

Cambridge University Press

0521833752 - The Detection of Deception in Forensic Contexts

Edited by Par Anders Granhag and Leif A. Stromwall

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

The Detection of Deception in Forensic Contexts

One of the most fascinating subdivisions within the rapidly growing field of psychology and law is the area of deception detection. Traditionally this area has been characterised by a number of approaches which have analysed different aspects of deception such as verbal content, non-verbal behaviour, and polygraph testing. Intensive research over recent years has resulted in an impressive corpus of new knowledge about issues such as cross-cultural deception, the detection of simulated amnesia and false confessions, lie-catching expertise and how best to train professionals in detecting deception. This book provides a state-of-the-art account of current research and practice, written by an international team of experts, and will be a valuable resource for academics, students, practitioners, and all professionals within the legal domain who need to tackle questions of credibility and reliability.

PÄR ANDERS GRANHAG is Associate Professor in the Department of Psychology at Göteborg University. He has published extensively in the field of legal and criminological psychology, particularly on deception and eyewitness testimony, and conducts training of law-enforcement personnel.

LEIF A. STRÖMWALL is a researcher at the Department of Psychology at Göteborg University and has published several studies mainly on deception detection.

Cambridge University Press

0521833752 - The Detection of Deception in Forensic Contexts

Edited by Par Anders Granhag and Leif A. Strömwall

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

The Detection of Deception in Forensic Contexts

edited by

Pär Anders Granhag and Leif A. Strömwall



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press

0521833752 - The Detection of Deception in Forensic Contexts

Edited by Pär Anders Granhag and Leif A. Strömwall

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge, CB2 2RU, UK
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa
<http://www.cambridge.org>

© Cambridge University Press 2004

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2004

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeface Plantin 10/12 pt. *System* L^AT_EX 2_ε [TB]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data

The detection of deception in forensic contexts / edited by Pär Anders Granhag
and Leif A. Strömwall.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-521-83375-2 – ISBN 0-521-54157-3 (pbk.)

1. Lie detectors and detection. I. Granhag, Pär Anders. II. Strömwall, Leif A.

HV8078.D47 2004

363.25'4 – dc22 2004049734

ISBN 0 521 83375 2 hardback

ISBN 0 521 54157 3 paperback

Contents

<i>List of figures</i>	<i>page</i> vii
<i>List of tables</i>	viii
<i>List of contributors</i>	x
Part 1 Introduction	
1 Research on deception detection: past and present PÄR ANDERS GRANHAG AND LEIF A. STRÖMWALL	3
Part 2 Lie-detection techniques	
2 Discerning lies from truths: behavioural cues to deception and the indirect pathway of intuition BELLA M. DEPAULO AND WENDY L. MORRIS	15
3 Statement Validity Analysis and the ‘detection of the truth’ GÜNTER KÖHNKEN	41
4 Reality monitoring and detection of deception SIEGFRIED L. SPORER	64
5 The psychophysiological detection of deception CHARLES R. HONTS	103
Part 3 Special issues facing a lie-catcher	
6 Lies travel: mendacity in a mobile world CHARLES F. BOND JR AND SANDHYA R. RAO	127
7 Coping with suggestion and deception in children’s accounts GRAHAM DAVIES	148

Cambridge University Press
0521833752 - The Detection of Deception in Forensic Contexts
Edited by Par Anders Granhag and Leif A. Stromwall
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

vi	Contents	
8	True or false: ‘I’d know a false confession if I saw one’ SAUL KASSIN	172
9	Crime-related amnesia as a form of deception SVEN Å. CHRISTIANSON AND HARALD MERCKELBACH	195
Part 4 Enhancing lie-detection accuracy		
10	Practitioners’ beliefs about deception LEIF A. STRÖMWALL, PÄR ANDERS GRANHAG, AND MARIA HARTWIG	229
11	Training to detect deception from behavioural cues: attempts and problems RAY BULL	251
12	The wizards of deception detection MAUREEN O’SULLIVAN AND PAUL EKMAN	269
13	Guidelines to catch a liar ALDERT VRIJ	287
Part 5 Conclusions		
14	Research on deception detection: intersections and future challenges PÄR ANDERS GRANHAG AND LEIF A. STRÖMWALL	317
	<i>Index</i>	331

Figures

3.1 Possible causes of incorrect witness statements.	<i>page</i> 42
4.1 Three levels of judging somebody's report.	65
4.2 Effect sizes r of self- and other-ratings of RM criteria in true and invented accounts (data from Sporer and Kuepper, 1995; 2004).	68
4.3 Effect sizes r of RM criteria and the number of words (computed from data by Vrij et al., 2000; Vrij, Edward, and Bull, 2001; Vrij et al., in press).	72
4.4 Age differences in RM criteria (log transformation of 7-point scales) from data by Santtila, Roppola, and Niemi, 1999.	74
4.5 Effect sizes r of RM criteria without and with correcting for the number of words (computed from data by Granhag, Strömwall, and Olsson, 2001).	77
6.1 Percentage of correct lie/truth judgements within and between cultures (Bond and Atoum, 2000).	140
6.2 Percentage of truth judgements within and between cultures (Bond and Atoum, 2000).	141

Tables

2.1	Suspects' possible answers to the question of whether they committed the crime	<i>page</i> 16
2.2	Are liars more tense than truth-tellers?	20
2.3	Are liars less positive and pleasant than truth-tellers?	22
2.4	Are liars less forthcoming than truth-tellers?	23
2.5	Do liars tell less compelling tales than truth-tellers?	24
2.6	Do lies include fewer ordinary imperfections and unusual contents than truths?	27
2.7	Cues to deception under low and high motivation	28
2.8	Cues to deception when senders did and did not commit a transgression	30
2.9	Direct and indirect deception detection: age changes in discriminating truths from lies	32
2.10	Direct and indirect deception detection: judgements of original judges (friends) and raters of videotape.	35
2.11	Direct and indirect deception detection: strangers and heterosexual romantic partners	37
3.1	Components of SVA	44
3.2	CBCA criteria (from Steller and Köhnken, 1989)	50
3.3	Components of CBCA coder training	58
3.4	Agenda of Day 4 of CBCA coder training	58
4.1	Pairwise interrater reliabilities and Spearman-Brown reliabilities for 3 raters for reality monitoring criteria derived from the JMCQ in the study by Kuepper and Sporer (1995) and Strömwall et al. (in press)	69
4.2	Classification accuracies and SDT discrimination accuracy (A') and asymmetry in classification accuracy (Response Bias B'') in studies with the RM approach using multiple discriminant analyses	80
4.3	Classification accuracies, discrimination accuracy (A') and Response Bias B'' of raters using RM (and CBCA) criteria for assessment	83

List of tables	ix
4.4 Correlations between 19 CBCA criteria and 8 JMCQ scales (N = 200)	86
4.5 Common factors of forensic (CBCA) and reality monitoring (RM) criteria in the factor analyses by Sporer (1997a, 1997b) and Sporer and Bursch (1996)	87
4.6 Grouping of items of Aberdeen Report Judgement Scales and effect sizes <i>r</i> for the difference between invented and experienced events (data from Sporer, 1998)	89
5.1 The results of high-quality laboratory studies of the Comparison Question Test	109
5.2 The accuracy of independent evaluations in field studies of the Comparison Question Test	111
5.3 Per cent correct decisions by original examiners in field cases	112
5.4 Contingency table of outcomes for a highly accurate PDD test with a low base rate of guilt	118
5.5 Per cent outcomes in the polygraph screening study reported by Barland, Honts, and Barger (1989)	119
6.1 Inter-judge agreement in Bond and Atoum (2000) by modality and judgement configuration	142
6.2 Cross-modal consistency of a target's apparent honesty by culture and language	144
9.1 Percentages of reactive and instrumental homicide offenders who at the end of the police investigation remember the act of killing, are amnesiac, or deny crime	203
10.1 The most common subjective non-verbal cues to deception (laypersons)	230
10.2 The most common subjective verbal cues to deception (laypersons)	231
10.3 The most reliable objective non-verbal cues to deception	232
10.4 The most reliable objective verbal cues to deception	232
10.5 The most common subjective beliefs as expressed by practitioners	233
12.1 Deception detection inaccuracy of two professional groups on two tasks	276
12.2 Deception detection inaccuracy by sex of target and sex of expert	277

Cambridge University Press

0521833752 - The Detection of Deception in Forensic Contexts

Edited by Par Anders Granhag and Leif A. Stromwall

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Contributors

CHARLES F. BOND, JR, Texas Christian University, USA

RAY BULL, Leicester University, UK

SVEN Å. CHRISTIANSON, Stockholm University, Sweden

GRAHAM DAVIES, Leicester University, UK

BELLA M. DEPAULO, University of Virginia, USA

PAUL EKMAN, University of California, San Francisco, USA

PÄR ANDERS GRANHAG, Göteborg University, Sweden

MARIA HARTWIG, Göteborg University, Sweden

CHARLES R. HONTS, Boise State University, USA

SAUL KASSIN, Williams College, USA

GÜNTER KÖHNKEN, University of Kiel, Germany

HARALD MERCKELBACH, University of Maastricht, The Netherlands

WENDY L. MORRIS, University of Virginia, USA

MAUREEN O'SULLIVAN, University of San Francisco, USA

SANDHYA R. RAO, Texas Christian University, USA

SIEGFRIED L. SPORER, University of Giessen, Germany

LEIF A. STRÖMWALL, Göteborg University, Sweden

ALDERT VRIJ, University of Portsmouth, UK