

Index

- a posteriori*, 181, 182, 208
- a posteriori* epistemology 208–12
- a priori* beliefs, 79
- a priori* justification, 179–200
 - basic *a priori* justification, 181, 185, 187–93
 - Bealer, George, 185
 - empiricism, 159, 193
 - Kant, Immanuel, 161, 188, 194
 - linguisticism, 199–200
 - modest account, 187
 - Plantinga, Alvin, 191, 192
 - purely intellectual experience, 184
 - rationalism, 193
 - reason as a source of justification, 184
 - strong account, 187–93
- a priori* knowledge, 179–200, 208–12
 - analytic, 179, 193–200
 - empiricism, 159, 193
 - rationalism, 193
 - synthetic, 193–200
- abductive inference, 153
- abductivist, 155
- abominable conjunction, 148
- acquaintance knowledge, 3
- analytic, 179, 193–200
 - conceptual containment, 194
 - logical form, 196
 - logical truth, 196
 - property of sentences, 199
 - property of propositions, 199
 - vs. synthetic, 193–200
- animal knowledge, 102–5, 129
 - vs. reflective knowledge, 102–5
- Alston, William, 108
 - justified epistemic belief, 167
 - on epistemic circularity, 118–26
- Amico, Robert, 163, 166
- aptness, 85, 98, 101–7, 116, 117, 123, 125, 129
 - vs. justification, 98, 101–7, 116, 125
- argument from error, 138–39
- argument from ignorance, 139–43
- Aristotle, 9
- autonomous, 212–15
- axiom, 186–88
- axiomatic, 186–87
- Augustine, St., 163–65
- basic *a priori* justification, 181, 185, 187–93
 - axiom, 186–88
 - axiomatic, 186–87
 - certain, 187–93
 - indefeasible, 188
 - modest account, 187
 - strong account, 187–93
 - vs. nonbasic *a priori* justification, 181
- basic belief, 45–50, 73–80, 88, 120–21, 146, 180–81
 - nonbasic, 45
- basic virtues, 128
- Bealer, George, 185
- belief, 2, 7–9
 - dispositional, 8–9
 - occurrent, 8–9
 - propositional attitude, 8
- belief dependent process, 87–88
- belief independent process, 87–88

228 Index

- Blanshard, Brand, 12
- Bishop Berkeley, 155
- BonJour, Laurence, 61–62, 75–80, 92, 94, 109
- criticism of particularism, 169–70
 - dilemma of nondoxastic justification, 62–63
- bootstrapping, 126, 127
- Butchvarov, Panayot, 176–77
- causal approach, 37–41
- certainty, 134–36, 187–93
- certainty argument, 134–36
- Chisholm, Roderick, 158–66, 169–78
- problem of the criterion, 158–78
- classical foundationalism, 51–55, 59–61, 193, 203, 215
- cognitive mental state, 62–63
- cognitively spontaneous, 54
- coherence theory of justification, 49–50, 66–84, 109, 110, 115, 203, 215
- a priori* justification, 183–84
 - doxastic theory of justification, 68
 - holistic conception of justification, 49
 - isolation argument, 80–82
- coherence, 66–70, 101–4, 116, 126, 129
- explanatory connections, 69
 - mutually supporting, 50
 - negative 67
 - positive 67
- common sense judgments, 170–76
- common sense particularism, 162, 169, 171, 172
- conceptually contained, 194
- conditionally reliable process, 88
- contextualism, 149–52
- contextualist response, 149–52
- criteria of knowledge, 161
- Darwinian argument, 204–7
- defeasibility approach, 31–37
- defeat, 19–21, 34–37
- justificational, 34–37
 - factual, 34–37
- Descartes, René, 13, 51–52, 133, 164–65
- descriptive properties, 212–15
- dilemma of nondoxastic justification, 62–63
- dogmatic, 176–77
- doxastic source of justification, 59
- doxastic theory of justification, 68
- empirical thesis, 208–12
- empiricism, 159, 193
- a posteriori*, 181, 182, 208
 - a priori* justification, 179–200
 - vs. rationalism, 193
- epistemic circularity, 117–19
- Alston, William, 118–26
 - Fumerton, Richard, 118
 - logically circular, 119
 - problem of Roxanne, 126–30
 - Reid, Thomas, 118
 - Sosa, Ernest, 124–26, 128–30
 - track record argument, 118–24
 - Vogel, Jonathan, 126–28
- epistemic justification, 13–7, 108–17
- a priori* justification, 179–200
 - coherence theory of justification, 49–50, 66–84, 109, 110, 115, 203, 215
 - evaluative property, 57–58, 63, 166–69
 - evidence, 17–21, 113
 - externalism, 108–17, 210
 - foundationalism, 44–65, 73–84, 88
 - holistic conception of, 49
 - internalism, 108–17
 - justifying a proposition, 14, 73–75
 - linear conception of, 49
 - reliabilism, 85–90, 110, 115, 203, 210
 - skepticism about, 131
 - well-founded, 16–17
- epistemic knowledge, 160
- epistemic property, 212–15
- epistemically responsible, 110–13
- ethics, 217
- ethical naturalism, 213
- evaluative property, 57–58, 63, 166–69, 202, 203, 215
- supervenience, 57–58, 166–69, 212, 214–15
- evaluative question, 204–7
- evidence, 17–21, 113

- conclusive evidence, 17–19
- defeat, 19–21
- inconclusive, 18
- nonconclusive, 18–19, 24
- total, 19
- experience, 182–93
 - purely intellectual experience, 184
 - noncognitive mental state, 62–63
 - nondoxastic experience, 59–65, 73–75, 88, 109
- externalism, 108–17, 210
 - vs. internalism, 108–17
- factual defeat, 34–37
- Feldman, Richard, 33–34, 71–72, 203
- foundationalism, 44–65, 73–84, 88
 - classical, 51–55, 59–61, 193, 203, 215
 - dilemma of nondoxastic justification, 62–63
 - givenist, 88, 109, 110, 115, 123
 - modest, 55–56, 59–61, 160, 193
 - nondoxastic source of justification, 59–61
 - regress argument, 47–50
 - scatter problem, 64, 65
 - two main theses, 47
- Frege, Gottlob, 191, 196
- Fumerton, Richard, 118
- generality problem, 92–94, 105–7
- Gettier, Edmund, 22, 23
- Gettier's counter-examples, 23–27
- Gettier problem, 22–27, 210
 - no false grounds approach, 27–31
 - defeasibility approach, 31–37
 - causal approach, 37–41
- givenist foundationalism, 88, 109, 110, 115, 123
- global skepticism, 132
- Goldman, Alvin, 38–41, 85–90, 93–98, 216–18
- Hare, R. M., 166–67
- hedonistic utilitarianism, 161
- holistic conception of justification, 49
- Hume, David, 159
- immediately justified belief, 45
- inconclusive evidence, 18
- indefeasible, 188, 190–93
- infallible, 51–53, 82, 87, 136–37, 193
- infallibility argument, 136–37
- inference to the best explanation, 153–57
- inference to the best explanation
 - response, 152–57
 - Lipton, Peter, 154, 155
 - Russell, Bertrand, 154
- intellectual virtues, 98–107, 113, 116, 123, 125
- internalism, 108–17
 - epistemically responsible, 110–13
 - vs. externalism, 108–17
- isolation argument, 80–82
- James, William, 11
- JTB account of knowledge, 7, 13, 23, 24
- justificational defeat, 34–37
- Kant, Immanuel, 161, 188, 194
 - a priori* knowledge, 181–82
 - analytic, 194
- Kim, Jaegwon, 203
- knowledge, 2–7, 116
 - a priori* knowledge, 179–200, 208–12
 - acquaintance, 3
 - animal knowledge, 102–5, 129
 - causal approach, 37–41
 - defeasibility approach, 31–37
 - epistemic knowledge, 160
 - Gettier's counter-examples, 23–27
 - “how to,” 4–5
 - JTB account, 7, 13, 23, 24
 - no false grounds approach, 27–31
 - propositional, 2–7
 - reflective knowledge, 102–5
 - skepticism about, 131
- Kornblith, Hilary, 204–7
 - Darwinian argument, 204–7
- Leibniz, G. W., 180
- linear conception of justification, 49
- limited naturalism, 215–18
- linguisticism, 199–200

- Lipton, Peter, 154, 155
 inference to the best explanation
 response, 152–57
- local skepticism, 132
- Locke, John, 159
- logically circular, 119
- logical consistency, 68
- logical form, 196
- logical truth, 196
- Maffie, James, 208, 212–14
- Magoo, Mr. 125
- Matrix, The*, 51
- maximally justified, 134, 188
- metaphysical continuity, 212–15
- methodism, 158–69
 objection from supervenience, 166–69
 problem of the criterion, 158–78
 vs. particularism, 158–78
- Mill, John Stuart, 167
- modest account of *a priori* justification, 187
- modest foundationalism, 55–56, 59–61, 160, 193
- Moore, G. E., 143–47, 159, 162, 169–73, 212
 response to skepticism, 145, 151
- Moore's counterargument, 145, 151
- Moser, Paul, 170–76
- mutually supporting, 50
- natural facts, 213–15
- naturalized epistemology, 201–18
 a posteriori epistemology, 208–12
 Darwinian argument, 204–7
 empirical thesis, 208–12
 ethical naturalism, 213
 limited naturalism, 215–18
 Kornblith, Hilary, 204–7
 Maffie, James, 208, 212–14
 metaphysical continuity, 212–15
 normative epistemology, 203
 replacement thesis, 203–7
 Quine, W. V., 201–3, 208
- neighborhood reliabilism, 126
- new evil demon problem, 91–92, 95–96, 104
- no false grounds approach, 27–31
- noncognitive mental state, 62–63
- nonconclusive evidence, 18–19, 24
- nondoxastic experience, 59–65, 73–75, 88, 109
 dilemma of nondoxastic justification, 62–63
 experience, 182–93
 purely intellectual experience, 184
 noncognitive mental state, 62–63
- nondoxastic source of justification, 59–61
- normal world chauvinism, 95–96
- normative epistemology, 203
- normative questions, 216
- objection from supervenience, 166–69
- particular epistemic proposition, 160, 165, 172
- particularism, 158–78
 common sense particularism, 162, 169, 171, 172
 dogmatic, 176–77
 objection from supervenience, 166–69
 problem of the criterion, 158–78
 question-begging, 173–76
- Plantinga, Alvin, 191, 192
- Plato, 1, 43
- practical rationality, 121–24
- prima facie* principles, 161
- principle of deductive closure, 24–26
- principle of exclusion, 139–40, 147–49
- problem of Roxanne, 126–30
- problem of the criterion, 158–78
 Amico, Robert, 163, 166
 BonJour, Laurence, 169–70
 Butchvarov, Panayot, 176–77
 Chisholm, Roderick, 158–66, 169–78
 common sense particularism, 162, 169, 171, 172
 criteria of knowledge, 161
 dogmatic, 176–77
 Moser, Paul, 170–76
 methodism, 158–69
 objection from supervenience, 166–69

- particular epistemic proposition, 160, 165, 172
- particularism, 158–78
- prima facie* principles, 161
- question-begging, 173–76
- problem of unknown reliability, 92, 94–95, 104–5
- process tokens, 87
- process types, 87
- property identity, 213
- proposition, 8, 10
- propositional attitude, 8
- propositional knowledge, 2–7
- psychology, 201–12, 216–18
- purely intellectual experience, 184

- question-begging, 173–76
- Quine, W. V., 201–3, 208

- rationalism, 193
 - a posteriori*, 181, 182, 208
 - a priori* justification, 179–200
 - vs. empiricism, 159, 193
- reason as a source of justification, 184
- reflective knowledge, 102–5
 - vs. animal knowledge, 102–5, 129
- regress argument, 47–50
- Reid, Thomas, 118, 159, 162, 180, 191–92
 - Testimonial defeat of *a priori* justification, 191–92
- relevant alternatives, 147–49
- relevant alternatives response, 147–49
- reliabilism, 85–90, 110, 115, 203, 210
 - a priori* justification, 184
 - generality problem, 92–94, 105–7
 - Goldman, Alvin, 85–90, 93–98
 - neighborhood reliabilism, 126
 - new evil demon problem, 91–92, 95–96, 104
 - normal world chauvinism, 95–96
 - problem of Roxanne, 126–30
 - problem of unknown reliability, 92, 94–95, 104–5
 - process tokens, 87
 - process types, 87
 - strongly justified, 96–98
 - weakly justified, 96–98, 104
- replacement thesis, 203–7
- Russell, Bertrand, 154, 189, 191

- scatter problem, 64, 65
- Schmitt, Frederick, 94
- sensitivity, 139–40
- sensitivity requirement on knowledge, 142–43
- skepticism, 131–62
 - about knowledge, 131
 - about justification, 131
 - argument from error, 138–39
 - argument from ignorance, 139–43
 - certainty argument, 134–36
 - contextualist response, 149–52
 - global, 132
 - infallibility argument, 136–37
 - inference to the best explanation response, 152–57
 - local, 132
 - Moore's counterargument, 145, 151
 - relevant alternatives response, 147–49
 - sensitivity requirement on knowledge, 142–43
- Sosa, Ernest, 98–108, 113–14, 116, 124–26, 128–30
 - animal knowledge, 102–5, 129
 - aptness, 85, 98, 101–7, 116, 117, 123, 125, 129
 - epistemic circularity, 124–26
 - justification, 98, 101–7, 116, 125
 - reflective knowledge, 102–5
 - virtue epistemology, 98–107, 115, 130
- Steup, Matthias, 18–19, 34, 109, 190–91
 - justificational defeat, 34–37
 - factual defeat, 34–37
- strong account of *a priori* justification, 187–93
- strongly justified, 96–98
- Superman, 64, 100
- subjunctive conditional, 32
- substitution instance, 196
- supervene, 57–58, 166–69, 212, 214–15
 - evaluative property, 57–58, 63, 166–69, 202, 203, 215

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-84213-6 - An Introduction to the Theory of Knowledge

Noah Lemos

Index

[More information](#)

232 Index

- objection from supervenience, 166–69
- property identity, 213
- synthetic, 193–200
 - vs. analytic, 193–200
- Tienson, John, 137–38
- total evidence, 19
- track record argument, 118–24
- truth, 9–10, 199–200
 - Aristotle, 9
 - Blanshard, Brand, 12
 - coherence theory, 12–13
 - correspondence theory, 9–10
 - James, William, 11
 - JTB account of knowledge, 7, 13
 - logical truth, 196
 - pragmatic theory, 10–12
- unanalyzable, 196
- Vogel, Jonathan, 126–28
 - problem of Roxanne, 126–30
- virtue epistemology, 85, 98–107, 115, 130
 - aptness, 85, 98, 101–7, 116, 117, 123, 125, 129
 - intellectual virtues, 98–107, 113, 116, 123, 125
 - justification, 98, 101–7, 116, 125
 - Sosa, Ernest, 98–108, 113–14, 116
- weakly justified, 96–98, 104