

Index

- \$ bet (L bet)
 - anchoring and, 141–143
 - overpricing, 16, 148–149, 154, 158, 159–160
 - preference reversals and, 20–22, 56, 58, 69, 73–76, 114–119, 146–158, 675
 - pricing *vs.* choice and, 124–135, 229–231
 - pricing *vs.* rating and, 135–141. *See also* economic theory of choice
- accuracy *vs.* cognitive effort, 332–333, 338
- action and decision making. *See* Search for Dominance Structure
- adaptive toolbox, 26
- addiction, 382, 384–385, 392, 401–402, 451–452
- adding options, 419–424
 - extremeness aversion and, 423–424
 - regularity condition and, 420–423. *See also* binary *vs.* triadic; deferred choice option
- adding strategy, weighted, 21, 328, 335
- adding-up effects, 377, 382–384
- adding *vs.* multiplying, 17, 22
- additive extension effect, 575–578
- additive model of preferences, 108, 109, 644
- adolescent decision making, 439, 665–666
- advertising, managing preferences in, 38–39
- affect, 29, 434–453
 - affect heuristic, 434
 - affective *vs.* cognitive, 434–437, 460–462, 463
 - affect-referral heuristic, 447
 - attention focusing and, 29
 - attitude heuristic and, 447–448
 - as common currency, 29, 459–460
 - downside of, 449–452
 - dual-process theory and, 435
 - evaluability of, 439–440
 - hot *vs.* cold, 547–549
 - image and, 439
 - as information, 29, 456
 - insensitivity to probability and, 443–445
 - memory and, 457
 - as motivator, 435–436, 458–459
 - P bets/\$ bets and, 21
 - probability/relative frequency/risk and, 445–447
 - proportion dominance and, 440–443
 - reason and, 28–30
 - risk-as-feelings hypothesis and, 448–449
 - risk-benefit judgments and, 29, 442, 444, 445, 446
 - as spotlight, 458. *See also* mere exposure; valuation, by prototype/scope of problem
- affective evaluability, 21
- affective mapping, 440, 441
- affective tag, 450
- affective valuation, 565, 567–568
- Allais paradox, 8, 34–35, 183, 358, 487, 488
- alternative-based. *See* attribute-based *vs.* alternative-based
- ambiguity aversion, 188–189
- anchor. *See* anchoring
- anchoring, 402–403, 587–590, 698–699
 - attitude *vs.* economic preference and, 587–590
 - overview of anchoring effects, 588
 - upward bias in binary questions, 588–590
 - computer betting and, 118
 - in contingent valuation, 698–699
 - gambles/bets and, 118
 - overview of, 588
 - priming and, 25
 - upward bias in binary questions and, 588–590
 - willingness to pay and, 248, 249–250. *See also* anchoring manipulation; coherent arbitrariness
- anchoring and adjustment, 16, 21–22, 83–84, 113–114, 123, 129, 131, 230–231
- anchoring manipulation, 141–143, 247–248
- arbitrage (money pump), 10–11
- artifacts, turning into main effects, 653

776 Index

- artificial neural network, 221, 223
 as-if model, of preference/choice, 399
 asymmetric dominance, 14, 225, 226, 228, 229,
 330, 422–423
 attention
 anchoring and, 160, 587–590
 focusing and affect, 29
 as information processing measure,
 127–128, 334–335
 attention weights, 222–223, 231
 attitude, voluntary *vs.* involuntary, 334–335,
 337
 attitude expression, 568
 attitude heuristic, 447–448
 attitude *vs.* economic preference, 565–593
 anchoring and, 587–590
 economics *vs.* psychology, 565
 evaluation factor in, 568–570, 571, 572
 norm theory and, 579–583
 psychophysics of valuation, 583–587
 dollar responses *vs.* category scales, 585,
 586
 magnitude scaling, 584
 without modulus, 585
 punitive damages, 442, 592
 valuation, 567–568
 by prototype, 572–579
 extension neglect, 572–574, 575–578
 insensitivity to scope, 574–575, 578–579
 willingness to pay (SWTP)/contingent
 valuation, 566, 590–592
 attraction effect. *See* asymmetric dominance
 attractiveness rating. *See* ratings,
 attractiveness
 attractiveness representations, 362–363
 attractiveness restructuring, 366, 367, 369–370
 attribute-based *vs.* alternative-based, 327–328
 dimensional *vs.* interdimensional, 125
 transitions, 128
 within-attribute *vs.* interattribute, 196, 197,
 210–212
 attributes
 comparable, 193, 201–202, 203
 comparable *vs.* enriched, 18, 199, 201–203
 cues, 4, 5, 27, 29, 47, 75–76, 87, 92–93, 197,
 278, 406, 455
 enriched, 193, 201–203
 enriched *vs.* comparable attributes, 18, 199,
 201–205
 evaluability of, 166–170
 hard *vs.* soft, 537–538
 monetary *vs.* nonmonetary, 264–266
 more important, 16, 97, 99, 123, 414, 430, 451
 perceived, 362
 proxy, 174
 attribute-task compatibility, 18, 192–219
 comparable attributes, 193
 enriched attributes, 193
 vs. evaluability, 207–210
 attribution theory, 432
 auctions
 English clock, 9–10
 multiperson, 258–261
 second *vs.* second-to-last price, 10
 axioms
 independence, 16, 34–35, 149–150, 154
 preference invariance, 235
 reduction principle, 16
 transitivity, 7–8, 14, 16, 118, 147–150, 159,
 183
 Bayes' Theorem, 23, 261
 B bid. *See* pricing
 BDM (Becker, deGroot, and Marschak)
 method, 8, 12, 70, 88, 149–150, 248,
 262
 behavioral economics, 5, 11–12, 690
 bets. *See* gambles; lotteries
 between-subjects *vs.* within-subject, 17,
 187–188, 238, 240, 242, 266–267,
 280
 bias
 distinction. *See* misprediction/mischoice
 diversification, 379
 bidding. *See* preference reversal (PR); pricing
 binary choice, 83, 196, 414
 binary *vs.* triadic choices, 224–229. *See also*
 adding options
 bounded rationality, 23, 323–324, 434
 bracketing. *See* choice bracketing
 building code, 36, 37–38, 648–650
 buying price. *See* pricing
 canonical representation, 337
 cash equivalent. *See* pricing
 category/magnitude scale surveys, 584
 category scales, 585, 586
 certainty equivalence, 9, 81, 123, 125, 248. *See*
 also pricing
 certainty matching, 12
 Change-of-Process Theory, 17, 20
 changes, during decision making, 37. *See also*
 constraint-satisfaction; Diff Con theory
 choice
 accuracy *vs.* effort, 332–333, 338
 as adaptive, 339
 binary choice, 83, 196, 414
 binary *vs.* triadic, 224–229
 choice goals framework, 333–336
 choice heuristics, 24

- choice overload hypothesis, 25–26, 302, 311–318
- choosing for others, 37–39
- deferred, 228, 229, 230–231
- economic theory of, 77–93
- how much choice, 704–706
- indifference in, 9, 59, 63, 81–82
- limited *vs.* extensive, 300, 301–302
- matching, 104, 105, 184–185
- noncomparable, 327
- perceptual approach to, 338
- reason-based. *See* reason-based choice
- sticky choices, 695, 697, 707
- tyranny of, 318
- vs.* matching, 96–97
- choice bracketing, 27, 337, 372–395
 - broad, 372, 373
 - broad *vs.* narrow, 393–395
 - narrow, 372, 373, 380
 - vs.* joint/separate evaluation, 375–376
 - vs.* outcome editing, 375–376
- choice–experience inconsistency, 526–527, 528–529. *See also* misprediction/mischoice; miswanting
- choice partitioning. *See* choice bracketing; joint and separate evaluations, preference reversals between; outcome editing
- choice/ratings sensitivity, 212–214
- choose *vs.* reject, 416–417
- classical choice theory, 417. *See also* economic theory of choice
- classical conditioning, 29, 401–402
- Coase theorem, 684
- coercion, 692
- cognitive dissonance, 367
- cognitive effort *vs.* accuracy, 332–333, 338
- cognitive inertia, 389–390
- coherent arbitrariness, 25, 37, 246–270
 - anchors and, 251–254, 255–258, 261–264
 - contingent valuation and, 266–267
 - criminal deterrence and, 268–269
 - economic variables and, 269–270
 - financial markets and, 267
 - labor markets and, 267–268
 - market forces and, 258–261
 - nonmonetary, 250, 264–266, 613–614
 - welfare economics and, 270
- comparative advantage model, 435
- comparable *vs.* enriched attributes, 18, 199, 201–203
- comparative valuation. *See* joint evaluation
- compatibility, 15, 104–106, 184
 - attribute-task. *See* attribute-task compatibility
 - computer betting and, 16
 - effect on preference reversals, 15–16
 - prediction study example, 105
 - scale, 15–16
 - similarity study example, 105–106
 - strategy, 15–16
- compensatory strategy, 328, 357, 358, 367
- compromise effect, 14, 225–226, 228, 229
- computational model, 220–234
 - binary/triadic choice and, 224–229
 - choice/prices reversals and, 229–231
 - neuroscience connection with, 232–233
 - lateral inhibition, 232–233
 - overview of, 220–221. *See also* decision field theory
- concave value functions, 606–608
- concreteness principle, 640
- conditioned/unconditioned stimulus, 466–467
- conflict resolution, 27, 353–354
- connectionist model, 221, 223, 235–236
- consequentialist utility, 547, 549
- consistent *vs.* selective processing, 327
- consolidation. *See* Diff Con theory
- constraint-satisfaction, 24, 235–245, 358, 370
 - coherence shifts and, 240–244
 - multiattribute decision-making and, 236–240
- constructed preference measures, 629–652
 - assessing effectiveness of, 633–635
 - constructive preferences and, 631–633
 - context matching and, 647
 - stable/coherent/known preferences, 630–631
 - stages of preference construction, 635–646
- construction of preference. *See* preference construction
- constructive preference. *See* preference construction
- consumer choice, constructive, 323–341
 - adaptivity and, 339
 - choice goals framework for, 333–336
 - context matching for prediction, 340
 - decision strategies for, 327
 - combined, 330
 - elimination by aspects, 23, 82–83, 329, 335
 - equal weight, 329
 - good/bad features, 330
 - lexicographic, 328–329
 - majority of confirming dimensions, 329–330

778 Index

- consumer choice, constructive (*cont.*)
 relational heuristics, 330–331
 satisficing, 329
 weighted adding, 21, 328, 335
 decision tasks for, 326–327
 factors influencing, 325. *See also*
 attribute-task compatibility
- consumer products, as preference reversal
 stimuli, 13
- consumption utility, 549
- context matching, 338–339, 340, 647
- contingent model. *See* contingent weighting
- contingent trade-off. *See* contingent weighting
- contingent valuation (CV), 25, 32–34, 565–566,
 661–662, 680
 anchor effects in, 698–699
 attitude *vs.* economic preference in, 590–592
 manipulation and, 38–39, 662
 problems with, 33, 610. *See also* contingent
 valuation (CV), environmental
 preferences and; WTA; WTP
- contingent valuation (CV), environmental
 preferences and, 609–626
 constructive nature of environmental
 preferences, 611–614
 environmental-values-elicitation approach,
 614–619
 environmental-values-elicitation approach
 criterion, 617
 federal law effect on, 609–610. *See also*
 multiattribute utility theory (MAUT),
 in resource valuations
- contingent weighting, 15, 17, 22, 95–121, 156
 choice-matching discrepancy and, 102, 112,
 119
 invariance principle and, 119
 lability of preferences and, 121
 ordinal method of choice/cardinal method
 of matching and, 120
 preference reversal application, 114–119
 prominence hypothesis and, 120
 real-world decisions and, 121
 true preference and, 120–121. *See also*
 prominence hypothesis, tests of;
 prominence effect, theoretical analysis
 of
- contractual studies *vs.* gist studies, 660–662
- controlled exposures, affect and, 437–438
 subliminal priming paradigm, 438
- counts, of good or bad features, 330
- criminal deterrence, arbitrariness and,
 268–269
- cross-category stimuli (different categories),
 13
- cues, 4, 5, 27, 29, 47, 75–76, 87, 92–93, 197, 278,
 406, 455
- CV. *See* contingent valuation
- decision analysis, 36–37, 657–658
- decision costs, 83–84
- decision field theory (DFT), 18–19, 20,
 221–224, 359
 binary/triadic choices and, 226–228, 229
 choice process in, 221–224
 dynamic value-matching model in, 224
 lateral inhibition in, 232–233
- decision frames, 637–639. *See also* framing
 effects.
- decision making and action. *See* Search for
 Dominance Structure
- decision utility, 505
 characteristics of
 carriers of utility, 490
 framing effects, 490
 loss aversion, 490, 491–492
vs. experienced utility, 31, 32, 452, 489–490,
 493–496, 501–502, 542
vs. predicted utility, 31–32
- defaults, 682–688
 Libertarian paternalism and, 695, 696, 697
 natural experiments to test power of,
 683–685
 organ donations and, 685–688
 organ donor preferences and, 682–683
- deferred choice option, 228, 229, 230–231
- definite *vs.* disjunctive reasons, 424–428
- deliberative model, of physician-patient
 relationship, 671, 672
- description invariance, 14, 160. *See also*
 framing effects.
- design frames, inappropriate, 639
 remedies, 639–640
- DFT. *See* decision field theory
- Diff Con theory, 27, 35, 351, 356–371
 compared with Search for Dominance
 Structure, 346, 351
- decision-making levels in, 359–361
 alternative-focused thinking, 360
 attractiveness attributes/metastrategies,
 360
 goal conflicts, 360
 recognition-primed decisions, 359–360
 value-focused thinking, 360
- framework for, 361–367
 decision alternatives, 361–363
 decision alternatives/goal
 elicitation/editing, 363
 holistic differentiation, 364–365

- post-decision consolidation, 364
 - process differentiation, 365
 - selecting reference/preliminary choice alternative, 364
 - structural differentiation, 365–366
 - overview of, 359
 - process perspective and, 358, 359–361, 367–370
- different categories. *See* cross-category stimuli
- Differentiation and Consolidation. *See* Diff Con theory
- dimensional transition. *See* attribute-based *vs.* alternative-based
- dimensional *vs.* interdimensional. *See* attribute-based *vs.* alternative-based
- disjunctive *vs.* definite reasons, 424–428
- dissonance theory, 432, 561
- distinction bias. *See* misprediction/mischoice
- diversification bias, 379
- dollar use, individual differences in, 586–587
- dominance
 - proportion, 440–443
 - as qualitative decision rule, 104
 - strict, 352
 - subjective, 350–351
- dominance effect, 13
 - asymmetric, 14, 225, 226, 330, 422–423
 - constraint-satisfaction and, 240–244. *See also* Search for Dominance Structure
- dominance structuring, 435
- Dominance theory, 361
- double matching, 10, 13
- dual indifference map
 - induced by additive model, 109
 - induced by general model, 108
- dual-process theory, 22, 28, 30, 435, 445
- duplex bet. *See* duplex gamble
- duplex gamble, 4–6, 49
 - example of, 4, 43
- duration neglect, 31, 498
- dynamic inconsistency, 494
- dynamic value matching, 224, 230–231
- economic preference, 680–681. *See also* attitude *vs.* economic preference
- economics, neuro-/neural, 30
- economic theory of choice, 77–93
 - BDM method in, 8, 12, 70, 88, 149–150, 248, 262
 - economic-theoretic hypotheses, 79–82
 - income effects, 81
 - indifference, 81–82
 - misspecified incentives, 79
 - strategic behavior theory and, 88–89, 90–91, 92. *See also* preference reversal, economist reaction to; psychological-theoretic hypotheses
- economic theory of labor supply, 386
- economism, lay, 32, 533–537, 542–543
- editing, 23, 358, 363
 - pre-editing, 343
- effort-related goals, 333
- effort *vs.* accuracy. *See* cognitive effort *vs.* accuracy
- elicitation, goal, 363
- elicitation effects. *See* procedure invariance
- elimination by aspects, 23, 82–83, 329, 335
- Ellsberg paradox, 487, 488
- embedding design, 578
- embedding problem. *See* scope insensitivity
- emotion, minimizing negative, 26, 274
- endowment effect, 492–493, 494, 700–701
- English clock auction, 9–10
- enriched attributes
 - vs.* comparable attributes, 18, 199, 201–203
 - vs.* impoverished options, 415–416
- environmental preferences. *See* contingent valuation (CV), environmental preferences and
- equally attractive/equally valued options, 414, 430–432
- equal weights, 21
- ethics, of preference management, 38–39
- EU. *See* expected utility
- EV. *See* expected value
- evaluability, 163, 250, 439–440
 - affective, 21. *See also* joint and separate evaluations
- evaluation factor in attitudes, 568–570, 571, 572
- evaluation mode *vs.* evaluation scale, 17–18, 164
- expected utility (EU), 21, 42, 52, 78
 - independence axiom of, 149–150, 154
- expected value (EV), 42, 69
- experienced utility, 493, 505
 - vs.* decision utility, 31, 32, 452, 489–490, 493–496, 501–502, 542
- expertise. *See* visual primes, effects on experts/novices
- explicit consent, 682
- explicit list design, 578
- expressed preference, 632
- Expression Theory, 14–15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 118, 129, 131, 144–145
- extensionality, 118–119, 488, 567–568
- extension neglect, 573

780 Index

- extremeness aversion, 423–424
 eye fixation, 23
- facts restructuring, 366
- fairness/fair divisions, 14, 38–39, 388
- fan effect, 406
- file-drawer analogy, 6–7
- financial markets, 267, 279
- fMRI (functional magnetic resonance imagery), 30
- focalism, 32
- formal modeling *vs.* reason-based analysis, 411–413
- Four Queens Casino. *See* preference reversal (PR), in Las Vegas
- frames
 myopic decision, 637–639. *See also* framing effects
- framing effects, 14, 23, 358
 consent and, 673–677
 constructive consumer choice and, 336–337
 decision theory and, 490
 medical decisions and, 699–700
 semantic, 402
 violations of, 160
- functionalism, lay, 32, 539–542
 relationship with lay economism/scientism, 541–542
- gambles
 duplex, 4–6, 49
 as multidimensional stimulus, 52–53
 multi-outcome, 13
 two-outcome, 41, 42
- generalized utility model, 150
- gestalt, 354, 355, 361
- gist studies, 659, 660–662
 vs. contractual studies, 660–662
- goal-based strategy, 21, 333–336
 attention/selectivity/perception in, 334–335
 choice heuristics and, 335–336
 consumer goals, 333–334
- goal elicitation, 363
- good or bad features, counts of, 330
- habit formation, 384–385
- happiness. *See* lay rationalism, in predicted experience *vs.* decision inconsistency; miswanting
- H bet. *See* P bet/H bet
- hedonic, 452
 vs. utilitarian, 604. *See also* rationality assumption
- hedonic experience
 experienced utility and, 501–502
 predicted utility and, 493–496
 real-time/retrospective utility and, 496–500
- heuristics, 611
 affect-referral, 447
 attitude, 447–448
 choice, 24, 335–336, 390–391
 judgment, 407
 optimizing, 311
 preexisting, 390–391
 relational, 330–331
- holistic differentiation, 351, 353, 364–365
- hot *vs.* cold decisions, 547–549
- hyperbolic discounting, 383
- imagery, 30
- image theory, 358
- imaging the numerator, 445–447
- immune neglect, 32, 558, 560
- importance beliefs. *See* importance weights
- importance of attribute. *See* more important dimension
- importance weights, 41
- important. *See* more important dimension
- imprinting effects, 470
- incentive-compatible scheme, 272. *See also* BDM
- incentives, 84, 147, 150, 255, 278, 332, 386
 misspecified, 7, 79
 monetary, 115, 152–153, 632, 683. *See also* economic theory of choice
- income effects, 81
- independence axiom, 16, 34–35, 149–150, 154
- independence of irrelevant alternatives, 419
- indifference curves, 81
- individual differences, 461–462, 586–587, 705
- induced preferences, 438
- information acquisition patterns, 125
- information processing, 23, 41–42, 58, 83–84, 334–335
- informed consent/construction of values, 38, 668–681
 assumption of existing preferences, 672–674
 consent as deliberative process, 674–677
 perfect/imperfect/pure procedural values, 677–680
 in physician-patient relationship, 670
 promoting well-being/freedom, 669–670
 regulatory decision making, 680–681
- insensitivity to scope. *See* scope insensitivity
- instrumental value, 38
- IntelliQuest, 215

- interattribute *vs.* within-attribute. *See*
 attribute-based *vs.* alternative-based
 interdimensional *vs.* dimensional. *See*
 attribute-based *vs.* alternative-based
 internal inconsistencies, 527
 interpretive model, of physician-patient
 relationship, 671
 interval scale, 620
 intransitivity, 14, 118, 147–150, 159, 183
 intrinsic value, 678–679
 intuitive prediction, 573
 invariance, 160, 235. *See also* procedure
 invariance
 isolation effect, 490
- JE. *See* joint evaluation
 joint and separate evaluations, preference
 reversals between, 163–191
 attribute evaluation and, 166–170
 with dichotomous values, 172, 174–175
 difficulty in, 172
 easy- *vs.* difficult-to-evaluate attribute,
 172
 evaluability information, 167
 neutral reference point knowledge and,
 168–169
 no evaluability information, 167–168
 worst/best possible values and, 169, 170
 difference from traditional preference
 reversal, 164
 evaluability and JE/SE reversals, 173
 evaluability/other explanations for
 preference reversal, 183–187
 change-of-process theory, 185
 choice-matching, 184–185
 choice task/rating task, 186
 compatibility principle, 184
 P-bet/\$-bet, 183–184, 187
 want/should proposition, 185
 implications of, 187–191
 ambiguity aversion, 188–189
 gross insensitivity to important variables,
 187–188
 value of concern *vs.* proportion attribute,
 188
 JE *vs.* SE, 164–165, 189–191
 real-life ramifications of, 163–164
 review/explanation of JE/SE reversals,
 176–183
 from different categories, 180–183
 from same category with explicit
 tradeoffs, 176–179
 from same category without explicit
 tradeoffs, 179–180
- joint evaluation (JE)
 decision *vs.* experienced utility and, 31
vs. separate evaluation, 17–18, 35. *See also*
 joint and separate evaluations,
 preference reversals between;
 misprediction/mischoice
 judgment by prototype, 572
 judgment heuristics, 407
 jury awards, 33, 415–416, 583–587
 justification, 84, 544–545. *See also* reasons
- labile values, constructing preferences from,
 653–667
 adolescent decision making and, 665–666
 decision theory research and, 657–658
 environmental change and, 660–662
 not knowing what one wants and, 654–655
 psychophysics research and, 655–657
 reactive measurement and, 658–660
 contract studies, 659
 gist studies, 659
 imperfections in evaluation process, 660
 sins of commission/omission, 658–659
 success of evaluation process, 659–660
 risk communication and, 662–664, 665. *See*
also lability
 lability, 121, 398, 645, 707. *See also* labile
 values, constructing preferences from
 labor markets, 267–268
 Las Vegas. *See* preference reversals (PRs)
 lateral inhibition, 19, 223, 232–233
 lay rationalism, 31–32
 as automatic, 543
 manipulation for justification of, 544
 medium maximization and, 527
 priming role in, 543–544. *See also* lay
 rationalism, in predicted experience *vs.*
 decision inconsistency
 lay rationalism, in predicted experience *vs.*
 decision inconsistency, 532–549
 consumption utility and, 549
 hard *vs.* soft attribute study, 537–538
 influences on, 545–547
 medium effect, 546–547
 prominence effect, 546
 transaction utility theory, 546
 underweighing hot factors/
 overweighing cold factors, 547
 lay economism role in, 533–535, 536–537,
 542–543
 lay functionalism role in, 539–540, 541–542
 lay scientism role in, 537–538, 539
 main proposition summary, 534
 too hot/too cold decisions, 547–549

782 Index

- L bet. *See* \$ bet
- levels of decision making, 359–361
 alternative-focused thinking, 360
 attractiveness attributes/metastrategies, 360
 goal conflicts, 360
 recognition-primed decisions, 359–360
 value-focused thinking, 360
- lexicographic strategy, 20–21, 83
 lexicographic semiorder, 8–11, 83
 as qualitative decision rule, 104
- Libertarian paternalism, 39, 689–707
 amount of choice to offer and, 704–706
 absence/presence of informed preferences, 704–706
 personal valuation of freedom of choice, 705–706
 preference variation across individuals, 705
 transparency of mapping options/preferences, 704–706
- autonomy and, 692
 determining choice
 by cost–benefit analysis, 702–703
 by rules of thumb, 703–704
 inevitability of paternalism, 694–702
 government example, 696–700
 savings/employers example, 695–696
 misconceptions about paternalism, 691–692
 objections to, 706–707
 limitations, 706–707
 mistrust of planner, 706
 slippery slope argument, 706
 overview of, 689–692
 rationality of choices and, 692–694
 reasons difficult to avoid effects on choice, 700–701
 endowment effect, 700–701
 ill-formed preferences, 701
 inertia, 700
 suggestion, 700
- life values, 348, 349
- Likert scale, 312, 313, 315
- limited *vs.* extensive choice. *See* choice, limited *vs.* extensive
- linear regression models, 4–5, 45
- loss aversion, 226, 380–381, 490, 491–492, 683
- loss aversion coefficient, 491
- lotteries
 multistage, 8
 one-stage, 8, 150
 preference reversals and, 11
 two-stage, 8, 150
- magnitude scaling, 584
 without modulus, 585
- majority of confirming dimensions, 329–330
- mandated choice, 688
- manipulation
 anchoring and, 141–143, 247–248
 choice overload and, 316
 CV studies and, 662
 of overall attribute goodness, 238
 visual primes and, 287–289, 292
- mapping
 affective, 440, 441
 preference, 644–646
 biases in scale usage, 645–646
 functional mapping representations, 398–399
 scale compatibility influences, 644–645
- market forces, coherent arbitrariness and, 258–261
- market prices, 4, 12, 209, 210, 247, 248, 251, 259, 546, 613, 615
- markets
 effect on preference reversals, 10
 financial, 267, 279
 labor, 267–268
 multiattribute utility theory and, 624
 social/market interaction, 281
- matching
 cardinal, 120
 certainty, 12
 choice, 184–185
 choice-matching discrepancy, 102, 104, 105, 112, 119
 choice/prices and, 231
 context, 338–339, 340, 647
 double, 10, 13
 dynamic value, 224, 230–231
 probability, 12–13, 124
 proportional, 15, 22
vs. choice, 96–97
- MAUA. *See* multiattribute utility analysis
- MAUT. *See* multiattribute utility theory
- measurement
 reactive, 36–37, 653, 658–660. *See also* constructed preference measures
- measurement theory, 95
- medical field, managing preferences in, 38
- medium effect, 546–547
- medium maximization/lazy rationalism, 527
- melioration, 384

- memory
 accessibility, 401–405
 affect and, 457, 496–500
 interference and inhibition, 404–405
 interrogation, 400–401
 reactivity, 402–403
 structure, 405–407. *See also* memory processes, in preference construction
- memory processes, in preference construction, 397–409
- functional mapping of preference representations, 398–399
- preferences as memory (PAM) framework, 399–409
- affect/memory in, 409
- alternative theories and, 407–408
- inference/inhibition, 404–405
- interrogating memory, 400–401
- levels of analysis in, 408–409
- memory accessibility, 401–405
- priming, 401–402
- reactivity, 402–403
- reactivity, long-term effects of, 403
- reactivity, short-term effects of, 402–403
- structure of representations, 405–407
- significance/implications/applications, 409
- mere exposure, 29, 36, 437, 464–470, 494
- absence of aversive events as unconditioned stimulus, 466–467
- affect/cognition independence and, 468–470
- consequences of, 469–470
- diverse stimuli as exposure condition function, 468
- overview of, 464–465
- repeated experiences as positive affect source, 467–469
- subliminal induction and, 466
- mere repeated exposure. *See* mere exposure
- metagoals, 333–334
- microlevel analysis. *See* computational model
- mind-set theory, 345–346
- minimizing effort, 361
- minimizing negative emotion, 26, 274
- mischoice. *See* misprediction/mischoice
- misprediction/mischoice, 31, 504–531
- causes of, 505–506
- distinction bias, 508–515
- diversity and, 378–379
- joint *vs.* separate evaluations as cause, 505–506
- general theory, 506–508
- mischoice, 515–525
- choice–experience inconsistency, 526–527
- improving predictions/decisions, 528–529
- lay rationalism/medium maximization, 527
- other evidence for mischoice, 524–525
- relationship with evaluability, 525
- misspecified incentives, 7, 79
- miswanting, 30–32, 37, 550–563
- causes of, 32
- fundamentals of, 551–554
- imaging wrong events, 551–552
- misinterpreting feelings, 553–554
- using wrong theory, 552–553
- liking *vs.* wanting and, 550–551
- over time, 555–562
- focalism role in, 556–557
- immune neglect role in, 558, 560
- thinking/feeling and, 554–555
- monetary bet study, 151–157
- bets, 151
- discussion, 153–155
- distribution of response patterns, 161
- results, 152–153, 154, 161
- monetary incentives, 115, 152–153, 632, 683
- money pump (arbitrage), 10–11
- more important dimension, 16, 97, 99, 123, 414, 430, 451
- motivated bracketing, 391, 394
- motivational consequences, of choice, 302
- Mouselab software, 126, 128
- multiattribute utility analysis (MAUA), 643–644. *See also* multiattribute utility theory (MAUT), in resource valuations
- multiattribute utility theory (MAUT), in resource valuations, 619–626
- advantages/disadvantages of MAUT/CV, 623–626
- accommodation/multidimensionality of value, 623
- asks right questions, 624
- cost, 626
- excludes irrelevancies, 624
- flexibility in changing circumstances, 625
- lessens embedding problem, 624–625
- minimizes response refusals, 623–624
- separates facts/values, 624
- suitability for construction, 625–626
- proposed approach for MAUT/CV, 619–620, 623
- assess utilities, 622
- calculate total value, 622

784 Index

- multiattribute utility theory (MAUT) (*cont.*)
 perform sensitivity analysis, 622–623
 structure problem, 620–622
 multiple-stimulus. *See* joint evaluation
 multistage lottery, 8
 myopic decision frames, 637
 remedies for, 637–639
 myopic loss aversion, 381
- naturalistic decision making, 353–354
 negative valence, 223
 neuromarketing, 30
 neuro-/neural economics, 30
 neuroscience, 19, 30, 232–233
 noncomparable choice, 327
 nonmatrix representations, 361–362
 nonreversible decisions, 35
 nonvalued features, 429–430
 norm theory, 181–182, 579–583
 nuclear power, 439, 446
- on-line recommendation agents, 38–39
 optimization, 7, 77–78, 93, 160, 191, 310,
 316–317, 387, 490
 optimizing heuristic, 311
 optimizing *vs.* satisficing, 313, 316–317
 opt in *vs.* opt out, 39, 685–688, 694–696
 ordinal/cardinal, 123
 ordinal pricing, 12, 150
 ordinal utility, 270
 ordinal *vs.* cardinal arguments, 104
 organ donors, 39, 682–683, 685–688
 organ donor preferences, 682–683
 outcome editing, 375–376
 outcome transition, 128
 outrage model, 572
 overprediction, 508–515
 overpricing, 16, 148–149, 154, 158, 159–160
 overweighing cold factors/underweighing
 hot factors, 547
- pain, 250, 498–500
 paired comparisons. *See* joint evaluation
 paired stimuli. *See* joint evaluation
 PAM. *See* preferences as memory (PAM)
 paternalism
 in physician-patient relationship, 671
 in preference measurement, 651. *See also*
 Libertarian Paternalism
 patient decision making, 369
 P bet/H bet, 9, 11, 20–22, 56, 58, 69, 74–75,
 77–92, 123, 124–143, 146–158, 160,
 229–231, 675
 Peak & End rule, 497–498, 499, 502
- peanuts effect, 383–384
 perfect procedural value, 677
 political campaign, managing preferences in,
 38–39
 positive valence, 223
 post-decision processes, 359–361. *See also* Diff
 Con theory
 pre-decision processes, 359–361, 368. *See also*
 editing
 predicted utility, 31, 409, 490, 493–496, 505
vs. decision utility, 31–32
 pre-editing, 343
 preexisting heuristics, 390–391
 preference, 39–40
 economic, 680–681. *See also* attitude *vs.*
 economic preference
 expressed, 632
 lability. *See* labile values, constructing
 preferences from
 preference as memory. *See* preferences as
 memory
 revealed, 270, 401
 “true,” 84, 270
 variability in, 2. *See also* preference
 construction; preference management;
 preference reversal
 preference construction, 434
 antecedents to, 22–24
 bounded rationality, 23
 choice heuristics, 24
 choice rules studies, 23
 file-drawer analogy, 22
 information processing studies, 23
 judgment studies, 22
 memory studies, 22
 Prospect theory, 23
 traditional utility theory, 23
 violation of procedure invariance, 24
 characteristics of problems needing, 1–2
 numerical responses, 1, 2
 tradeoffs. *See* tradeoffs
 unfamiliarity, 1, 40
 evidence of, 24–26
 theories of, 26–28. *See also* constructed
 preference measures; consumer choice,
 constructive; memory processes, in
 preference construction
 preference elicitation. *See* labile values,
 constructing preferences from
 preference management, 34–39
 of other people, 37–39
 ethics of preference management, 38–39
 inevitable preference management, 39
 own preferences, 34–36

- tools for, 36–37
- change during decision process, 37
- coherent arbitrariness, 37
- miswanting, 37
- preference reversal (PR)
 - axiom violations, 16, 147–150
 - beginnings of, 4–6
 - blossoming of, 12–14
 - causes of. *See also* theories of
 - anchoring and adjustment, 16, 20–22, 83–84, 113–114, 123, 129, 131, 230–231
 - cognitive processes, 123–124
 - economist acceptance of, 5, 11–12
 - economist reaction to, 6–12
 - auctions, 9–10
 - axiom violations, 16, 147–150, 154, 159
 - choice indifference points, 9
 - explaining away, 8–11, 16
 - two-stage game, 10
 - eliminating preference reversals, 10, 203–205
 - expected utility and, 52
 - in Las Vegas, 6, 7, 69–76
 - preference-with-error hypothesis, 8–9, 11
 - procedure invariance, 14, 147–150
 - reversals-with-error hypothesis, 9
 - theories of, 14–22
 - attribute-task compatibility, 18, 192–219
 - Change-of-Process theory, 17
 - comparable *vs.* enriched attributes, 18
 - compatibility, 15–16, 97, 104–106, 123–124, 155–157, 184
 - contingent weighting. *See* contingent weighting
 - decision field theory. *See* decision field theory
 - evaluability, 21, 163, 250, 439–440
 - Expression theory, 14–15, 124
 - prominence effect, 15, 16–17, 112
 - separate *vs.* joint evaluation, 17–18, 163–191
- type of
 - adding deferred option, 226–229
 - adding third option, 225
 - BDM method, 8, 12, 70, 88, 149–150, 248, 262
 - buying *vs.* selling, 12, 13, 14–17, 38, 39
 - choice *vs.* pricing, 5–6, 9, 124–135
 - choosing *vs.* rejecting, 28, 416–417
 - consumer products, 13, 178, 192–219
 - cross category, 13, 705
 - dominance, 13
 - framing effects. *See* framing effects
 - gambles. *See* gambles
 - in judgment, 14
 - matching. *See* matching
 - price comparisons, 15
 - rating *vs.* choice, 186, 212–214
 - rating *vs.* pricing, 5, 11–12, 15, 17, 123, 135–141
 - time preferences. *See* time preferences study
 - time limits, 14
- preferences as memory (PAM), 28, 399–409
 - affect/memory in, 409
 - alternative theories and, 407–408
 - inference/inhibition, 404–405
 - interrogating memory, 400–401
 - levels of analysis in, 408–409
 - memory accessibility, 401–405
 - priming, 401–402
 - reactivity, 402–403
 - structure of representations, 405–407
- presumed consent, 682
- price/brand quality, 199–200
- pricing
 - anchoring and adjustment (*See* anchoring and adjustment)
 - BDM. *See* BDM (Becker, deGroot, and Marschak) method
 - buying prices
 - B bids, 52, 58, 63
 - vs.* selling prices, 12, 13, 14–17, 38, 39, 56
 - WTP prices, 165, 175, 178, 179, 187
 - as certainty matching, 12
 - cognitive processes in, 124–141
 - decision field theory and. *See* decision field theory
 - ordinal, 12, 150
 - overpricing, 16, 148–149, 154, 158, 159–160
 - as plain choice task, 9
 - price comparisons, 15
 - selling prices, 4–6, 9, 81, 82, 87–88, 92, 125–126, 146, 147–150, 155, 156, 231. *See also* BDM
 - bids to sell (S bids), 50, 52, 54–56
 - elicitation not relying on independence axiom, 150
 - vs.* choice, 5–6, 9
 - cognitive processes in, 124–135
 - vs.* rating, 5, 11–12, 15, 17, 123
 - cognitive processes in, 135–141
- priming
 - by anchor/form of question asked, 25
 - priming task study, 596–598
 - semantic, 283

786 Index

- priming (*Cont.*)
 subliminal priming paradigm, 438. *See also*
 visual primes, effects on
 experts/novices
- prisoner's dilemma, 428
- probabilities *vs.* payoffs, 124
- probability
 affect and, 445–447
 insensitivity to, 443–445
- probability matching, 12–13, 124
- probability preferences, 23, 43
- problem restructuring, 366
- procedure invariance, 14, 16, 24, 95–96, 97, 122
 violations of, 102, 104, 105, 112, 119, 160
- process
 change-of-process theory, 185
 complexity of valuation process, 604–605
 consistent *vs.* selective, 327
 differential attribute processing, 210–212
 dual-process theory, 22, 28, 30, 435, 445
 information, 23, 41–42, 58, 83–84, 334–335
 two-stage model, 5, 11–12
vs. structural approach, 356–358
- process differentiation, 351–352
- process model, two-stage, 5, 11–12
- process tracing, 357. *See also* Mouselab;
 think-aloud
- prominence effect, 15, 16–17, 19, 20–21, 97,
 123, 349–350
 lay rationalism and, 546. *See also* contingent
 weighting
- prominence effect, theoretical analysis of,
 112
- compatibility principle, 104–106
- contingent trade-off models, 106–112
 additive model, 108, 109
 general model, 106–107, 108
 hierarchy of, 111
 interlocking condition, 109–111
 linear model, 111
 proportional model, 107, 111
 weighting model, 108–112
- prominence hypothesis, tests of, 104
- promising alternative, 347
- proportional matching, 15, 22
- proportion dominance, 440–443
- Prospect theory, 23, 477–482, 658, 674–677
- proxy attribute, 174
- psychological immune system, 558
- psychological-theoretic hypotheses, 82–84
 elimination by aspects, 23, 82–83, 329,
 335
 information-processing
 decision costs, 83–84
 response mode/easy justification, 84
 information-processing, decision costs,
 83–84
 information-processing, response
 mode/easy justification, 84
 lexicographic semiorder, 83
 probabilities, 82
 strategic responses, 82
- psychophysical numbing, 451
- psychophysics of valuation, 583–587
 category/magnitude scale surveys, 584
 dollar responses *vs.* category scales, 585,
 586
 improving statistical efficiency, 586
 individual differences dollar use, 586–587
 magnitude scaling, 584
 magnitude scaling, without modulus, 585
- psychophysics research, 655–657
- public decisions. *See* public policy
- public policy, 35
 managing preferences of other people and,
 37–38
- punitive damages, 442, 592
- purchase likelihood rating, 197–198, 205–206,
 207–212
- purchasing behavior
 choice overload hypothesis, 318
- pure procedural value, 38, 677–678
- qualitative/quantitative differences, 104
- quantity design, 574–575
- race-to-threshold stopping rule, 222
- random lottery selection, 8
- ratings
 attractiveness, 4–6, 9, 13, 52, 304–305,
 357–358
 Likert scale, 312, 313, 315
 purchase likelihood, 13, 305
 strength of preference, 44
- rationality
 bounded, 23, 323–324, 434
 just to make oneself look rational, 68
 logical, 30–31
 substantive, 31. *See also* lay rationalism;
 rationality assumption
- rationality assumption, 487–503
 challenges to, 487–488
 decision utility characteristics, 490–493
 carriers of utility, 490
 framing effects, 490
 loss aversion, 490, 491–492
 general discussion, 500–503
 multiple notions of utility and, 489–490

- predicted utility, 493–496
 real-time/retrospective utility, 496–500
 substantive criteria of rationality, 487
 utility concept and
 experienced/decision utility, 489–490,
 493–496, 501–502
 predicted utility, 490
 rational optimization, 310
 rational theory of choice, invariance principle
 in, 119, 417
 ratio scaling, 265
 reactive measurement, 36–37, 653, 658–660
 real-time utility, 496–500
 Reappearance hypothesis, 22
 reason-based choice, 13, 29–30, 411–432
 advantages of, 413
 choice under conflict
 extremeness aversion and, 423–424
 option adding and, 419–424
 option seeking and, 419
 regularity condition and, 420–423
 definite *vs.* disjunctive reasons, 424–428
 equally attractive options, 414, 430–432
 nonvalued features, 429–430
 pro/con, 417
 binary choice, 414
 choice–reject, 416–417
 enriched *vs.* impoverish option, 415–416
 reasons reducing post-choice satisfaction,
 471–485
 art poster study, 474–485
 moderating effects of art knowledge,
 480–481, 482
 post-choice satisfaction, 477–479
 reduction principle, 16
 regret, 7–8, 21, 159
 regulatory condition, 420–423
 Reidemeister condition, 109
 reject *vs.* choose, 28, 416–417
 relational heuristics, 330–331
 relative *vs.* absolute risk, 188
 repetitive/nonrepetitive tasks, 370
 required active choosing, 696
 response modes, 122–123
 BDM method, 8, 12, 70, 88, 149–150, 248,
 262
 bidding. *See* pricing
 certainty equivalence, 9, 81, 123, 125, 248
 certainty matching, 12
 choice
 binary *vs.* triadic, 224–229
 indifference, 9, 59, 63, 81–82
 paired comparison. *See* joint evaluation
 probability matching, 12–13, 124
 rating
 of attractiveness, 4–6, 9, 13, 52, 357–358
 of purchase likelihood, 197–198, 205–206,
 207–212
 reject, 28, 416–417
 strategic, 82. *See also* WTA; WTP
 retrospective utility, 496–500
 revealed preference, 270, 401
 reversals-with-error hypothesis, 9–10
 risk aggregation, 380–381
 risk as feelings hypothesis, 445
 risk aversion, 373–374
 risks and benefits, affect in judgments of, 29,
 442, 444, 445, 446
 risky decisions, 41–50
 descriptive theories/constructs for, 43
 expected utility, 42
 expected value, 42
 subjectively expected utility, 42–43
 variance preferences, 43
 duplex gamble experiment, 49
 importance beliefs and, 41
 information-processing considerations,
 41–42
 salami tactic, 394
 satisficing, 21, 23, 34, 42, 329
 vs. optimizing, 313, 316–317
 S bids, 52, 56
 scale compatibility, 15–16, 19–20, 194–195
 scenario generation, 638
 scientism, lay, 32, 537–539
 hard *vs.* soft attribute study, 537–538
 medium effect and, 546–547
 scope insensitivity, 33, 266–267, 390
 embedding problem and, 614
 scope/value. *See* subjective value
 screening, 363
 SDS. *See* search for dominance
 SE. *See* separate evaluation
 Search for Dominance Structure (SDS), 21, 27,
 342–355
 acting/deciding relationship, 354–355
 compared with other decision making
 approaches, 351–354
 dominance-structuring operations, 348
 empirical validity of, 351
 dominance structure existence,
 347–348
 dominance-structuring operations,
 348–349
 dominance violations/dominance
 structuring, 350–351
 pre-editing, 346–347

788 Index

- Search for Dominance Structure (*cont.*)
 preferences *vs.* choices, 349–350
 promising alternative,
 finding/defending, 347
 theory behind, 346
 action and, 345
 decision making phases in, 343–345
 dominance structuring, 345, 351
 dominance testing, 343–345, 351
 finding-a-promising-alternative, 343
 pre-editing, 343
 mind-set theory and, 345–346
 second-price auction, 10
 second-to-last price auction, 10
 selective *vs.* consistent processing, 327
 self-control, 383, 392, 394
 self-perception, 432
 selling price. *See* pricing
 semantic differential, 402, 568–569, 571
 semantic priming, 283
 sensitivity analysis, 35, 646
 sensitivity to scope. *See* scope insensitivity
 separate evaluation (SE), 164
 single- *vs.* paired-stimulus reversals and,
 13
vs. joint evaluation, 17–18, 31, 35. *See also*
 joint and separate evaluations,
 preference reversals between;
 misprediction/mischoice
 separate-evaluation task, 206–207
 sequential processing, 357
 sequential sampling, 12, 222
 similarity effect, 14, 224–225
 single-stimulus. *See* separate evaluation
 sins of commission *vs.* omission, 37, 658–659
 slippery slope, 706
 smoking, cigarette, 29, 373, 383, 450, 451–452
 somatic markers, 436–437, 455
 SSB model, 159
 standard theory of rational choice. *See* utility
 theory
 starting point and adjustment. *See* anchoring
 and adjustment
 statistical efficiency, improving, 586
 status quo bias, 417, 420, 695
 stimuli
 conditioned/unconditioned, 466–467
 consumer products, 13
 cross-category, 13
 diverse, and mere exposure, 468
 judgment, 14
 preference, 13
 single-stimulus. *See* separate evaluation
 unique features, 201–202, 209, 211–212
 stimulus-based choice, 282
 stimulus complexity, 23
 stochastic transivity, 83–84
 strategic behavior theory, 88–89, 90–91, 92
 strategic responses, 82
 strategy compatibility, 15–16, 195
 strict dominance, 352
 structural differentiation, 352
 structural *vs.* process approach, 356–358
 subjective dominance, 350–351, 352
 subjective likelihood. *See* subjective
 probability
 subjectively expected utility (SEU), 42–43,
 63
 subjective probability, 49, 50
 subjective value, 594–608
 complexity of valuation process, 604–605
 concavity and, 606–608
 crossover notion and, 596
 influence on probability weighting, 605–606
 priming task study, 596–598
 scope neglect and, 603–604
 scope sensitivity/insensitivity study,
 598–600
 on criminal justice, 602–603
 on music book *vs.* cash, 598–600
 on zoology, 600–602
 valuation by calculation *vs.* feeling, 594–596
 subjective worth. *See* subjective value
 subsequent satisfaction, choice overload
 hypothesis and, 317–318
 substantive inconsistencies, 527
 substantive rationality, 31
 sunk-cost fallacy, 548
 SWTP (stated willingness to pay), 32, 565–566
 taste, changes in, 377, 384–385
 temporal bracketing, 374
 temporal discounting, 407–408
 temporal integration, 497
 temporal monotonicity, 497, 498–500
 think-aloud, 205–206, 207, 348, 349, 350, 355.
See also process tracing
 think-aloud protocols, 205–207
 strength of preference and, 205–206
 threshold bound, in decision process, 221–222
 time
 as attribute, 13
 miswanting over time, 555–562
 preferences over time, 336, 337
 temporal bracketing, 374
 time contraction, 337
 time limited in making decision, 14
 time pressure, 23, 445

- time preferences study, 157–158
 - distribution of response patterns, 159, 161
 - options used in, 158
- “Tom’s law.” *See* value construction
- tradeoff models, contingent, 106–112
 - additive, 108, 109
 - general, 106–107, 108
 - hierarchy of, 111
 - interlocking condition, 109–111
 - linear, 111
 - proportional, 107, 111
 - weighting, 108–112
- tradeoffs, 1, 2, 326–327, 328, 330, 337, 377–378, 385–388, 423–424, 683
 - across purchase categories, 387–388
 - avoidance, 643–644
 - fair divisions, 388
 - joint/separate evaluations, 176–180
 - labor/leisure, 386–387
 - protective values and, 651
- transaction utility theory, 546
- transitions. *See* attribute-based *vs.* alternative-based
- transitivity axiom, 7–8, 16
- triangulation, 658
- triple cancellation, 109
- “true” preference, 84, 270
- two-stage process model, 5, 11–12

- ultimatum game, 14
- underpricing, 115, 148–149, 153, 154, 158
- underweighing hot factors/overweighing cold factors, 547
- unique features. *See* stimuli, unique features
- utility
 - carriers of, 490
 - consequentialist, 547, 549
 - consumption, 549
 - decision, 31–32, 452, 490–493, 505, 542, 549
 - expected, 21, 42, 52, 78
 - experienced, 31, 32, 452, 489–490, 493–496, 501–502, 542
 - generalized model, 150
 - multiattribute, 643–644. *See also* multiattribute utility theory (MAUT), in resource valuations
 - multiple notions of, 489–490
 - ordinal, 270
 - predicted, 12, 31–32, 409, 490, 493–496, 505
 - real-time, 496–500
 - retrospective, 496–500
 - subjectively expected, 42–43, 63, 356–357
 - transaction utility theory, 546
 - weighted, 223
- utility functions, 15, 83, 108, 373, 515–516
- utility maximization, 8–9, 23, 373, 401, 434, 631
- utility theory
 - gambling decisions and, 52
 - independence axiom and, 34–35
 - main theorem of, 673
 - traditional, 7–8
- valence, 223
- validity
 - concurrent, 614–616
 - construct, 615
 - predictive, 615
- valuation, 567–568
 - affective, 565, 567–568
 - comparative valuation. *See* joint evaluation
 - economic, 246–247
 - by feeling *vs.* by calculation, 33
 - psychophysics of, 583–587
- valuation, by prototype/scope of problem, 572–579
 - extension neglect, 575–578
 - insensitivity to scope, 574–575
 - judgment by prototype/extension neglect, 572–574
 - testing sensitivity to scope, 578–579
- value *See also* informed consent/construction of values
 - concave value functions, 606–608
 - dynamic value matching, 224, 230–231
 - expected, 42
 - fundamental, 12, 25, 246–247, 280–281, 638–639
 - holistic *vs.* separate, 613
 - imperfect procedural, 678
 - instrumental, 38, 678
 - intrinsic, 678–679
 - life values, 348, 349
 - multidimensionality of values, 616–617, 623
 - perfect procedural, 677
 - protected, 651
 - pure procedural, 38, 677–678
 - subjective. *See* subjective value
- value construction, 271–281
 - coherent arbitrariness and, 271–273
 - economics research and, 279–281
 - factors affecting coherence/arbitrariness, 278–279
 - financial markets and, 279
 - social/market interaction and, 281
 - Tom’s law and, 271, 273

790 Index

- value maximization, 419, 420
- variance preferences, 23, 43
- verbal protocols. *See* think-aloud
- visual primes, effects on experts/novices, 282–299
 - effect on information search, 298
 - attribute weights/product ratings and, 297
 - choice/constant sum and, 292–293
 - demand characteristics and, 297
 - mediating role of search and, 295–297
 - moderating role of expertise and, 295, 296
 - search/looking time and, 293–294
 - effect on preference/choice and expertise moderation of effect, 290
 - familiarity concept, 283
 - memory-based choice, 282
 - stimulus-based choice, 282
 - theoretical background on priming, 285
 - categorical priming, 283
 - feature priming, 283
 - moderating effects by consumer level of expertise, 283–284
 - moderating role of expertise, 284–285
 - priming/expertise/search interaction, 285
 - priming influence on choice, 283–284
 - semantic priming, 283
 - wallpaper as prime, 25, 35–36, 402
 - voluntary *vs.* involuntary attitude, 334–335, 337
- wallpaper, as prime, 25, 35–36, 402
- want/should proposition, 185
- Web shopping. *See* visual primes, effects on experts/novices
- weighted adding strategy, 21, 328, 335
- welfare economics, 270
- willingness to accept. *See* WTA
- willingness to pay. *See* WTP
- within-attribute *vs.* interattribute. *See* attribute-based *vs.* alternative-based within-subject. *See* between-subjects
- word-association technique, 439
- WTA (willingness to accept), 33
 - vs.* WTP, 615. *See also* coherent arbitrariness
- WTP (willingness to pay), 32, 33–34
 - anchoring and, 248, 249–250
 - dollar/rating responses and, 612
 - JE/SE preference reversals and, 165, 175, 178, 179, 187
 - preference reversals and, 612
 - stated willingness to pay, 32, 565–566
 - vs.* WTA, 615. *See also* attitude *vs.* economic preference; contingent valuation