venue change of (1964), 261, 285; verdict in (oral), 227–32; verdict in (written), 232–48

Auschwitz Trial, Warsaw (1947), 155

autonomy: German legal theory of, 63–64; Kantian theory of, 63; “strategic,” 80; subordination of as criterion for accompliceship, 63–64, 69; “tactical,” 80–81; and theory of guilt, 76–77

Baer, Richard, 48–50, 98

Bandera, Olek, 190, 239

Bandera, Steffan, 190

Bandera, Wassil, 190, 239

Barbie Trial, 53

Barcz, Wojciech, 189

Baretzki, Stefan, 99; conviction of, 235; representation by Gerhard, 212, 224; SS service of, 131; testimony against by Corrin, 165; testimony against by Wolken, 164

Bartel, Erwin, 217

Bartoszewicz, Henryk, 31, 34

Bartsch, Helmut, 149, 160

Bauer, Fritz, 24, 35, 81; assumption of Auschwitz investigation, 46; on attitudes toward war crimes trials, 15; and court visit to Auschwitz, 169, 170; criticism of concept of guilt, 73; criticism of individual trials of Nazi defendants, 47, 144, 197, 245; historical appraisals of, 5, 51–52;

Index

329

- importance for Auschwitz Trial, 51–52; on importance of natural law, 272; irritation with Laternser, 94; on pedagogical role of Nazi trials, 52, 112, 142, 143–44, 147, 182, 225, 249, 288; preference for large-scale trial, 50; role in “Auschwitz; Pictures and Documents” controversy, 183, 184, 186–87, 223; on social construction of individual conscience, 221; support for SPD, 42
- Baum, Bruno, 124
- Baumann, Jürgen, 60, 69–70, 71, 271, 272, 273
- Bech, Dr., 31
- Bednarek, Emil, 99, 230; confrontation with Preston, 162; conviction of, 235; denial of participation in crimes, 136; indictment of, 120, 136; representation by Stolting II, 183; as sole Kapo defendant, 100; support for by Pozimskis, 190–91
- Beer Hall Putsch (1923), 134, 137
- Begegnung mit Polen* (Düsseldorf), 276
- Bergen-Belsen, 194
- Berger, Helga, 166
- Berner, Ida, 166
- Berner, Mauritius, 165–66
- Berner, Nora, 166
- Berner, Susi, 166
- Best, Werner, 13, 275
- Bild-Zeitung*, 264, 265–66; conservative political orientation of, 265, 276
- Binding, Karl, 75
- Birn, Ruth Bettina, 295
- Bischoff, Heinrich, 1, 98, 131, 134, 263
- Bismark, Otto von (great-grandson of German Prime Minister), 48
- Blackstone, William, 54
- Bloxham, Donald, 10
- Böck, Richard, 112
- “Boger Swing” (*Boger Schaukel*), 26, 33, 246, 248
- Boger, Wilhelm, 39, 99, 184, 260; arrest of, 32, 33; brutality of, 163, 240, 246, 247, 248; conviction of, 235, 240–41, 247; indictment of, 117–18; initial accusations of, 24, 26; interrogation of, 32–33; investigation of, 26, 27–32, 35, 37, 40, 45, 89; legal strategy of, 42–45; Nazi membership of, 134; press demonization of, 261, 263; public awareness of, 255; refusal to respond during *Vernehmungen zur Sache*, 134; remorselessness of, 207; representation by Aschenauer, 153; representation by Schallock, 150; sentencing of, 246, 247; SS service of, 134; testimony against by Kral, 239; testimony against by Kurz, 117; testimony against by Olszówka, 164, 238; testimony against by Piwko, 163
- Bonhoeffer, Dietrich, 256
- Bonhoeffer, Emmi, 256
- Bonn: as capital of FRG, 42, 124, 177, 277, 292; university, 102
- Boratynski, Stefan, 164
- Bormann, Martin, 215
- Brandt, Willi, 25, 42
- Braunbuch* (GDR), 278
- Breitwieser, Arthur, 99, 171, 181, 194, 235
- Brenner, Otto, 186, 187
- Breslau (Wrocław, Poland), 24, 46
- Broad, Perry, 99; conviction of, 235, 241–42; criticism by CIC of prosecution against, 290; disavowal of responsibility for Auschwitz service, 132, 135; duties at Auschwitz, 241; Kaul as counsel against, 130; in Ormond’s closing argument, 205; prisoner selection by, 217; representation by Steinacker, 94, 212, 217
- Broszat, Martin, 102, 148, 155, 211
- Brundert, Willi, 183–87
- Buchenwald, 19, 269
- Bucher, Ewald, 254
- Buchheim, Hans, 102, 143, 146
- Bundesgerichtshof* (West German High Court of Appeals): application of subjective theories of *Täterschaft*, 64–71, 240; definition of “blood lust,” 57; rejection of legal status of Nazi regulations, 220; Staschynskij ruling by, 70–71, 190, 204
- Bunkerbuch*, Auschwitz, 30
- Buruma, Ian, 17, 252
- Bütefisch, Heinrich, 153
- Capesius, Viktor, 99; conviction of, 235; criticism of sentence by CIC, 290; description of by Lingsen, 167; extortion attempts against by Rögner, 37; indictment of, 114, 120; Kaul as counsel against, 130; in Ormond’s closing argument, 205; remorselessness of, 207; representation by Laternser, 94; testimony against by Berner, 165–66

Cambridge University Press

0521844061 - The Frankfurt Auschwitz Trial, 1963-1965: Genocide, History, and the Limits of the Law

Devin O. Pendas

Index

[More information](#)

330

Index

- Căsar, Joachim, 159
- Central Office for the Investigation of National Socialist Crimes (Ludwigsburg), 15, 20, 21, 28, 35, 36, 42, 45, 47, 57, 102, 195
- Certeau, Michel de, 80–81, 102
- Christ und Welt*, 285
- Churchill, Winston, 8
- Clausen, Wilhelm, 115
- Clay, Lucius D., 10
- Cold War: as context for Auschwitz Trial, 2, 23, 25, 27, 28, 123–24, 128, 182–91; as impediment to prosecution, 40–42; manipulation of by defense counsel, 142, 182, 187, 188–89, 293; role in “Auschwitz: Pictures and Documents” exhibition, 183–86
- Comité International des Camps, Brussels (CIC), 41, 126, 185, 289–90
- concurrency, *See* guilt
- Corrin, Charles, 165
- Cover, Robert, 72
- crimes against humanity: annulment in the *Grundgesetz*, 14; application in Nazi trials, 11–12; critique of notion by German nationalists, 279; definition of, 9–10; disavowal by German courts, 12–13, 53
- Dachau, 92, 157, 243
- Dassendorf, 48
- defendants, Auschwitz Trial, 99; acquittal for lack of evidence, 236; alleged aid to camp inmates, 205, 214–15, 225; anti-Semitism of, 206; assertion of individual guilt of in verdict, 230, 234–35; benefits of Auschwitz service, 206; categories of, 98–100, 234; characterization as “good Germans,” 247, 262, 263; cooperation among, 161; hostility to survivors by, 163; Nazi membership of, 133–34, 197, 205; press depictions of, 260, 261, 262–64, 281; remorselessness of, 207; representation as accomplices, 138–39, 212–14, 247; representation as perpetrators, 113, 158, 196–97, 204, 206–208, 209; representation as victims, 212, 221, 224, 292; sense of duty as motivation for, 283; SS service of, 131–33, 197, 205–206, 230; trial’s consequences for, 96, 232
- defense counsel, Auschwitz Trial: argument of duress by higher orders (*Befehlsnotstand*), 33, 50, 137, 157, 193, 198–99, 203, 209, 213, 274; argument of inability to issue orders, 135; argument of insufficient evidence of personal culpability, 216; argument of legality of Nazi law, 220, 225, 228–29, 231, 300; argument of limited agency, 212–16, 242, 247, 300; argument of nonjurisdiction of German courts, 218–19, 228, 229, 231; argument of perceived legality of orders, 137, 139, 193, 220, 221–22; argument of personal disapproval of orders, 43, 44, 137, 139; argument of service at Auschwitz as involuntary, 132–33, 139, 205–206, 247; argument of “surpassed causation,” 213, 242, 300; attacks on legitimacy of trial, 142, 189, 212, 231; attempts to reclaim moral self-worth of defendants, 97; attribution of responsibility for genocide to Nazi leadership, 215, 224; challenges to eyewitness credibility, 38, 142, 163–64, 193, 216–17, 231–32, 244, 280, 293; challenges to role of outside parties, 222; charges of press bias, 223; charges of procedural irregularity, 222–26; charges of prosecutorial misconduct, 223; charges of witness tampering, 40, 190–91, 200, 223–24, 232, 280; closing arguments, 211–26; complaints of excessive publicity, 222, 223; cross-examination of Kuczynski, 153–54; cynicism of, 225; distinction of ends from means, 44–45; Latenser as “star,” 94; objections to “Auschwitz: Pictures and Documents” exhibition, 183, 223; objections to general allegations, 117; objections to prosecution theory of “concurrency,” 198, 213, 215, 244; objections to testimony of Kuczynski, 148, 150, 154; obligations to clients, 95–96; personnel, 93–95; political tactics, 94, 142, 183, 188–91, 193, 220, 293; representation of survivors as vengeful, 216; role of Baer’s absence for, 50; rudeness of, 162; use of animosity amongst survivors, 38, 190; use of military law by, 221–22
- democratization, 6; and denazification, 10, 16–17
- denazification, 10, 19, 33, 84, 265
- deterrence, as goal of criminal sanctions, 73, 224
- Deutsche National-Zeitung und Soldaten-Zeitung*, 267, 276, 278–79

Cambridge University Press

0521844061 - The Frankfurt Auschwitz Trial, 1963-1965: Genocide, History, and the Limits of the Law

Devin O. Pendas

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

331

- Deutsches Auschwitz-Komitee*, *See* German Auschwitz Committee
- Dietrich, Alfred, 184
- DIVO-Institut*, 253
- Dombrowsky, Margarete, 129
- Düx, Heinz, 81, 175; on Bauer's importance, 51; as investigating judge, 50–51; visit to Auschwitz, 50–51, 175
- Dylewski, Klaus, 99; conviction of, 235; criticism by CIC of prosecution against, 290; representation by Steinacker, 94, 212; testimony against by Głowacki, 238; testimony against by Rögner, 30
- Eggert, Rainer, 191
- Eichmann, Adolf: role of in Final Solution, 233; trial of, 21, 52, 53, 93, 251, 253, 254, 286
- Eisele, Hanns, 19
- Eisenhändler, Alois, 189
- Enzensberger, Hans Magnus, 250
- Erhard, Benno, 217, 219, 224
- Erhard, Ludwig, 266
- Fertig, Hans, 218–19, 221
- Final Solution. *See* genocide; Holocaust
- Finkielkraut, Alain, 54
- Fischer-Schweder, Bernhard, 19
- Foreign Ministry, West German: negotiations with court on Auschwitz visit, 173–76; opposition to Auschwitz visit, 169–70
- Forester, Hans, 85
- Foucault, Michel, 22
- Frank, Otto. *See* Kaul, Friedrich Karl
- Frank, Reinhard, 74–76
- Frank, Willi, 99; claim of disapproval of activities at Auschwitz, 137; conviction of, 235; disavowal of responsibility for Auschwitz service, 132; Freikorps membership of, 134; Kaul as counsel against, 130; Nazi membership of, 134; representation by Laternser, 94; sentencing of, 290
- Fränkel, Wolfgang, 18
- Frankfurt Bund für Volksbildung*. *See* “Auschwitz: Pictures and Documents” exhibition
- Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, 252; coverage of Mulka interrogation, 259, 268; coverage of Wolcken testimony, 261; emphasis on rule of law in trial coverage by, 284; on Kuczynski testimony, 155; Naumann's reportage for, 4, 259; political orientation of, 276
- Frankfurter Neue Presse*: on change of trial venue, 261; on didactic purpose of trial, 283, 284; on Kuczynski testimony, 155
- Frankfurter Rundschau*, 160, 252; attempts to interview Auschwitz Trial jurors, 88; coverage of “Auschwitz: Pictures and Documents” controversy, 184–85, 186, 187; coverage of Mulka interrogation, 268; coverage of Wörl and Kaduk confrontation, 260; investigation of Wiesbaden Reparations Office, 46; left-liberal political orientation, 276
- Frei, Norbert, 17
- Freie Presse*, 291
- Frey, Gerhard, 94
- Fritz Bauer Institute, 5, 200
- Fulbrook, Mary, 17
- Gabis, Josef, 217
- genocide: bureaucratic nature of, 2, 106, 110, 111–12, 212, 215, 298; charges of in Auschwitz Trial, 194, 199, 206, 209; as “crime against humanity,” 10; as economic policy, 211; as historical problem, 199; inadequacy of German criminal law regarding, 2, 53–54, 55, 78–79, 103, 120, 244–45, 246, 286, 298; as product of individual brutality, 112–13, 121; secondary emphasis on in Auschwitz verdict, 245–48, 291–92
- Gerhard, Eugen, 212, 224
- German Academy of Sciences (East Berlin), 102
- German Auschwitz Committee (*Deutsches Auschwitz-Komitee*), 126. *See also* Wörl, Ludwig
- German Democratic Republic (GDR): adverse effects on by Kuczynski testimony, 155; and anti-fascism, 18; attacks on FRG by, 147, 276–77, 292; minor role in Auschwitz visit negotiations, 170; press coverage of Auschwitz Trial, 155, 276–78; propagandistic use of Nazi trials by, 123, 147, 276–78, 292; request for joint prosecutorial commission, 209; role in Auschwitz Trial, 92, 123–24, 129, 191, 209; witnesses from in Auschwitz Trial, 124, 168, 188, 189, 191

Cambridge University Press

0521844061 - The Frankfurt Auschwitz Trial, 1963-1965: Genocide, History, and the Limits of the Law

Devin O. Pendas

Index

[More information](#)

332

Index

- Germany, Federal Republic of (FRG):
 democratization in, 7, 16–17; GDR claims of complicity with fascism, 124, 147, 152, 153, 276–77, 288, 292; government negotiations regarding court visit to Auschwitz, 169–70, 173–79; opposition to extending statute of limitations for Nazi crimes, 255; relationship with GDR, 17–18, 25, 123–24, 170–71; remembrance of Holocaust in, 252; troubled relationship to Nazi past, 7, 17, 21, 194, 196, 248, 250–52, 256–58, 265–66, 269, 278–79, 285
- Germany, Nazi: anti-Semitism in, 146–47, 199, 201, 267; capitalist nature of, 147, 152, 210–11; close ties to IG Farben, 152; comparison of to communist regimes by defense, 224; expansionist nature of, 210; extermination of Jews by, 110–13, 119, 194–95, 199, 201, 210, 212, 214, 215, 224, 245–48; imputed validity of legal system of, 220, 222, 225; legal continuity with prewar Germany, 109, 228–29, 270; occupation of Poland by, 110, 148, 233, 293; suppression of legal autonomy under, 229–30; as terrorist regime, 292; totalitarian nature of, 212, 221, 224; use of political violence in, 108, 212; use of slave labor by, 109, 149, 152–53, 210–11, 215
- Gerstenmeier, Eugen, 186
- Gewerkschaft IG-Metal* (West German steelworkers' union), 187
- Giordano, Ralph, 268–69
- Globke, Hans, 18
- Głowacki, Czesław, 239
- Gnielka, Thomas, 46
- Goebbels, Joseph, 215
- Goldhagen, Daniel, 294
- Göring, Hermann, 11, 48, 109, 111, 112, 199, 215, 240
- Gotland, Simon, 162, 168
- Grabner, Maximilian, 33, 259
- Großmann, Hanns: closing argument by, 194–97, 198–200, 214; confrontation with Latenser, 172; discussions with Kaul, 126; frustration with Langbein, 40; limited activity in Auschwitz Trial, 90; support for Auschwitz visit, 171, 175; support of Kuczynski's right to testify, 150
- Grundgesetz* ("Basic Law") of 1949: as foundation of FRG sovereignty, 218; passage of, 7; treatment of genocide in, 11, 14
- guilt: Bauer's criticism of notion of, 73; and bureaucratic organization, 136, 212; circumstances as component of, 75–76; collective, 196, 197, 251, 268, 280, 283–84; "concurrency" theory of, 197, 209, 215, 244, 297; and foresight, 77; Frank's "reproachability" theory of, 74–76, 77, 79; as fundamental concept of criminal law, 71, 74; German juristic treatment of, 56; as hermeneutic problem, 72; individual, as focus of Auschwitz Trial, 228, 230, 234, 270, 292–93, 298; and individual autonomy, 76, 79, 117, 120, 193, 212–15, 285, 293; intention as component of, 75, 270; as rationale for punishment, 74; repression of, 257, 268; under German military law, 119
- Hachmann, Horst, 260
- Hallstein Doctrine, 18, 25, 169
- Hamburger Abendblatt*, 130
- Hantl, Emil, 99; conviction of, 235; indictment of, 121; press coverage of, 260; SS service of, 131
- Hayes, Peter, 152
- Heinemann, Hugo, 75
- Herbert, s.n. (Auschwitz prisoner), 181
- Herbert, Ulrich, 7, 161, 275
- Herf, Jeffrey, 16
- Heydrich, Reinhard, 251; identification by court as "main perpetrator," 70, 111, 199, 240; role in Final Solution, 111, 112
- Heymann, Stefan, 124
- Himmler, Heinrich, 206, 229, 251, 259; control over Auschwitz, 109; identification by court as "main perpetrator," 70, 111, 199, 240; organization of SS by, 107; role in Final Solution, 111, 112, 215, 233
- Hinrichsen, Kurt, 102
- Hirsch, Rudolf, 126
- Hitler, Adolf, 254; anti-Semitism of, 146, 160; "Beer Hall Putsch" by, 134, 137; central role in Final Solution, 70, 111, 147, 199, 206, 215, 229, 233; as embodiment of state power, 220, 221, 229–30; emphasis on role of by Auschwitz prosecutors, 111, 147; identification by court as "main perpetrator," 240, 293; threat to German courts by, 229–30

Index

333

- Höcker, Karl, 99; claim of limited authority, 135; conviction of, 235; criticism of sentence by CIC, 290; defense on grounds of legal orders, 137; indictment of, 114, 120; representation by Stolting II, 183
- Hofmann, Franz, 99; conviction of, 235, 242, 243–44; denial of participation in crimes at Auschwitz, 136; duties at Auschwitz, 244; indictment of, 115, 120; Nazi membership of, 134, 243; representation by Staiger, 150; SS service of, 131, 243; testimony against Mulka, 116
- Hofmeyer, Hans, 272; acceptance of Auschwitz visit, 175–77; acceptance of challenges to witness reliability, 230, 237; admission of Kuczynski's testimony, 149; announcement of verdict, 227–32; assertion of court's jurisdiction over Nazi offenses, 228–29, 270; character of, 85–86; concern for legal procedure, 86, 123, 288, 297; on consequences of trial for defendants, 232; consideration of Kaul's application to serve as civil counsel, 125–28; consideration of Kuczynski's testimony, 147–49; control of court proceedings by, 251; correspondence with Lingens regarding Capesius, 167; defense of integrity of Nazi courts, 229–30, 270; defense of sentences imposed, 232; early career of, 84–85; exasperation with defendants' denial of culpability, 138; historical awareness of, 299; interrogation of eyewitnesses, 164–65, 168; interrogation of Mulka, 266–67; interrogation of SS witnesses, 160; negotiations with Poles for Auschwitz visit, 177–79; opposition to Auschwitz visit, 170, 172–74; praise for by CIC, 289; press coverage of, 260, 261–62, 266–67; reaction to confrontation between Wörl and Kaduk, 260; rejection of Kuczynski's testimony as biased, 154; support for by Kogon, 270
- Hoggan, David, 279
- Holocaust: acknowledgment of by trial participants, 161; criminal motives for, 229; death toll of, 280; distortion of historical complexity of by press coverage, 286; diversity of motives for, 294–96; economic motives for, 141, 152, 211; and German criminal law, 2, 53, 54, 55, 78, 103, 111, 244–45, 298, 300; historical survey of in Nazi trials, 144; ideological motives for, 294; illegality of, 229, 230; ineffability of, 163; legal interpretation of, 229, 244–45; memorialization of, 185; as Nazi policy, 230; origins of term, 250; role of anti-Semitism in, 78, 199, 201, 206, 275, 295; social causes of, 79; systematic nature of, 56, 78, 111–12, 298; trivialization of by nationalist press, 280
- Holocaust* (American television miniseries), 21, 250, 251
- Holuj, Tadeusz, 37, 41
- homicide: in American law, 54–55; in German law, 22, 43, 54, 55. *See also* *Mord*; *Totschlag*.
- Höß, Rudolf, 50, 251; close relationship with Mulka, 119; on daily management of Auschwitz, 99; duties at Auschwitz, 116, 236; estimate of Auschwitz death toll, 195; Polish trial of, 169; role in Final Solution, 233; use of memoirs by in Auschwitz verdict, 233
- Hotz, Walter, 84, 179, 180, 182
- Hummerich, Werner, 84, 151
- Hunsche, Otto, 21
- Hykes, Heinrich, 116
- IG Farben, 251; cooperation with Nazi regime, 152; Nuremberg prosecution of, 153, 154; relationship to SS, 152–53; report by Kuczynski concerning, 151–53; role in Auschwitz, 141, 147, 151–53, 277, 281; role in West German state, 153; as target of GDR attacks, 124, 141, 151–53, 277, 281, 293; use of slave labor by, 149, 152–53, 155
- indictment, Auschwitz Trial, 51, 89, 90; categories of defendants in, 98–100; categories of victims in, 107; charges against individual defendants in, 113–19; general charges in, 116–17, 194; historical character of, 106–107; history of Auschwitz in, 110–13; history of concentration camps in, 108–10; history of SS in, 107–108; “intentional” character of, 111–12, 201; specific charges in, 105, 114–16, 117–18; structure of, 106; treatment of defendants' motivations in, 113, 118–19, 194
- Institute for Applied Social Science (*Institut für angewandte Sozialwissenschaft*), 253

- Institute for Contemporary History (Munich), 102, 147; academic rigor of reports by, 144–45; focus on SS by, 145–46, 293; pedagogical aims of Auschwitz testimony, 142–44; solicitation of testimony by prosecution, 141; use of reports by in Auschwitz verdict, 233
- Institute of Documentation in Israel for the Investigation of Nazi War Crimes, 39, 48
- intention: applicability to perpetrator–accomplice distinction, 65; as criterion for homicide, 54–55; in subjective theories of *Täterschaft*, 62–64; treatment of in common law, 54–55
- International Auschwitz Committee (IAC), 25, 104; cooperation with prosecution, 41, 48, 224; distrust of prosecutors, 38–40, 47; as official survivor organization, 37; political attacks against, 40–41, 183–87, 224; provision of witnesses by, 48, 224; role in “Auschwitz: Pictures and Documents” exhibit, 183–87; role in Boger investigation, 27–29, 32, 33–37; strained relationships with Jewish organizations, 40–42, 184
- Jacobsen, Hans-Adolf, 102
- Jaffe, Käte, 129
- Jakobs, Günther, 74
- Jásli, Reche, 256
- Jaspers, Karl, 54, 303
- Justice Ministry, West German, 172; negotiations with court for Auschwitz visit, 173–76; opposition to Auschwitz visit, 169–70; support for Auschwitz visit, 177
- Kaduk, Oswald, 99, 184, 212, 255; confrontation with Wörl, 163, 260; conviction of, 235; disavowal of responsibility for Auschwitz service, 132; indictment of, 114, 115; interrogation by Ormond, 134; public ignorance of, 256; refusal to respond during *Vernehmungen zur Sache*, 134; remorselessness of, 207; SS service of, 131; testimony against by Olszówka, 238; testimony against Mulka, 116
- Kaminski, Stanislaw, 31
- Kämper, Karl Friedrich, 290
- Kant, Immanuel, 63, 64, 74
- Kapkaiew, Wera, 180
- Kardorff, Ursula von, 263
- Karlsruhe, *Bundesgerichtshof* in, 46
- Kaul, Friedrich Karl, 189, 251; admission as civil counsel, 130; advocacy of GDR by, 123–24, 128–29, 147, 209; application to serve as civil counsel, 124–28; arrival at Auschwitz Trial, 123, 125; attacks on FRG by, 123–24, 147, 188, 193, 208–209, 248, 277, 288, 292; attacks on IG Farben, 141, 151–56, 292, 293; attacks on by defense counsel, 188, 189; charges of witness tampering, 191; closing argument, 193, 208–11; criticism of Auschwitz Trial, 288; criticism of GDR press coverage, 277; critiques of capitalism by, 129, 141, 147, 188, 193, 293; early career of, 92–93; objection to by Latenser, 94, 126–30, 286; on pedagogical value of trial, 249; press coverage of, 123, 126, 261, 278, 286; propagandistic aims of, 82, 123–25, 130, 142, 187, 208, 288; provisional rejection of application by court, 128; support for court visit to Auschwitz, 170–71; use of historical testimony by, 141, 147–56
- Kempner, Robert, 93
- Kirchner, Harald, 179
- Klehr, Josef, 99; alleged inability to discern right from wrong, 221; conviction of, 235; cross-examination by Hofmeyer, 138; defense on grounds of duress by higher orders, 137, 138; indictment of, 120–21; Kaul as counsel against, 130; press coverage of, 261, 286; public ignorance of, 256; remorselessness of, 207; representation by Fertig, 217–19, 221; represented as agent of legitimate state authority, 218–19; SS service of, 131; testimony against by Rögner, 30
- Klein, Fritz, 167
- Knögel, Hans, 213–14, 216, 220, 221–22
- Kogon, Eugen, 269–71, 272, 284
- Kölnische Rundschau*, 290
- Kozelczuk, Jakob, 117
- Kral, Josef, 189–90, 239
- Krauch, Karl, 153, 155
- Krausnick, Helmut, 102, 146–47
- Kret, Jozéf, 238
- Krumey, Hermann, 21
- Kuby, Erich, 250, 252, 253
- Kuczynski, Jürgen, 102; criticism by West German press, 154–56, 278; cross-examination of, 153–54; objections to by

Cambridge University Press

0521844061 - The Frankfurt Auschwitz Trial, 1963-1965: Genocide, History, and the Limits of the Law

Devin O. Pendas

Index

[More information](#)

Index

335

- defense, 148–49, 150, 154; postponement of testimony, 148–51; presentation of report, 151–53; rejection of testimony by court, 154, 210; requested to testify on IG Farben's role in Auschwitz, 141, 147
- Kügler, Joachim, 149; arrest of Richard Baer, 48–49; assignment to Auschwitz case, 47; charge of perjury against Pozimska, 191; closing argument by, 194, 200; duties in Auschwitz Trial, 91; interrogation of Berner, 166; response to Rögner extortion attempts, 37
- Kühne, Erwin, 168
- Kurier, Der*, 265
- Kursbuch*, 250
- Kurz, Herbert, 117
- Lachmann, Gerhard, 164
- Landeszentral für Heimatdienst* (Hesse), 186
- Langbein, Hermann, 48; account of trial by, 4, 141, 272–73; accusations of witness tampering against, 164, 189; communist background of, 40; correspondence with prosecution during trial, 117, 156; criticism of German legal system, 36, 38–39, 41, 49; departure from IAC, 41; as head of CIC, 41; as impetus for Auschwitz Trial, 25; on pedagogical role of trial, 252, 273; role in “Auschwitz: Pictures and Documents” controversy, 185; role in Boger investigation, 27–29, 30–31, 33–37, 45; testimony on life in Auschwitz, 156, 157–58, 272
- Langer, Lawrence, 276
- Latenser, Hans, 125, 242, 251; attacks on East Block witnesses, 189; atypicality of, 94–95; challenges to witnesses' credibility, 164, 189; clients of, 94; closing argument, 189, 214–15, 216; doubts about jurors, 88; dramatic character of, 123; early career of, 93–94; interrogation of Berner, 166; objection to “Auschwitz: Pictures and Documents” exhibit, 183; objections to admission of Kaul as civil counsel, 94, 126–30, 286; objection to Auschwitz visit, 170, 172; objections to testimony by Kuczynski, 150; on obligation to clients, 95; on pedagogical value of trial, 249; political tactics of, 94, 127, 130, 150, 170, 189, 288, 300; press coverage of, 261, 285
- law: as morally dependent on free choice, 271; narrative adequacy of, 301–303; as strategic agent, 102–103; as “strategic field,” 81, 82, 91, 98
- law, Nazi: alleged validity of, 220, 222, 225, 300; as basis of political violence, 108; continuity with earlier German law, 109, 228–29, 270; principle of “guilt by association” under, 230; subordination to Hitler's will, 220, 221; use by Auschwitz prosecution, 6
- law, West German criminal (*Strafgesetz*): allowance of hearsay evidence in, 30, 84; appeals in, 227; appeals of decisions in, 105; Auschwitz survivors' attitudes toward, 25, 35–37, 39–40; as “boundary condition” for Auschwitz Trial, 21–22, 53, 81, 111, 187–88, 193, 202, 290–91, 297; categories of courts in, 82; civil counsel, role of in, 91; civil plaintiffs (*Nebenkläger*), role of in, 91; composition of courts in, 82–83; concern for procedure in, 25, 38, 41, 42; consideration of charges under, 104, 119; continuity of with Nazi law, 218–19, 228–29, 304; criticism by Kaul of, 124, 281; defendants' rights under, 32, 96–97, 105, 123; defendants' role in, 96–98; defense role in, 95–96; definition of autonomy in, 63–64, 76–78; definition of “facts” in, 59; definition of illegal orders under, 221–22; distinction between perpetrator and accomplice in, 43, 55–56, 61–71; evidence for motivation in, 58, 60; inadequacy of in dealing with genocide, 2, 14, 53–54, 61, 78–79, 103, 111, 225, 247, 286, 293, 296, 298, 302–303; incompatibility with bureaucratic distribution of responsibility, 2, 136, 216, 244–45; indictments in, 89–90, 119; inquisitorial nature of, 59, 83–84, 96; judges, role of in, 82–84, 87, 90; jurors, role of in, 82–83, 86–88; normative sensibility of, 57–58, 220; notion of guilt in, 2, 11, 43, 56, 71–72, 74–78, 79, 202, 214–16, 231, 244–45, 270, 285, 292–93; order to convene (*Eröffnungsbeschluss*) in, 104, 119; *Plädoyers* (closing arguments) in, 90, 96, 192; prosecutorial role in, 88–90, 104; punishment in, 72–74; rejection of by nationalist critics, 281; right of legal representation under, 95; role of investigations in, 41, 89, 90, 104; rules of evidence in, 83, 223; sentencing in, 83, 87, 96, 97, 105, 247;

Cambridge University Press

0521844061 - The Frankfurt Auschwitz Trial, 1963-1965: Genocide, History, and the Limits of the Law

Devin O. Pendas

Index

[More information](#)

336

Index

- law, West German criminal (*cont.*)
 stages of criminal cases under, 104–105;
 stages of trial under, 105; standards for
 testimony under, 100–101, 164, 168, 200,
 216, 231, 238, 272; status of perjury in,
 97, 150; as strategic agent in Auschwitz
 Trial, 102–103; subjection of former SS
 members to, 119; subjectivism of, 53, 55,
 56, 59–65, 247, 262, 263–64, 291, 298;
 theory of causation in, 213–14; theory of
 “concurrency” in, 197–98, 202, 213–14,
 245, 297; theory of “reproachability”
 (*Vorwerfbarkeit*) in, 74–78; verdicts in, 83,
 86–87, 105, 227
- Lechler, Helmut, 102
- Leicher, Günther, 252
- Levi, Primo, 100, 167, 266, 298, 299
- Lichtenstein, Heiner, 21
- Liebknecht, Karl, 209
- Lingens, Ella: correspondence with
 Hofmeyer regarding Capesius, 167;
 testimony on life in Auschwitz, 156, 157,
 158, 211
- Lippmann, Walter, 258
- London Charter, 8, 9, 11, 14
- Lübbe, Hermann, 16
- Lübke, Heinrich, 194
- Lucas, Franz, 99; awareness of illegality of
 actions, 119; conviction of, 235, 247;
 conviction of overturned on appeal, 227;
 disavowal of responsibility for
 Auschwitz service, 132; duties at
 Auschwitz, 119, 247; as member of court
 delegation to Auschwitz, 179; Nazi
 membership of, 134; representation by
 Aschenauer, 153; sentencing of, 247, 290;
 sympathetic press coverage of, 261, 262,
 263
- Luff, Karl, 102
- Luxemburg, Rosa, 209
- Majdanek Trial, 21
- malice aforethought. *See* intention
- manslaughter. *See* homicide; *Totschlag*
- Markowitsch, Erich, 124, 188
- Mauz, Gerhard, 130, 155, 180
- Meer, Fritz ter, 153
- Mengele, Josef, 165, 167
- Miquel, Marc von, 20
- Mitscherlich, Alexander, 257
- Mittag, Der*, 265
- Mitscherlich, Margarete, 257
- Mord* (murder): blood lust as criterion for,
 32, 56, 57, 58; charges of in Auschwitz
 Trial, 113, 120, 194, 200, 201, 202, 203,
 206, 234–35; criteria for charge of, 57–58,
 60–61, 67–68; general definition of, 54, 56,
 120; importance of motivation for charge
 of, 57–58, 60, 78, 240, 274; intrinsic
 illegality of orders to commit, 203;
 punishments for under German law, 71;
 verdicts of in Auschwitz Trial, 239–44
- Morgen, Konrad, 160
- Morgenthau, Henry Jr., 8
- Moscow Declaration (1943), 7
- motivation, individual: causal relationship
 to criminal behavior, 78; and guilt in
 German law, 75, 76–78; objective
 determination of, 65–67, 68, 69;
 problematic application to cases of
 genocide, 78–79; role in American legal
 treatment of homicide, 55; role in German
 legal treatment of *Mord*, 57–58, 61, 67,
 70, 78; state as source of in criminal acts,
 70; treatment of by mainstream press,
 282–84
- Mülhaus, Johannes, 102
- Mulka, Robert, 4, 86, 99, 122, 184, 228,
 234, 302; age during service at Auschwitz,
 205; anti-Semitism of, 267, 268; assistance
 to by Rolf Mulka, 265; claim of personal
 disapproval of activities at Auschwitz, 137;
 conviction of, 235, 242–43; criticism of
 sentence by CIC, 290; denial of
 participation in crimes at Auschwitz, 134,
 237, 267; disavowal of responsibility for
 Auschwitz service, 132; duties at
 Auschwitz, 114, 115–16, 135, 236–37, 243;
 Freikorps membership of, 134; indictment
 of, 51, 114, 115, 119; interrogation by
 Hofmeyer, 266–67; as lead defendant in
 Auschwitz trial, 50; press accounts of, 133,
 259, 265, 266–67; public ignorance of,
 256; representation by Müller, 117;
 representation by Stolting II, 183;
 sentencing of, 290, 299; SS service of, 131,
 243; testimony against by Kühne, 168;
 testimony against by Olszówka, 237–38
- Mulka, Rolf, 265
- Müller, Herbert Ernst, 117
- Müller-Meiningen, Ernst Jr., 20
- Münch, Wilhelm, 155, 159
- Münchner Merkur*, 285
- murder. *See* *Mord*

Cambridge University Press

0521844061 - The Frankfurt Auschwitz Trial, 1963-1965: Genocide, History, and the Limits of the Law

Devin O. Pendas

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

337

- National Democratic Party of Germany (NDP), 278
- Naumann, Bernd, 4, 259
- Nazi trials: historiography of, 3–6, 51, 268–69; role in West German history, 6–7. *See also* Nazi trials, Allied; Nazi trials, German
- Nazi trials, Allied, 8–12
- Nazi trials, German: as “alibi” for German population, 268; as forum for dealing with Nazi past, 21, 52, 106, 225; as forum for GDR propaganda, 123, 129, 147, 151–53, 188; as impediment to struggle against communism, 224; challenges to legitimacy of, 217–19, 224; convictions in, 12, 15; definition of *Täterschaft* in, 68, 245; dependence on German criminal law categories, 13, 53, 61, 78, 102–103; focus on individual actors in, 196, 245; impediments to, 15, 195; importance of 1958 for, 19; infrequency of, 14–15; lax nature of, 14, 18–20; necessity of, 195; pedagogical function of, 52, 143–44, 182, 224; political opposition to, 15, 278–81; public hostility to, 15, 189, 196, 256–58, 268
- Nazis: amnesty for, 16; rehabilitation of, 16–17, 282. *See also* Germany, Nazi; Nazism
- Nazism: anti-Semitism of, 110, 195, 199, 244, 266, 293; apologists for, 278–81; appeal of to “base instincts,” 274; as authoritarian expression of capitalism, 147, 152; as “barbarism,” 271; devotion of defendants to, 133–34, 197; historical discussion of in Nazi trials, 144, 199, 202; “juridification” of in postwar Germany, 21, 22; legacy of in postwar Germany, 7, 17, 21, 194, 278–79; likened to communism, 225, 293; popular support for, 202; rejection of, 17, 21; role of SS in rise of, 188; as source of neurosis in FRG, 257
- Neubert, Gerhard, 1, 98, 130, 132, 133
- Neue Rhein und Ruhr Zeitung*, 255
- Neues Deutschland* (GDR), 155, 276, 278, 288
- Nierzwicki, Hans, 1, 98, 120
- Noack, Joachim, 191
- Noelle-Neumann, Elisabeth, 258
- Noll, Peter, 296
- Norden, Albert, 149
- Nuremberg Trials (1945–46), 8, 9, 10–12, 18, 53, 93, 152, 153–54, 268, 279, 285
- Oberländer, Theodor, 18
- Olschowski, Kurt, 129
- Olszówka, Erwin, 164, 237–38
- Ormond, Henry, 198; admission as civil counsel, 126; and “Auschwitz: Pictures and Documents” exhibit, 187; campaign for court visit to Auschwitz, 92, 168–73, 175; closing argument, 193, 204–208, 273, 292; correspondence with Frankfurt prosecutors, 91; early career, 91–92; interrogation of Berner, 166; interrogation of Kaduk, 134; on pedagogical value of trial, 249, 273–75; press accounts of, 259; satisfaction with trial, 289; on statutory nature of Auschwitz crimes, 297; support for Kaul’s application, 127, 129, 130; support of Kuczynski’s right to testify, 150
- Otto Heß, 279
- Perseke, Josef, 84
- Polakewitz, Moritz, 114
- Poland: charges of witness tampering against, 190–91, 223–24, 281; commemoration of Auschwitz by, 185; facilitation of court visit to Auschwitz, 169–80; Nazi occupation of, 110, 148, 233, 293
- Pozimska, Barbara, 190–91
- Pozimski, Jerzy, 190
- press coverage, Auschwitz Trial, 183; of “Auschwitz: Pictures and Documents” exhibition, 184–85, 186, 187; of Auschwitz visit, 180, 181; in “boulevard” (tabloid) press, 264–66; clichéd quality of, 261; criticism of “politicization” of trial in conservative, 155, 191, 285–86; criticism of by Stolting II, 223; deemphasis of Jewish dimension of Auschwitz in, 266–68; dramatic qualities of, 259–60, 261; focus on defendants, 122; in GDR, 147, 155, 276; hermeneutic closure of, 258–59; inadequacies of, 286–87; of Kaul, 123, 126, 261, 278, 286; of Kuczynski testimony, 154–56; moralism of, 262–63, 275–76, 282–84, 286; nationalist strategies of, 279–81; notion of collective responsibility in, 283–84; novelistic qualities of, 260–62; obsession with torture in, 292; of public ignorance of trial, 255–56; of public resistance to trial, 257;

- press coverage, Auschwitz Trial (*cont.*)
 parallels of with German legal subjectivism, 262, 263–64; political diversity of, 276; political stance of mainstream, 282–86; public criticism of, 256; role of AWJD in, 267–69; scope of, 252, 257; sensationalism of, 251, 256; skepticism in toward defense arguments, 226; as source of public ambivalence to trial, 258, 284, 287; trivializing aspects of, 265–66, 280; use of literary realism in, 259–60
- Preston, George, 162
- prosecutors, Frankfurt: application of notion of “concurrency,” 197, 209, 215, 244; arrest of Richard Baer, 48–49; classification of suspects, 47; concern for legal procedure, 25, 38, 40, 42; cooperation with IAC, 48, 224; correspondence with Langbein, 117; correspondence with Ormond, 91; defense of witnesses’ credibility, 118, 200–201, 244; deposition of witnesses, 48, 222; emphasis on genocide, 200, 201, 244; emphasis on individual brutality of defendants, 112–13, 158; emphasis on Nazism, 107, 111, 187, 195, 202; interpretation of history, 106, 113, 193, 196, 199, 201; investigations by, 47; openness to East Block assistance, 42; preference for large-scale trial, 47, 50, 245; preparation for official judicial investigation, 50; rejection of defense of duress by higher orders, 198, 203; significance of Baer arrest for, 49; significance of Wulkan’s documents for, 51; strained relationship with IAC, 38–39, 41, 47; support for Kaul’s application to serve as civil counsel, 127; transfer of Auschwitz prosecution to (1959), 38, 47; treatment of individual guilt of defendants, 113, 192–93, 197, 201, 204, 300; use of “background witnesses” by, 156–59; use of bureaucratic procedure as proof of individual guilt, 110, 116, 135, 157, 198, 215, 293; use of eyewitnesses by, 6, 48, 101, 117, 140, 200–201, 222; use of historical testimony, 106, 141, 143–44, 196; use of Nazi law, 6
- prosecutors, Stuttgart: arrest of Boger, 32, 43; deposition of Boger, 42–43; deposition of witnesses, 31, 32; investigation of Boger, 26–32, 89; reliance on IAC, 28–29, 30–31, 33–36; transfer of Boger investigation to Central Office, 45
- Public Opinion Research Center (*Institut für Demoskopie*, Allensbach), 253
- Quick*, 264, 265
- Raabe, Christian, 91, 164, 193, 198, 205
- Redeker, Konrad, 222
- rehabilitation, of Nazis, 16–17, 282
- Reitlinger, Gerald, 111
- Renz, Werner, 5, 174, 179, 197, 200
- Robinson, Nehemiah, 41–42
- Rögner, Adolf: allegations by, 24, 25–26, 28, 89; communist sympathies of, 27, 32, 40; correspondence with Wörl, 37; deposition of, 26, 29; documents held by, 27, 29, 31; extortion attempts by, 37; identification of Boger, 29; perjury conviction of, 27; skepticism regarding, 26–27, 30, 32, 37, 40
- Rohde, Werner, 167
- Roxin, Claus, 62, 63, 65, 68–69, 71, 296
- Rückerl, Adalbert, 15, 57
- Ruhr-Nachrichten*, 260, 285
- Ruprecht, Franz, 192
- Schabel, Robert, 33–34, 39
- Schafheutle, Josef, 173
- Schallock, Hans, 150
- Schatz, Willi, 99; conviction of, 235; Kaul as counsel against, 130; Nazi party membership of, 134; representation by Laternser, 94; SS service of, 131
- Scheidel, Paul Leo, 32
- Scherpe, Herbert: conviction of, 235; disavowal of responsibility for Auschwitz service, 132; indictment of, 121, 213; perception of orders as legal, 221–22; press coverage of, 260; representation by Knögel, 213–14, 221–22; sentencing of, 290; SS service of, 131
- Schlage, Bruno, 99; claim of personal disapproval of activities at Auschwitz, 137; conviction of, 235; criticism by CIC of prosecution against, 290; denial of participation in crimes, 134, 138; indictment of, 119; representation by Fertig, 219; SS service of, 131; testimony about by Vetter, 102; testimony against by Severa, 181
- Schmaltz, Florian, 154

Cambridge University Press

0521844061 - The Frankfurt Auschwitz Trial, 1963-1965: Genocide, History, and the Limits of the Law

Devin O. Pendas

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

339

- Schmelling, Max, 117
- Schoberth, Johann, 99; acquittal of, 59, 235, 236; applicability of juvenile law to, 102; disavowal of responsibility for Auschwitz service, 132; in Großmann's closing argument, 194; SS service of, 108, 131
- Schönke, Adolf, 60
- Schröder, Horst, 60
- Schüle, Erwin, 45
- Schüler, Hans, 85
- Schumacher, Kurt, 42
- Sehn, Jan, 169, 173, 177–79, 187, 191, 236
- Seiboldt, Günter, 84
- Servatius, Robert, 11
- Severa, Georg, 181
- Sewell, William H., 80
- Simon, Max, 19
- Smoleń, Kazimierz, 41, 176, 179, 203
- Social Darwinism, 146
- Sommer, Walter Martin, 19
- Spiegel, Der*, 180, 264; amount of trial coverage by, 267; founding of, 92; interview with Bucher, 254; interview with Heß, 279
- Springer, Axel, 264, 276
- SS: central role in Holocaust, 230, 243, 274; claim of collective innocence, 205; cooperation among defendants in Nazi trials, 161; defendants' membership in, 131–33, 197, 243; fanaticism of, 206, 230, 243; as focus of Institute for Contemporary History reports, 145–46; individual culpability for actions at Auschwitz, 158, 196–97; origins and organization of, 107–108, 274; postwar subjection to military and civilian law, 118; regulations for inmate treatment, 207; relationship with IG Farben at Auschwitz, 152–53; responsibility for operations at Auschwitz, 156, 158; role in death camps, 108, 230, 274; role in rise of Nazism, 188; self-image as “military elite,” 205; as witnesses in Auschwitz Trial, 159–61, 209
- Staiger, Karlheinz, 150
- Stalin, Joseph, 8
- Stark, Hans, 99; applicability of juvenile law to, 102; conviction of, 235; representation by Erhard, 217; representation by Staiger, 150; role in executions at Auschwitz, 138, 202–204; self-defense on grounds of duress by higher orders, 138; SS service of, 108, 132, 134; testimony against by Gabis, 217; testimony against by Kral, 190; testimony against by Kret, 238; testimony against by Rögner, 30
- Steinacker, Fritz: clients of, 94; closing argument, 212–13, 217; criticism of prosecution interpretation of concurrence, 198; joins Latenser as part of Auschwitz defense, 94
- Stimson, Henry, 8
- Stolting, Hermann I, 215
- Stolting, Hermann II: accusations against during Auschwitz Trial, 95; accusations of press bias, 223; alleged rudeness of, 162; charges of unfair prosecutorial advantage, 222–23; charges of witness tampering, 223–24; closing argument of, 215, 216, 222–24; objections to “Auschwitz: Pictures and Documents” exhibition, 223
- Strahmer, Otto, 11
- Strecker, Reinhard, 278
- Süddeutsche Zeitung*, 252, 263, 276
- survivors, Auschwitz: account of trial by, 272–73; credibility of testimony by, 118, 200–201, 207; difficulty in recounting experiences, 162–63, 166; Primo Levi on, 167–68; representation as vengeful by defense, 216; representatives of, 37; shame of, 167; strained relationship with prosecution, 39–40; tensions among, 37, 184, 187; testimony concerning life in Auschwitz, 156–59
- Swiderska-Swieratowa, Maria, 162
- Szmulewski, Eugeniusz, 177, 178
- Tagesspiegel*, 283
- Täterschaft* (“perpetratorship”): *dolus* theory of, 63–64; general definition of, 43, 55; importance of motivation in defining, 56, 240, 294–96; intentionality in, 76–78; “interest” theory of, 64, 67, 69; legal application of theories of, 64–71, 240; not explicitly addressed in Auschwitz indictment, 118; objective elements in definition of, 65–67, 68–70; state as subject of, 70; *Tatherrschaft* (“mastery of the act”) theory of, 62, 68–69, 71; theoretical inadequacy to problem of genocide, 296, 298
- Teheran Conference (1943), 8
- Tesch, Carl, 182, 184
- Tilsit (East Prussia), 19

Cambridge University Press

0521844061 - The Frankfurt Auschwitz Trial, 1963-1965: Genocide, History, and the Limits of the Law

Devin O. Pendas

Index

[More information](#)

340

Index

- Todorov, Tzvetan, 299
Totschlag (manslaughter), 56. *See also* Mord trials: as dramas, 80; hermeneutic function of, 22; juridical structure of, 22
- Uhlenbroock, Kurt, 117
 Ulm Einsatzkommando Trial (1958), 19–20, 21, 45, 268
Union Deutscher Widerstandskämpfer- und Verfolgtenverbände (UDWV), 184, 185
 United Nations War Crimes Commission (UNWCC), 7
- Verband für Freiheit und Menschenwürde* (VFM), 184
 Vetter, Günther, 102
 Vogel, Georg Friedrich, 40, 211; assignment to Auschwitz Trial, 47; closing argument by, 194, 200–204; duties in Auschwitz Trial, 91; reassurance of Robinson, 42
 Vogel, Rolf, 179, 180, 183
 Vrba, Rudolf, 237
- Waitz, Robert, 183–87
 Walser, Martin, 250–51, 252, 253
 war crimes: Allied prosecution of, 7–12; amnesty for, 13, 279; definition of by Allies, 7–9; German nationalist views on, 279–80; German prosecution of, 12–16, 18–21; public awareness of in Germany, 19–20; statute of limitations for, 254–55. *See also* Auschwitz Trial; Central Office. . . ; genocide; Holocaust; Nazi trials
- Weber, Horst: deposition of Boger, 42; relationship with Langbein, 31; skepticism of Rögner, 26
 Weber, Max, 72, 78, 298
 Weinke, Annette, 170
 Weiss, Peter, 4
 Weitzmann, Chaim, 195
 Welzel, Hans, 65
Welt, Die, 85, 130, 155, 252, 264, 276
Westfälische Rundschau, 290
 White, James Boyd, 301–302
 Wiebeck, Gerhard, 160
 Wiesbaden Reparations Office, 45
 Wiese, Gerhard, 91, 197, 198; assignment to Auschwitz Trial, 47; closing argument by, 194, 200
 witnesses, Auschwitz Trial: “background testimony” by, 156–59, 223; attacks on as greedy, 281; awkward position of, 102, 142; categories and number of, 100–101; cathartic experience of, 162, 166; challenges to credibility of, 142, 163–64, 189–91, 200–201, 216–17, 223–24, 230–31, 237–38, 244, 280–81, 291; charges of tampering with, 40, 190–91, 200, 223–24, 232, 280; conflict for between “bearing” and “being” witness, 161–68, 181, 291; confrontation with perpetrators by, 162, 163, 260; corroboration of testimony by during Auschwitz visit, 181; defendants as, 116–17, 140; defense of credibility of, 118, 200–201, 207; devaluation of experiences of in trial, 291; from East Block, 124–25, 142, 168, 188, 189, 190–91, 223, 281, 293; emotional strain on, 6, 162–65, 166, 200, 231, 238, 239; evaluation of testimony by in verdict, 237–39; experiential nature of memories, 166, 207, 291; experiential nature of memories of, 298–99; expert (*Sachverständiger*), 100, 102, 141, 142–56; extrajudicial activities of, 162; fragmentary nature of testimony by, 140–41; legal standards for testimony by, 164–65, 166, 168, 200–201, 216, 230–31, 272, 291; organization of testimony by, 140–41; Ormond on importance of, 274; provision of by IAC, 48, 224; rights of in German law, 100–101; shame of, 167; SS, concern to avoid self-incrimination by, 159–61; SS, damage to prosecution case by, 161; SS, high standing of in postwar Germany, 209; SS, support for defense claims by, 160; testimony as gesture of solidarity, 167; value of inmate functionaries (*Funktionshäftlinge*) as, 101, 273
- Wittmann, Rebecca, 5–6, 225
 Wojak, Irmlud, 5
 Wolf, Horst, 38–39, 41
 Wolheim, Norbert, 40
 Wolken, Otto: press coverage of, 261; testimony against Baretzki, 164; testimony on life in Auschwitz, 156, 157, 158, 261
 Wörl, Ludwig, 31, 126; anti-Polish attitudes of, 37–38; criticism of prosecutors by, 40; deposition of, 36; testimony against Kaduk, 163, 260
 World Jewish Congress (WJC), 41, 48
 Wróblewski, Zdzislaw, 239
 Wulkan, Emil, 46, 51
 Zarnack, Wolfgang, 171
Zeit, Die, 255–56, 264, 267
Zentralrat der Juden in Deutschland, 29