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Preface

Anyone who ventures into the vast unexplored reaches of German song territory encounters a juggernaut almost immediately. Over and over again, the supplier of the words is identified as Heinrich Heine (1797–1856), a very great poet, to be sure, but other great poets did not leave the same mammoth imprint on music history as this man. In the Poet–Composer catalogue at the Unter den Linden branch of the Berlin State Library, entries for songs to his poems occupy more file drawers than any other poet, even Goethe – and these are only the songs in Berlin’s keeping, not the sum total of Heine settings on earth. Günter Metzner’s catalogue, *Heine in der Musik* (Heine in Music), consumes twelve oversize volumes, and both Peter Shea and I have found settings not included in this Herculean source. Those who peruse Ernst Challier’s *Großer Lieder-Katalog* (Great Song Catalogue) of 1884 soon discover that composers post-1850 frequently chose Heine for their op. 1 entrée onto the scene, as if setting Heine to music was a rite of passage, a guarantee that attention would be paid. In jocular exaggeration, Brahms in his later years spoke of having set “almost all of Heine” to music when he was young, and Wolf too turned to Heine in his compositional youth for a *Liederstrauß* (song-bouquet) of songs in Schumann’s style. It is not long before one begins asking, “Why?”, and the usual answers somehow do not suffice. Yes, Heine was a master of pellucid profundity, of simple words arranged to say un-simple things; yes, he could concoct images guaranteed to inspire music; yes, he became famous immediately and therefore one knew his name and read his verse where less notorious figures might go unnoticed; and yes, he was a master-practitioner of contradiction, and hence, there is a “Heine” for many different purposes. But the sheer size and scope of the phenomenon go beyond these pat explanations; deeper currents must surely run beneath this immense river of music. It is as if Heine were somehow synonymous with song.

And not just German song: he crops up in many surprising places. There is the Hungarian Heine, the American Heine, the British Heine, the Portuguese Heine, the Romanian Heine, the Swedish, Danish, and Finnish Heines, even a Welsh Heine. The French loved him and converted him into turn-of-century song texts; one of Debussy’s lost early works, “Tragédie,” was on a poem by Léon Valade “after Heine.”
was a cottage industry in Russian translations of this poet, and composers followed suit: Tchaikovsky is a Heine composer, and so are Alexander Glazunov, Borodin, and many others. And the chameleon-like variety of musical styles to which Heine was fitted can make one's head spin. There are folksong-like settings, virtuoso concert songs,\textsuperscript{5} late Romantic richness, lean modernity, atonality, the smallest of small songs, and cycles ranging from modest to immense.\textsuperscript{6} There are Italian ditties on words by “Enrico Heine” of a lushness to inspire laughter,\textsuperscript{7} and there is Luigi Dallapiccola's exquisite twelve-tone chamber work, \textit{An Mathilde}, based on three deeply moving poems Heine wrote to his wife when he was near death.\textsuperscript{8} Whatever Heine’s complicated feelings about “coal smoke and Englishmen,” the British found their way to his verses early and often. One amusing example is “This Heart of Mine (Das arme Herz). Song, composed and respectfully dedicated to the Baroness James de Rothschild” by Alexander Reichardt (and one recalls that Heine knew the Rothschilds), with its early Victorian version of “Und wüßten’s die Blumen, die kleinen”: “The daisies that round me are peeping / Were they of my pain aware, / Would now with me lie weeping / My load of grief to share.” One is not surprised to find the indication “Con molto sentimento” at the start of the song.\textsuperscript{9} There is a proliferation of Heine songs by American composers in the Gay Nineties, a repertory mostly in a regressive diatonic style on the poet’s most familiar works. Nothing as radical as Schubert’s “Die Stadt” composed some seventy years earlier is to be found in this body of song, tailored to the middle-class parlor, dripping with nostalgia, musically conservative, sweet to a degree.\textsuperscript{10} Both the German Forty-Eighters who came to the United States in the wake of revolution and their children hymned the Fatherland in music that harks back to earlier times.

The restriction to a few familiar texts is another of the conundrums one encounters when tracing the Heine juggernaut on its way to World War I. “How many settings of ‘Du bist wie eine Blume’ / ‘Thou art like a flower’ and “The sea hath its pearls’ \textit{are} there?”, I asked as the examples multiplied, not just in America but elsewhere. Was there anyone in the Western world at the turn-of-century who did not know this poem? “Du bist wie eine Blume,” implicated as it is in the century’s constructions of gender and desire, is a cultural phenomenon in its own right, as we shall see in chapter 4. It is one of the richest ironies to follow in the wake of this master of irony that many of the composers who set this poem to music were actually in agreement with the same social forces Heine so subtly skewers in his cynical masterpiece. Until the first battle divisions took aim in World War I, most composers behaved as if Heine had died immediately after completing the \textit{Buch der Lieder} (Book of Songs) and the \textit{Neuer Frühling} (New Spring) section of the \textit{Neue Gedichte} (New Poems), the latter including such favorites as “Gekommen ist der Maie” (May has arrived), “Der Schmetterling ist in die Rose verliebt” (The butterfly is in love with the rose), “Es war ein alter
Konig” (There was an old king), and, above all, “Leise zieht durch mein Gemütt” (Softly chiming through my mind), a poem that rivals “Du bist wie ein Blume” in popularity. It is not that composers were wrong to do so. “Leise zieht durch mein Gemütt” is a gem devoid of all gall but Heine recognized even as he wrote it that it was no longer possible to escape into crystalline realms of beauty and thereby turn one’s back on injustice in the world. There were exceptions to nineteenth-century musicians’ avoidance of Heine’s more abrasive side, but they are exceptions that prove the rule, and such songs prompted only puzzlement from critics and quick consignment to oblivion. The vast majority of composers, from inept amateur to genius, stuck with a shortlist of sanctioned poems by Heine and refused to budge from it until the world was a very different place many decades later. It is too easy to say that the production of commercially viable music for the home entailed composers’ choosing the semblance of sentimentality over the more savage, if always suave, strains of irony in the early verse or that the explorations of carnal pleasure in the poems from Heine’s Parisian exile offended bourgeois sensibilities. If there is undoubted truth to these assertions, it cannot be the whole truth.

Most of the “shortlist” of composers’ favorite Heine poems are – on the surface – variations on themes of unrequited or betrayed love; both the poems and the songs belong thereafter to the history of emotional life in the nineteenth century. I often think that Heine at his best has more layers than any onion (and can produce the same bitter tears), and his seeming love poems have been read in a variety of ways. Earlier, his fascination with this subject was chalked up to versified autobiography, but while such naive explanations were popular with the reading public for quite a while, transactions between life and art are seldom so straightforward. We know little about Heine’s erotic history in his youth, and what we do know is at odds with two different flavors of myth: that his early poetry stemmed from his disappointed passion for Amalie and Therese, the two daughters of his uncle, Salomon Heine and that he was a promiscuous rakehell in Paris. The discovery that he visited brothels in Hamburg during his brief mercantile apprenticeship put paid to notions of the ethereal, suffering lover, and, conversely, it seems unlikely that his experiences in Paris were nearly as dissolute as he made them out to be in his poems from the 1830s. Other critics turned the autobiographical readings upside down when they asserted that Heine, rebelling against Goethe’s so-called “poems of experience,” simply lied in rhyme, producing pseudo-autobiography meant to deceive. In a later school of interpretation, the passive lover who can do nothing to alter his unhappy situation is read as a stand-in for the Jew in Germany, the impossibility of love in Heine’s early poetic universe thus signifying the Christian Fatherland’s refusal to accept those artists who happened to be of Jewish birth. That I occasionally agree with such readings of a poet keenly aware of his stigmatized status (in the
wonderful “An Edom,” or “To Edom,” Heine encapsulates the tortured, intertwined relationship of German Jew and Christian in his day is evident in my discussion of Franz Lachner’s “Wasserfahrt” in chapter 2. Still other readers locate the fault-lines of nineteenth-century gender roles and codes of desire in these poems, and here again, I occasionally concur. If social critique was hardly the sole raison d’être for this poetry, it nevertheless constitutes a red thread throughout many of his best-known works. In Freud’s wake, still other critics have had recourse to psychoanalytic theories in their perusal of this poet and therefore find early childhood trauma leading to neurosis in his life, letters, and verse, although the source of the trauma has proven quite difficult to pin down.

Others, recognizing that Heine made his place in the poetic scheme of things a recurring subject of versified investigation, ground their readings in the making of poetry. According to Karl Heinz Götze, Heine inherited not only the formal arsenal of Romanticism – its folk-poetic borrowings, its imagery of nightingale, lotus, lily, witches, and knights – but the problem at its core, one that had been brewing throughout the eighteenth century. In earlier times, one was born into an earthly estate, whereby the sons of noblemen administered the family holdings or became army officers, farmers’ sons became farmers, blacksmiths’ sons became blacksmiths, and so on. The source of material existence was in most instances a certainty, and things metaphysical were consigned to religion’s schemas of salvation. By Heine’s day, this was no longer the case. Members of the bourgeoisie could attain cultivation through education, but this did not provide them with the means by which to subsist in real life. That the world is a dream is one of Romanticism’s fundamental propositions, but one cannot actually live in such airy bubbles for long – hence, the Romantic wandering back and forth, lost, between material reality and fantasy worlds of imagination. If the Romantics chose love as their last hope of attachment to the world, it was because love is both body and spirit, Nature and society, in Time and outside of Time, a means to win back the world. But to locate an entire universe in another person’s love cannot ultimately be done, as Wagner would later demonstrate conclusively in Tristan und Isolde. As a latecomer to Romanticism, Heine from the beginning to the end would find himself torn by his inability? refusal? – to relinquish the longing for what cannot be realized in mortal existence merely because he recognized that it was impossible. Knowing that carnal love gives us something unavailable anywhere else in life’s experiences, he could not let go of Romanticism’s demand that poetry and love should create an enduring world. Social contingencies, shame, sexual attraction as something transitory, seduction’s opportunism on either side of the gendered equation: Heine acknowledges such gritty realities of sex in the real world from the beginning, but in the Buch der Lieder, he treats them as that which undermines the omnipresent longing for Romanticism’s vision to prevail. Even at the end of his life, one hears in
his realization “that love is what it is, and that is more than anything else can be, the old sadness that love can only be what it is.”

If one approaches the 1827 best-seller from this vantage point, then the adherence of composers in Heine’s own century to a few select poems takes on new significance. When I first began this book, it seemed to me that the vast majority of nineteenth-century composers, with the exception of a privileged few, did not “get it” but rather simplified and sentimentalized Heine to a degree. Did they skim the surface of these poems in their music because they could not understand what Heine was doing, I asked myself? Grumpy types like Jack Stein accused Schubert of missing Heine’s irony altogether and pegging simple songs onto complex words; his critiques belong to a bygone view of song as a genre that necessarily simplifies because too brief, too limited, for profundity. I once thought of entitling this book, “Heine in Song: The History of a Long Misunderstanding,” but that was a misunderstanding on my part. In language as sensitive as a seismograph, Heine sets before us the falsity of emotional codes that destroyed both men and women, the constraints preventing the expression of desire, the wars between illusion and reality, all in the service of a new aesthetic of poetry. When pre-World War I composers rejected most of the Parisian poems and ignored the political poetry altogether, when they smothered the small body of poems they would admit into the canon in sounding treacle, they were doing cultural work. By the turn-of-century, the floodtide of sappy songs sounds an insistent note of refutation, of nostalgia for a threatened way of life en route to the catastrophe of World War I. One senses the determination, at times veiled, at times shrill, to perpetuate a social fabric that was sustained by art in covert and overt ways, and the difference between this agenda and earlier uses of Heine is something to ponder. There is a general trajectory (with exceptions) one can trace, from the pre-1848 coupling of Heine and radical musical innovation to the fin-de-siècle repertory of songs drenched in nostalgia. The metamorphosis is all the more arresting because later composers clung to the same poems set by their more daring predecessors. When saturation finally set in, when the institutions that had supported the vast output of song were smashed to bits by the guns of Ypres and Verdun, repudiation of Heine as a source for music was inevitable.

And yet, he resurfaces thereafter in fascinating ways. There is, for example, a gripping tale waiting to be told about the Nazis’ predicament vis-à-vis the Heine songs of the past. The fierce debates in the late nineteenth century about a monument to Heine in his homeland are the prelude to the Third Reich’s attempts to vilify or obliterate him; for example, Carl Paasch in 1890 declared that a memorial to Heine should be built only after every last Jew had been driven out of the land or forced into ghettos. The cultural ministers of the Third Reich, the literary scholars and musicologists in cahoots with Hitler’s regime, had a double dilemma on their hands: when
they extolled Robert Schumann as a German master, they had to account somehow for his attraction to poetry by the Jew Heine, whose books were banned and burned.\textsuperscript{15} One solution was to focus on such works as the Eichendorff \textit{Liederkreis}, op. 39 or \textit{Frauenliebe und -leben}\textsuperscript{16} and to omit mention of the Heine songs altogether. In Erich Valentin’s “Robert Schumann, Werk und Vermächtnis” (Robert Schumann, the Work and the Legacy), not only is Heine nowhere to be found but Schumann is compared to Wagner as a staunch fighter on behalf of German-ness in music.\textsuperscript{17} Another solution, adopted by Karl Hasse in \textit{Von deutschen Meistern} (On German Masters), was the declaration that Schumann had ennobled Heine’s dross, purging what was “half-true and impertinent” in the poet so that the resulting work of art could “shine forth in a purer and deeper flame.”\textsuperscript{18} In his giant study of Schumann published in 1941, Ludwig Boetticher asserted that “Schumann’s love of Nature, his Sunday walks through life, are the best testimony that he did not belong to the problematic-decadent Heine-type,”\textsuperscript{19} but for some, that was not sufficient: Heine had to be drummed out of the canon altogether. The singer Grace Hoffman once told John Wustman of a recital program in which the poet of \textit{Dichterliebe} was identified only as “seit 1932, unbekannt” (since 1932, unknown). When the text of Friedrich Silcher’s ineradicable “Die Loreley” was attributed to “anonymus,” the notation told those who knew the poetic source that the Jew Heine had been expunged from mention. The famous words remained, but divorced from their creator.

But most of the pages to follow tell not of Heine in the twentieth century, fascinating as that subject is, but of the saga’s beginning: the equation of this poet in all his pristine freshness with radicalism in song. From the time he burst onto the literary scene in the 1820s until the 1848 revolutions in Europe, he was the poet of choice for the avant-garde, for those who wanted to redefine what song could be and do. The story begins, of course, with the marvel of Schubert’s \textit{Schwanengesang} songs; if he was not the first composer to set Heine’s poems to music (that honor probably belongs to the Berliner Joseph Klein\textsuperscript{20}), he was the first genius to do so. The songs are still startling, forever capable of evoking a shudder of terror and awe. One enters a fully imagined world when one hears these songs, and it is not, most would agree, a world in which one would want to live: the house is haunted, the persona stricken of soul, the dilemmas irreconcilable, the prospects bleak. It might seem that nothing remains to be said about these songs after almost two centuries of commentary, but Schubert, like all geniuses, can never be fully understood, and every generation will grapple with the complexities of his music in new ways. What I propose to explore in the first chapter is my belief that the history of Heine in song began with a brief, intense affair followed by revulsion. A quarrel with the poet’s mask of ironic nihilism is encoded in Schubert’s music and culminates in rejection after only six songs. That he understood this verse is evident in
one compositional decision after another; that he did not like this persona and did not want to live in his poetic world is evident in others. I doubt that we would have had more Heine songs if Schubert had lived longer. What makes us regret his repudiation of this man’s poetry is the undeniable fact that Schubert wrote the future of music in these songs, but this futurity is the product of wrestling with the past in Jacob-and-the-angel fashion. Understanding that Heine’s dilemmas had to do with vast tensions between bygone or vitiated modes of artistic expression and more current concerns in the world around him, Schubert used his chosen poems for experiments with conventions of song that others took for granted. Baroque borrowings, echo effects, the folksong-like art song, hymnody and chorale, and more are all subjected to an incandescent probing. “How to make song strange” might well be the subtitle of these works.

Other composers took Schubert’s cue when they also found in Heine fodder for musical experiment in the years before 1848. In fact, “song” assumed such a variety of shapes and guises in the German-speaking world that writers of music encyclopedias eventually threw up their hands in despair, claiming helpless inability to define the genre with any degree of precision. Like concentric circles spreading outwards in ever-wider rings when a stone is thrown into the water, the history of Heine settings post-Schubert begins with two men who knew him personally and who constitute “missing links” between Schubert and Schumann: the Bavarian-born composer and conductor Franz Lachner, and the Viennese lawyer-diplomat Johann Vesque von Püttlingen; the two were themselves friends, as we discover from their later letters. In the songs they wrote after their friend’s death (the degrees of friendship with Schubert differ), one finds distinctive ways of coping with a Schubertian legacy they were not allowed to disclaim and different definitions of what constituted music on the cutting edge.

In the early 1830s, Lachner composed over thirty songs on texts by Heine in a manner obviously indebted to the Schubert of *Schwanengesang* but with non-Schubertian hallmarks as well; Lachner has a voice of his own. One of the songs in particular, his setting of “Wasserfahrt” (Journey by water) from Heine’s *Junge Leiden* (Youthful Sorrows), is a “find” of the first magnitude. Lachner, however, would not continue down that path. Abandoning such advanced strains in later life, he returned to Heine only on rare occasions to fashion conservative songs from verse he once found so modern. Here, we find encapsulated the larger phenomenon by which Heine the Progressive became Heine the Nostalgic.

If Lachner was multiply drawn to Heine, Vesque von Püttlingen was more Heine’s man than anyone else to the present day. When he set all eighty-eight poems of Heine’s *Die Heimkehr* to music, the mammoth artifact published in 1851, Eduard Hanslick took note and wrote a long review, actually a disquisition on the contentious topic of what a song should and should not be. Despite his friendship with Vesque, Hanslick thought
that he had transgressed the limits of composable poetry: “Leise zieht durch mein Gemüt” (Softly chiming through my mind) was fit for music, but “Selten habt Ihr mich verstanden” (Seldom did you understand me) was not. Heine’s seemingly sentimental surfaces over subjectivity’s rifts were admissible, but sexually explicit sarcasm lay beyond the bounds of music. Hanslick was not alone: many a composer voted with his or her feet, avoiding those poems not congruent with various notions of what constituted a proper song in its proper social setting. But such transgression was Vesque’s foremost purpose. If he did not have Schubert’s or Schumann’s genius, he wanted more than anything else to leave his mark on the landscape of lied, and he anticipated by almost forty years things Hugo Wolf would do to a higher power later in the century. A reassessment of his achievement is both overdue and already underway; there is a complete recording of *Die Heimkehr* in three CDs, and Graham Johnson will record others in his forthcoming CDs of songs by Schumann’s contemporaries. For too long we have been content to think that an adequate understanding of the vast song repertory can be gained merely by looking at those comparatively few lied composers who have survived into the twenty-first century, effectively, those famous in other genres. Symphony legitimates song, or used to do so.

Of the canonical song composers, it is Schumann whose name is most inseparable from Heine’s. Boetticher to the contrary, there was an elective affinity. They are very different, Schubert and Schumann: in fact, the earlier composer’s quick repudiation of Heine is in stark contrast with Schumann’s allegiance to him, the different responses bespeaking unlike aesthetics of song composition. With a certain perversity modeled on Heine, I will not occupy myself in this volume with *Dichterliebe*, which in any event has recently elicited a book by Beate Perrey, but will instead turn to single songs. Robert appears in chapter 2 in comparisons of his music with Lachner’s and Vesque’s songs, and both Schumanns are the sole occupants of chapter 3. My particular concern is with ballads, especially those with nationalistic under- and overtones. From the start, Heine was a political poet in an age of political poetry, although he took pains to separate his poems from those of the “Tendenz-Dichter” (poets of current affairs) he skewers in *Deutschland: Ein Wintermärchen* (Germany: A Winter’s Tale). Well before the “Weberlied” (The weavers’ song), he made the workings of history, social justice, and politics a site for the manufacture of verse; to paraphrase one scholar’s neat quip, Heine thought history too important to leave to the historians. Of Schumann’s most famous songs, the ballad “Die beiden Grenadiere” (The two grenadiers), was born of history and was history’s tool thereafter, its afterlife quite a tale. “Belsatzar” (Belshazzar) too is entwined both in Jewish resistance history (Heine) and in the build-up to the Revolution of 1848 (Schumann) in ways that make one realize yet again how deeply implicated in life’s manifold messiness songs are. What was political/historical/social...
in the making of the text becomes differently political/historical/social in the making of music, and the counterpoint between them is something I find fascinating. And finally, I conclude the third chapter with a discussion of Clara Schumann’s “Loreley,” not published until the 1990s. The poem is a reinvention of Homer’s sirens in Rhineland-Romantic garb, and Clara’s setting is, among much else, homage to the Schubert of “Erkönig.” If Schubert was no longer among the living when Clara composed her Loreley, his Erlking was, and her perception of the subterranean links between Goethe’s and Heine’s ballads, between Schubert’s music and her own, is nothing short of brilliant.

In this book, I have followed a more or less direct chronological path from Schubert to his longer-lived friends to Schumann and beyond. In the last chapter, I go on a stroll through a forest of settings of a single text: “Du bist wie eine Blume,” so popular with composers from great to trivial that one is compelled to ask why. Schumann, recognizing the cynicism at the heart of Heine’s enterprise, sweeps almost all traces of it aside and replaces it with an epithalamium to his bride, and a horde of other composers also engaged in willful misprision. By World War I, people were sick of the thing, and with reason, but the four hundred-plus songs to these two tiny strophes have tales to tell about song and society, men and women, Heine and music that are rich indeed. Here I go beyond my self-imposed boundary of Heine settings in the poet’s lifetime and track what became of this ubiquitous poem in the later nineteenth and even the twentieth centuries. Heine’s superb biographer Jeffrey Sammons once mused on this poet’s posthumous journeys “into life’s strangeness,” and the uses made of this poem constitute a case study in that strangeness. Here again, one realizes that songs both participate in and shape the societies that consume them. What, one wonders, would Heine have thought of the settings by those who took him to be endorsing the very values he was condemning? Contemplating these songs, I came to realize that the Heine juggernaut is a perfect test-case for the ways in which the Lied tradition offers a key to music history throughout the long nineteenth century. For composers in the wake of the French Revolution and the Napoleonic upheavals, there was no easy, self-evident way forward but rather a constant, often tortured, renegotiation with the past at each step. Schubert knew it, Schumann knew it, and the innumerable creators of late Romantic parlor songs enacted it.

To close with an admittedly defensive last note or two or three: confronted with a repertory this immense (some eight thousand pieces of music), one must pick and choose. I have not even covered the songs from the 1820s to c. 1850 in any semi-encyclopedic way; in fact, the selectivity has been intense. Liszt does not appear here at all except for a brief mention in chapter 3 because I have already discussed some of his Heine songs elsewhere, in a volume of Liszt essays edited by Christopher Gibbs and Dana Gooley for Princeton University Press. Far from wishing to slight Liszt, I consider his settings of “Vergiftet sind meine Lieder” (My songs are...
poisoned) and “Anfangs wollt’ ich fast verzagen” (At first, I almost despaired) among the best works in the entire repertory, and my admiration is on display in the Princeton volume. There are various Schumann songs that did not fit comfortably within the bounds of the current endeavor; “Abends am Strand” and “Es leuchtet meine Liebe,” for example, are two of my favorite songs, and maybe there will be room enough and time someday to hold their profound weirdness up for contemplation. Furthermore, many “missing links” and contemporaries of Schubert and Schumann could not find a place within these walls, composers such as Niels Gade, Josephine Lang, Otto Tiehsen, Carl Banck, Emil Titl, Carl Reinecke, and a host of others, although Graham Johnson is doing a better job of giving them a renewed day in the sun than I could ever do. And I should warn readers from the outset that I believe strongly in “thick” musicology, in the kind of scholarship that locates musical works in the midst of activity on all fronts, literary, political, personal, religious, philosophical, national, sociological, and more. Digressions from the notes in the score are a necessary component of this approach, especially with regard to that deeply impure genre known as song. As someone who enjoys locating lieder in life beyond the barlines, I hope that readers will find some enjoyment in the pursuit as well. And finally, because I have included so many examples of songs long out-of-print in this book, I have had to forgo examples from Schubert’s Schwanengesang songs in chapter 1. Editions of these extraordinary works are, of course, readily available, and I trust that readers will peruse the initial chapter with score in hand.

NOTES

2. Ernst Challier, Großer Lieder-Katalog (Berlin: Privately printed by the author, 1884).
5. For example, Louis Kohler’s “Nachts am Meere; Gedicht von H. Heine. Conzert-Lied für Bariton oder tiefen Tenor,” op. 75 (Leipzig: J. Rieter-Biedermann) – a real work-out both for pianist and singer.
6. One especially interesting example is Adolf Bernhard Marx, Ein Frühlingspiel. In dreimal drei Gedichten von Heinrich Heine, op. 14 (Leipzig: Breitkopf &
Härtel). Not surprisingly, Marx takes his point of departure from Beethoven’s *An die ferne Geliebte*, with instrumental corridors leading from one song to the next.

7. The most wonderfully overripe examples are the *Romanze* by Benedetto Junck (Milan: F. Lucca, [1880]), who gravitated to the familiar favorites. In “Quando ti guardo fisso” (“Wenn ich in deine Augen seh”), Junck’s persona runs rhapsodically amuck at “Himmelslust,” repeating “Paradisiaco” to ever-higher apogetes lest we doubt how heavenly the experience of a maidenly bosom really is. See also Niccolò van Westerhout, *An Sie! Album per Canto* (Milan: F. Lucca, [1884]); Giovanni Sgambati, “Serafina – Quando a sera io vado stanco,” in *Quattro Melodie per una voce e pianoforte*, op. 35, no. 1 (Mainz: Schott, 1904); and Angelo Bettinelli, “Io sognai: Romanza” for mezzo-soprano or baritone (Milan: Ricordi, 1911).


10. Examples of American Heine songs include Wilson Smith, “Thou’rt like unto a lovely flower” (Cleveland, Ohio: J. H. Rogers, c. 1889); George Chadwick, “Thou art so like a flower” (Boston and New York: Arthur P. Schmidt, 1883); Homer N. Bartlett, “What means this tear so lonely?” from *Six Songs*, op. 27, no. 4 (Boston and Leipzig: Arthur Schmidt, 1893); and Frances Allitsen, *Album of Eight Songs. Poems by Heinrich Heine (German and English Words)* (New York: G. Schirmer, 1900). There are hundreds more. These works were preceded in America by such series as the *Gems of German Song, with English and German Words*, published in Boston in the 1840s by Henry Tolman & Co., and *The Germania. New Vocal Gems from the German*, also published in Boston by Oliver Ditson & Co.

11. “Edom” is the Jewish designation for an enemy of the Jews, derived from 4 Moses 20: 14–21.


13. Almost a quarter-century before his death, Heine was already the target of book-length attacks; see Cruciger [pseud. Friedrich Heinrich von der Hagen], *Neueste Wanderungen, Umtriebe und Abenteuer des Ewigen Juden unter den Namen Börne, Heine, Saphir u. a.* (Wilhelmstadt: Friedrich, 1832). If one looks in the bibliography of the *Antisemiten-Katechismus. Eine Zusammenstellung des wichtigsten Materials zum Verständniss der Judenfrage* (Leipzig: Hermann Beyer, 1893, 25th edn.), pp. 311–324, one finds a list of 277 nineteenth-century pamphlets, books, and newspaper articles. Theodor Fritsch’s catechism is in the classic question-and-answer format of Christian catechisms; on pp. 27–28, one finds the twentieth question, “Have not Spinoza, Mendelssohn, and Heine accomplished meaningful things?” Re Heine, the answer is as follows: “Within Heine, a peculiar conflict was waged. It is as if a piece of the German spirit wanted to make him soar to idealistic heights – until suddenly the Jew
in his very bones drew him back down into the swamp, wherein he rolled about happily and mocked all ideals” (p. 28). Heine is targeted at even greater length in Xanthippus (a pseudonym for Franz Sandvoss), *Was dünkt euch um Heine? Ein Bekenntnis* (Leipzig: Grunow, 1888), and in multiple books by Adolf Bartels, for whom Heine was an itch that no amount of published scratching could dispel. When his *Heinrich Heine. Auch ein Denkmal* (Dresden and Leipzig: C. A. Koch, 1906), aroused criticism by those who felt that Heine should be lauded with statuary in his native land, Bartels fired back with another book, *Heine-Genossen. Zur Charakteristik der deutschen Presse und der deutschen Parteien* (Dresden and Leipzig: C. A. Koch, 1908, 2nd aug. edn.); Sandvoss (p. 2) puts the matter bluntly: “Heine has become a thorn in our flesh. An operation is needed. The danger that he will pollute Germany's blood, Germany's nature, has risen to the most threatening level.”


15. See, for example, Martin Kreisig, “Robert Schumann, der Deutsche,” in *Die Musik*, 27/9 (June 1935), pp. 657–660. Kreisig asserts that Schumann’s interest in folk song and opposition to French music were proto-Nazi before the fact. See also Robert Pessenlehner, “Eugenie Schumann und das letzte Werk ihres Vaters Robert Schumann. Eine Antwort – Verehrte Tochter unseres großen deutschen Meisters,” in *Zeitschrift für Musik. Monatschrift für eine geistige Erneuerung der deutschen Musik*, 105/3 (March 1938), p. 243. This was the Nazis’ new name for Schumann’s *Neue Zeitschrift für Musik*. In Erich Valentin’s “Stand by your man” praise of Clara (“Clara Schumann. Gedenkblatt zur 40. Wiederkehr ihres Todestages”), it is the Rückertlieder composed with her husband that are invoked, not “Sie liebten sich beide” or “Ich stand in dunklen Träumen”; see the *Zeitschrift für Musik*, 106/1 (January 1939), pp. 142–144.

16. In a review of the festival of German Romantic music held in Flensburg, 29 January to 4 February 1939, the lyric tenor Heinz Marten is praised for his performance of the Eichendorff *Liederkreis* and a selection of songs by Pfitzner and Wolf, all composers of whom the Nazis approved; see *Zeitschrift für Musik*, 106/3 (1939), p. 309. There is in that same issue an unintentionally funny rhapsody entitled “Chamisso!” by one Roswitha Collier (pp. 261–264), in which Schumann, inspired by Beethoven and the spirit of Chamisso (who was not yet dead in 1840), composes *Frauenliebe und -leben*.” Y O Ub em y singer!,” the incorporeal Chamisso coos to Schumann, “YOU take up my soul’s harp and sing what I once spoke, sing my most beautiful, most beloved song.”


18. See Karl Hasse, *Von deutschen Meistern. Zur Neugestaltung unseres Musiklebens im neuen Deutschland*, vol. II (Regensburg: Gustav Bosse Verlag, 1934), and
the review of this same book by Max Herre in Zeitschrift für Musik. Monats-
scrift für eine geistige Erneuerung der deutschen Musik, 102/8 (August 1934),
pp. 835–842. Both Hasse and Herre were exercised about the “Schwammerl”
image of Schubert (insufficiently Aryan); see also Anna Charlotte Wützky’s
“Genug der Schubert-Verschandelung! Ein dringender Mahnruf” [Enough of
this disfigurement of Schubert! A pressing appeal], in Zeitschrift für Musik,
103/9 (September 1936), pp. 1,133–1,134.
Beiträge zur Erkenntniskritik der Musikgeschichte und Studien am Ausdrucks-
problem des neunzehnten Jahrhunderts (Berlin: Bernhard Hahnefeld Verlag,
1941), p. 203.
20. Joseph Klein (1802–1862) was the stepbrother of the better-known com-
poser Bernhard Joseph Klein; see Reinhold Sietz, “Klein, Joseph,” in Rhein-
ische Musiker, 1. Folge (Beiträge zur rheinischen Musikgeschichte, vol. XLIII)
(Cologne: Arno Volk-Verlag, 1960), p. 142. Heine writes approvingly of the
composer in his third “Brief aus Berlin” of 7 June 1822, mentioning his songs
in particular. Klein’s op. 6 Acht Lieder und Gesänge (Bonn, Simrock) includes
“Meiner schlafenden Zuleima” and “Wenn ich bei meiner Liebsten bin.” I
have not been able to locate a copy of his Acht Lieder von Heine und Goethe
listed in Adolph Hofmeister’s catalogue for 1844, but his setting of “Loreley”
is discussed in chapter 3.
21. Christoph Prégardien, tenor, and Andreas Staier, fortepiano, Beethoven –
22. As I was completing my work on this book, I learned of the publication
of Sonja Gesse-Harms book Zwischen Ironie und Sentiment. Heinrich Heine
im Kunstlied des 19. Jahrhunderts (Stuttgart: J. B. Metzler, 2006); I regret
not having the benefit of her work before I completed mine, especially her
voluminous chapter on Johann Vesque von Füttlingen.
in Roger Cook, ed., A Companion to the Works of Heinrich Heine (Rochester,
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