

## Mind and Supermind

Mind and Supermind offers a new perspective on the nature of belief and the structure of the human mind. Keith Frankish argues that the folk-psychological term 'belief' refers to two distinct types of mental state, which have different properties and support different kinds of mental explanation. Building on this claim, he develops a picture of the human mind as a two-level structure, consisting of a basic mind and a supermind, and shows how the resulting account sheds light on a number of puzzling phenomena and helps to vindicate folk psychology. Topics discussed include the function of conscious thought, the cognitive role of natural language, the relation between partial and flat-out belief, the possibility of active belief formation, and the nature of akrasia, self-deception, and first-person authority. This book will be valuable for philosophers, psychologists, and cognitive scientists.

KEITH FRANKISH is Lecturer in Philosophy at the Department of Philosophy, The Open University. He has published in *Analysis* and *Philosophical Psychology* and contributed to *Language and Thought: Interdisciplinary Themes*, ed. P. Carruthers and J. Boucher (Cambridge, 1998).



#### CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN PHILOSOPHY

General editors E. J. LOWE and WALTER SINNOTT-ARMSTRONG

Advisory editors

JONATHAN DANCY University of Reading

JOHN HALDANE University of St Andrews

GILBERT HARMAN Princeton University

FRANK JACKSON Australian National University

WILLIAM G. LYCAN University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

SYDNEY SHOEMAKER Cornell University

JUDITH J. THOMSON Massachusetts Institute of Technology

### RECENT TITLES

JOSHUA HOFFMAN & GARY S. ROSENKRANTZ Substance among other categories
PAUL HELM Belief policies

NOAH LEMOS Intrinsic value

LYNNE RUDDER BAKER Explaining attitudes

HENRY S. RICHARDSON Practical reasoning about final ends

ROBERT A. WILSON Cartesian psychology and physical minds

BARRY MAUND Colours

MICHAEL DEVITT Coming to our senses

SYDNEY SHOEMAKER The first-person perspective and other essays

MICHAEL STOCKER Valuing emotions

ARDA DENKEL Object and property

E. J. LOWE Subjects of experience

NORTON NELKIN Consciousness and the origins of thought

PIERRE JACOB What minds can do

ANDRE GALLOIS The world without, the mind within

D. M. ARMSTRONG A world of states of affairs

DAVID COCKBURN Other times

MARK LANCE & JOHN O'LEARY-HAWTHORNE The grammar of meaning

ANNETTE BARNES Seeing through self-deception

DAVID LEWIS Papers in metaphysics and epistemology

MICHAEL BRATMAN Faces of intention

DAVID LEWIS Papers in ethics and social philosophy

MARK ROWLANDS The body in mind: understanding cognitive processes LOGI GUNNARSSON Making moral sense: beyond Habermas and Gauthier

BENNETT W. HELM Emotional reason: deliberation, motivation, and the

nature of value

RICHARD JOYCE The myth of morality

ISHTIYAQUE HAJI Deontic morality and control

ANDREW NEWMAN The correspondence theory of truth

JANE HEAL Mind, reason, and imagination

PETER RAILTON Facts, values and norms

CHRISTOPHER S. HILL Thought and world

WAYNE DAVIS Meaning, expression and thought ANDREW MELNYK A physicalist manifesto

JONATHAN L. KVANVIG The value of knowledge and the pursuit of understanding WILLIAM ROBINSON Understanding phenomenal consciousness

MICHAEL SMITH Ethics and the a priori

D. M. ARMSTRONG Truth and truthmakers

JOSHUA GERT Brute rationality: normativity and human action



# Mind and Supermind

Keith Frankish

The Open University





PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge, CB2 2RU, UK
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011–4211, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

http://www.cambridge.org

© Keith Frankish 2004

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2004

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeface Bembo 10/12 pt. System LATEX  $2\varepsilon$  [TB]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 0 521 81203 8 hardback



> For my parents, Arthur and Eileen Frankish, in gratitude for their never-failing support, encouragement, and love



## **Contents**

List of figures Preface		page xi xiii
1	Introduction	1
	1 The core claim	1
	2 An overview of the book	4
	3 Methodological remarks	8
2	Divisions in folk psychology	12
	1 Belief	12
	2 Reasoning	24
	3 Mind	33
	Conclusion and prospect	49
3	Challenges and precedents	52
	1 Challenges	52
	2 Bayesians on flat-out belief	59
	3 Opinion and the Joycean machine	71
	4 Acceptance	80
	Conclusion and prospect	87
4	The premising machine	90
	1 Premising policies	90
	2 Premising and the role of language	97
	3 The premising machine	107
	Conclusion and prospect	121
5	Superbelief and the supermind	124
	1 Superbelief and superdesire	124
	2 Challenges met	143
	3 The supermind	154
	Conclusion and prospect	159

ix



## Contents

6	Propositional modularity	161
	1 The eliminativist threat	161
	2 The case for propositional modularity	165
	3 Propositional modularity vindicated	173
	Conclusion and prospect	183
7	Conceptual modularity	184
	1 The case for conceptual modularity	184
	2 Conceptual modularity vindicated	190
	3 The future of folk psychology	200
	Conclusion and prospect	202
8	Further applications	203
	1 Akrasia	203
	2 Self-deception	213
	3 First-person authority	218
	4 Scientific psychology	226
	Conclusion	233
	Conclusion	234
$R_{\epsilon}$	eferences	235
Author index		246
Subject index		249



# Figures

1 The two strands of folk psychology	page 50
2 Precedents for strand 2 belief	88
3 The structure of the human mind	122
4 Varieties of acceptance <sub>p</sub>	141



## Preface

It is an old adage that two minds are better than one, and the same may go for theories of mind. Anyone with even a passing acquaintance with modern philosophy of mind knows that philosophers differ widely in their view of the nature of mental states. One of the sharpest differences is that between the views of Daniel Dennett and Jerry Fodor. According to Dennett (or a slightly caricatured version of him), there is nothing more to having a belief or desire than being disposed to behave in the right way. Mentalistic discourse is a shallow, but very useful, way of characterizing and predicting people's behaviour. According to Fodor, on the other hand (to caricature slightly again), beliefs and desires are discrete, linguistically structured representational states, and everyday mentalistic discourse incorporates a theory of the internal processes that generate behaviour. These views seem, on the face of it, straightforwardly incompatible, and it is widely assumed that endorsing one means rejecting the other. I am going to argue that this is not so. When we look carefully, we find some striking divisions in the way we use mentalistic terms and in the kinds of mental explanation we give. In a rush to establish the scientific credentials of folk psychology, philosophers have tended to gloss over these divisions, imposing a unified framework on the folk concepts and practices. This has, I think, been a mistake. If we take the divisions seriously and trace out their implications, we are led to a picture of the human mind as a two-level structure, in which the two levels are differently constituted and have different functions. And when we do this, we see that the views of Dennett and Fodor are not so opposed after all. We have, in a sense, two minds, and need a two-strand theory of mind.

This book began life as a doctoral thesis at the University of Sheffield, and it owes a huge debt to the person who supervised that thesis, Peter Carruthers. Over many years Peter has given very generously of his time, and I thank him for his inspiration, support, and consistently excellent advice. Without him, this book would probably not have been written;

xiii



## Preface

it would certainly have been much poorer. Thanks are also due to Chris Hookway, who acted as my secondary supervisor, and to George Botterill and Peter Smith, who examined the thesis and supplied helpful feedback. George also supervised my work on the initial proposal from which the thesis grew, and I thank him for his encouragement and advice in those early days. More recently, Maria Kasmirli and an anonymous referee have supplied comments on the typescript, for which I am grateful. During the course of writing I have also benefited from discussions and correspondence with many friends and colleagues, among them Alex Barber, Jill Boucher, Gavin Boyce, Andy Clark, Tom Dickins, Pascal Engel, André Gallois, Nigel Gibbions, David Harrison, Stephen Laurence, Patrick Maher, Betty-Ann Muir, Gloria Origgi, David Owens, David Papineau, Carolyn Price, and Dan Sperber. I thank them all. The influence of Daniel Dennett's writings will be evident throughout this book. Dennett has himself indicated the need for a two-strand theory of mind, and his original essay on the topic has been an important inspiration for the ideas developed here (Dennett 1978a, ch. 16).

Parts of this book make use of material from two earlier publications of mine, though with substantial revision and rewriting. Chapters 3 and 5 draw on my 'A matter of opinion' from Philosophical Psychology, 11 (1998), pp. 423-42, with thanks to the editor, William Bechtel, and to the publishers, Taylor and Francis (http://www.tandf.co.uk/journals). Chapter 4 draws upon my 'Natural language and virtual belief', in Peter Carruthers and Jill Boucher (eds.), Language and Thought: Interdisciplinary Themes (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998), pp. 248-69, with thanks to the editors and to Cambridge University Press. Earlier versions of some of the chapters of this book were used as teaching texts for my thirdyear course 'Mind, action, and freedom', which I taught in the Department of Philosophy at the University of Sheffield during the Spring semester of 1997. I am grateful to all my students in that class for their comments and questions - mentioning in particular Clare Heyward and Intan M. Mohamad. I should also like to express my gratitude to Hilary Gaskin, Mary Leighton, Pauline Marsh, and Lucille Murby for their help in preparing this book for the press.

Finally, very special thanks are due to my parents, to whom this book is dedicated, and to Maria, whose contribution has been the most important of all.