The Victorian Clown

_The Victorian Clown_ is a microhistory of mid-Victorian comedy, spun out of the life and work of two professional clowns. Their previously unpublished manuscripts – James Frowde’s account of his young life with the famous Hengler’s Circus in the 1850s and Thomas Lawrence’s 1871 gagbook – offer unique, unmediated access to the grass roots of popular entertainment. Through them this book explores the role of the circus clown at the height of equestrian entertainment in Britain, when the comic managed audience attention for the riders and acrobats, parodying their skills in his own tumbling and contortionism, and also offered a running commentary on the times through his own ‘wheeze’ – stand-up comedy sets. Plays in the ring connect the circus to the stage, and since both these men were also comic singers, their careers give a sharp insight into popular music just as it was being transformed by the new institution of music hall.
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The project on which we are engaged, under the auspices of the Arts and Humanities Research Council, is to map ‘an alternative history of the Victorian theatre’. An alternative vision depends not only on new methods and new approaches, but also on new materials for study. The perennial problem that faces commentators, theorists and historians working with texts that are outside the canon is how to share those materials fully and fairly with their readers. Voluminous records survive from the artistic life of the nineteenth century, but only a small proportion of the material that might be utilised in creating our working understanding of that past has been published, even less kept in print. In challenging that selection, and the wisdom based upon it, we have somehow to juggle new suggestions and perceptions against the imperative need to show readers the texts on which we have based our fresh ideas. This volume is primarily intended to share with future readers and analysts some of the materials we are using in our attempt to frame a new approach to the performance culture of the mid-Victorian period. The major part of this book consists of two lengthy manuscripts by two men who worked as clowns; they have never been printed before, and were not written for publication, so they have not so far passed through the process of assimilation to the conventional narrative of Victorian performance history. We have tried to provide enough editorial explication, and prefatory discussion, to suggest their significance as a microhistory of Victorian comic performance; but our efforts are tentative and by no means exhaustive, and we hope that some readers will find their own ways, whether as students and scholars or performers and entertainers, to explore the Victorian clown much further than we have done so far.
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Editorial practice

James Frowde began to write his memoirs on 21 June 1894, using a foolscap account-book (13 x 8 inches) with 45 leaves (of which 39 are used for the memoirs) that already contained some lists and figures connected with his local concerns and societies (‘no. 8 battery 1st Gloucester Artillery Volunteer Corps’, among other things) in the back and front. He continued in four other notebooks, the next two similar to each other, both black, measuring 8 x 6½ inches and with 80 leaves; the fourth is covered in red marbled paper and measures 9 x 7 inches with 60 leaves; the fifth is again black, 9 x 7 inches with 60 leaves (of which the final 23 are unused). He wrote in indelible pencil, a faint purple, and in some patches went over his work in black or red ink. He tended to write on the verso, left-hand pages and then sometimes to double back and fill in the rectos, either with additions or with the continuation of the narrative. These practices make it extremely difficult to disentangle a story which is in any case not particularly consecutive. He numbered the pages straight through all the volumes, but the numbers are as often as not confusing rather than helpful, and we have omitted them, sorting the story into chronological order as far as possible and noting our deductions about its dating.

Our selection from the manuscript is intended to include everything that bears in any way upon Frowde’s experience as a performer: accounts of his travels, his appearances, his relations with his family (his mother was a Hengler) and fellow-workers, and any incidental comment about theatre or circus life. We have amended the punctuation where this was essential, since there is often none in the original, but have otherwise left the text as Frowde punctuated it.
as far as possible, however strange it may seem to modern eyes. We have silently corrected the (relatively very few) spelling errors he made, except where there seems some significance in the way he chose to write a word, which we have retained and noted. Large parts of the manuscript, notably his embarrassed accounts of his early courtships and some fluent but unremarkable passages of sermonising, have been left out; omissions are marked with a simple ellipsis, thus ‘...’. There are a few places where we have been unable to decipher a word, which we have marked with ‘[?]’. To structure the narrative, and to carry the reader through omitted sections of any length, we have used summarising paragraphs differentiated by typeface; but we are conscious that an ideal procedure for this kind of transcription is elusive. We apologise in advance for any irritation our intrusions may cause, and, conversely, for any points where we do not seem to have offered enough explication.

Frowde’s scribble was, presumably, intended for later transcription, hence his hurried and complicated procedure, with many corrections and additions. Thomas Lawrence, on the other hand, wrote carefully, fluently and in a clear hand, in a rational and deliberate order; he was making a tool intended for rapid use in dim light before he returned to the ring. The first gagbook, not transcribed here, is full to bursting and has lost its cover; when he began on the second, he copied out materials he was still using, apparently updating them in the process, and crossing them through methodically as he rearranged them in the new book. This later book, which we have transcribed in its entirety, is $8 \times 6\frac{1}{2}$ inches, contains 68 leaves, and is not full. He titles and numbers his ‘wheezes’, starting each on a new page, and he groups short fragments together under headings. Therefore his work presents very little difficulty on the page – except for the enormous effort of translating the bald script into a performance. Lawrence was less formally literate than Frowde, so his spelling is practical rather than orthodox; we have updated it for ease of reading and to avoid a factitiously quaint effect. Where it seems to us that the way he writes makes a point about pronunciation, or suggests how he thought about a word, we have added a note of the original spelling.