

#### GEORG WILHELM FRIEDRICH HEGEL

Encyclopedia of the Philosophical Sciences in Basic Outline

Part I: Science of Logic

The purpose of the Cambridge Hegel Translations is to offer translations of the best modern German editions of Hegel's work in a uniform format suitable for Hegel scholars, together with philosophical introductions and full editorial apparatus.

Hegel's Encyclopedia Logic constitutes the foundation of the system of philosophy presented in his Encyclopedia of the Philosophical Sciences. Together with his Science of Logic, it contains the most explicit formulation of his enduringly influential dialectical method and of the categorial system underlying his thought. It offers a more compact presentation of his dialectical method than is found elsewhere, and also incorporates changes that he would have made to the second edition of the Science of Logic, if he had lived to do so. This volume presents it in a new translation with a helpful introduction, an extended analytical index, and notes. It will be a valuable reference work for scholars and students of Hegel and German idealism, as well as for those who are interested in the post-Hegelian aspects of contemporary philosophy.

KLAUS BRINKMANN is Associate Professor of Philosophy at Boston University. He is the author of *Idealism Without Limits: Hegel and the Problem of Objectivity* (2010).

DANIEL O. DAHLSTROM is Professor of Philosophy at Boston University. His previous publications include *Philosophical Legacies: Essays on Kant, Hegel, and their Contemporaries* (2008) and *Heidegger's Concept of Truth* (Cambridge, 2001).



#### CAMBRIDGE HEGEL TRANSLATIONS

General editor: Michael Baur

Heidelberg Writings: Journal Publications
Edited and translated by Brady Bowman and Allen Speight
The Science of Logic
Edited and translated by George di Giovanni
Encyclopedia of the Philosophical Sciences in Basic Outline Part I: Science of Logic
Edited and translated by Klaus Brinkmann and Daniel O. Dahlstrom



# GEORG WILHELM FRIEDRICH HEGEL

# Encyclopedia of the Philosophical Sciences in Basic Outline

Part I: Science of Logic





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521829144

© Klaus Brinkmann and Daniel O. Dahlstrom 2010

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2010

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Hegel, Georg Wilhelm Friedrich, 1770–1831. [Wissenschaft der Logik. English]

Encyclopaedia of the philosophical sciences in basic outline. Part 1, Science of logic / Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel; translated and edited by Klaus Brinkmann, Daniel O. Dahlstrom.

p. cm. - (Cambridge Hegel translations)

Translation of: Encyklopädie der philosophischen Wissenschaften. Teil I, Wissenschaft der Logik. Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-521-82914-4 (hardback)

I. Logic. I. Brinkmann, Klaus, 1944– II. Dahlstrom, Daniel O. III. Title. B2918.E5H373 2010

160 – dc22 2010029983

ISBN 978-0-521-82914-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



### Contents

Acknowledgments	<i>page</i> vi
Introduction	vii
Translators' note	xvi
ENCYCLOPEDIA OF THE PHILOSOPHICAL SCIENCES IN BASIC	
OUTLINE, PART I: SCIENCE OF LOGIC	I
Bibliography	304
Glossary of translated terms	
German to English	307
English to German	313
Index	321



## Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the support of the series editor Michael Baur and that of Hilary Gaskin of Cambridge University Press in bringing this project to fruition. We also extend our thanks to Joanna Garbutt, Elizabeth Davey and the staff at Cambridge University Press for their kind assistance during the production process. Finally, we are grateful to Jessica Brotman for her help with the glossary.



# Introduction Hegel's Encyclopedia Logic

Georg Friedrich Wilhelm Hegel (1770–1831) is one of the great figures of German Idealism along with Immanuel Kant (1724–1804), Johann Gottlieb Fichte (1762–1814) and Friedrich Wilhelm Joseph Schelling (1775–1854). Hegel's most famous publication is undoubtedly the Phenomenology of Spirit, which appeared in 1807 just after he had left his teaching position at the University of Jena. In 1800 his friend Schelling, with whom he had been a student at Tübingen, had invited Hegel to join him in Jena, where they taught side by side until 1803, when Schelling left for southern Germany. When French troops under Napoleon entered Jena in October 1806, Hegel's situation became too precarious for him to stay. The university was closed, Hegel's position there was relatively insecure, and his salary (which Goethe had been able to procure for him) was too small to make ends meet. As much as Hegel desired to continue in an academic setting, he was forced to spend the next decade of his life outside the university, first in a temporary job as editor of the Bamberger Zeitung, a newspaper that appeared in Bamberg, Bavaria, and then as professor and headmaster of the Gymnasium in Nuremberg, Bavaria.

The Nuremberg years (1808–16) are the gestation period of Hegel's mature philosophy.¹ During this time, he wrote and published the *Science of Logic* (appearing in two volumes comprising three books, in 1812–13 and 1816) and began to work out the contours of his comprehensive philosophical system. Like his contemporaries, Hegel was convinced that any philosophy had to take the form of a system, i.e. it had to be a comprehensive, complete body of knowledge organized around a central principle, such that all propositions were rigorously derived in a progressive line of argument and all parts methodically connected to each other. In 1807 he intended the *Phenomenology of Spirit* to be 'the first part of the system',² to be followed by a second part comprising a logic (i.e. a general ontology)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Nicolin (1977). <sup>2</sup> See SL 29.



viii Introduction

and a philosophy of nature and of spirit. While this second part of the system was never published in its originally intended form, the first volume of the *Science of Logic* came out as the first instalment of the system's second part, but because it had grown to such dimensions Hegel decided to publish it separately, without the philosophies of nature and of spirit.

Apparently, Hegel then changed his mind and abandoned the idea of working out the remaining parts of the system as initially planned.<sup>3</sup> Instead, he decided to develop an abbreviated version of the entire system under the title of an *encyclopedia*. This encyclopedic version was to reflect the basic structure of the system itself, but it was meant to provide only the key concepts and major parts in outline without going into too much detail. So what Hegel had in mind was a compendium of the fully worked-out system itself: a *summa philosophiae*, so to speak. While the key concepts and parts of the system would be contained in it, the text would represent a slimmed-down version, organized in successively numbered sections. In the Nuremberg text, Hegel defines its purpose as follows: A 'philosophical encyclopedia is the science of the necessary connection, as determined by the concept, and of the philosophical genesis of the fundamental concepts and principles of the sciences'.<sup>4</sup>

It seems that Hegel's decision to compose an outline of his system was primarily motivated by his obligations as principal of the Nuremberg *Gymnasium*: his responsibilities included teaching philosophy in lower, middle, and upper level courses. The guidelines he received from the Bavarian ministry of education for the upper-level course prescribed that he teach 'the topics of speculative thought' that had been taught separately at the lower and middle level, and that he do so in the comprehensive form of 'a philosophical encyclopedia'. Thanks to manuscripts discovered in 1975, scholars have been able to determine that Hegel taught the entire *Encyclopedia* (consisting of a logic, a philosophy of nature, and a philosophy of spirit) for the first time in the school years 1811–12 and 1812–13.6

In August 1816 Hegel accepted the offer of an appointment as professor of philosophy at the University of Heidelberg, where he stayed for four semesters before accepting an even more prestigious position at the University of Berlin in 1818. Already during his first semester at Heidelberg he lectured on the *Encyclopedia* and repeated this course twice during the Heidelberg years (typically, Hegel would hold his lecture courses six hours

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> He also decided that the *Phenomenology of Spirit* would no longer serve as the first part of the system. Instead, its first part would now be the Logic.

<sup>4</sup> WW (Glockner) III, 169 (our translation).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Jaeschke (2003, 219). <sup>6</sup> Bonsiepen/Grotsch (2000, 620).



#### Introduction

ix

per week, Monday to Saturday). Based on the drafts written in Nuremberg, Hegel prepared a book manuscript entitled *Encyclopedia of the Philosophical Sciences in Basic Outline* for publication. The book was supposed to serve as a compendium for his lectures, i.e. a resource for his students and a basic text to be expanded on during the oral presentation. This so-called Heidelberg *Encyclopedia* was printed and available to the public in the summer of 1817.

Practically from the start, Hegel began to emend and elaborate on the printed text in handwritten notes. To this end, he ordered a specially made personal copy of the Encyclopedia with blank pages inserted between the printed ones.<sup>7</sup> The second edition of the *Encyclopedia*, which appeared in 1827, grew out of these revisions. As early as 1822, Hegel had expressed the need for a second edition, and in 1825 the first edition had in fact gone out of print. The second edition of the Encyclopedia contains significant revisions and adds a hundred sections to the 477 of the Heidelberg version. The revisions chiefly concern the Introduction to the work, the Preliminary Conception of the Logic, the arrangement of the categories at the beginning of the Doctrine of Essence, and various elaborations in the Philosophy of Nature and the Philosophy of Spirit. By comparison, the third edition of the Encyclopedia, which followed in 1830 and on which our translation of the Logic is based, contains few further revisions. 8 In particular, beginning with the second edition Hegel now prefaced the main body of the text with a new explanation of the method, purpose and the overall structure of philosophy (the 'Introduction' comprising \$\\$ 1–18 in this translation of the 1830 Encyclopedia), a new introduction to the Logic (the 'Preliminary Conception' comprising \$\\$ 19-78), and an explanation of the dialectic with an overview of the structure of the Logic (the 'More Precise Conception and Division of the Logic' comprising §§ 79–83).9

Since the 1830 edition of the *Encyclopedia* incorporates Hegel's own successive revisions, it is natural for students and scholars of Hegel's philosophy to rely on this edition today. However, today's editions of the 1830 *Encyclopedia* are in one respect significantly different from the one published

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This copy survives, and the handwritten notes in the section on the Philosophy of Spirit have been reproduced in facsimile and transcribed in vol. XIII of the edition of Hegel's collected works published under the aegis of the Northrhine-Westphalian Academy of the Sciences (i.e. the volume here referred to as Bonsiepen/Grotsch (2000)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Thus, the number of the sections (577) remained the same in the second and third editions. For a synopsis of the changes between the first and second edition see Kainz (1996, 39–40). For a list of the changes from the second to the third edition see the editorial report in Bonsiepen/Lucas (1992).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> We know from Hegel's correspondence that he struggled with the length of this introductory text, trying several times to shorten earlier drafts of it (see Bonsiepen/Lucas, 1989, 463).



X Introduction

by Hegel, for they usually contain additional material deriving not only from Hegel himself but also from notes taken by his students during his lectures. This material was added to the first posthumous edition of Hegel's collected works published by Hegel's students in 1832 and the following years. The editors used material from notes taken during different lecture cycles, unified it in language and style, and added it to the relevant sections of the Encyclopedia. For the most part, this material, flagged as 'Zusatz' (Addition) to the section and printed in smaller type than the original Hegelian text (which contains the main body of the section and very often an indented Remark), expands on the point made in the main section by elaborating on the argument and offering illustrations or examples. It adds flesh to the bare bones of the original text, as it were, and thus reflects Hegel's oral presentation of the printed material in the classroom. While the text of these Additions cannot be said to be a verbatim reproduction of Hegel's lectures, it certainly constitutes a faithful and reliable echo of them. In their mostly non-technical language, the Additions are also immensely helpful in elucidating the main text.

The Encyclopedia of the Philosophical Sciences in Basic Outline is the only form in which Hegel ever published his entire mature philosophical system. It is therefore an indispensable text for those who want to study Hegel's conception of philosophy as a whole. Whereas some parts of it, such as the Encyclopedia Logic (also called the Lesser Logic) and the Philosophy of Objective Spirit, also exist in expanded published versions, namely, the Science of Logic and the 1821 Philosophy of Right, or in the form of lecture cycles, other parts, like the Philosophy of Nature, have up till now never been accessible in any other form than the Encyclopedia version. <sup>10</sup>

Hegel organizes the material of the philosophical sciences into three large blocks, each with a tripartite subdivision: Logic (subdivided into Being, Essence, and Concept), Nature (subdivided into Mechanics, Physics, and Organics), and Spirit (subdivided into Subjective, Objective, and Absolute Spirit), each of the subdivisions being further divided in tripartite fashion. He thereby means to capture all fundamental aspects of reality and to indicate the basic concepts and principles of each. Thus, for instance,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Some of the lecture cycles such as the Lectures on the Philosophy of History, the Aesthetics, the Philosophy of Religion and the History of Philosophy have been accessible in print since the time of the first posthumous edition of Hegel's works in 1832–45. However, they constitute edited and consolidated versions of materials taken from different courses over a period of several years, and hence a uniform text that makes it impossible to discern the development of Hegel's views over the years. The edition of the Northrhine-Westphalian Academy of the Sciences (still in progress: see http://www.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/philosophy/Hegelarc/homepage.htm) will make available the individual lectures from the individual semesters separately.



#### Introduction

Mechanics discusses space, time, matter, motion, gravity; Organics treats of geology and meteorology, inorganic and organic nature (plant and animal life); Subjective Spirit deals with the nature and functions of the human soul and its relation to the body (under the title of Anthropology), consciousness as it relates to and begins to categorize and discern regularities in the world of objects, self-consciousness in its relationship to other self-consciousnesses, and the inner workings of the mind such as memory, imagination, the formation of language, and volition (under the title Psychology); Objective Spirit represents an outline of Hegel's philosophy of right and his moral and political philosophy, while the Philosophy of Absolute Spirit contains Hegel's philosophy of the arts, religion, and philosophy itself (with an account of the syllogistic structure of the entire tripartite system).<sup>11</sup>

The important consideration for Hegel, however, is the unity of the system as a whole and its logically rigorous internal structure. Each concept or category of reality (also called 'thought-determination' by Hegel) must be methodically derived from its predecessor and together they must form a single, comprehensive, closed system such that his philosophy can claim to be an exhaustive account of the ideal structures underlying all reality. The fact that the account is exhaustive, that the grounding structures of reality are conceptual, and that the system is closed makes Hegel's philosophy a statement of absolute idealism. It is in part the ambitiousness of this programme and the fact that Hegel did in fact execute it (in the form of the *Encyclopedia* and in his lectures) that has earned him his reputation as one of the greatest philosophical minds ever (the other part being the unique style of his philosophizing and the stupendous insights growing out of it).

How, then, does Hegel ensure the inner cohesion of the system? First, he determines the core or ground of reality to be in fact thinking or reason<sup>12</sup> (or, in its most highly developed form, spirit), so that reality can be said to be organized in terms of intelligible structures that are conceptual or conceptualizable. The problem that the world in its material reality is *not* itself thought is solved by referring to anything that is not thought or reason as *otherness*. However, what is other than thought is conceptualizable, since this otherness can be determined by thinking. Whatever is

хi

The lecture cycles on aesthetics, religion and philosophy mentioned in the previous footnote offer first a systematic and then a historical account of their subject matter with a wealth of historical detail.

He likes to appeal to Aristotle's belief that the world is governed by nous or reason: see, for instance, Encyclopedia § 24 Addition 1.



xii Introduction

an object of thought (and in this sense opposed to, excluded from, or a negation of thought) is other than thought, but its otherness has a name and a conceptual content that can be specified. This, however, is only the first step. Merely to generate concepts or thought-determinations for what is other than thought would not allow thought to claim that it is itself the real ground of this otherness. In fact, in our ordinary understanding this is precisely how we look at the world – as describable and intelligible, even conceptualizable and predictable (for instance, through the laws of physics), but as something other than thought, not as the otherness of thought itself. Hegel's perhaps most notorious move here is to integrate this otherness (i.e. anything that is an object of thought) into thought itself by *negating* its otherness. Since the otherness was already determined as something negative, its second negation now amounts to a negation of the negation, i.e. an affirmation in the sense of integration into thought. This is Hegel's famous negation of negation, the most important aspect of his dialectic.<sup>13</sup> What happens is that the conceptualized otherness is made part of a system of thought-determinations<sup>14</sup> and is then shown to be only a *partial* determination of the system as a whole. Thus, new aspects of otherness need to be identified that have not yet been integrated into the complete conceptual account, until all otherness is exhausted. It is important to keep in mind, however, that conceptualized and integrated otherness is a determination of reality itself; hence Hegel is able to say that the concepts or categories represent 'objective thoughts' (Encyclopedia § 24), or that they contain 'the object in its own self'. The concept of the object is equivalent to the object itself to the extent that the object is intelligible, conceptualizable, or 'rational'. In this sense, thought thinks itself in thinking about the thought-determinations of the real. Philosophy is the knowledge that the world of nature and spirit is structured in accordance with reason, and its highest aim is the recognition of this accord (see Encyclopedia § 6). In this recognition philosophy fulfils its highest aspiration according to Hegel, namely the reconciliation of reason with the reality we live in (ibid.). Philosophical thought is self-recognition in the other, hence, Hegel's designation of philosophy as speculative thought (see Encyclopedia § 82).16

Hegel explains the dialectic, or, more precisely, the structure of the process of thought as such, in Encyclopedia §§ 79–82 (see below). The dialectal aspect constitutes the second phase of this process (see Encyclopedia § 81), but it is customary to have the entire process in mind when speaking of Hegel's dialectic.

<sup>14</sup> Hegel speaks of a 'system of concepts' (System der Begriffe) in his Science of Logic: see SL 54 (Miller translates 'system of Notions').

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> SL 49. <sup>16</sup> Speculative from Lat. 'speculum': mirror.



Introduction

xiii

Hegel ensures the overall unity of the system by presenting its three parts as three forms of a single reality called 'the idea' (see *Encyclopedia* § 18). The idea is the ensemble of all the ideal structures that constitute reality. At the same time, it is the thinking that contemplates this ensemble and recognizes itself in it. The idea is reality as subjectivity, i.e. as a self-referential, self-organizing, self-determining system that is capable of self-reflection to the extent that it is thought or reason. The Logic is the idea 'in the abstract element of *thinking'* (*Encyclopedia* § 19), while Nature is the idea in its self-externality and Spirit the idea as it realizes itself in the human spirit, its institutions and its achievements (e.g., political community, in the arts, religion, and philosophical thought). In truth, therefore, we do not have three parts of the system but instead three aspects of one and the same totality.

However, the Logic is not only the logical core of the idea; it also occupies a special place within the system in that it serves as the structural foundation of its other parts. At its core is the concept (see *Encyclopedia* §§ 163–5),<sup>17</sup> a complex ideal structure that is the blueprint, so to speak, for all self-referential, self-organizing and self-determining forms of reality. In traditional terms, its basic form is that of a definition by genus and specific difference (see *Encyclopedia* § 164 Remark). The thought behind this is that concrete reality always has the form of a particularized universality instantiated in individuals.

But why does Hegel give the name of 'logic' to the first part of the system? Here it should be pointed out that Hegel's idea of logic does not derive from the modern concept of formal logic but from the ancient Greek word for reason, word or language, *logos*. The *logos* means the ideal structure that makes sensible reality intelligible, just as the meaning of a word makes the mere sound of a word intelligible. More precisely, *logos* stands for the conceptual structure that captures the essentialities of things (see *Encyclopedia* § 24). Hegel's logic should therefore be understood as a theory of the fundamental concepts of reality – concepts that in the philosophical tradition since Aristotle are referred to as 'categories'. Consequently, Hegel either identifies his logic with traditional metaphysics (ibid.), or he says that his Logic *replaces* the metaphysics of the past (see *Science of Logic* 63). His Logic can therefore also be called an ontology.<sup>18</sup> The categorial structures developed in the Logic, and in particular those of the Doctrine

<sup>17</sup> Hegel's *Begriff*, sometimes also translated as Concept or Notion.

© in this web service Cambridge University Press

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> During the Nuremberg years, Hegel's own designation for the first two parts of the Logic was that of an 'ontological logic' and an 'objective logic', whereas the third part entitled Doctrine of the Concept was called 'subjective logic': see Bonsiepen/Grotsch (2000, 621).



xiv Introduction

of the Concept, form the conceptual basis for the Philosophies of Nature and of Spirit.

The objectives Hegel tried to achieve with his philosophy and with the Logic in particular are too complex to summarize in the space of a short introduction. Two goals may be identified here, however. First, as the opening sections of the Encyclopedia Logic explain, Hegel believes that the primary business of philosophy is the translation of representations (Vorstellungen) into thoughts (see Encyclopedia § 3 Remark, § 5), or the reflection on the deeper meaning of our experience by means of thinking things over (see *Encyclopedia* § 6, § 3 Remark). What in ordinary experience and in the empirical sciences is understood in more or less depth and detail and often in isolation must be contemplated in its true meaning and in its inner coherence so as to understand its place within the whole of human knowledge. Philosophy is the attempt to comprehend things holistically, i.e. in their interconnectedness and their relative contribution to the selforganizing whole. But philosophy is not only the attempt to comprehend the fundamental nature of the object-world. The translation of the contents of our experience must ultimately lead to a contemplation of the underlying principle of experience, namely to a contemplation of thinking itself,<sup>19</sup> spirit's 'loftiest inwardness' and 'unalloyed selfhood' (see Encyclopedia § 11), so that it may know itself, achieve complete self-transparency, and thus fulfil what Aristotle called the desire to understand that is characteristic of the human spirit. Philosophy is actual knowledge of the truth, not merely love of wisdom (see *Encyclopedia* § 25).

But second, thinking is unable to recognize its own unalloyed self entirely in the object-world. The tradition of metaphysics had been to understand reality in terms of an objectivity existing over against the thinking subject. In other words, traditional metaphysics was an ontology focused on substances as with Aristotle and Descartes, or on the one substance as the sum total of reality that is both God and nature, as with Spinoza. Although substance could be endowed with thought or reason like Aristotle's *nous* or Spinoza's God, the thinking that contemplated this substance contemplated an object: something other than itself that is not a self for itself and therefore still separated from the contemplating subject. It was only with Kant's Copernican turn that philosophical thought came to understand that subjectivity itself is at the basis of the object-world as well. Hence, Hegel believed that, by drawing out the consequences of the Kantian

<sup>19</sup> Hence Hegel specifies: 'philosophy does nothing but transform representations into thoughts, – and indeed, beyond that, the mere thought into the concept' (Encyclopedia § 20 Remark).



Introduction

xv

revolution (as he understood it) he was also bringing to completion the quest that had motivated philosophy throughout its history, namely, achieving full understanding of the world by achieving full understanding of thinking itself – since the world is, at its core, subjectivity itself. For this reason, substance had to be shown to be subject, too, and substance ontology had to be seen ultimately to be subject ontology.<sup>20</sup> For Hegel, this insight revealed the very meaning of the history of philosophy.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

- Hegel, Georg Wilhelm Friedrich (1817) Enzyklopädie der philosophischen Wissenschaften im Grundrisse. Herausgegeben von W. Bonsiepen und K. Grotsch. In: Gesammelte Werke. Herausgegeben von der Rheinisch-Westfälischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Band XII. Hamburg: Felix Meiner, 2000 [= Bonsiepen/Grotsch 2000].
  - (1827) Enzyklopädie der philosophischen Wissenschaften im Grundrisse. Herausgegeben von W. Bonsiepen und H.-C. Lucas. In: Gesammelte Werke. Herausgegeben von der Rheinisch-Westfälischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Band XIX. Hamburg: Felix Meiner, 1989 [= Bonsiepen/Lucas 1989].
  - (1830) Enzyklopädie der philosophischen Wissenschaften im Grundrisse. Herausgegeben von W. Bonsiepen und H.-C. Lucas. In: Gesammelte Werke. Herausgegeben von der Rheinisch-Westfälischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Band XX. Hamburg: Felix Meiner, 1992 [= Bonsiepen/Lucas 1992].
  - (1961) Sämtliche Werke. Jubiläumsausgabe in 20 Bänden. Herausgegeben von Wilhelm Glockner. Stuttgart: Frommann-Holzboog [= WW (Glockner)].
  - (1969) *Hegel's Science of Logic*. Translated by A. V. Miller, Foreword by J. N. Findlay. London/New York: George Allen and Unwin/Humanities Press (based on second, revised edition 1831) [= SL].
- Jaeschke, Walter (2003) *Hegel-Handbuch. Leben Werk Schule.* Stuttgart-Weimar: J. B. Metzler [= Jaeschke 2003].
- Kainz, Howard P. (1996). *G. W. F. Hegel. The Philosophical System*. Athens, Ohio: Ohio University Press [= Kainz 1996].
- Nicolin, Friedrich (1977) 'Pädagogik, Propädeutik, Enzyklopädie', in: *Hegel. Einführung in seine Philosophie*. Herausgegeben von Otto Pöggeler. Freiburg/Munich: Karl Alber, 91–105 [= Nicolin 1977].

This is why Hegel entitles the third part of the Logic, the Doctrine of the Concept, 'subjective logic' and the concept as such the 'subjective concept' (E § 163), not because the concept is subjective but because the concept exhibits the logic of subjectivity.



## Translators' note

The aim of this translation is to present Hegel's Encyclopedia Logic faithfully in readable and lucid contemporary English prose. The task is daunting, given the technical and dated senses of his terminology, the idiosyncrasies of his style, and – above all – the sheer complexity and power of his thinking. A translation must be sensitive to the fact that Hegel's terminology is now almost two centuries old, stemming from a period when German philosophers - even in the wake of Kant and Herder, Mendelssohn and Wolff – were still looking for the words to express themselves. While Hegel marks a high point in this development, it is also a distant memory today. Moreover, translators of Hegel's Logic must never lose sight of the fact that, while Hegel eschews neologisms for the most part, he nonetheless moulds terms to suit the distinctive technical aims of a philosophical science and, indeed, in the text at hand, the aims of a science of logic that underpins all other philosophical sciences. In addition, like any writer, he has a style all his own that, even in its quirkiness, must be respected and reflected as much as possible in translation. Finally and most importantly, the task of translating Hegel's texts must heed their philosophical import, capturing and conveying to their readers the force of the philosophical arguments that they contain.

Our translation of Hegel's *Encyclopedia* Logic has been motivated by the general principles just outlined. We have tried to strike a balance between the need to be faithful to Hegel's prose in its historical context and the desire to convey the force of his thinking as clearly as possible. These general principles guided our endeavour but, as general principles, they left us with several prudential decisions about the translations of specific words and phrases. The results of our decisions about specific terms can be garnered from the Glossary. However, it may prove helpful to review our reasons for translating some traditionally troublesome terms in the ways that we did.



#### Translators' note

xvii

First, however, a word about the editions on which the translation is based. We based the translation on the text of the 1830 edition of Hegel's *Encyclopedia* as it appears in the reissue by Eva Moldenhauer and Karl Markus Michel, published as vol. VIII of *Werke in zwanzig Bänden* (Stuttgart: Suhrkamp, 1970). The Moldenhauer–Michel text is based on the Complete Edition of Hegel's works by his students (Berlin: Duncker und Humblot, 1832–45). This text also contains the Additions (*Zusätze*) of the 1840 edition of Hegel's *Encyclopedia*, which appeared as vol. VI of the Complete Edition. We found that the differences between the latter and the following Akademie edition were largely limited to spelling or orthography: *Enzyklopädie der philosophischen Wissenschaften im Grundrisse* (1830), unter Mitarbeit von Udo Rameil, herausgegeben von Wolfgang Bonsiepen und Hans-Christian Lucas, in: *Gesammelte Werke*, hrsg. von der Rheinisch-Westfälischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Band XX (Hamburg: Felix Meiner, 1992).

We have followed ordinary English usage and left most terms uncapitalized, leaving it to the reader to determine from the context whether or not Hegel is using terms such as 'concept' and 'idea', for example, in the technical senses he gives them in the Logic. On the other hand, in cases where it is helpful to know which term Hegel uses in the original, we often insert the German term in italics and brackets.

Finding a suitable English equivalent for *aufheben* is perhaps the most formidable challenge for translators of Hegel's texts. We translate the term with 'sublate', 'sublating' or 'sublation'. The alternative 'supersede' would have had the advantage of conveying much of the technical term's central significance as a process of cancellation, preservation, and elevation at once. However, as Hegel's *aufheben* and *Aufhebung* are themselves non-ordinary terms of art like 'sublate', and since the translation of the *Science of Logic* appearing in this series of Hegel translations chose 'sublate' and 'sublation', we thought it best to opt for 'sublate' and 'sublation' as well.

Because *Moment* is Hegel's technical term for integral but distinguishable parts of a concept or definition, we have decided to translate it as 'moment', despite the obviously different normal sense of the English term.

Hegel characterizes *Dasein* as 'determinate being' (*bestimmtes Sein*), but uses *Dasein* as the name of this category. We have translated *Dasein* as 'existence', since that is the closest English equivalent. Since Hegel also uses *Existenz* as a technical term in the Logic of Essence, we chose 'concrete existence' for the latter to mark the difference between *Dasein* and *Existenz*.



xviii Translators' note

Similarly, we translate *Wesen* straightforwardly as 'essence' except for those cases where, in the English context, it clearly refers to a being such as 'the supreme being' (*das höchste Wesen*).

Since Hegel employs *Inhalt* far more often than he employs the cognate, but in his use more emphatic, term *Gehalt*, we have reserved 'content' for *Inhalt* and translated *Gehalt* as 'basic content' – unless otherwise indicated, as, for example, in the Foreword and in § 48. In order to differentiate *Sache* from *Ding* ('thing') and *Materie* ('matter'), we have systematically translated *Sache* as 'basic matter'.

The term *wissen* – as the adjectives (e.g. 'immediate' or 'absolute') chosen by Hegel to modify it suggest – can signify the entire gamut of knowing, from the most elemental knowledge to knowledge that is absolute. At one point (§ 81, Addition 2) Hegel uses wissen to designate knowing in general, while attributing erkennen to philosophy (see, however, his reference to philosophisches Wissen in § 88). Along these lines, erkennen signifies at times the mediation of a process of wissen, the specification of a more immediate wissen (see § 46: 'Now to know [erkennen] means nothing other than knowing [wissen] an object in terms of its determinate content'), although it is also used as a synonym for or in apposition to wissen (see § 225: 'The former is the drive of knowledge [Wissen] to truth, knowing [Erkennen] as such'). Given the frequent lack of differentiation of the two terms and Hegel's far more frequent use of erkennen than wissen, we have elected to translate both as 'knowing' or 'knowledge'. However, readers can assume that any occurrence of 'immediate knowing' translates das unmittelbare Wissen or unmittelbares Wissen. In any other case where wissen is in play, we indicate as much by citing the relevant German term.

In two other instances where a single English term is the best translation for two German words, we have employed a similar strategy. Thus, we translate both *Unterschied* and *Differenz* as 'difference' but flag the less frequent uses of *Differenz* (and its cognates: *different, indifferent* and the like).

We follow a modified version of this strategy with respect to *Gegenstand* and *Objekt*. Both may be rendered as 'object', but *Gegenstand* refers typically to any object of consideration or, more technically, to an object of consciousness or experience; *Objekt*, on the other hand, refers to the logical concept of object and is the title of the second chapter of the third division of the Logic. Before § 193, the final section before that chapter, Hegel employs *Gegenstand* far more frequently than *Objekt*, while afterwards he employs *Objekt* far more frequently than *Gegenstand*. So, prior to § 193, all unflagged instances of 'object' refer to *Gegenstand* and we flag all instances



#### Translators' note

xix

of 'object' as a translation of *Objekt*. After § 193, all unflagged instances of 'object' refer to *Objekt* and we flag all instances of 'object' as a translation of *Gegenstand*. Throughout the entire text, an unflagged 'objectivity' is a translation of *Objektivität*.

Hegel often employs the term *scheinen* in its ordinary sense as an equivalent to 'seem' and we have translated it accordingly. However, he also employs it in a technical way that draws upon two *distinguishable* senses of the term, namely, that of 'shining' and '(projecting or presenting a) semblance'. In contexts where this technical employment is clearly intended (in particular, in the Logic of Essence, starting with § 112 and the Logic of the Concept at §§ 240 *et seq.*), we have employed one of the two translations, depending upon the emphasis more directly germane to the passage in question.

Another vexing word-play in Hegel's text is the phrase *sich mit etwas zusammenschließen*, here translated as 'joins itself together with something' or, simply, 'joins together with something' (where 'something' is often replaced by a specific term). This translation, while reflecting a common usage of the German expression, does not convey any link with another use of *schließen*, namely, 'infer' or 'syllogistically infer', precisely in the sense of bringing an inference or syllogism to a 'close'. Thus, whereas *schließen* can mean 'inferring' or 'closing', *zusammenschließen* means 'uniting' (in the sense of 'closing ranks'). Unable to find a suitable English expression that preserved the German word-play – 'close' and 'infer (i.e. close an argument)' and 'join together (i.e. close ranks)' – we chose to stay with the straightforward translation and simply acknowledge its inadequateness. *Traduttore, traditore!*