

#### **Research Projects and Research Proposals**

This book is a guide to writing scientific research proposals for submission to funding agencies. It approaches the topic by placing it in the larger context of planning and carrying out a research project, offering guidance on selecting a suitable research topic, organizing and planning the project, identifying a funding agency, writing the proposal, and managing the funded project. The book also discusses the ethical responsibilities of the researcher, the proposal review process, and how to deal with declination of a proposal.

The author's twenty-five years of experience as an NSF program officer lend the book a unique insider's perspective on the proposal writing and research funding process. Because of that experience, the author is able to anticipate and answer the questions that researchers most frequently ask when preparing to write a proposal, and also to explain how program officers think about proposals when they are making funding decisions.

Paul G. Chapin was director of the National Science Foundation Linguistics Program. He is a member of the Linguistic Society of America and of the American Association for the Advancement of Science.



# Research Projects and Research Proposals

A Guide for Scientists Seeking Funding

PAUL G. CHAPIN

With a Foreword by Alan I. Leshner





#### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521830157

© Cambridge University Press 2004

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2004

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Chapin, Paul G., 1938-

Research projects and research proposals : a guide for scientists seeking funding / by Paul G. Chapin.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-521-83015-X - ISBN 0-521-53716-9 (pb.)

1. Science - Research grants - Handbooks, manuals, etc. I. Title.

Q180.55.G7C44 2004 507′.973 – dc22

2003069568

ISBN 978-0-521-83015-7 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-53716-2 paperback

Transferred to digital printing 2008

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



This book is dedicated to Dr. Richard T. Louttit, Division Director for Behavioral and Neural Sciences at the National Science Foundation from 1975 to 1991.

He has always understood what is important.



### **Contents**

Foreword	
knowledgments	xv
Introduction	1
Selecting a Research Topic	4
The Dimensions of Topic Selection	4
•	9
*	10
The Most Important Thing	12
Project Planning	13
Planning for Life or for a Proposal	13
Who You Are = How You Plan	14
A Strategy for Planning	15
Timetables	18
Money	19
Larger Projects	20
Final Thoughts on Planning	22
Identifying Funding Sources	24
U.S. Government Agencies – Missions and Methods	24
	26
The National Institutes of Health (NIH)	29
	vii
	Introduction  Selecting a Research Topic  The Dimensions of Topic Selection The Goldilocks Principle Project Scale and Duration The Most Important Thing  Project Planning  Planning for Life or for a Proposal Who You Are = How You Plan A Strategy for Planning Timetables Money Larger Projects Final Thoughts on Planning  Identifying Funding Sources  U.S. Government Agencies – Missions and Methods The National Science Foundation (NSF)



viii		Contents
	Department of Defense (DOD)	33
	Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR)	35
	Army Research Office (ARO)	35
	Army Research Institute (ARI)	36
	Office of Naval Research (ONR)	36
	Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency	
	(DARPA)	37
	Other Government Agencies	38
	Private Foundations	40
	Strategies for Identifying Funding Sources	42
4.	Special Funding Mechanisms	43
	Programs for Particular Groups of Researchers	44
	CAREER	44
	ADVANCE	45
	Minority Research Planning Grants and Career	
	Advancement Awards	46
	Alternative Models of Managing Research and	
	Education	47
	Science and Technology Centers (STC)	47
	Integrative Graduate Education and Research	40
	Traineeship (IGERT) Major Research Instrumentation (MRI)	49
	Initiatives in Special Topic Areas	49
	Optional Mechanisms that Program Officers Can Use	50
	Small Grants for Exploratory Research (SGER)	e 52 53
	Conference Grants	53 53
	Doctoral Dissertation Research Grants	56
	Special Funding Mechanisms at the NIH	57
	A Parting Thought about Special Funding	51
	Mechanisms	59
5.	Writing a Proposal	61
	The Audience	61
	The Project Description	63
	What the Audience Wants	64
	Ad Hoc Reviewers	64
	The Advisory Panel	67
	Special Panels	69
	The Program Officer	69
	Writing to the Audience	75



Contents		ix
	Brave New Cyberworld	77
	The Other Components of the Proposal	78
	Cover Sheet	8o
	Selecting the Program Announcement or the GPG	80
	Selecting the NSF Organizational Unit	81
	Joint Review	81
	Remainder of the Cover Sheet	83
	References Cited	87
	Biographical Sketches	87
	Budget	88
	Current and Pending Support	92
	Facilities, Equipment, and Other Resources	93
	Supplementary Documents	93
	Project Summary	94
	List of Suggested Reviewers	95
	Craftsmanship	97
6.	Research Ethics and Responsibilities	98
	Human Subjects	99
	Animal Welfare	102
	Conduct and Misconduct in Research	103
	Keeping the Funding Agency Informed	105
	Managing Grant Funds Responsibly	106
	Obeying the Law	106
	The Inspector General	106
7.	The Natural History of a Proposal	109
	I've Written My Proposal – Now What?	109
	The Sponsored Projects Office	109
	Into the NSF	111
	The Review Process	112
	Ad Hoc Review	112
	The Panel	114
	The Program Officer	116
	Working with Your Program Officer	121
8.	"We Are Happy/Sorry to Inform You"	123
	" You're Going to Get a Grant!"	123
	" Your Proposal Has Been Declined"	126
	" We Have to Hold on to Your Proposal for a	
	While"	132



x	Contents		
9. Managing Your Grant	135		
Managing a Research Project	135		
You, PI – in Charge	141		
Care and Feeding of Your SPO, Your Program Officer,			
and Others	142		
Renewal Proposals	143		
Reporting Requirements	144		
Finis	146		
Appendix A: Glossary of Acronyms	147		
Appendix B: Useful URLs	149		
Index	151		



#### **Foreword**

Leading a productive research program involves much more than simply running a bunch of experiments. It requires an overarching conceptual framework, a strategic plan for the sequence of studies to be run and, of course, financial support for your work. None of these aspects of a research program are simple, and knowing how to do them is certainly not an innate skill. Unfortunately, many mentors focus their teaching efforts only on the experimental details of doing science. They fall short on teaching their scientific offspring how to conceptualize and lead a full-blown research program. Paul Chapin's expert guide to the planning, support, and conduct of research does a splendid job of laying out both the broad and the nitty-gritty issues of running a research program.

One of the core issues, of course, is funding for your research program. Securing support has become a constant, often nagging facet of modern scientific life. It starts early and never seems to let up. You likely have to worry about how to pay for your graduate education, and you certainly need to think about how you can support your postdoctoral training. Often, you need to write detailed proposals outlining what you would do if you were accepted into the various laboratories to which you have applied. It gets more complex once you are leading your own lab, since you may well need multiple grants to sustain the efforts of a modern, typically complex scientific enterprise. The pervasiveness and persistence of these issues make



xii Foreword

Paul Chapin's uniquely insightful and comprehensive guide a must read for every developing scientist.

Dr. Chapin brings the perspective of a long time Federal (NSF) program director who clearly understands all three core perspectives in the proposal funding process – the principal investigator's, the grant reviewer's, and the funding agency official's. It's well worth reading those sections carefully, since to be successful, one needs to understand and respond to these different points of view. And he takes the time in this work to cover all the steps – from planning the project you are about to propose, to actually administering the grant once you get it. This is as close to a cookbook for successfully running a lab as I have ever seen. Chapin also has a nice way of empathizing with the developing scientist, understanding and providing good advice about dealing with some of the emotional, as well as the practical aspects of scientific life.

As one reads through the book, one finds many tips that may seem obvious once said, but might not be followed if left unsaid. One of my favorite quotes from Phillip Abelson, the long-time Editor-in-Chief of the journal *Science* and a codiscoverer of the element Neptunium, is "Where's the Whammy?" It is sometimes difficult to remember that the grant reviewer may not immediately see how very exciting and important your research project idea is, so you need to get his or her attention right up front and then sustain it throughout. Boring is bad. You need to send your major message in the first paragraphs.

Relatedly, Chapin emphasizes the need to be constantly aware of the audience for your proposal. There is an old saying: "Know before whom you stand!" Successful public speakers understand that they need to talk to the audience about what the audience is interested in hearing—and then you can talk about your own special interests along the way. In writing grant proposals, what is so obviously interesting and exciting to you may not be immediately interesting and exciting to someone from even a closely related field. Show them how exciting your project is to your subfield, but also make sure you emphasize right up front why this work is so important from a broader perspective. And leave nothing to the interpretation of the reviewer. What may by now be intuitively obvious to you likely will not be so obvious



Foreword xiii

to the reader. It's your job, not the reader's, to make sure your essential points are well understood.

Read carefully through the sections in this book on the grant review and grant administration processes. Peer review is the most important factor in whether you get your grant or not. It is not a perfect system, since it tends to be somewhat conservative and receives criticism for being somewhat unwilling to support riskier projects, particularly in tight money times. However, everyone in the scientific community agrees it is the best of alternative approaches to deciding who gets funded, and virtually every science supporting agency depends heavily on peer review. As Chapin points out, though, the details of the peer review process differ among agencies, and it is important that you prepare your proposals keeping in mind how they will be reviewed.

Speaking of peer review, it is important to remember that those criticisms you get are not nearly as personal as they feel. Although it is true that some peer reviewers can excessively nit-pick – almost beyond belief - in their attempts to discriminate among proposals which ultimately is their task – my experience is that most reviewers genuinely want to be helpful. Moreover, in my experience, very few reviews are totally off base, and if the reviewers "just don't understand your work," the fault likely lies much more with your description than with their ignorance. No one likes criticism, and everyone is human. My advice to principal investigators is to read the reviews and then put them aside for a few days before going back and trying to decide which criticisms are valid. And remember: everyone gets proposals declined. Success rates in most agencies hover around the 25-30 percent level. They are higher for established investigators, which means that most younger investigators really do get rejected on the first or second submission. I always think a lifetime success rate of 50 percent is a wonderful accomplishment.

Chapin's lessons in grant administration are equally important. Taking someone else's money bestows responsibilities. Different public and private agencies have different rules and different reporting requirements. If you violate them, the funder will remember!

I found Dr. Chapin's descriptions of the interests and activities of various federal agencies particularly helpful. I would remind the



xiv Foreword

reader that in many fields, there also are many private foundations – some large, some small – who might be interested in supporting your work, particularly in its early stages. Most universities have development offices that can help match you with appropriate private foundations.

Science is a wonderful and rewarding way of life. We live in an era where science and technology are ever more embedded in every societal issue. This makes scientists important contributors to societal progress. Chapin's book helps ease the way into operating smoothly and effectively as a part of the scientific community. My advice is to learn its lessons well.

Alan I. Leshner Chief Executive Officer American Association for the Advancement of Science

Executive Publisher *Science* 

After ten years as Professor of Psychology at Bucknell University, Dr. Leshner spent nine years at the National Science Foundation, where he oversaw an array of programs in the biological, behavioral, and social sciences and in science education. He then became the Deputy Director of the National Institute of Mental Health, and from 1994–2001 was the Director of the National Institute on Drug Abuse at the National Institutes of Health.



## Acknowledgments

Many people have helped me greatly in writing this book. Let me thank first my most assiduous and persevering readers of the first draft, who kept their comments coming chapter after chapter, providing me both much encouragement and much improvement in the text: Howard Kurtzman, John Yellen, Lloyd Douglas, Penny Firth, Sarah Partan, and Susan Chapin.

Others also provided valuable comments on different parts of the manuscript: Bernard McDonald, Beth Strausser, Catherine Ball, Cecile McKee, Cheryl Eavey, Dan Newlon, Gary Strong, Helen Gigley, John Chapin, Joseph Psotka, Robert Sorkin, Steven Breckler, Stuart Plattner, Susan Chipman, Tracy Gorman, and William Bainbridge. My warm thanks to all.

The book had its origin in a course called "Professionalism in Linguistics" that I taught at the Linguistic Society of America 2001 Summer Institute at the University of California, Santa Barbara. My thanks to Charles Li, the Director of the Institute, for inviting me to participate, and to the students and affiliates who attended the class and gave me great insights on how best to organize and present the material. I especially want to thank two of the class participants, Ellen Barton and Anetta Kopecka, who went above and beyond the call of duty by giving me their reflections on the course after it ended.



xvi

Acknowledgments

Phil Laughlin of Cambridge University Press gave me the encouragement I needed to turn my stack of notes and jumble of thoughts into a book, for which I am very grateful.

Last, and first, and always, my love and gratitude go to my wife, my life's partner, and my best friend, Susan.