### The Wealth of Ideas

The Wealth of Ideas traces the history of economic thought, from its prehistory (the Bible, Classical antiquity) to the present day. In this eloquently written, scientifically rigorous and well-documented book, chapters on William Petty, Adam Smith, David Ricardo, Karl Marx, William Stanley Jevons, Carl Menger, Léon Walras, Alfred Marshall, John Maynard Keynes, Joseph Schumpeter and Piero Sraffa alternate with chapters on other important figures and on debates of the period. Economic thought is seen as developing between two opposite poles: a subjective one, based on the ideas of scarcity and utility, and an objective one based on the notions of physical costs and surplus. Professor Roncaglia focuses on the different views of the economy and society and on their evolution over time and critically evaluates the foundations of the scarcity–utility approach in comparison with the Classical/Keynesian approach.

ALESSANDRO RONCAGLIA is Professor of Economics in the Department of Economic Sciences, University of Rome 'La Sapienza'. He is a member of the Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei and editor of BNL Quarterly Review and Moneta e Credito. His numerous publications include Piero Sraffa: His Life, Thought and Cultural Heritage (2000) and the Italian edition of this book, La ricchezza delle idee (2001) which received the 2003 Jérome Adolphe Blanqui Award from the European Society for the History of Economic Thought.

# The Wealth of Ideas

A History of Economic Thought

Alessandro Roncaglia



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521843379

Originally published in Italian as La ricchezza delle idee by Manuali Laterza 2001 and  ${\rm \textcircled{O}}$  Gius Laterza & Figli 2001

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published in English by Cambridge University Press 2005 as The Wealth of Ideas English translation  $\textcircled{}{}$  Alessandro Roncaglia 2005

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN-13 978-0-521-84337-9 hardback ISBN-10 0-521-84337-5 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

## Contents

	Preface		page ix
1	The history of economic the	ought and its role	1
	1. Introduction		1
	2. The cumulative view		2
	3. The competitive view		5
	4. The stages of economic theoris	ing: conceptualisation and	
	model-building		11
	5. Political economy and the histo	ry of economic thought	13
	6. Which history of economic tho	ught?	14
2	The prehistory of political e	conomy	18
	1. Why we call it prehistory		18
	2. Classical antiquity		23
	3. Patristic thought		28
	4. The Scholastics		31
	5. Usury and just price		34
	6. Bullionists and mercantilists		41
	7. The birth of economic thought	in Italy: Antonio Serra	46
3	William Petty and the origin	s of political economy	53
	1. Life and writings		53
	2. Political arithmetic and the met	thod of economic science	55
	3. National state and economic sy	stem	58
	4. Commodity and market		63
	5. Surplus, distribution, prices		69
4	From body politic to econor	nic tables	76
	1. The debates of the time		76
	2. John Locke		80
	3. The motivations and consequent	nces of human actions	84
	4. Bernard de Mandeville		87
	5. Richard Cantillon		90
	6. François Quesnay and the phys	iocrats	96
	7. The political economy of the E	0	103
	8. The Italian Enlightenment: the		107
	9. The Scottish Enlightenment: F	rancis Hutcheson and David Hume	e 111

vi	Contents

5	Ada	am Smith	115
	1.	Life	115
	2.	Method	118
	3.	The moral principle of sympathy	121
	4.	The wealth of nations	126
	5.	Value and prices	134
	6.	Natural prices and market prices	139
	7.	The origin of the division of labour: Smith and Pownall	145
	8.	Economic and political liberalism: Smith's fortune	149
6	Ecc	momic science at the time of the French Revolution	155
	1.	The perfectibility of human societies, between utopias and reforms	155
	2.	Malthus and the population principle	158
	3.	'Say's law'	164
	4.	Under-consumption theories: Lauerdale, Malthus, Sismondi	167
	5.	The debate on the poor laws	169
	6.	The debate on the colonies	172
	7.	Bentham's utilitarianism	174
7	Dav	vid Ricardo	179
	1.	Life and works	179
	2.	Ricardo's dynamic vision	181
	3.	From the corn model to the labour theory of value	186
	4.	Absolute value and exchangeable value: the invariable	
		standard of value	191
	5.	Money and taxation	196
	6.	International trade and the theory of comparative costs	201
	7.	On machinery: technological change and employment	203
8	The	e 'Ricardians' and the decline of Ricardianism	207
	1.	The forces in the field	207
	2.	Robert Torrens	209
	3.	Samuel Bailey	215
	4.	Thomas De Quincey	218
	5.	John Ramsey McCulloch	219
	6.	The Ricardian socialists and cooperativism	221
	7.	William Nassau Senior and the anti-Ricardian reaction	226
	8.	Charles Babbage	230
		John Stuart Mill and philosophical radicalism	233
	10.	Mill on political economy	238
9	Kar	'l Marx	244
	1.	Introduction	244
	2.	Life and writings	245
	3.	The critique of the division of labour: alienation and	a. 1 -
		commodity fetishism	249
		The critique of capitalism and exploitation	251
		Accumulation and expanded reproduction	256
	6.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	261
	7.	The transformation of labour values into prices of production	263

	Contents	vii
	<ol> <li>A critical assessment</li> <li>Marxism after Marx</li> </ol>	268 272
10	<ol> <li>The marginalist revolution: the subjective theory of value</li> <li>The 'marginalist revolution': an overview</li> <li>The precursors: equilibrium between scarcity and demand</li> <li>William Stanley Jevons</li> <li>The Jevonian revolution</li> <li>Real cost and opportunity cost</li> <li>Philip Henry Wicksteed and Francis Ysidro Edgeworth</li> </ol>	278 278 281 285 288 292 294
11	<ol> <li>Carl Menger</li> <li>Carl Methodenstreit'</li> <li>Max Weber</li> <li>Eugen von Böhm-Bawerk</li> <li>Knut Wicksell and the Swedish school</li> <li>Friedrich von Hayek</li> </ol>	297 297 303 306 308 312 315
12	<ol> <li>General economic equilibrium</li> <li>The invisible hand of the market</li> <li>Léon Walras</li> <li>Vilfredo Pareto and the Lausanne school</li> <li>Irving Fisher</li> <li>The debate on existence, uniqueness and stability of equilibrium</li> <li>The search for an axiomatic economics</li> </ol>	322 322 326 336 340 342 345
13	<ol> <li>Alfred Marshall         <ol> <li>Life and writings</li> <li>The background</li> <li>The Principles</li> <li>Economics becomes a profession</li> <li>Monetary theory: from the old to the new Cambridge school</li> <li>Maffeo Pantaleoni</li> <li>Marshallism in the United States: from John Bates Clark to Jacob Viner</li> <li>Thornstein Veblen and institutionalism</li> <li>Welfare economics: Arthur Cecil Pigou</li> <li>Imperfect competition</li> <li>Marshall's heritage in contemporary economic thought</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	350 350 353 357 366 368 370 372 374 376 379 382
14	<ol> <li>John Maynard Keynes         <ol> <li>Life and writings</li> <li>Probability and uncertainty</li> <li>The <i>Treatise on money</i></li> <li>From the <i>Treatise</i> to the <i>General theory</i></li> <li>The <i>General theory</i></li> <li>Defence and development</li> <li>The asymmetries of economic policy in an open economy and international institutions</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	384 384 388 391 395 398 407 409

Cambridge University Press	
0521843375 - The Wealth of Ideas: A History of Economic Thou	ght
Alessandro Roncaglia	
Frontmatter	
More information	

viii	Contents	
	<ol> <li>Michal Kalecki</li> <li>The new Cambridge school</li> </ol>	411 413
15	<ol> <li>Life</li> <li>Method</li> <li>From statics to dynamics: the cycle</li> <li>The breakdown of capitalism</li> </ol>	416 416 420 422 428 431
16	<ol> <li>First writings: money and banking</li> <li>Friendship with Gramsci</li> <li>Criticism of Marshallian theory</li> <li>Imperfect competition and the critique of the representative firm</li> <li>Cambridge: Wittgenstein and Keynes</li> <li>The critical edition of Ricardo's writings</li> <li><i>Production of commodities by means of commodities</i></li> <li>Critique of the marginalist approach</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>435</li> <li>438</li> <li>440</li> <li>443</li> <li>445</li> <li>450</li> <li>452</li> <li>457</li> <li>460</li> </ul>
17	<ol> <li>Introduction</li> <li>The microeconomics of general economic equilibrium</li> <li>The new theories of the firm</li> <li>Institutions and economic theory</li> <li>Macroeconomic theory after Keynes</li> <li>The theory of growth</li> <li>Quantitative research: the development of econometrics</li> <li>New analytical techniques: theory of repeated games, theory of</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>468</li> <li>468</li> <li>471</li> <li>474</li> <li>479</li> <li>480</li> <li>488</li> <li>491</li> <li>496</li> <li>500</li> </ul>
18	<ul> <li>Where are we going? Some (very tentative) considerations</li> <li>How many paths has economic thought followed?</li> <li>The division of labour among economists: can we forge ahead along different paths?</li> <li>Which of the various paths should we be betting on?</li> </ul>	505 505 508 511
	Index of names	515 564 575

### Preface

The idea underlying this work is that the history of economic thought is essential for understanding the economy, which constitutes a central aspect of human societies. Confronted with complex, ever-changing realities, the different lines of research developed in the past are rich in suggestions for anyone trying to interpret economic phenomena, even for those tackling questions of immediate relevance. In this latter case, indeed, the history of economic thought not only provides hypotheses for interpretation of the available information, but also teaches caution towards a mechanical use of the models deduced from the (*pro tempore*) mainstream economic theory. Similarly, when confronted with the variety of debates on economic issues, a good understanding of the cultural roots both of the line of reasoning chosen and of its rivals is invaluable for avoiding a dialogue of the deaf.

In fact, the comforting vision offered by the great majority of economics textbooks, that of a general consensus on 'economic truths', is – at least as far as the foundations are concerned – false. In order to understand the variety of approaches within economic debate, it is necessary to reconstruct the different views that have been proposed, developed and criticised over time about the way economic systems function. This is no easy task. The economic debate does not follow a linear path; rather, it resembles a tangled skein.

In attempting to disentangle it, we will focus on the conceptual foundations of the different theories. One of the aspects that distinguishes this work from other histories of economic thought is its recognition that the meaning of a concept, even though it may retain the same name, changes when we move from one theory to another. Changes in analytic structure are connected to changes in conceptual foundations; all too often this fact is overlooked.

In this context, the Schumpeterian distinction between history of analysis and history of thought – the former concerning analytic structures, the latter 'visions of the world' – proves not so much misleading as largely useless. Equally inappropriate is the sharp dichotomy between

#### x Preface

'rational reconstructions' and 'historical reconstructions' of the history of economic thought. It is hard to see why reconstructing the logical structure of an economist's ideas should clash with respecting his or her views. Indeed, in the field of the history of thought, as in analogous fields, the criterion of philological exactness is the main element differentiating scientific from non-scientific research.

The limits of the present work hence depend not so much on a priori fidelity to a specific line of interpretation as on the inevitable limitations – of ability, culture and time – of its author. For instance, I have not considered the contributions of Eastern cultural traditions, and very little space – a single chapter – is given to the twenty centuries constituting the prehistory of modern economic science. Of course Western economic theory is deeply rooted in classical thought – both Greek and Roman – and thanks to the mediation of a medieval culture which is richer and more complex than is commonly perceived. Thus, the decision to treat such a long and important period of time in just a few pages is obviously controversial. However, in so wide a field, choices of this kind are unavoidable. Naturally the results presented in the pages that follow are, notwithstanding efforts at systematic exposition, clearly provisional, and comments and criticisms will be helpful for future research.

Our journey begins with a chapter on methodological issues. It is not intended as a survey of, or an introduction to, the epistemological debate. We will only try to show the limits of the 'cumulative view', and the importance of studying the conceptual foundations of different theoretical approaches.

The following three chapters are devoted to pre-Smithian economic thought. Chapter 2 concerns the prehistory of economic science, from classical antiquity to mercantilism. Chapter 3 is devoted to William Petty and his political arithmetic: a crucial episode of our science, with respect both to method and to the formation of a system of concepts for representing economic reality. Focusing upon an individual or a particular group of thinkers, here as in other chapters, will illustrate a phase in the evolution of economic thought and a line of research, looking back and looking on, to precursors and followers.

Between the end of the seventeenth century and the middle of the eighteenth (as we shall see in chapter 4) different lines of research intersect. Although interesting contributions from the strictly analytical point were relatively scarce in this period, we shall note its importance for the closer relations between economic and other social sciences characterising it. The problem of how human societies are organised and what motivations determine human actions – passions and interests, in particular self-interest – as well as the desired or involuntary outcomes of such

#### Preface

actions, are in this period at the centre of lively debate at the intersection between economics, politics and moral science.

Already in this first stage two distinct views are apparent: a dichotomy which, together with its limits, will become clearer as our story unfolds. On the one hand, the economy is seen as centred on the counter-position between supply and demand in the market: we may call this the 'arc' view, analogous to the electrical arc, in which the two poles – demand and supply – determine the spark of the exchange, and hence the equilibrium. In this view the notion of equilibrium is central. On the other hand, we have the idea that the economic system develops though successive cycles of production, exchange and consumption: a 'spiral' view, since these cycles are not immutable, but constitute stages in a process of growth and development.

Recapitulation and an original reformulation of such debates is provided by Adam Smith's writings, which we shall consider in chapter 5: the delicate balance between self-interest and the 'ethics of sympathy' is the other side of the division of labour and its results.

The debate on typically Smithian themes of economic and social progress is illustrated in chapter 6. The French Revolution and the Terror constitute the background to the confrontation between supporters of the idea of perfectibility of human societies, and those who consider interference in the mechanisms regulating economy and society useless, if not dangerous.

We thus arrive with chapter 7 at David Ricardo, the first author we can credit with a robust analytical structure, systematically developed on the foundation of Smithian concepts. Ricardo stands out among other protagonists of an extremely rich phase of economic debate, although Torrens, Bailey, De Quincey, McCulloch, James and John Stuart Mill, Babbage and the 'Ricardian socialists' are autonomous personalities with leading roles to play in their own right; they are discussed in chapter 8. In chapter 9 we consider Karl Marx, in particular those aspects of his thought that are directly relevant from the viewpoint of political economy.

The golden age of the classical school runs, more or less, from Smith to Ricardo. The turning point, traditionally located around 1870 and termed the 'marginalist revolution', returns us to the 'arc' view of the counter-position between demand and supply in the market. Although long present in the economic debate, the view now assumes a more mature form thanks both to the robust analytic structure of the subjective theory of value and the greater consistency of the conceptual picture. The central problem of economic science is no longer one of explaining the functioning of a market society based on the division of labour, but one of interpreting the choices of a rational agent in their interactions, through the market, with other individuals who follow similar rules of behaviour.

#### xii Preface

The main characteristics of this turn and the long path preparatory to it are discussed in chapter 10. In addition, this and the two subsequent chapters illustrate the three main streams into which the marginalist approach is traditionally subdivided: Jevons's English, Menger's Austrian and, finally, Walras's (general equilibrium) French approach. An ecumenical attempt at synthesis between the classical and the marginalist approaches marks Alfred Marshall's work. This attempt, and its limits, are discussed in chapter 13.

Marginalism is strictly connected to a subjective view of value, with a radical transformation of utilitarianism, which originally constituted the foundation for a consequentialist ethic. Jevons's utilitarianism reduces *homo oeconomicus* to a computing machine that maximises a mono-dimensional magnitude: it is on this very thin foundation, as we shall see, that the subjective theory of value builds its analytical castle.

The case of Marshall is quite interesting, since it shows how difficult it is to connect coherently a complex and flexible vision of the world to an analytic structure constrained by the canons of the concept of equilibrium. Something similar happens in the case of the Austrian school, as well as in the thought of Schumpeter, whose theory is illustrated in chapter 15. We can thus understand the contrasting evaluations formulated over time on several leading figures (exalted or despised depending on the point of view from which they are judged), taking account of the richness and depth of their conceptual representation of reality, or the weaknesses and rigidity of their analytic structure.

The problem of the relationship between conceptual foundations and analytic structure takes different forms in John Maynard Keynes and Piero Sraffa, whose contributions are discussed in chapters 14 and 16. Keynes hoped to make his theses acceptable, revolutionary as they were, to scholars trained within the marginalist tradition. However, his conciliatory manner generated glaring distortions of his thought, which became sterilised in the canonical version of the 'neo-classical synthesis'. Sraffa, on the other hand, formulated his analysis in such a way as to render possible its use both in a constructive way, within a classical perspective, and for the purpose of criticism, within the marginalist approach. However, this made it more difficult to reconstruct the method and conceptual foundations of his contribution, again opening the way to a number of misunderstandings.

Finally, mainly on the basis of Keynes's and Sraffa's contributions, and taking into account recent developments illustrated in chapter 17, chapter 18 presents some tentative and provisional reflections on the prospect for economic science.

#### Preface

xiii

The by now somewhat remote origin of this work was a course of lectures on Economic philosophies given in 1978 at Rutgers University. I had already done research on Torrens, Sraffa and Petty (Roncaglia 1972, 1975, 1977) and I deluded myself that I would be able to write a book of this kind on the basis of my lecture notes in a relatively brief span of time. In the following years I gave courses of lectures in the history of economic thought on various occasions: at the University of Paris X (Nanterre), at the Faculty of Statistics and the doctorate courses in Economic Sciences of the University of Rome (La Sapienza), and at the Institute Sant' Anna of Pisa. I have also taken part in the realisation of an Italian TV series, The Pin Factory: twenty-seven instalments on the major protagonists of the history of economic thought. These experiences played an essential part in the endeavour to make my exposition ever clearer and more systematic. The research work benefited over the years from MIUR's (the Italian Ministry for Universities and Research) research grants. It was also greatly helped by remarks and suggestions received at a number of seminars and conferences, and on the papers that I have over time published on issues in the history of economic thought. Many colleagues and friends have been of great help; I wish to recall here the initial stimulus offered by Piero Sraffa and Paolo Sylos Labini, and the useful suggestions of Giacomo Becattini, Marcella Corsi, Franco Donzelli, Geoff Harcourt, Marco Lippi, Cristina Marcuzzo, Nerio Naldi, Cosimo Perrotta, Gino Roncaglia, Mario Tonveronachi, Luisa Valente and Roberto Villetti, who read drafts of some of the chapters. Silvia Brandolin provided precious help with the editing.

The English edition embodies some new material and a number of minor changes, prompted by comments and suggestions of Giuseppe Privitera and other readers of the (by now) two Italian editions and of four anonymous referees. Thanks are also due to Graham Sells (and to Mark Walters for chapters 12, 13 and 17) for help in improving my bastard English style, and to Annie Lovett, Patricia Maurice and Jo North for their kindness and patience while seeing this book through the press. Obviously the responsibility for remaining errors – unavoidable in a work of this kind – is mine. I will be grateful to readers who point such errors out to me (Alessandro.Roncaglia@uniromal.it).

#### Notice

Bibliographical references will follow the customary system: name of author, date of the work. The latter will be the original date of publication (with the exception of authors of antiquity), while the page reference will be to the edition of the work used here, i.e. the last not in brackets of the

#### xiv Preface

editions cited in the bibliography. When this is not an English edition, the translation of the passages quoted is mine. In some cases of posthumous publications, the year in which the work was written is indicated between square brackets. When referring to other parts of this work the number of the chapter and section will follow the sign §, but the chapter number will be omitted when referring to a section within the same chapter.