

THE URALS AND WESTERN SIBERIA IN THE BRONZE AND IRON AGES

This book is the first synthesis of the archaeology of the Urals and Western Siberia. It presents a comprehensive overview of the late prehistoric cultures of these regions, which are of key importance for the understanding of long-term changes in Eurasia. At the crossroads of Europe and Asia, the Urals and Western Siberia are characterized by great environmental and cultural diversity, which is reflected in the variety and richness of their archaeological sites. Based on the latest achievements of Russian archaeologists, this study demonstrates the temporal and geographical range of its subjects, starting with a survey of the chronological sequence from the late fourth millennium BC to the early first millennium CE. Recent discoveries made in different regions of the area contribute to an understanding of several important issues, such as development of Eurasian metallurgy, technological and ritual innovations, the emergence and development of pastoral nomadism and its role in Eurasian interactions, and major sociocultural fluctuations of the Bronze and Iron Ages.

Ludmila Koryakova is professor at the Ural State University and Institute of History and Archaeology, Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences. She has received fellowships from the European Community (INTAS Foundation), the Russian Academy of Science, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), and the French Ministry of Foreign Affairs, and she is the author of more than eighty publications in Russian, European, and American books and journals.

Andrej Vladimirovich Epimakhov is a Ph.D. Research Fellow at the Institute of History and Archaeology, Russian Academy of Sciences as well as Assistant Professor at Southern Ural State University.



CAMBRIDGE WORLD ARCHAEOLOGY

SERIES EDITOR NORMAN YOFFEE, University of Michigan

EDITORIAL BOARD
SUSAN ALCOK, Brown University
TOM DILLEHAY, Vanderbilt University
STEPHEN SHENNAN, University College London
CARLA SINOPOLI, University of Michigan

The Cambridge World Archaeology series is addressed to students and professional archaeologists and to academics in related disciplines. Each volume presents a survey of the archaeology of a region of the world, providing an up-to-date account of research and integration of recent findings with new concerns of interpretation. While the focus is on a specific region, broader cultural trends are discussed and the implications of regional findings for cross-cultural interpretations considered. The authors also bring anthropological and historical expertise to bear on archaeological problems and show how both new data and changing intellectual trends in archaeology shade inferences about the past.

BOOKS IN THE SERIES

RAYMOND ALLCHIN AND BRIDGET ALLCHIN, The Rise of Civilization in India and Pakistan

CLIVE GAMBLE, The Palaeolithic Settlement of Europe

CHARLES HIGHAM, Archaeology of Mainland South East Asia

SARAH MILLEDGE NELSON, The Archaeology of Korea

DAVID PHILLIPSON, African Archaeology (second revised edition)

OLIVER DICKINSON, The Aegan Bronze Age

KAREN OLSEN BRUHNS, Ancient South America

ALASDAIR WHITTLE, Europe in the Neolithic

CHARLES HIGHAM, The Bronze Age of Southeast Asia

CLIVE GAMBLE, The Palaeolithic Societies of Europe

DON POTTS, The Archaeology of Elam

A. F. HARDING, European Societies of the Bronze Age

NICHOLAS DAVID AND CAROL KRAMER, Ethnoarchaeology in Action

JAMES WHITLEY, The Archaeology of Ancient Greece



CAMBRIDGE WORLD ARCHAEOLOGY

THE URALS AND WESTERN SIBERIA IN THE BRONZE AND IRON AGES

LUDMILA KORYAKOVA

Institute of History and Archaeology of the Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences & Ural State University

ANDREJ VLADIMIROVICH EPIMAKHOV

Institute of History and Archaeology of the Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences & Southern Ural State University





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521829281

© Ludmila Koryakova and Andrej Vladimirovich Epimakhov 2007

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2007

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Koriakova, L. N. (Liudmila Nikolaevna)

The Urals and Western Siberia in the Bronze and Iron ages / Ludmila Koryakova, Andrej Epimakhov.

p. cm. – (Cambridge world archaeology) Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN-13: 978-0-521-82928-1 (hardback) ISBN-10: 0-521-82928-3 (hardback)

1. Bronze age – Russia (Federation) – Siberia, Western. 2. Iron age – Russia (Federation) – Siberia, Western. 3. Excavations (Archaeology) – Russia (Federation) – Siberia, Western. 4. Siberia, Western. 6. Artiquities, J. Enimalshov, A. V. (Andrež Vladimirovich)

4. Siberia, Western (Russia) – Antiquities. I. Epimakhov, A. V. (Andreĭ Vladimirovich). II. Title.

GN778.22.R9K668 2006 947'.01 – dc22 2006018216

ISBN 978-0-521-82928-1 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



CONTENTS

List of Plates, Figures, and Tables	page x
Foreword, by Philip L. Kohl	XV
Preface	xxi
Introduction	I
Environmental Setting	2
Climatic Conditions in the Bronze and Iron Ages	7
Chronology and Periodization	12
Chronological Intricacies	12
Periodizations: Remarks about Terminology	
and Structure	17
General Definitions	19
PART ONE. THE BRONZE AGE: THE RISE OF ECONOMIC AND CULTURAL COMPLEXITY	
The Development of Bronze Metallurgy	25
The Stages of Metallurgical Development in Eurasia	26
The Urals' Bronze Metallurgy	28
The Beginning	28
Further Developments	33
The Apex of Uralian Metallurgy: Expansion and Perfection	40
2 The Achievements and Collisions of the Early	
and Middle Bronze Age	45
The Yamnaya Culture in the Cis-Urals	45
Archaeological Characteristics	46
Chronological Variants and Their Cultural Attributions	52
Were the Folk from the Yamnaya Kurgans Socially Organized	
Pastoral Nomads?	54
The Abashevo Culture	57
The Sintashta Culture	66

vii



viii

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-82928-1 - The Urals and Western Siberia in the Bronze and Iron Ages Ludmila Koryakova and Andrej Vladimirovich Epimakhov Frontmatter More information

		Contents
	Archaeological Materials	68
	Settlements, 68 • Cemeteries, 75	
	The Petrovka Culture	81
	What Was Behind the Sintashta and Petrovka Antiquities?	86
	Economic Sphere	86
	Social Contrasts	90
	The Origin and Ultimate Fate of the Sintashta Cultural	
	Core-Tradition	96
	The Cultural Formations in the Forest Zone	98
	Cis-Urals Subarea	98
	The Forest-Steppe and Southern Taiga of Western Siberia The Pre-Andronovo Horizon in the Western Siberian	103
	Forest-Steppe	104
	Sites of the Seima-Turbino Type	106
3	Stabilization, Colonization, and Expansion in the Late	
,	Bronze Age	III
	The Uralian Variant of the Srubnaya Family of Cultures	III
	Archaeological Characteristics	112
	Economic and Social Aspects	120
	The Andronovo Family of Cultures	123
	The Alakul Culture	127
	Archaeological Characteristics, 128 • Complication of	
	Internal Chronology and the Origin of the Alakul	
	Culture, 136	
	The Fyodorovo Culture	138
	Archaeological Materials, 139 • The Problem of the	
	Origin of the Fyodorovo Core-Tradition, 144	
	Economic and Social Dimensions of the Andronovo Cultures	146
	Northward Expansion	150
	The Andronovo-Like Cultural Horizon	151
4	On the Eve of a New Epoch: Final Bronze Age	161
	The Sargary Culture	161
	Mezhovka-Irmen Cultural Horizon	170
	The Mezhovka Culture	170
	The Irmen Culture	175
	Summary: Bronze Age Trajectory	178
PA1	RT TWO. THE IRON AGE — FORMING EURASIAN INTERACTIONS	
5	The Transition to the Iron Age and New Tendencies in	
	Economic Development	187
	The Introduction of Iron Technology into Eurasia	188
	The Ural Ferrous Metallurgy	193
	The Ananyino Metallurgy (Cis-Urals Area)	194
	The Itkul Metallurgy (Trans-Urals Area)	196
	Transition to the Real Ferrous Metal Production	197



Contents ix

6	The Southern Urals within the Nomadic World: At the Cultural Crossroads	203
	General Aspects of Nomadic Studies	203
	Ecological and Historical Dimensions of Eurasian Nomadism	203
	About the Origins of Eurasian Nomadism	209
	Social Organization of Eurasian Nomads	212
	Material Culture of Nomads	215
	The Nomads of Southern Urals	220
	A Short Excursion into the History of Eurasian Nomads	220
	Nomadic Cultures of the Urals	230
	Pre-Sarmatian and Initial Sarmatian Cultural Development	233
	The Early Sarmatian Development (Prokhorovo Culture)	240
	The Middle and Late Sarmatian Developments	245
7	The World of Cultures of Cis-Urals Forest Zone of Eastern	
	Europe: The Maintenance of Cultural Identities	251
	The Ananyino Cultural Groups	252
	The Pyanobor Cultural Groups	261
8	The Forest-Steppe Cultures of the Urals and Western Siberia: On the Northern Periphery of the Nomadic World	277
	Cultural Groups of the Forest-Mountain Area of the Middle and Southern Urals	
	The Forest-Steppe Cultures of the Trans-Urals and Western	277
	Siberia	287
	Pre-Sargat (Formative) Phase	289
	Gorokhovo-Sargat Phase	292
	Classic Sargat Phase	298
	Late Sargat Phase	311
	Summary: Interactions between Nomads and Forest Populations	312
9	Social Trends in North-Central Eurasia during the Second	
	and First Millennia BC	316
	Social Strategies of the Second Millennium BC	317
	Social Strategies in the Iron Age	326
Note	2S	339
Refe	References	
Inde:	x	375



LIST OF PLATES, FIGURES, AND TABLES

PLATES

I.I.	The Kamensky mine in the South Urals	page 30
I.2.	Aerial photo of the Kargaly mining area	31
I.3.	The remains of the Sintashta furnace from the Usty'e settlement	36
2.1.	Aerial photo of the Sintashta archaeological complex	67
2.2.	Settlements of the Sintashta culture	69
2.3.	Arkaim settlement	70
2.4.	The Alandskoye settlement: ditch section	71
2.5.	Kamennyi Ambar burial ground, kurgan 2, grave 12	79
2.6.	1 – Bronze axe from the Sintashta cemetery; 2 – Bone arrowhead	
	and "spade" from the Sintashta cemetery	80
3.I.	Menhir of Akhunovo	119
3.2.	Bronze sickles from the hoard on the Lebyazhy'e settlement	121
3.3.	Kurgans of the Fyodorovo culture type	140
3.4.	Polished stone ax from the Mirnyi-2 burial ground	149
6.1.	Horses in a pasture	207
6.2.	Steppe kurgans	217
6.3.	Objects in animal style	22 I
6.4.	Burial of the seventh-sixth centuries BC from the Irtyash	
	burial ground	234
7.I.	Epaulette-like belt buckles of the Pyanobor style	267
7.2.	The Pyanobor woman	273
8.1.	The Sargat type burial from the Gayevo cemetery	302
8.2.	Objects from Sidorovka kurgan 1, grave 2	307
8.3.	Silver phial #1 from the Isakovka 1, kurgan 3, grave 6	308
8.4.	Sculptural reconstruction of people from the Sargat-culture	
	elite burials	309
FIGU	TRES	
	Physical map of Eurasia with area under study	3
0.2.	. Landscape zones of Eurasia	5

 \mathbf{X}



List of Plates, Figures, and Tables

хi

I.I.	Schematic map of Circumpontic and Eurasian metallurgical	
	provinces (techno-economic networks)	29
I.2.	The Abashevo culture: casting forms and melting pots from grave	
	1 of the Pepkino kurgan	34
Ι.3.	Map of the of Middle Bronze Age sites in Southern Trans-Urals	
	and ancient copper mine locations	35
I.4.	Scheme of evolution of metallurgical furnaces during the Middle	
	Bronze Age	37
1.5.	Metal artifacts from the Sintashta cemeteries	38
1.6.	Seima-Turbino metal from the Cis-Urals	39
1.7.	Metal objects of the Petrovka culture (the Kulevchi settlement)	41
1.8.	Metal objects from the Andronovo (Alakul) site Uk-3	43
1.9.	Metal objects of the Final Bronze Age from Kazakhstan	44
2.1.	Distribution of the Yamnaya culture sites in the Volga-Urals area	47
	Yamnaya culture	49
2.3.	A – Partial burials from the Tamar-Utkul VII, kurgan 4;	
	B – Tamar-Utkul burial ground VIII, kurgan 4, grave 1	50
	Yamnaya culture: materials from Bolshoi Boldyrevsky kurgans	51
2.5.	Schematic map of the Middle Bronze Age cultures (steppe and	
	forest-steppe zone)	58
	The Abashevo settlement of Beregovskoye I	59
2.7.	Female ornaments and pottery from the Abashevo sites in the	
	Kama area	61
2.8.	Metal artifacts and pot from the Verkhne-Kizilski hoard of the	
	Abashevo culture	62
-	The Budies Leaves	63
	The Pepkino kurgan	64
	Arkaim fortified settlement	71
	Houses 10–11 of the Sintashta settlement: view in plan	73
	Pottery from the Arkaim settlement Location of sites in the valley of the Karaganka and Utyaganka	74
2.14.	rivers, Arkaim valley	7.5
2 16	Plan of the Sintashta burial ground	75 76
	Bolshekaraganski burial ground	
	Krivoye Ozero burial ground, kurgan 9, grave 1, view in plan	77 81
	Distribution of the sites with Petrovka materials	83
	Bronze Age cheek-pieces	85
	Petrovka type pottery from burial grounds	87
	The Bestamak burial ground: double burial from pit 5 with	0 /
	pottery and mace-head	95
2.22.	The Balanovo culture in the Kama-Vyatka area	101
	Churakchinskii kurgan, burial 2	102
-	Copper objects from the Balanovo burial ground	103
	The Tashkovo culture	105
	Materials from the Rostovka burial ground	107
	Distribution of the Srubnaya, Andronovo, and Cherkaskul sites in	,
	the Cis-Urals and Trans-Urals	113



xii

List of Plates, Figures, and Tables

3.2.	Srubnaya culture: dwellings	115
	Burial grounds of the Srubnaya culture	117
	Srubnaya type pottery	118
3.5.	Distribution of sites within the Andronovo area	125
	Complex of the Alakul material culture	129
-	Houses and materials from the Alakul settlements	131
	Alakul pottery from children graves of the Urefty burial ground,	J
J	kurgan 2	132
3.9.	Kurgan 20 of the Alakul burial ground	133
	Materials of the kurgan 21 at the burial ground of Urefty	135
	Decoration of the female headdress of the Alakul style	137
	The burial ground of Putilovskaya Zaimka	141
	Materials from kurgan 6 of the Urefty burial ground	143
	Materials from the Alakul settlement of Korkino	148
	Cherkaskul type pottery (A) and (B) Cherkaskul house-structure	•
3. 3.	from the Shukubai-II village	153
3.16.	Materials from the Cherkaskul sites of the Trans-Urals	154
	Burials and pottery of Bolshekazakbayevo kurgan 19	155
	The Chudskaya Gora settlement on the Irtysh River	157
-	Cultures of the Final Bronze Age of the Urals and western Siberia	3,
	(steppe and forest-steppe zone)	163
4.2.	The Sargary settlement	164
	Complex of the Sargary material culture	165
	Burials of kurgan 7 of the Belokluchovka burial ground	167
	Bone artifacts from the settlement of Kent	169
	Distribution the Mezhovka culture sites	171
	Materials of the Mezhovka culture from the Trans-Urals sites	173
	Kurgan of the Irmen burial ground of Zhuravlevo-4	177
	Schematic cultural trend during the Bronze Age	179
	The dice from the Late Bronze Age sites of the Urals and western	,,
	Siberia	183
5.I.	Meteoritic iron in Eurasia	189
-	A – Distribution of bloomary iron in the Late and Final Bronze	
	Age in Eurasia; B – The spread of iron in Eurasia	191
5.3.	Iron and bimetallic objects from the Ananyino culture	195
	Technological scheme of iron objects from the Itkul culture	198
	Technological schemes of Sargat iron objects	199
	The Eurasian worlds of the first millennium BC	201
-	Directions of nomadic transmigrations in Kazakhstan at the end of	
	the nineteenth century: arrows show only transmigrations from	
	winter to summer pastures	205
6.2.	Plan of the burial ground of Pokrovka-10	219
	Herodotus' world map	223
	Strabo's map	227
	Chinese map of "Western Areas" of the Old Han Dynasty	229
	Big Gumarovo kurgan	232
	Sites of the Early Nomads in the steppe zone of the Southern Urals	233



List of Plates, Figures, and Tables

6.8. Materials of the sixth-second centuries BC from the Southern	
Urals	235
6.9. Daggers of the sixth-second centuries BC from the southern	
Trans-Urals	237
6.10. Pyatimary burial ground. Kurgan 8 (turn of the sixth-fifth	
centuries BC)	239
6.11. Materials from the Filippovka kurgan 1	240
6.12. Temir kurgan	241
6.13. Chernaya kurgan (the third–second centuries BC)	242
6.14. Material from the Berezovka kurgan	243
6.15. Distribution of sites of Late Sarmatian appearance	246
6.16. Late Sarmatian burial ground of Magnitnyi	247
6.17. Late Sarmatian burial ground of Bairamgulovo, kurgan 2, burial	248
6.18. Late Sarmatians: Lebedevka burial ground	249
7.1. Distribution of the Ananyino culture sites	253
7.2. The Argyzhskoye fortress	255
7.3. Starshii (Elder) Akhmylovsky cemetery: view in plan	256
7.4. Stone stele from the Ananyino cemetery	257
7.5. Akkozinsky burial ground	259
7.6. Bone objects in animal style from the Ananyino fortresses	261
7.7. A – Map of the Pyanobor groups; B – Distrribution of sites of the	
Cheganda group	263
7.8. Kara-Abyz cultural tradition	264
7.9. Buiskoye fortified settlement	265
7.10. The Tarasovo burial ground	266
7.11. Materials from Cheganda burial grounds	268
7.12. Artistic reconstructions of the Pyanobor female decorations	269
7.13. Materials from the Cheganda cemetery	270
7.14. The hoard from the Argyzhskoye fortified settlement	27 I
7.15. Cult copper figurines from the Glyadenovo bone-producing site	275
8.1. Distribution of sites of the Gamayun culture (1) and the Itkul	
culture (2)	278

8.9. The Skaty cemetery, kurgan 4

293

8.10. The Gorokhovo fortress

295

8.11. Bronze figurines from the Sapogovo hoards (Trans-Urals)

296

8.12. Pottery from the Sargat-culture sites

297

8.13. The Sargat culture, Batakovo archaeological complex on the
Irtysh River

299

8.2. Artistic reconstruction of the Gamayun fortified houses

8.7. Bird-shaped idols from the Middle Trans-Urals, smelted in the

8.8. Cultural groups of the Iron Age in the forest-steppe zone of

8.3. The Zotinskoye – 2 fortified settlement

8.4. I – Gamayun pottery; 2 – Itkul pottery

8.5. The Itkul I fortress: plan of excavation

8.6. Materials from Itkul sites

Itkul workshops

western Siberia

279

280

281

283

284

285

289

xiii



xiv

List of Plates, Figures, and Tables

8.14. The Pavlinovo fortified settlement: major excavated area	300
8.15. The Pavlinovo settlement, house no. 5	301
8.16. Sargat culture, Sidorovka burial ground. Kurgan 1, grave 2	303
8.17. Silver bowl #2 from the Isakovka-1 kurgan 3, grave 6	305
8.18. Sargat heavy weaponry	306
9.1. Trade routes in Central-Northern Eurasia connected to the Great	
Silk Route system	335
9.2. Schematic trend of social development during the second and first	
millennia BC	337
TABLES	
TABLES	
0.1. Climatic fluctuations in the Eurasian steppe	9
0.2. Radiocarbon dates of the Yamnaya sites of the Cis-Urals	15
0.3. Radiocarbon dates of the Bronze Age sites of the Trans-Urals and	
western Siberia	15
o.4. Chronology of the Bronze Age	16
0.5. Chronology of the Iron Age	17
2.1. Animals from the Abashevo Cis-Urals settlements	65
2.2. Composition of the "sacrificed herd" of Bolshekaragansky	
kurgan 25	78
2.3. Distribution of bones of domestic animals from the sites of the	
Sintashta and Petrovka cultures	88
6.1. Schematic disposition of historically known nomadic tribes and	
major archaeological formations	225
7.1. Representation of domestic animals in the Ananyino culture	258



FOREWORD

Philip L. Kohl

Wellesley College, Wellesley, Massachusetts, United States

I remember taking an overnight flight from Leningrad (St. Petersburg) to Kyrgyzia (Kyrgyzstan) via Sverdlovsk (Ekaterinburg) in late winter 1986. Just before landing in Sverdlovsk, the stewardess asked me to remove the earphones of a primitive portable cassette player that I had just turned on. Her manner was brusque and peremptory. She demanded to know what I was doing, what I was listening to, and claimed that many passengers believed that I – an obvious, solitary, and clearly suspicious American – must be receiving hidden instructions from someone in the West, perhaps Washington, on this then-novel listening device. I handed her the cassette player and had her listen to the Brahms violin concerto I had been enjoying. . . . Such was Cold War paranoia even as late as the early Gorbachev years in the closed military-industrial center of Sverdlovsk nestled on the Siberian side of the Ural mountains, the same city over which Gary Powers's U2 spy plane had been blown out of the sky in 1960.

In her preface, Ludmila Koryakova refers to the fact that the Urals and western Siberian areas covered in this volume remained a highly restricted military zone until the breakup of the Soviet Union in 1991. Until that time, contacts with the West were practically nonexistent. This isolation affected all fields of knowledge, including archaeology. There was some Western awareness – albeit limited – of Soviet archaeological accomplishments in Central Asia, the Caucasus, and European Russia, but the vast region stretching east of the Urals into western Siberia and northern Kazakhstan was then and has – until the publication of this important study – essentially remained a very large "white spot" on the archaeological map of Western scholars. This volume richly corrects this deficiency. It documents the discoveries of scores of Soviet/Russian archaeologists, ordering and analyzing the Bronze and Iron Age materials from a vast central part of Eurasia. In doing so, it shows us the strengths and distinctiveness of the Russian archaeological tradition.

Whereas Cold War realities clearly inhibited scholarly interaction on both sides of the Iron Curtain, the extent of the information gap varied widely and tended to be sharply asymmetrical: in general, Soviet/Russian archaeologists



xvi Foreword

were far more familiar with the Western archaeological literature, including theoretical developments in Anglo-American archaeology, than Americans or Europeans knew about the accomplishments of their Soviet/Russian counterparts. The authors of this book are well read in Western archaeological theory, but they consciously and correctly, in my opinion, eschew any extended critical discussion of their guiding concepts and proceed with their main task: writing a coherent cultural prehistory of the Urals and Western Siberia during the Bronze and Iron Ages or roughly from the third through first millennia BC. To accomplish their principal goal, they record a sequence of "heuristically useful" archaeological cultures and more generically defined "intercultural communities" (kulturnaya obshchnost'), exhibiting greater spatial and temporal stability and "internal horizontal connections" among culturally related peoples. They also focus on shared metallurgical developments and redefine E. N. Chernykh's inductively derived concept of metallurgical provinces (here termed "technocultural networks"). They characterize their general approach as "materialistic...presuming causal priority of the material base (in a broad sense) as a primary means of the operation of a society."

With this conceptual and archaeologically appropriate philosophical base, they summarize the evidence. Readers may be overwhelmed by the pageant of archaeological cultures and materials presented, an almost inevitable reaction given the spatial and temporal parameters of their study. This problem clearly reflects the extent of archaeological work undertaken throughout this area and the fact that more investigations almost always document greater cultural diversity, resulting in the definition of even more archaeological cultures. Moreover, the roster of established archaeological cultures also reflects past reality in that it is associated with the mobile types of societies that emerged on the steppes. That is, the bewildering proliferation of archaeological cultures is intrinsic to the nature of steppe archaeology; both "splitters" and "lumpers" of this record can justify their procedures. To some extent, the indistinct differences among many defined archaeological cultures necessarily reflect the dominant herding way of life among steppe peoples, a mobility that fostered intercultural contact and assimilation. There is no correction for this constant merging or mixture of material remains, although it is helpful to be aware of it.

Western readers may be struck by the occasional ethnic, linguistic, and even "racial" attributions of specific archaeological cultures. Koryakova and Epimakhov recognize the problems of such identifications, "their contingent character," and, relatively speaking, attempt them infrequently. They employ them only in "rather clear and well-studied situations," where they can compare such attributions with "well-defined linguistic areas as specialists determine them." Some well-regarded identifications are explicitly accepted even though the evidence they themselves present is sufficiently comprehensive to query them. Thus, E. E. Kuzmina's well-known linguistic attribution of the different variants of the Andronovo cultural tradition, representing essentially



Foreword xvii

"the entire population of the Urals and Kazakhstan of the Late Bronze Age to the eastern Iranians," is regarded as "reliable requiring no additional proof." Later, we read the "support for the Proto-Iranian (or Indo-Iranian) linguistic attribution of the Alakul and Fyodorovo cultures, or related branches of the Andronovo cultural confederation, requires the supposition that the extension of these languages increased and partly overlapped the distribution of the Proto-Ugric languages.... All... [the] data representing the Andronovo-like cultures in western Siberian forest-steppe and southern forest are evidence for the hypothesis that suggests very active contacts between the Indo-Iranian and Finno-Ugric languages, expressed in numerous mutual borrowings, a part of which relates to the second millennium BC." If read carefully, their discussion reveals some qualification, a degree of uncertainty characterizing even this relatively well-enshrined linguistic identification. The basic problem, of course, is that material remains are nearly always ethnically, linguistically, and "racially" porous, freely adopted by different peoples speaking different languages and exhibiting different physical characteristics.

No "early civilization" arose on the steppes stretching east of the Urals during Bronze Age times. Archaeologists of the ancient Near East or other areas with substantial evidence for cities and large public art and architecture may be puzzled by their descriptions of sites, sometimes less than one hectare in size, as "large" or "monumental." Here a relative, historical perspective is required. The Sintashta/Arkaim planned settlements with their "outstanding characteristics" and "sophisticated system of fortifications" distributed across "The Country of Towns" may appear relatively puny by Near Eastern standards, but they constitute significant, if, still in some respects, enigmatic, discoveries for the archaeology of the Bronze Age steppes. The numerous complex animal sacrifices in burials at Sintashta in particular, as well as the unequivocal evidence of horse harnessing and the use of lighter spoke-wheeled vehicles ("chariots"), and impressive array of metal weapons - all constitute major discoveries. As Koryakova and Epimakhov point out at length, the degree of social complexity evident in these remains, particularly in the relatively uniform and standardized domestic architecture, is difficult to establish.

From its inception, Bronze Age archaeology on the steppes has focused on the excavation of raised kurgans and not concentrated on locating settlements, the cultural deposits of which often are thin and not clearly visible from the surface. This problem is compounded by the fact that dwellings typically consisted of semisubterranean pit houses that were dug into the ground, making them hard to locate. Similarly, many of the Sintashta-Arkaim settlements are not distinctly visible from the ground; most were discovered through the use of aerial photos, confirmed subsequently by helicopter flyovers and on-ground follow-up inspections. Recently, other planned settlements, difficult to discern directly on the ground, have been documented using different remote sensing techniques. Thus, for example, the later transitional Late Bronze to Early Iron



xviii Foreword

Age planned settlement of Ciça with multiple concentric rings of dwellings extending over c. 8 ha. or nearly three times larger than the largest Sintashta-Arkaim sites were found farther east in the Irtysh-Ob interfluve between Omsk and Novosibirsk in western Siberia. The site was discovered utilizing magnetometer measurements. One can only wonder how many more settlements-habitation and special-purpose sites of various periods will be discovered across the steppes through the use of aerial photography and more sophisticated remote sensing technologies and geophysical explorations. The more general problem evident here and throughout their study concerns the state of current archaeological understanding. How representative is the evidence in hand? Which regions and areas of concern are well investigated and understood and which lack such determinations? The discovery of the Sintashta-Arkaim settlements was unexpected. How many more important surprises still await us?

Perhaps the most basic and important thesis expounded at length in this study (and reflected in its very structure - Parts 1 and 2) is that the Iron Age of central Eurasia qualitatively differed from its Bronze Age. The mobile dominantly cattle herding pastoralism practiced during the Bronze Age must be distinguished from the mounted Eurasian nomadism that emerged subsequently only during Iron Age times. Koryakova and Epimakhov opt for what they term the "'later' hypothesis" and cite approvingly A. Khazanov's observation that "Eurasian nomadism as an economic and sociocultural phenomenon could not appear earlier because in many respect it depends on the economic and sociopolitical relations with settled statehood societies." These early nomadic societies and ultimately the first steppe empires (and first appearance of "royal" kurgans) came into being in part because they were caught up in larger systems of interregional interaction and exchange, including regular relations with sedentary states to their south (from China to Rome, including the states of southern Central Asia, such as the Parthian and the Kushan states). True Eurasian nomadism, which they believe first emerged farther east on the Mongolian steppe and then diffused west to the area of their concern, required a level of technological control not just over cattle, but also over horses, sheep, and Bactrian camels, each species of which had to adapt or be made to adapt to the climatic extremes of life on the steppes, particularly to forage throughout the long cold winter when the steppe was covered in snow.

Their well-informed account of the ecological, ethnographic, and historical dimensions of nomadism provides an essential overview to this important topic, as well as a detailed introduction to the basic Russian sources. Their discussion on the nature of mounted Eurasian nomadism is most valuable for its characterization of a type of society that dominated the steppes and adjacent regions for millennia almost into modern times. From this perspective, the earlier Bronze Age is seen as a time of experimentation. At a certain point, lighter carts (or "chariots") pulled by horses, supplanted, though never fully replaced, the



Foreword xix

ponderous, oxen-driven solid wheeled vehicles that had emerged earlier probably in the fourth millennium BC farther to the west. Bactrian camels and wooly sheep also assumed greater and greater importance until they became essential components to the "complete package" of true nomadism. Many questions immediately follow from their presentation. For example, to what extent or how is the advent of iron and the gradual dominant utilization of iron tools and weapons related to the emergence of this new type of nomadism with its full complement of several essential distinct species of animals and technological practices essential to that way of life? How did the gradual shift to the production and exchange of iron implements disrupt or change the nature and extent of interactions among closely related societies across the steppes?

A valuable study raises as many questions as it answers. English readers should be grateful to Ludmila Koryakova and Andrej Epimakhov for making such important and complex archaeological materials available to them. This book undoubtedly will remain the basic reference to the later prehistory of central Eurasia for decades to come. The Cold War barrier that isolated this region from Western consideration has now completely melted away. Among many other welcome advances, our understanding of our shared prehistoric past has considerably grown.



PREFACE

This book would never have been written if our region – the Urals – was still a closed military zone as it was until 1991. Since that time, many Russian archaeologists have been able to discuss our research with foreign colleagues and investigate to what extent our findings represent well-known processes of social change and to what extent our cases are novel and thus especially interesting. Few Western archaeologists have had the chance to examine our work and the prehistoric societies we have studied. Many encyclopedias of archaeology and maps of prehistoric cultures leave northern Eurasia as a blank spot, as if this area was not populated.

Thus, the motive for us to write this book is clear, although the project was daunting. It is difficult to write a book for an audience that has little knowledge of our area, and it is also difficult to write in a second (or third) foreign language.

Once I decided to write this book, I presented lectures to foreign universities, delivered papers at international conferences, and discussed the project with colleagues. In particular, Professor Colin Renfew urged me (in 1999–2000) to continue with the idea of writing an archaeological synthesis and felt that *Cambridge World Archaeology* would be an ideal place for it. Andrej Epimakhov contributed his work on regions in which he is an expert. We are grateful to Professor Renfrew for his confidence in us and to the editorial board of *CWA* for accepting the book. Two anonymous reviewers have been patient in helping us clarify both substance and style. We hope that readers will be equally patient with the English version of what is undoubtedly a difficult text filled with names of strange territories, artifacts, and cultures.

We managed to write the text while living for periods of time in Russia, France, and England. Thanks to electronic communications, we were always in contact, although we live in different cities in Russia (Ekaterinburg and Chelyabinsk).

Our book is an advanced introduction to the late prehistory of a substantial part of Eurasia – the Urals and Western Siberia, predominantly within the

xxi



xxii Preface

steppe and forest-steppe zones. There is no book in any language that attempts to synthesize information in the Eurasian Bronze and Iron Ages. Naturally, we had to choose among many interesting finds and just as many interpretations and discussions of their significance. Although the book includes our own fieldwork, it surveys extensive literature and archival materials that are not easily accessible, even to Russian archaeologists.

The book was written with the financial help of various bodies, primarily the INTAS Foundation (EU), CNRS (France), Leverhulm Trust (UK), a joint grant of the Ural and Siberian divisions of the Russian Academy of Sciences, and grant 05-01-83104a/U of Russian Foundation for Humanities.

I am deeply grateful to my French colleagues and friends – Marie-Yvane Daire and Luic Langouette – for their generosity and support both in Russia during our joint fieldwork and in France during my stay in Rennes. I thank all the staff of the Laboratoire d'Anthropologie (CNRS) of the University of Rennes 1. I am also most grateful to my other French friends: Francine David, Marie-Celine Ugé, and her parents for their hospitality and constant help.

I finished writing the first draft of this book in Durham, England. It is my pleasant duty to thank Professor Anthony Harding for his help in all phases of my stay in Durham, as well as for his reading of the very raw text. I also thank members of the Department of Archaeology of the University of Durham, and Professor Pavel Dolukhanov from Newcastle upon Tyne, who also read the draft version of the book, and his wife Marianna for her practical support in England. In addition, I thank St. Mary's College in Durham, where I wrote numerous pages of this book. The hospitality of the staff and their lively interest in our work was invaluable.

I cannot express in mere words my gratitude to my very good friend Karlene Jones-Bley, who not only constantly encouraged me but also spent a great deal of her time, and even her health, patiently reading numerous electronic texts and correcting my Russian-English.

I want to thank my Russian colleagues and friends who took a major part of my administrative work and teaching on their shoulders during my absence from Russia. Thank you to Svetlana Sharapova, Sofia Panteleyeva, Natalia Berseneva, Dmitry Razhev, Andrew Kovrigin, Alexander Shorin, Alexei Zykov, and all the other members of the Institute of History and Archaeology and the Department of Archaeology of the Ural State University.

I further thank Gennady Zdanovich who opened the door for me to Bronze Age archaeology and inspired my interest in this subject and Svetlana Zdanovich for her most generous hospitality. Andrej and I appreciate the help, advice, and materials of Iya Batanina, Alexander Tairov, Dmitry Zdanovich, Sergei Kuzminykh, Galina Beltikova, Vladimir Stefanov, Olga Korochkova, Yuri Chemyakin, Viktor Borzunov, Evgeny Chibilev, Emma Usmanova, Nikolai Vinogradov, and Vladimir Kostukov.



Preface xxiii

I address special words of gratitude to my coauthor – Andrej Epimakhov – for his responsibility, patience, readiness to accept numerous "perestroika" in the text, and his valuable contribution to the first part of the book and to the illustrations.

In conclusion, I want to express my deep gratitude to my family for their constant support and forbearance of my long and frequent absences.

I dedicate this book to the memory of my parents – Anna Maltseva and Nikolai Zmatrakov – whose lives were unfairly difficult and short.

Ludmila Koryakova