

## THE RIGHTS OF REFUGEES UNDER INTERNATIONAL LAW

States are increasingly challenging the logic of simply assimilating refugees to their own citizens. Questions are now raised about whether refugees should be allowed to enjoy freedom of movement, to work, to access public welfare programs, or to be reunited with family members. Doubts have been expressed about the propriety of exempting refugees from visa and other immigration rules, and even about whether there is really a duty to admit refugees at all. This book presents the first ever comprehensive analysis of the human rights of refugees set by the UN Refugee Convention, including analysis of its history and application by senior courts. Hathaway links these standards to key norms of international human rights law, and applies his analysis to the most difficult protection challenges faced around the world. This is a pioneering scholarly work, and a critical resource for advocates, judges, and policymakers.

JAMES C. HATHAWAY is James E. and Sarah A. Degan Professor of Law at the University of Michigan, and is a leading authority on, and is widely published in, international refugee law. He is the founding director of the University of Michigan's innovative Program in Refugee and Asylum Law, in which students have the opportunity to study refugee law from international, comparative, and interdisciplinary perspectives. He is also Senior Visiting Research Associate at Oxford University's Refugee Studies Programme. Hathaway was previously Professor of Law and Associate Dean of the Osgoode Hall Law School (Toronto), and has been a visiting professor at the American University in Cairo, and at the universities of Tokyo and California. He regularly provides training on refugee law to academic, non-governmental, and official audiences around the world.

Cambridge University Press  
978-0-521-83494-0 - The Rights of Refugees Under International Law  
James C. Hathaway  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

Cambridge University Press  
978-0-521-83494-0 - The Rights of Refugees Under International Law  
James C. Hathaway  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

THE RIGHTS OF REFUGEES  
UNDER INTERNATIONAL  
LAW

JAMES C. HATHAWAY



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press  
978-0-521-83494-0 - The Rights of Refugees Under International Law  
James C. Hathaway  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9780521542630](http://www.cambridge.org/9780521542630)

© James C. Hathaway 2005

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2005

5th printing 2015

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc.

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library*

ISBN-13 978-0-521-83494-0 Hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-54263-0 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press  
978-0-521-83494-0 - The Rights of Refugees Under International Law  
James C. Hathaway  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

*In memory of Lisa Gilad*

“[D]ecisions had at times given the impression that it was a conference for the protection of helpless sovereign states against the wicked refugee. The draft Convention had at times been in danger of appearing to the refugee like the menu at an expensive restaurant, with every course crossed out except, perhaps, the soup, and a footnote to the effect that even the soup might not be served in certain circumstances.”

Mr. Rees, International Council of Voluntary Agencies (Nov. 26, 1951)

“[I]t was clearly in the best interests of refugees that [the Refugee Convention] should be cast in a form which would be acceptable to governments, thus inducing them to accept at least certain commitments . . . Otherwise, they would be obliged to enter reservations which would probably exclude even those minimum commitments. Liberalism which was blind to the facts of reality could only beat the air.”

Mr. Rochefort, Representative of France (Nov. 30, 1951)

## CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>page</i> xiii
<i>Table of cases</i>	xvii
<i>Table of treaties and other international instruments</i>	xxxiii
<i>Abbreviations for courts and tribunals cited</i>	1
<b>Introduction</b>	1
<b>1 International law as a source of refugee rights</b>	<b>15</b>
1.1 A modern positivist understanding of the sources of universal rights	16
1.1.1 Customary law	24
1.1.2 General principles of law	26
1.1.3 <i>Jus cogens</i> standards	28
1.2 The present scope of universal human rights law	31
1.2.1 Human rights under customary international law	34
1.2.2 Human rights derived from general principles of law	39
1.2.3 Human rights set by the United Nations Charter	41
1.3 An interactive approach to treaty interpretation	48
1.3.1 The perils of “ordinary meaning”	49
1.3.2 Context	53
1.3.3 Object and purpose, conceived as effectiveness	55
1.3.4 But what about state practice?	68

<b>2</b>	<b>The evolution of the refugee rights regime</b>	<b>75</b>
2.1	International aliens law	75
2.2	International protection of minorities	81
2.3	League of Nations codifications of refugee rights	83
2.4	The Convention relating to the Status of Refugees	91
2.4.1	Substantive rights	93
2.4.2	Reservations	95
2.4.3	Temporal and geographical restrictions	96
2.4.4	Duties of refugees	98
2.4.5	Non-impairment of other rights	108
2.5	Post-Convention sources of refugee rights	110
2.5.1	Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees	110
2.5.2	Conclusions and guidelines on international protection	112
2.5.3	Regional refugee rights regimes	118
2.5.4	International human rights law	119
2.5.5	Duty of equal protection of non-citizens	123
2.5.6	International aliens law	147
<b>3</b>	<b>The structure of entitlement under the Refugee Convention</b>	<b>154</b>
3.1	Attachment to the asylum state	156
3.1.1	Subject to a state's jurisdiction	160
3.1.2	Physical presence	171
3.1.3	Lawful presence	173
3.1.4	Lawful stay	186
3.1.5	Durable residence	190
3.2	The general standard of treatment	192
3.2.1	Assimilation to aliens	196
3.2.2	Exemption from reciprocity	200



CONTENTS		ix
3.2.3	Exemption from insurmountable requirements	205
3.2.4	Rights governed by personal status	209
3.3	Exceptional standards of treatment	228
3.3.1	Most-favored-national treatment	230
3.3.2	National treatment	234
3.3.3	Absolute rights	237
3.4	Prohibition of discrimination between and among refugees	238
3.5	Restrictions on refugee rights	260
3.5.1	Suspension of rights for reasons of national security	261
3.5.2	Exemption from exceptional measures	270
<b>4</b>	<b>Rights of refugees physically present</b>	<b>278</b>
4.1	Right to enter and remain in an asylum state ( <i>non-refoulement</i> )	279
4.1.1	Beneficiaries of protection	302
4.1.2	Nature of the duty of <i>non-refoulement</i>	307
4.1.3	Extraterritorial <i>refoulement</i>	335
4.1.4	Individuated exceptions	342
4.1.5	Qualified duty in the case of mass influx	355
4.1.6	An expanded concept of <i>non-refoulement</i> ?	363
4.2	Freedom from arbitrary detention and penalization for illegal entry	370
4.2.1	Beneficiaries of protection	388
4.2.2	Non-penalization	405
4.2.3	Expulsion	412
4.2.4	Provisional detention and other restrictions on freedom of movement	413

4.3	Physical security	439
4.3.1	Right to life	450
4.3.2	Freedom from torture, cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment	453
4.3.3	Security of person	457
4.4	Necessities of life	460
4.4.1	Freedom from deprivation	461
4.4.2	Access to food and shelter	471
4.4.3	Access to healthcare	507
4.5	Property rights	514
4.5.1	Movable and immovable property rights	517
4.5.2	Tax equity	527
4.6	Family unity	533
4.7	Freedom of thought, conscience, and religion	560
4.8	Education	584
4.9	Documentation of identity and status	614
4.10	Judicial and administrative assistance	626
<b>5</b>	<b>Rights of refugees lawfully present</b>	<b>657</b>
5.1	Protection from expulsion	659
5.2	Freedom of residence and internal movement	695
5.3	Self-employment	719
<b>6</b>	<b>Rights of refugees lawfully staying</b>	<b>730</b>
6.1	Right to work	730
6.1.1	Wage-earning employment	739
6.1.2	Fair working conditions	763
6.1.3	Social security	772
6.2	Professional practice	786

CONTENTS		xi
6.3	Public relief and assistance	800
6.4	Housing	813
6.5	Intellectual property rights	829
6.6	International travel	840
6.7	Freedom of expression and association	874
6.8	Assistance to access the courts	905
<b>7</b>	<b>Rights of solution</b>	<b>913</b>
7.1	Repatriation	917
7.2	Voluntary reestablishment	953
7.3	Resettlement	963
7.4	Naturalization	977
	<b>Epilogue: Challenges to the viability of refugee rights</b>	<b>991</b>
	The challenge of enforceability	992
	The challenge of political will	998
	<i>Appendices</i>	
1	<i>Convention relating to the Status of Refugees (1951)</i>	1003
2	<i>Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees (1967)</i>	1019
3	<i>Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948)</i>	1023
4	<i>International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966)</i>	1030
5	<i>International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1966)</i>	1050
	<i>Select bibliography</i>	1061
	<i>Index</i>	1073

Cambridge University Press  
978-0-521-83494-0 - The Rights of Refugees Under International Law  
James C. Hathaway  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book has evolved over the course of more than a decade. It was inspired by a call from the refugee law pioneer Atle Grahl-Madsen, shortly before his death. Professor Grahl-Madsen asked me to consider preparing a comprehensive analysis of the rights of refugees, drawing freely upon notes which he had authored during the 1960s (which were subsequently published in full by UNHCR in 1997). Grahl-Madsen's prescient vision was to link an updated study of the rights derived from the Refugee Convention with analysis of relevant norms of international human rights – thus yielding a truly comprehensive understanding of the refugee rights regime. As always, Grahl-Madsen was ahead of the curve: he foresaw that the days in which recognition of refugee status would lead with relatively little debate to respect for relevant legal entitlements would not last forever, and that there was therefore an urgent need for the academy to consolidate a clear understanding of the international legal rules that define the baseline entitlements that follow from refugee status. This book is my effort to do justice to his vision.

My own sense was that the study of legal norms would be most fruitful if tested against the hard facts of refugee life on the ground. The design for a mixed legal–empirical study emerged with the generous support of colleagues at York University's interdisciplinary Centre for Refugee Studies, in particular David Dewitt, Winona Giles, Diana Lary, and Penny Van Esterik. The university supported the launch of this research by awarding me the Walter L. Gordon Research Professorship for the academic year 1994–1995; the research effort itself was generously funded by Canada's Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council. At the same time, the International Academy of Comparative Law kindly appointed me General Rapporteur for a transnational study of the implementation of refugee rights around the world: with the extraordinary support of a team of twenty-eight National Rapporteurs, the analytical framework which grounds this book emerged. As the footnotes throughout this volume make clear, I remain enormously indebted to this group of eminent scholars who shared my commitment to developing an understanding of refugee rights capable of meeting real challenges in often difficult circumstances.

Much of the book was written while I was on the faculty of Osgoode Hall Law School of York University, in Toronto. Deans Jim MacPherson and Marilyn Pilkington were unfailingly supportive of my ambitions. My talented law colleagues Bill Angus and John Evans provided regular and much-needed advice, and were consistently encouraging of my efforts. My ever-supportive best friend Jamie Cameron kept my spirits high, even when I felt impossibly weighed down by the enormity of this undertaking – a role which I am thankful she still plays for me.

During the early years of this research, I had the honor to work with an outstanding team in the Law Unit of the Centre for Refugee Studies. Leanne MacMillan and Alex Neve coordinated the legal research work, and tolerated my wholly unreasonable requests with grace and true professionalism. Enthusiastic and top-quality research assistance was provided by an able team of graduate students, in particular by Michael Barutciski and Jeanne Donald. Unique recognition is owed to John Dent, who became my true partner in this research effort. John began work on this study while a graduate student in political science, and pursued the project full time after completing his degree. Not only did he conceive and execute a truly extraordinary empirical research effort, but he worked side by side with me on development of the book's legal analysis as well. Simply put, this book would never have emerged without John's invaluable insights and contributions.

When I moved to the University of Michigan Law School in 1998, it was in large part because then-Dean Jeffrey Lehman shared my vision to develop an unparalleled curriculum in international and comparative refugee and asylum law. Jeff found the resources to support my work, and allowed me to focus my energies entirely on thinking about refugee protection concerns. His successor Evan Caminker has been similarly generous to me, and has found the time to help me shape my research agenda. Wonderful colleagues at the Law School have given freely of their knowledge and perspectives – in particular, Christine Chinkin, Rob Howse, Chris McCrudden, Catharine MacKinnon, Roberta Morris, Bruno Simma, and Eric Stein. I am grateful also for the fine research assistance of Anne Cusick and Dipen Sabharwal. Louise Moor and Larissa Wakim not only helped me fine-tune my research, but agreed to coordinate much of the Program in Refugee and Asylum Law in order to give me the time to complete my writing. And from beginning to end of this endeavor, the outstanding resources of the University of Michigan Law Library have been made available to me. Law Librarian Margaret Leary met with me on my first day at the faculty to assess my research needs; her colleague Barb Garavaglia created a system that allowed me nearly painlessly to monitor key legal and social developments; and Aimee Mangan ensured that every research request I made was answered not only promptly, but with an attention to detail that most academics can only dream of.

A special acknowledgment is owed to an amazing group of visiting faculty and senior graduate students – Michelle Foster, Rodger Haines, Seong Soo Kim, Luis Peral, Dipen Sabharwal, and Seyoum Tesfay – each of whom generously read draft chapters of the book, and met regularly over the course of the winter 2003 term to discuss them. This process significantly sharpened my thinking, and was critical in identifying for me where additional work was required. Rodger and Luis have proved the best of friends and colleagues to me, continuing to provide wise counsel from afar at a moment's notice.

Nor have I benefited only from the assistance of colleagues close to home. Christian Wolff, a graduate student at Oxford's Refugee Studies Centre, undertook a massive empirical updating project for me in 2003–2004 – spanning literally every concern, in every part of the world. His efforts were heroic, and the research unearthed of enormous value to ensuring the continuing relevance of the case studies presented here. Chris Nash of the European Council on Refugees and Exiles, as well as academics Lee Anne de la Hunt, David Turton, and Marjoleine Zieck, was key among a group of persons I prevailed upon to advise me. I also acknowledge with gratitude the comments on my research from students to whom it has been presented at the Oxford University Refugee Studies Centre, as well as from researchers at Amnesty International in London where I have had the privilege to teach refugee law for many years.

Some of the most direct assistance I have received has been from a wonderful group of support persons – Wendy Rambo and Rose della Rocca at Osgoode Hall, and Baiba Hicks, Janice Proctor, and Karen Rushlow at Michigan. They have all taken a serious interest in this project, and found creative ways to advance the flow of this research, for which I am most grateful.

I have also received extraordinary support from Cambridge University Press to bring this book into being. Finola O'Sullivan believed in this project from the start, and ensured that standard publishing procedures were tailored to meet the particular challenges of producing this book. Diane Illott was the model of a perfectionist editor: her proposals for revision were routinely thoughtful, and of real assistance to me. And Maureen MacGlashan has created a wonderful set of tables and indices, which I am confident will enable even the most demanding reader to navigate this book with ease.

And finally, there is a cast of wonderful people who have kept me sane during the long period of research and writing. John Moreau suffered more than anyone from my dedication to this work; I owe him more than I can say. My canine pal Otis patiently watched nearly every keystroke from the beginning to end of this writing project, silently communicating his unflinching confidence that I could see the project through. And last but definitely not least, I have been blessed with the very best of friends and family who supported me during interminable bouts of anxiety and stress. To my parents, Bernice and Charles Hathaway; and to Virginia Gordan in Ann Arbor,

Paul Gravett and Mark Hand on Salt Spring Island, and Howard and Pat Frederick in Tucson: thank you for never letting me down.

This book is dedicated to my dear friend Lisa Gilad – social anthropologist, advocate for social justice, and refugee law decision-maker – who died tragically before she could see her inspired agenda to better the lot of refugees through to completion. Lisa was committed to the view that law could make a critical difference to the welfare of refugees, and worked tirelessly to inspire a humane understanding of protection principles among her colleagues, as well as in the broader community of persons working with refugees in government, academia, and on the front lines. My hope is that this study will contribute to the work of others who, like Lisa, believe that refugee protection can best be assured by a steadfast commitment to clear rules, interpreted in context, and applied with compassion.

James C. Hathaway  
Ann Arbor, Michigan  
December 2004

Every effort has been made to secure necessary permissions to reproduce copyright material in this work, though in some cases it has proved impossible to trace copyright holders. If any omissions are brought to our notice, we will be happy to include appropriate acknowledgments on reprinting.



## TABLE OF CASES

### I. International decisions

#### *International Court of Justice*

- Aegean Sea Continental Shelf Case (Greece v. Turkey), [1978] ICJ Rep 3; 60 ILR 562 57
- Arbitral Award of 31 July 1989 (Guinea-Bissau v. Senegal), [1991] ICJ Rep 53; 92 ILR 1 48 n. 117, 53
- Asylum Case (Colombia v. Peru), Judgment, [1950] ICJ 266; 17 ILR 280 53, 69 n. 205, 173
- Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Company Limited (Belgium v. Spain), [1970] ICJ Rep 3; 46 ILR 1 44 n. 105, 45 n. 109, 47
- Border and Transborder Armed Actions (Nicaragua v. Honduras) Jurisdiction and Admissibility, [1988] ICJ Rep 69; 84 ILR 218 57
- Cameroon v. Nigeria, [1998] ICJ Rep 2; 106 ILR 144 366 n. 386
- Certain Expenses of the United Nations, [1962] ICJ Rep 151; 34 ILR 281 27 n. 39, 31, 46–47, 51, 68–69, 70
- Constitution of the Maritime Safety Committee of the Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO), [1960] ICJ Rep 4; 30 ILR 426 51, 66
- Corfu Channel Case, Merits (United Kingdom v. Albania), [1949] ICJ Rep 4; 16 AD 155 63 n. 180
- Elektronika Sicula (USA v. Italy), [1989] ICJ Rep 15; 84 ILR 311 60
- Gabcikovo–Nagymaros Project (Hungary/Slovakia), [1997] ICJ Rep 7; 116 ILR 17 66
- Kasikili/Seduda Island (Botswana v. Namibia), Preliminary Objections, [1996] ICJ Rep 803 48 n. 117
- Land, Island and Maritime Frontier Dispute (El Salvador v. Honduras), [1992] ICJ Rep 351; 97 ILR 112 50
- Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, (2004) ICJ Gen. List No. 131, decided July 9, 2004 59 n. 166, 147 n. 273, 164 n. 51, 165 n. 58, 168–169, 314, 947 n. 126
- Legal Consequences for States of the Continued Presence of South Africa in Namibia notwithstanding Security Council Resolution 276 (1970), [1971] ICJ Rep 6; 49 ILR 2 42 n. 98, 66, 69 n. 205
- Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons, [1996] ICJ Rep 226, 110 ILR 163 26 n. 35, 31 n. 54, 450

- Military and Paramilitary Activities in and Against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States), Jurisdiction, [1984] ICJ Rep 392; 76 ILR 104 58 n. 158
- Military and Paramilitary Activities in and Against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States, Merits), [1986] ICJ Rep 14; 76 ILR 349 42 n. 99, 44 n. 104, 45, 46, 167 n. 68, 904 n. 873
- North Sea Continental Shelf Cases (Federal Republic of Germany/Denmark; Federal Republic of Germany/Netherlands), [1969] ICJ Rep 3; 41 ILR 29 66
- Northern Cameroons Case, [1963] ICJ Rep 15; 35 ILR 353 60
- Reservations to the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, [1951] ICJ Rep 15; 18 ILR 364 37 n. 73, 57 n. 157, 72
- Review of Judgment No. 273 of the UN Administrative Tribunal, [1982] ICJ Rep 325; 69 ILR 330 60 n. 169
- Rights of Nationals of the United States in Morocco, [1952] ICJ Rep 176 53 n. 143
- Rights of Passage (Preliminary Objections), [1957] ICJ Rep 125; 24 ILR 840 64 n. 185
- South West Africa Case (Ethiopia v. South Africa; Liberia v. South Africa), Preliminary Objections, [1962] ICJ Rep 319 52 n. 137
- South West Africa Case (Ethiopia v. South Africa; Liberia v. South Africa), Second Phase, [1966] ICJ Rep 6; 37 ILR 243 46 n. 111, 57, 69 n. 205
- South West Africa (Voting Procedure), [1955] ICJ Rep 67; 22 ILR 651 31 n. 54
- Territorial Dispute (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya v. Chad), [1994] ICJ Rep 6; 100 ILR 1 48 n. 117
- United States Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Teheran, [1980] ICJ Rep 3; 61 ILR 530 42 n. 99, 46 n. 110

*Permanent Court of International Justice*

- Access to German Minority Schools in Upper Silesia, [1931] PCIJ Rep, Series A/B, No. 40; 6 ILR 383 82 n. 17
- Free Zones of Upper Savoy and the District of Gex Case, [1929] PCIJ Rep, Series A, No. 22; 6 ILR 362 et al. 63 n. 180
- Greco-Bulgarian Communities, [1930] PCIJ Rep, Series B, No. 17; 5 ILR 4 82 n. 17
- Minority Schools in Albania, [1935] PCIJ Rep, Series A/B, No. 64; 8 ILR 836 82 n. 17
- Treaty of Lausanne Case, [1925] PCIJ Rep, Series B, No. 13; 3 ILR 105 et al. 70 n. 206

*UN Committee Against Torture*

- Khan v. Canada, UNCAT Comm. No. 15, UN Doc. CAT/C/13/D/15/1994, decided July 4, 1994; 108 ILR 268 369 n. 396

*UN Human Rights Committee*

- A v. Australia, UNHRC Comm. No. 560/1993, UN Doc. CCPR/C/59/D/560/1993, decided April 30, 1997 425 n. 664
- Adam v. Czech Republic, UNHRC Comm. No. 586/1994, UN Doc. CCPR/C/57/D/586/1994, decided July 23, 1996 137

## TABLE OF CASES

xix

- Adu v. Canada, UNHRC Comm. No. 654/1995, UN Doc. CCPR/C/60/D/654/1995, decided July 18, 1997; 118 ILR 240 649 n. 1745
- Ahani v. Canada, UNHRC Comm. No. 1051/2002, UN Doc. CCPR/C/80/D/1051/2002, decided Mar. 29, 2004 370 n. 400
- Althammer v. Austria, UNHRC Comm. No. 998/2001, UN Doc. CCPR/C/78/D/998/2001, decided Aug. 8, 2003 138 n. 249
- Araujo-Jongen v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 418/1990, UN Doc. CCPR/C/49/D/418/1990, decided Oct. 22, 1993 135 n. 237
- AS v. Canada, UNHRC Comm. No. 68/1980, decided Mar. 31, 1981 135
- Avellanal v. Peru, UNHRC Comm. No. 202/1986, decided Oct. 28, 1988 912 n. 913
- Baban v. Australia, UNHRC Comm. No. 1014/2001, UN Doc. CCPR/C/78/D/1014/2001, decided Aug. 6, 2003 426 n. 664
- Bakhtiyari v. Australia, UNHRC Comm. No. 1069/2002, UN Doc. CCPR/C/79/D/1069/2002, decided Oct. 29, 2003 425 n. 663, 430, 434–435 n. 704, 543 n. 1262, 550
- Ballantyne and Davidson v. Canada and McIntyre v. Canada, UNHRC Comm. Nos. 359/1989 and 385/1989 (joined on Oct. 18, 1990), UN Docs. CCPR/C/40/D/359/1989 and CCPR/C/40/D/385/1989, decided Mar. 31, 1993 134, 893
- Ben Said v. Norway, UNHRC Comm. No. 767/1997, UN Doc. CCPR/C/68/D/767/1997, decided Mar. 20, 2000 651 n. 1751
- Bhinder v. Canada, UNHRC Comm. No. 208/1986, UN Doc. CCPR/C/37/D/208/1986, decided Nov. 9, 1989; 96 ILR 660 504 n. 1050
- Blazek v. Czech Republic, UNHRC Comm. No. 857/1999, UN Doc. CCPR/C/72/D/857/1999, decided July 12, 2001 138 n. 248, 146 n. 272
- Blom v. Sweden, UNHRC Comm. No. 191/1985, decided Apr. 4, 1998 140 n. 255
- Boodoo v. Trinidad and Tobago, UNHRC Comm. No. 721/1996, UN Doc. CCPR/C/74/D/721/1996, decided Apr. 2, 2002 575 n. 1404
- Borzov v. Estonia, UNHRC Comm. No. 1136/2002, UN Doc. CCPR/C/81/D/1136/2002, decided Aug. 25, 2004 143, 987 n. 328
- Brinkhof v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 402/1990, UN Doc. CCPR/C/48/D/402/1990, decided July 27, 1993 131 n. 225
- Broeks v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 172/1984, decided Apr. 9, 1987 129 n. 222
- Brok v. Czech Republic, UNHRC Comm. No. 774/1997, UN Doc. CCPR/C/73/D/774/1997, decided Oct. 31, 2001 138 n. 248
- Burgos v. Uruguay, UNHRC Comm. No. 52/1979, decided July 29, 1981; 68 ILR 29 168 n. 74, 895 n. 825, 899, 946–947 n. 126
- C v. Australia, UNHRC Comm. No. 900/1999, UN Doc. CCPR/C/76/D/900/1999, decided Oct. 28, 2002 455
- Cadore and Bihan v. France, UNHRC Comm. Nos. 221/1987 and 323/1988, decided Apr. 11, 1991 654 n. 1771
- Canepa v. Canada, UNHRC Comm. No. 558/1993, UN Doc. CCPR/C/59/D/558/1993, decided Apr. 3, 1997 990 n. 340
- Casariago v. Uruguay, UNHRC Comm. No. 56/1979, decided July 29, 1981; 68 ILR 41 168 n. 74, 314 n. 172, 946 n. 126

- Celepli v. Sweden, UNHRC Comm. No. 456/1991, UN Doc. CCPR/C/51/D/456/1991, decided Mar. 19, 1993 182–183, 718 n. 295
- Danning v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 180/1984, decided Apr. 9, 1987 129 n. 222, 130 n. 224
- Debreczeny v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 500/1992, UN Doc. CCPR/C/53/D/500/1992, decided Apr. 3, 1995 139–140
- Deisl v. Austria, UNHRC Comm. No. 1060/2002, UN Doc. CCPR/C/81/D/1060/2002, decided Aug. 23, 2004 648 n. 1740
- Delgado Paéz v. Colombia, UNHRC Comm. No. 195/1985, decided July 12, 1990 458
- Derksen v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 976/2001, UN Doc. CCPR/C/80/D/1976/2001, decided Apr. 1, 2004 130 n. 224, 138 n. 249
- Des Fours v. Czech Republic, UNHRC Comm. No. 747/1997, UN Doc. CCPR/C/73/D/747/1997, decided Oct. 30, 2001 139 n. 251
- Drake v. New Zealand, UNHRC Comm. No. 601/1994, UN Doc. CCPR/C/59/D/601/1994, decided Apr. 3, 1997; 118 ILR 222 142 n. 261
- Faurisson v. France, UNHRC Comm. No. 550/1993, UN Doc. CCPR/C/58/D/550/1993, decided Nov. 8, 1996; 115 ILR 355 899
- Foin v. France, UNHRC Comm. No. 666/1995, UN Doc. CCPR/C/67/D/666/1995, decided Nov. 3, 1999 129 n. 222, 144–145
- Gauthier v. Canada, UNHRC Comm. No. 633/1995, UN Doc. CCPR/C/65/D/633/1995, decided Apr. 7, 1999 900
- Gillot v. France, UNHRC Comm. No. 932/2000, UN Doc. CCPR/C/75/D/932/2000, decided July 15, 2002 143
- Godfried and Pohl v. Austria, UNHRC Comm. No. 1160/2003, UN Doc. CCPR/C/81/D/1160/2003, decided July 9, 2004 138 n. 250
- González del Rio v. Peru, UNHRC Comm. No. 263/1987, UN Doc. CCPR/C/40/D/263/1987, decided Nov. 6, 1990 956 n. 169
- Guesdon v. France, UNHRC Comm. No. 219/1986, decided July 25, 1990 654
- Gueye v. France, UNHRC Comm. No. 196/1985, decided Apr. 3, 1989; 114 ILR 312 132 n. 232
- Hammel v. Madagascar, UNHRC Comm. No. 155/1983, decided Apr. 3, 1987; 94 ILR 415 671 nn. 70–71
- Hertzberg et al. v. Finland, UNHRC Comm. No. 61/1979, decided Apr. 2, 1982; 70 ILR 297 902 n. 863
- Jalloh v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 794/1998, UN Doc. CCPR/C/74/D/794/1998, decided Mar. 26, 2002 429 n. 681
- JAMB-R v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 477/1991, UN Doc. CCPR/C/50/D/477/1991, decided Apr. 7, 1994 135 n. 237
- Järvinen v. Finland, UNHRC Comm. No. 295/1988, decided July 25, 1990; 118 ILR 137 144
- JB et al. v. Canada, UNHRC Comm. No. 118/1982, decided July 18, 1986 896–897
- JL v. Australia, UNHRC Comm. No. 491/1992, UN Doc. CCPR/C/45/D/491/1992, decided July 29, 1992 648 n. 1743

## TABLE OF CASES

xxi

- Kall v. Poland, UNHRC Comm. No. 552/1993, UN Doc. CCPR/C/60/D/552/1993, decided July 14, 1997 140 n. 254
- Karakurt v. Austria, UNHRC Comm. No. 965/2000, UN Doc. CCPR/C/74/D/965/2000, decided Apr. 4, 2002 127 n. 216, 132
- Karker v. France, UNHRC Comm. No. 833/1998, UN Doc. CCPR/C/70/D/833/1998, decided Oct. 26, 2000 718
- Kivenmaa v. Finland, UNHRC Comm. No. 412/1990, UN Doc. CCPR/C/50/D/412/1990, decided Mar. 31, 1994 893, 897, 898 n. 840
- Laptsevich v. Belarus, UNHRC Comm. No. 780/1997, UN Doc. CCPR/C/68/D/780/1997, decided Mar. 20, 2000 893
- Lestourneaud v. France, UNHRC Comm. No. 861/1999, UN Doc. CCPR/C/67/D/861/1999, decided Nov. 3, 1999 142 n. 262
- Love v. Australia, UNHRC Comm. No. 983/2001, UN Doc. CCPR/C/77/D/983/2001, decided Mar. 25, 2003 145–146 n. 270
- Luyeye v. Zaire, UNHRC Comm. No. 90/1981, decided July 21, 1983; 79 ILR 187 435–436
- Madafferi v. Australia, UNHRC Comm. No. 1011/2001, UN Doc. CCPR/C/81/D/1011/2001, decided July 26, 2004 435–436, 950, 990 n. 340
- Maille v. France, UNHRC Comm. No. 689/1996, UN Doc. CCPR/C/69/D/689/1996, decided July 10, 2000 145 n. 268
- Marais v. Madagascar, UNHRC Comm. No. 49/1979, decided Mar. 24, 1983; 78 ILR 28 465 n. 855
- MF v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 173/1984, decided Nov. 2, 1984; 79 ILR 279 651 n. 1751
- MJG v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 267/1987, decided Mar. 24, 1988; 94 ILR 443 131 n. 225
- Montero v. Uruguay, UNHRC Comm. No. 106/81, decided Mar. 31, 1983 168 n. 74
- Mukong v. Cameroon, UNHRC Comm. No. 458/1991, UN Doc. CCPR/C/51/D/458/1991, decided July 2, 1994 900
- Muñoz v. Peru, UNHRC Comm. No. 203/1986, decided Nov. 4, 1988 654
- Nahlik v. Austria, UNHRC Comm. No. 608/1995, UN Doc. CCPR/C/57/D/608/1995, decided July 22, 1996 127 n. 218, 142
- Neefs v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 425/1990, UN Doc. CCPR/C/51/D/425/1990, decided July 15, 1994 141–142
- Ngambi and Nébol v. France, UNHRC Comm. No. 1179/2003, UN Doc. CCPR/C/81/D/1179/2003, decided July 16, 2004 552
- OJ v. Finland, UNHRC Comm. No. 419/1990, UN Doc. CCPR/C/40/D/419/1990, decided Nov. 6, 1990 520 n. 1143
- Oord v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 658/1995, UN Doc. CCPR/C/60/D/658/1995, decided July 23, 1997 129 n. 222, 131–132
- Oulajin and Kaiss v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. Nos. 406/1990 and 426/1990, UN Docs. CCPR/C/46/D/406/1990 and CCPR/C/46/D/426/1990, decided Oct. 23, 1992 135–136
- Párkányi v. Hungary, UNHRC Comm. No. 410/1990, UN Doc. CCPR/C/41/D/410/1990, decided Mar. 22, 1991 436, 466 n. 856

- Pepels v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 484/1991, UN Doc. CCPR/C/51/D/484/1991, decided July 15, 1994; 118 ILR 156 125 n. 208
- Perterer v. Austria, UNHRC Comm. No. 1015/2001, UN Doc. CCPR/C/81/D/1015/2001, decided July 20, 2004 648 n. 1743
- Pietrarroia v. Uruguay, UNHRC Comm. No. 44/1979, decided Mar. 24, 1981; 62 ILR 246 899–900 n. 851
- Pons v. Spain, UNHRC Comm. No. 454/1991, UN Doc. CCPR/C/55/D/454/1991, decided Oct. 30, 1995 125 n. 208
- PPC v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 212/1986, decided Mar. 24, 1988 133
- Robinson v. Jamaica, UNHRC Comm. No. 223/1987, decided Mar. 30, 1989 654 n. 1769
- RTZ v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 245/1987, decided Nov. 5, 1987 131 n. 225
- SB v. New Zealand, UNHRC Comm. No. 475/1991, UN Doc. CCPR/C/50/D/475/1991, decided Mar. 31, 1994 136–137
- Simunek et al. v. Czech Republic, UNHRC Comm. No. 516/1992, UN Doc. CCPR/C/54/D/516/1992, decided July 19, 1995; 118 ILR 183 129 n. 222, 137 n. 245, 146 n. 271
- Singer v. Canada, UNHRC Comm. No. 455/1991, UN Doc. CCPR/C/51/D/455/1991, decided July 26, 1994; 118 ILR 173 134 n. 235
- Somers v. Hungary, UNHRC Comm. No. 566/1993, UN Doc. CCPR/C/53/D/566/1993, decided July 23, 1996; 115 ILR 263 145 n. 270
- Sprenger v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 395/1990, UN Doc. CCPR/C/44/D/395/1990, decided Mar. 31, 1992 130 n. 224
- Stalla Costa v. Uruguay, UNHRC Comm. No. 198/1985, decided July 9, 1987; 94 ILR 427 141
- Stewart v. Canada, UNHRC Comm. No. 538/1993, UN Doc. CCPR/C/58/D/538/1993, decided Nov. 1, 1996; 95 ILR 318 981 n. 298, 990 n. 340
- Taylor and the Western Guard Party v. Canada, UNHRC Comm. No. 104/1981, decided Apr. 6, 1983 898 n. 843
- Teesdale v. Trinidad and Tobago, UNHRC Comm. No. 677/1996, UN Doc. CCPR/C/74/D/677/1996, decided Apr. 1, 2002 125 n. 208
- Toonen v. Australia, UNHRC Comm. No. 488/1992, UN Doc. CCPR/C/50/D/488/1992, decided Mar. 31, 1994; 112 ILR 328 555–556
- Van Duzen v. Canada, UNHRC Comm. No. 50/1979, decided Apr. 7, 1982; 70 ILR 305 411–412
- Van Meurs v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 215/1986, decided July 13, 1990 648
- vdM v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 478/1991, UN Doc. CCPR/C/48/D/478/1991, decided July 26, 1993 134–135
- Venier and Nicolas v. France, UNHRC Comm. Nos. 690/1996 and 691/1996, UN Docs. CCPR/C/69/D/690/1996 and CCPR/C/69/D/691/1996, decided July 10, 2000 145 n. 268
- VMRB v. Canada, UNHRC Comm. No. 236/1987, decided July 18, 1988 648 n. 1744
- Wackenheim v. France, UNHRC Comm. No. 854/1999, UN Doc. CCPR/C/67/D/854/1999, decided July 15, 2002 129 n. 222, 142–143 n. 263
- Waldman v. Canada, UNHRC Comm. No. 694/1996, UN Doc. CCPR/C/67/D/694/1996, decided Nov. 3, 1999 128 n. 218, 129 n. 222

## TABLE OF CASES

xxiii

- Weinberger v. Uruguay, UNHRC Comm. No. 28/1978, decided Oct. 29, 1980 899  
 Wight v. Madagascar, UNHRC Comm. No. 115/1982, decided Apr. 1, 1985 465 n. 855  
 Winata v. Australia, UNHRC Comm. No. 930/2000, UN Doc. CCPR/C/72/D/930/2000,  
 decided July 26, 2001 550 n. 1299, 949–950  
 YL v. Canada, UNHRC Comm. No. 112/1981, decided Apr. 8, 1986 647–648, 652 n. 1755  
 Young v. Australia, UNHRC Comm. No. 941/2000, UN Doc. CCPR/C/78/D/941/2000,  
 decided Aug. 6, 2003 145 n. 269  
 Zwaan-de Vries v. Netherlands, UNHRC Comm. No. 182/1984, decided Apr. 9, 1987  
 129 n. 222

*World Trade Organization Appellate Body*

- Canada – Term of Patent Protection, Dec. No. WT/DS170/R (WTO AB, Oct. 2000) as  
 upheld by the Appellate Body Report, WT/DS170/AB/R, DSR 2000:X and DSR  
 2000:XI 63 n. 180  
 European Communities – Measures Affecting Meat and Meat Products (EC Hormones),  
 Dec. No. WT/DS26/AB/R (WTO AB, Jan. 16, 1998), DSR 1998:I, 73 n. 223  
 US – Import Prohibition of Certain Shrimp and Shrimp Products, Dec. No. WT/DS58/  
 AB/R (WTO AB, Oct. 12, 1998), DSR 1998:VII, 65–66

**II. Regional Decisions***African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights*

- Social and Economic Rights Action Center and Center for Economic and Social Rights  
 v. Nigeria, Case No. ACPHR/COMM/A044/1 (May 27, 2002) 500 n. 1028, 505 n. 1053

*European Court of Human Rights*

- Amuur v. France, [1996] ECHR 25 (ECHR, June 25, 1996) 172, 321, 425 n. 662, 650, 658 n. 11  
 Andronicus and Constantinou v. Cyprus, (1997) 25 EHRR 491 (ECHR, Oct. 9, 1997)  
 912 n. 912  
 Application No. 10083/82 v. United Kingdom, (1983) 6 EHRR 140 (Eur. Comm. HR,  
 July 4, 1983) 901  
 Bankovic et al. v. Belgium et al., (2001) 11 BHRC 435 (ECHR, Dec. 12, 2001); 123 ILR 94  
 161, 165–168, 170 n. 79  
 Boultif v. Switzerland, (2000) 22 EHRR 50 (ECHR, Aug. 2, 2001) 951 n. 142  
 Chahal v. United Kingdom, (1996) 23 EHRR 413 (ECHR, Nov. 15, 1996); 108 ILR 385  
 354 n. 336, 370 n. 400, 659, 676  
 Cruz Varas v. Sweden, (1991) 14 EHRR 1 (ECHR, Mar. 20, 1991); 108 ILR 283 70 n. 211  
 Cyprus v. Turkey, (2001) 35 EHRR 30 (ECHR, May 10, 2001); 120 ILR 10 166  
 Golder v. United Kingdom, (1975) 1 EHRR 524 (ECHR, Feb. 21, 1975); 57 ILR 200  
 50 n. 125

- Gregory v. United Kingdom, (1997) 25 EHRR 577 (ECHR, Feb. 25, 1997) 654 n. 1763  
 Gustafsson v. Sweden, (1996) 22 EHRR 409 (ECHR, Apr. 25, 1996) 896  
 Jabari v. Turkey, [2000] ECHR 368 (ECHR, July 11, 2000) 392  
 James v. United Kingdom, (1986) 8 EHRR 123 (ECHR, Feb. 21, 1986) 60 n. 169  
 Klass v. Germany, (1979) 2 EHRR 214 (ECHR, Sept. 6, 1978); 58 ILR 423 73 n. 224  
 Kroon v. Netherlands, (1994) 19 EHRR 263 (ECHR, Oct. 27, 1994) 551  
 Loizidou v. Turkey, (1996) 23 EHRR 513 (ECHR, Dec. 18, 1996); 108 ILR 443 166  
 Öcalan v. Turkey, Dec. No. 46221/99 (unreported) (ECHR, Dec. 14, 2000) 170 n. 79  
 Pretty v. United Kingdom, (2002) 35 EHRR 1 (ECHR, Apr. 29, 2002) 456  
 Sibson v. United Kingdom, (1994) 17 EHRR 193 (ECHR, Apr. 20, 1993) 896  
 Soering v. United Kingdom, (1989) 11 EHRR 439 (ECHR, July 7, 1989); 98 ILR 270 70 n. 211  
 TI v. United Kingdom, [2000] INLR 211 (ECHR, Mar. 7, 2000) 326–327  
 Tyrer v. United Kingdom, (1978) 2 EHRR 1 (ECHR, Apr. 25, 1978); 58 ILR 339 65 n. 190  
 Wemhoff v. Germany, (1968) 1 EHRR 55 (ECHR, June 27, 1968); 41 ILR 281 73  
 Young, James and Webster v. United Kingdom, (1981) 4 EHRR 38 (ECHR, Aug. 13, 1981); 62 ILR 359 896

*European Court of Justice*

- Urbing-Adam v. Administration de l'Enregistrement et des Domaines, Dec. No. C-267/99 (ECJ, 2nd Ch., Oct. 11, 2001) 798

*Interamerican Commission on Human Rights*

- Haitian Centre for Human Rights et al. v. United States, Case No. 10.675, Report No. 51/96, Inter-AmCHR Doc. OEA/Ser.L/V/II.95 Doc. 7 rev. (Inter-Am Comm HR, Mar. 13, 1997) 339

**III. National Decisions**

*Australia*

- Ahmed v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs, 55 ALD 618 (Aus. FFC, June 21, 1999) 926 n. 42  
 Al Toubi v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs, [2001] FCA 1381 (Aus. FFC, Sept. 28, 2001) 330 n. 236, 660  
 Applicant "A" and Ano'r v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs, (1997) 190 CLR 225 (Aus. HC, Feb. 24, 1997) 4–5, 52–53, 366–367  
 Betkoshabeh v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs, (1998) 157 ALR 95 (Aus. FC, July 29, 1998), reversed on grounds of mootness at (1999) 55 ALD 609 (Aus. FFC, July 20, 1999) 349–350,  
 Chen Shi Hai v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs, (2000) 170 ALR 553 (Aus. HC, Apr. 13, 2000) 74



- M38/2002 v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs, [2003] FCAFC 131 (Aus. FFC, June 13, 2003) 301, 306
- Minister for Immigration and Ethnic Affairs v. Teoh, (1995) 183 CLR 273 (Aus. HC, Apr. 7, 1995); 104 ILR 460 553 n. 1312
- Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs v. Al-Sallal, Dec. No. BC9907140 (Aus. FFC, Oct. 29, 1999) 328–329,
- Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs v. Applicant “C”, [2001] FCA 1332 (Aus. FFC, Sept. 18, 2001) 329, 330
- Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs v. Applicant S, [2002] FCAFC 244 (Aus. FFC, Aug. 21, 2002) 117 n. 167
- Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs v. Betkhoshabeh, 55 ALD 609 (Aus. FFC, July 20, 1999) 349–350, , 920 n. 20
- Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs v. Khawar, [2002] HCA 14 (Aus. HC, Apr. 11, 2002) 4–5, 171 n. 83, 300, 301, 302, 305 n. 139
- Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs v. Mohammed, (2000) 98 FCR 405 (Aus. FFC, May 5, 2000) 68 n. 200
- Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs v. Savvin, (2000) 171 ALR 483 (Aus. FFC, Apr. 12, 2000) 111, 306
- Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs v. Singh, (2002) 186 ALR 393 (Aus. HC, Mar. 7, 2002) 160 n. 23
- Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs v. Thiyagarajah, (1997) 80 FCR 543 (Aus. FFC, Dec. 19, 1997) 330 n. 236, 660
- Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs v. Al Masri, (2003) 197 ALR 241 (Aus. FFC, Apr. 15, 2003) 65 n. 189, 424–425
- Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs v. B and B, [2004] HCA 20 (Aus. HC, Apr. 29, 2004) 65 n. 189
- NADB of 2001 v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs, [2002] FCAFC 326 (Aus. FFC, Oct. 31, 2002) 115, 116–117
- NAGV and NAGW of 2002 v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs, [2005] HCA 6 (Aus. HC, Mar. 2, 2005) 660
- Odhiambo v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs, [2002] FCAFC 194 (Aus. FFC, June 20, 2002) 329
- Rajendran v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs, (1998) 166 ALR 619 (Aus. FFC, Sept. 4, 1998) 175, 181 n. 130, 301, 328–329
- Re Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs, ex parte Applicant S134/2002, (2003) 195 ALR 1 (Aus. HC, Feb. 4, 2003) 542 n. 1262
- Ruddock v. Vadarlis, (2000) 110 FCR491 (Aus. FFC, Sept. 18, 2000) 302 n. 121
- S115/00A v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs, [2001] FCA 540 (Aus. FFC, May 10, 2001) 328
- S157/2002 v. Commonwealth of Australia, [2003] HCA 2 (Aus. HC, Feb. 4, 2003) 45 n. 106, 632, 652
- Sahak v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs, [2002] FCAFC 215 (Aus. FFC, July 18, 2002) 128 n. 219, 255 n. 513, 631–632

- Scott v. Secretary, Department of Social Security, [2000] FCA 1241 (Aus. FFC, Sept. 7, 2000) 804
- Tharmalingam v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs, Dec. No. BC9905456 (Aus. FFC, Aug. 26, 1999) 330 n. 236
- Todea v. MIEA, (1994) 20 AAR 470 (Aus. FFC, Dec. 2, 1994) 115
- V872/00A v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs, [2002] FCAFC 185 (Aus. FFC, June 18, 2002) 295, 301, 330
- WAGO of 2002 v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs, 194 ALR 676 (Aus. FFC, Dec. 20, 2002) 115

#### *Austria*

- VwGH 91/19/0187 (Au. HC, Nov. 25, 1991) 398 n. 535

#### *Belgium*

- I v. Belgium (Feb. 13, 1987), (1987) 46 *Revue du droit des étrangers* 200, summarized at (1989) 1(3) *International Journal of Refugee Law* 392 343 n. 296
- Tshisuaka and Tshilele v. Belgium, 3rd Chamber, Ref. No. 39227 (Apr. 2, 1992), reported at (1992) 68 *Revue du droit des étrangers* 66 544 n. 1277

#### *Canada*

- Abarajithan v. Canada, (1992) FCJ 54 (Can. FC, Jan. 28, 1992) 925 n. 38
- Abdulle v. Canada, (1992) FCJ 67 (Can. FC, Jan. 27, 1992) 926 n. 45
- Ahmed v. Canada, (1993) FCJ 1035 (Can. FC, Oct. 8, 1993) 926 n. 45
- Arugello Garcia v. Canada, (1993) FCJ 635 (Can. FC, June 23, 1993) 924
- Baker v. Canada, [1999] 2 SCR 817 (Can. FCA, Dec. 14, 1992) 949
- Barrera v. Canada, (1992) 99 DLR 4th 264 (Can. FCA, Dec. 14, 1992) 660
- C89-00332 (Can. IRB, Aug. 27, 1991), reported at (1991) 5 *RefLex* 41, 962
- Caballos v. Canada, (1993) FCJ 623 (Can. FC, June 22, 1993) 926 n. 43
- Canada v. Thanabalasingham, [2004] FCA 4 (Can. FCA, Jan. 9, 2004) 435 n. 706
- Canada v. Ward, (1993) 103 DLR 4th 1 (Can. SC, June 30, 1993) 4, 306 n. 139
- Krishnapillai v. Minister of Citizenship and Immigration, [2002] 3(1) FC 74 (Can. FCA, Dec. 6, 2001) 158 n. 16, 647 n. 1739, 651–652, 654 n. 1770, 672–673
- Mitroi v. Canada, (1995) FCJ 216 (Can. FC, Feb. 8, 1995) 962 n. 198
- Nkosi v. Canada, (1993) FCJ 629 (Can. FC, June 23, 1993) 923 n. 32
- Orelien v. Canada, [1992] 1 FC 592 (Can. FCA, Nov. 22, 1991) 369
- Oskoy v. Canada, (1993) FCJ 644 (Can. FC, June 25, 1993) 926
- Penate v. Canada, [1994] 2 FC 79 (Can. FCA, Nov. 26, 1993) 920 n. 20
- Pushpanathan v. Minister of Citizenship and Immigration, 1998 Can. Sup. Ct. Lexis 29 (Can. SC, June 4, 1998) 4, 343, 345, 349 n. 315
- R v. Hess, [1990] 2 SCR 906 (Can. SC, Oct. 4, 1990) 140 n. 252

## TABLE OF CASES

xxvii

- R v. Heywood, [1994] 3 SCR 761 (Can. SC, Nov. 10, 1994) 140 n. 252  
 R v. Oakes, [1986] 1 SCR 103 (Can. SC, Feb. 28, 1986) 139 n. 252  
 Rahaman v. Minister of Citizenship and Immigration, 2002 ACWSJ Lexis 1026 (Can. FCA, Mar. 1, 2002) 113–114, 117  
 RJR-Macdonald Inc. v. Canada, [1995] 3 SCR 199 (Can. SC, Sept. 21, 1995) 140 n. 252  
 Salinas v. Canada, (1992) FCJ 231 (Can. FC, Mar. 20, 1992) 926 n. 45  
 Shanmugarajah v. Canada, (1992) FCJ 583 (Can. FC, June 22, 1992) 962  
 Suresh v. Canada, [2002] 1 SCR 3 (Can. SC, Jan. 11, 2002); 124 ILR 343 29 n. 48, 30 n. 49, 64, 265–266, 325, 346, 348, 369–370, 370 n. 400, 679  
 Suresh v. Minister for Citizenship and Immigration, 2000 DLR Lexis 49 (Can. FCA, Jan. 18, 2000) 346 n. 305  
 U91-05190 (Can. IRB, Feb. 21, 1992), reported at (1992) *RefLex* 113–114, 925–926  
 Valente v. R, [1985] 2 SCR 673 (Can. SC, Dec. 19, 1985) 654 n. 1763  
 Villalta v. Canada, [1993] FCJ 1025 (Can. FC, Oct. 8, 1993) 920 n. 20  
 Virk v. Canada, (1992) FCJ 119 (Can. FC, Feb. 14, 1992) 924

*France*

- AJDA 1977.515, *Revue de droit administratif* 1977.481 (Fr. CE, May 22, 1977) 407 n. 572  
 Drago, Decision of the Cour d'appel de Paris (Nov. 29, 1961), reported at (1963) 90(1) *Journal du droit international* 647 n. 1738  
 Fliegelman, reported at (1963) 90 *Journal du droit international* 723 (Fr. Cour d'Appel de Paris, 1ère Chambre, Nov. 29, 1961) 911 n. 906  
 Moses Allueke, Dec. No. 188981 (Fr. CE, Nov. 3, 1999) 344 n. 300

*Germany*

- An 17 K 91 42844; An 17 K 91 42845 (Ger. AC, Ansbach), reported as Abstract No. IJRL/0193 in (1994) 6(2) *International Journal of Refugee Law* 923  
 EZAR 208, 2 BvR 1938/93; 2 BvR 2315/93 (Ger. FCC, May 14, 1996), abstracted in (1997) 9 *International Journal of Refugee Law* 630 n. 1654  
 Yugoslav Refugee (Germany) Case, 26 ILR 496 (Ger. FASC, Nov. 25, 1958) (reporting Ger. FASC Dec. BverGE 7 (1959)) 178 n. 122, 181 n. 129

*Hong Kong*

- Nguyen Tuan Cuong v. Director of Immigration, [1997] 1 WLR 68 (HK PC, Nov. 21, 1996) 329

*India*

- National Human Rights Commission v. State of Arunachal Pradesh, (1996) 83 AIR 1234 (India SC, Jan. 9, 1996) 629, 985 n. 317

*Japan*

- Japan v. Z, No. Heisei 16 Gho Ko 131 (Tokyo HC, Jan. 14, 2004), appeal denied No. Heisei 16 Gyo Tsu 106, Heisei 16 Gyo Hi 115 (Jap. SC, May 16, 2004) 628–629  
 Z v. Japan, 1819 HANREI JIHO 24 (Tokyo Dist. Ct., Apr. 9, 2003) 628–629

*New Zealand*

- Abu v. Superintendent of Mount Eden Women's Prison, 199 NZAR Lexis 58 (NZ HC, Dec. 24, 1999) 396 n. 530  
 AHK v. Police, [2002] NZAR 531 (NZ HC, Dec. 11, 2001) 407  
 Attorney General v. E, [2000] 3 NZLR 257 (NZ CA, July 11, 2000, appeal to PC refused at [2000] 3 NZLR 637) 114 n. 149, 389, 429 n. 678, 429  
 Attorney General v. Refugee Council of New Zealand Inc., [2003] 2 NZLR 577 (NZ CA, Apr. 16, 2003) 117, 387 n. 486, 427–428, 428–429,  
 Attorney General v. Zaoui, Dec. No. CA20/04 (NZ CA, Sept. 30, 2004) 179 n. 122, 264 n. 554, 265 n. 560, 307, 345, 345 n. 301, 346 n. 305, 348, , 352, , 352, 354–355, 367 n. 389, 682 n. 125  
 D v. Minister of Immigration, [1991] 2 NZLR 673 (NZ CA, Feb. 13, 1991) 286  
 E v. Attorney General, [2000] NZAR 354 (NZ HC, Nov. 29, 1999) 428 n. 678, 429  
 Jiao v. Refugee Status Appeals Authority, [2002] NZAR 845 (NZ HC, July 29, 2002) 390 n. 494  
 M v. Attorney General, [2003] NZAR 614 (NZ HC, Feb. 19, 2003) 115 nn. 157–158  
 Refugee Appeal 71427/99 (NZ RSAA, Aug. 16, 2000) 51  
 Refugee Council of New Zealand et al. and “D” v. Attorney General, [2002] NZAR 717 (NZ HC, May 31, 2002) 427, 432  
 S v. Refugee Status Appeals Authority, [1998] 2 NZLR 291 (NZ CA, Apr. 2, 1998) 115  
 Zaoui v. Attorney General, Dec. SC CIV 13/2004 (NZ SC, Nov. 25, 2004) 427

*South Africa*

- Katambayi and Lawyers for Human Rights v. Minister of Home Affairs et al., Dec. No. 02/5312 (SA HC, Witwatersrand Local Division, Mar. 24, 2002) 294–295  
 Khosa et al. v. Minister of Social Development, (2004) 6 BCRR 569 (SA CC, Mar. 4, 2004) 802  
 Minister of Home Affairs v. Watchenuka, (2004) 1 All SA 21 (SA SCA, Nov. 28, 2003) 175 n. 107, 496–497, 732, 745 n. 81  
 President of the Republic of South Africa v. Hug CCT, (1997) 4 SA 1 (SA CC, Apr. 8, 1997) 128 n. 218  
 South African National Defence Union v. Minister of Defence, [2000] LRC 152 (SA CC, May 26, 1999) 892–893  
 Watchenuka Case, Dec. No. 1486/02 (SA Cape Prov. Div., Nov. 18, 2002) 732

## TABLE OF CASES

xxix

*Switzerland*

- 6S.737/1998/bue, ASYL 99/2 (Sw. FC, Mar. 17, 1999) 393, 396  
 Decision No. ASYL 1989/1 (Sw. FC, Dec. 14, 1988) 392  
 Romanian Refugee Case, 72 ILR 580 (Sw. FC, Mar. 3, 1969) 961 n. 194

*United Kingdom*

- Adan v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [1997] 1 WLR 1107 (Eng. CA, Feb. 13, 1997) 306  
 European Roma Rights Centre v. Immigration Officer at Prague Airport, [2002] EWCA 1989 (Eng. QBD, Oct. 8, 2002) 52 n. 136, 54 n. 144, 292 n. 74  
 Fothergill v. Monarch Airlines, [1981] AC 251 (UK HL, July 10, 1980); 74 ILR 629 61 n. 171  
 Horvath v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [2000] 3 All ER 577 (UK HL, July 6, 2000) 4  
 Kaya v. Haringey London Borough Council, [2001] EWCA Civ 677 (Eng. CA, May 1, 2001) 169 n. 77, 175–177,  
 Khaboka v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [1993] Imm AR 484 (Eng. CA, Mar. 25, 1993) 158 n. 18, 389 n. 492  
 Munim v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, Lexis Unreported Decisions (Eng. CA, May 3, 2000) 544 n. 1278  
 Nessa v. Chief Adjudication Officer, Times Law Rep, Oct. 27, 1999 (UK HL, Oct. 21, 1999) 187 n. 154  
 NSH v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [1988] Imm AR 410 (Eng. CA, Mar. 23, 1988) 348 n. 314, 354 n. 336  
 O v. London Borough of Wandsworth, [2000] EWCA Civ 201 (Eng. CA, June 22, 2000) 178  
 R v. Immigration Appeal Tribunal, ex parte Shah, [1997] Imm AR 145 (Eng. QBD, Nov. 11, 1996) 67 n. 199  
 R v. Immigration Officer at Prague Airport et al., ex parte European Roma Rights Centre et al., [2004] UKHL 55 (UK HL, Dec. 9, 2004) 17 n. 4, 26 n. 36, 60 n. 167, 62 n. 177, 64 n. 184, 170 n. 79, 252 n. 506, 301 n. 117, 309–310, 339 n. 275, 366  
 R v. Keyn, (1876) 2 Ex D 63 (Eng. Exchequer Division, Nov. 11, 1876) 26 n. 36  
 R v. London Borough of Hammersmith, [1996] EWHC Admin 90 (Eng. HC, Oct. 8, 1996) 481 n. 961  
 R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Adan, [1999] 1 AC 293 (UK HL, Apr. 2, 1998) 61, 306  
 R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Adan and Aitseguer, [1999] 3 WLR 1274 (Eng. CA, July 23, 1999) 54 n. 146, 67 n. 199  
 R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Adan and Aitseguer, [2001] 2 WLR 143 (UK HL, Dec. 19, 2000) 2, 115, 325–326,  
 R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Bugdaycay, [1987] AC 514 (UK HL, Feb. 19, 1987); 79 ILR 642 115, 175–177, 323, 326 n. 222

- R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Jahangeer, [1993] Imm. AR 564 (Eng. QBD, June 11, 1993) 158–159 n. 18, 645, 647 n. 1739
- R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Jammeh [1998] INLR 701 (Eng. CA, July 30, 1998) 158 n. 17
- R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Javed, [2001] EWCA Civ 789 (Eng. CA, May 17, 2001) 335
- R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Nassir, *The Times* (Dec. 11, 1998) (Eng. CA, Nov. 23, 1998) 321
- R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Onibiyo, [1996] QB 768 (Eng. QBD, Mar. 5, 1996) 321
- R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Sivakumaran, [1988] 1 All ER 193 (UK HL, Dec. 16, 1987); 79 ILR 664 306
- R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Yogathas, [2002] UKHL 36 (UK HL, Oct. 17, 2002) 302, 318 n. 189, 323, 323 n. 209, 325 n. 215, 326, 327 n. 223, 329, 330, 920 n. 18
- R v. Secretary of State for Social Security, ex parte Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants, [1996] 4 All ER 385 (Eng. CA, June 21, 1996) 496 n. 1013
- R v. Uxbridge Magistrates Court, ex parte Adimi, [1999] 4 All ER 520 (Eng. HC, July 29, 1999) 312 n. 170, 313 n. 168, 387–388, 389–390, 391 n. 500, 399 n. 539, 406–407
- R (European Roma Rights Centre and Others) v. Immigration Officer at Prague Airport, [2003] EWCA Civ 666 (Eng. CA, May 20, 2003) 17 n. 4, 308, 309–310, 311–312, 339, 366
- R (Hoxha) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [2002] EWCA Civ 1403 (Eng. CA, Oct. 14, 2002) 52 n. 136, 54 n. 146, 115 n. 156, 158 n. 17, 942–944
- R (L) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [2003] EWCA Civ 25 (Eng. CA, Jan. 24, 2003) 334–335
- R (Limbuela) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [2004] EWCA Civ 540 (Eng. CA, May 21, 2004) 482 n. 961, 482, 498–499
- R (Q) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [2003] EWCA Civ 364 (Eng. CA, Mar. 18, 2003) 482 n. 961
- R (S) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [2003] EWCA Civ 1285 (Eng. CA, Sept. 24, 2003) 482 n. 961
- R (Saadi) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [2001] EWCA Civ 1512 (Eng. CA, Oct. 19, 2001) 421 n. 649
- R (Saadi) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [2002] UKHL 41 (UK HL, Oct. 31, 2002) 178 n. 119, 377–378, 393, 417 n. 627, 421 n. 649, 432–433
- R (Senkoy) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [2001] EWCA Civ 328 (Eng. CA, Mar. 2, 2001) 320
- R (Ullah) v. Special Adjudicator; Do v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [2004] UKHL 26 (UK HL, June 17, 2004) 369, 951 n. 142
- R (ZL) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, [2003] 1 WLR 1230 (Eng. CA, Jan. 24, 2003) 253 n. 509, 631 n. 1660