

This study redefines relations between writing and playing in Shake-speare's theatre as marked by difference as well as integration in both the provenance and the production process of early modern stages. In his close readings in *Richard III*, *Midsummer Night's Dream*, *Hamlet*, *Troilus and Cressida*, *Macbeth*, *Timon of Athens* and other plays, the author traces contrariety but also liminality between the imaginary world-in-the-play and the visible, audible playing-in-the-world of the playhouse. Engaging both worlds, Shakespeare's stage projects verbal and performance practices each to "double business bound"; together they inform his theatre's most potent impulse then and, the author suggests, now, in our own theatre.

Robert Weimann is Professor of Drama at the University of California, Irvine, and member of the Berlin-Brandenburg Academy of Arts. In the field of Shakespeare and early modern studies, his most recent booklength study is *Authority and Representation in Early Modern Discourse* (1996).



Cambridge Studies in Renaissance Literature and Culture 39

Author's Pen and Actor's Voice



Cambridge Studies in Renaissance Literature and Culture

General editor STEPHEN ORGEL

Jackson Eli Reynolds Professor of Humanities, Stanford University

Editorial board
Anne Barton, University of Cambridge
Jonathan Dollimore, University of York
Marjorie Garber, Harvard University
Jonathan Goldberg, Johns Hopkins University
Nancy Vickers, Bryn Mawr College

Since the 1970s there has been a broad and vital reinterpretation of the nature of literary texts, a move away from formalism to a sense of literature as an aspect of social, economic, political and cultural history. While the earliest New Historical work was criticized for a narrow and anecdotal view of history, it also served as an important stimulus for post-structuralist, feminist, Marxist and psychoanalytical work, which in turn has increasingly informed and redirected it. Recent writing on the nature of representation, the historical construction of gender and of the concept of identity itself, on theatre as a political and economic phenomenon and on the ideologies of art generally, reveals the breadth of the field. Cambridge Studies in Renaissance Literature and Culture is designed to offer historically oriented studies of Renaissance literature and theatre which make use of the insights afforded by theoretical perspectives. The view of history envisioned is above all a view of our own history, a reading of the Renaissance for and from our own time.

### Recent titles include

- 34. Michael C. Schoenfeldt, *Bodies and Selves in Early Modern England: Physiology and Inwardness in Spenser, Shakespeare, Herbert and Milton*
- 35. Lynn Enterline, Rhetoric of the Body from Ovid to Shakespeare
- 36. Douglas A. Brooks, From Playhouse to Printing House: Drama and Authorship in Early Modern England
- 37. Robert Matz, Defending Literature in Early Modern England: Renaissance Literary Theory in Social Context
- 38. Ann Jones and Peter Stallybrass, Renaissance Clothing and the Materials of Memory
- 39. Robert Weimann, Author's Pen and Actor's Voice: Playing and Writing in Shakespeare's Theatre

A complete list of books in the series is given at the end of the volume



# Author's Pen and Actor's Voice

Playing and Writing in Shakespeare's Theatre

Robert Weimann

edited by

Helen Higbee and William West





PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK www.cup.cam.ac.uk
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011–4211, USA www.cup.org
10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain

© Robert Weimann 2000

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2000

Typeset in Times 10/12pt [VN]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 0 521 78130 2 hardback ISBN 0 521 78735 1 paperback

Transferred to digital printing 2003



For Barrie Stavis, American Playwright



# Contents

Preface  Introduction: conjunctures and concepts		page xi	
		1	
1	Performance and authority in Hamlet (1603)	18	
2	A new agenda for authority	29	
	The "low and ignorant" crust of corruption	31	
	Towards a circulation of authority in the theatre	36	
	Players, printers, preachers: distraction in authority	43	
3.	Pen and voice: versions of doubleness	54	
	"Frivolous jestures" vs. matter of "worthiness" (Tamburlaine)	56	
	Bifold authority in Troilus and Cressida	62	
	"Unworthy scaffold" for "so great an object" (Henry V)	70	
4	Playing with a difference	79	
	To "disfigure, or to present" (A Midsummer Night's Dream)	80	
	To "descant" on difference and deformity (Richard III)	88	
	The "self-resembled show"	98	
	Presentation, or the performant function	102	
5	Histories in Elizabethan performance	109	
	Disparity in mid-Elizabethan theatre history	110	
	Reforming "a whole theatre of others" (Hamlet)	121	
	From common player to excellent actor	131	
	Differentiation, exclusion, withdrawal	136	
6	Hamlet and the purposes of playing	151	
	Renaissance writing and common playing	153	
	Unworthy antics in the glass of fashion	161	
	"When in one line two crafts directly meet"	169	
	(Word)play and the mirror of representation	174	
7	Space (in)dividable: locus and platea revisited	180	
	Space as symbolic form: the <i>locus</i>	182	
	The open space: provenance and function	192	
	Locus and platea in <i>Macbeth</i>	196	
	Banqueting in Timon of Athens	208	

ix



Cambridge University Press

0521787351 - Author's Pen and Actor's Voice: Playing and Writing in Shakespeare's Theatre - Robert Weimann - Edited by Helen Higbee and William West

Frontmatter/Prelims

More information

## x Contents

8	Shakespeare's endings: commodious thresholds	216
	Epilogues vs. closure	220
	Ends of postponement: holiday into workaday	226
	Thresholds to memory and commodity	234
	Liminality: cultural authority 'betwixt-and-between'	240
Afterword: thresholds forever after		246
No	tes	251
List of works cited		269
Index		289



## Preface

If I may use old-fashioned tropological language that must seem recklessly at odds with the idea of authorship as set forth on these pages, then this book is an offspring that willfully and irresistibly upset a long established order of succession. According to this order, the present study was devised as a second, contextualizing chapter to a work in progress provisionally entitled, "Shakespeare and the Power of Performance: Authority and Representation in the Elizabethan Theatre." Sharing the fate of what originally was the Introduction thereto – now available under the title Authority and Representation in Early Modern Discourse (1996) - the present offshoot has grown up with an unforeseen dynamic all of its own. Caught up in the current upheaval in Shakespeare criticism, with its exhilarating rapprochement among textual scholarship, theatre history, and performance studies, this book owes its exposition and arrangement to an attempt to conjoin variegated perspectives on its subject. The deliberate criss-crossing between title and subtitle underlines the idea that, in Shakespeare's theatre, "author's pen" is in "actor's voice" just as players' voices and bodies, with all their contrariety, resonate in the writings of the pen. Hence, the result is no longer what, as in the case of the first volume, may be called a companion study but rather a self-contained sequel that henceforth will dictate some of the terms of the third and final volume in the project at large.

Genealogies tend to be perceived as tedious these days, but here I need to recapitulate at least part of the story of this project in order to do justice to the contributions of my editors. Helen Higbee's share in the present volume is the largest, my debt to her the greatest. Together with me, she went through draft after draft, eliminating verbiage and repetitions, helping to improve the flow of the argument, insisting on clarifications, pointing out contradictions and, all along, doing most of the checking and retyping. And although she joined the project only after I had written and revised a first, much briefer version, I am especially indebted to her for composing the index and helping to see the book through the press.



#### xii Preface

Following in the footsteps of my former student, my friend and collaborator David Hillman, William West continued to edit most of the chapters in what is now going to be the final, as yet unfinished volume in this series. Before Helen took over, it was he who first encouraged me to single out and helped revise, in its first draft, the present *offspring* from what then was the block of the larger project. In view of my long-standing cooperation with Will, I wish to express my appreciation and gratitude both to him and Helen. Their enthusiasm and intelligence, their patience and resourcefulness have been invaluable.

Next to my two editors, I wish to thank all those who, both practically and through their criticism, contributed to the completion of the present book. Over almost a decade's work on these volumes, I enjoyed and benefited from the research assistance of three of my students in the Drama Department at the University of California, Irvine. Michael Fox, Erin Johnson, and Bryan Doerries each in his own way gave dedicated support, working in libraries, on the computer, and asking good questions. In the concluding phase of the work I was fortunate (and grateful to Ingeborg Boltz) to have access to the Shakespeare-Bibliothek at Munich University just as, at earlier stages, I felt privileged to avail myself of the unique resources of the Huntington Library and again became indebted to the hospitality of the Stratford Shakespeare Institute Library and its friendly staff. Finally, when all seemed (but was not nearly) said and done, I found wonderfully adroit help from Silke Meyer (Bonn University) who, thanks to a grant by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, put finishing touches on the entire typescript, after she had already contributed to the as yet unpublished part of the project. Great thanks to Silke and my assistants at UCI.

At the same time, it was a pleasure and a source of inspiration for this project to teach graduate courses in my department, with welcome attendance by PhD students from the Theater and Literature departments of UC San Diego, among them, first and foremost, Helen Higbee and D. J. Hopkins, who provided a fantastic first design for this book's cover. What pleasure and profit accrued from my work at UCI would have been unthinkable outside the friendly and thoroughly congenial atmosphere that, together with the material support for research assistance, I owe to colleagues and, especially, two chairs of my Department, Stephen Barker and Cameron Harvey, along with the encouragement coming from the Dean of the School of the Arts, Jill Beck, and the head of our PhD program, David McDonald.

The present study in particular has been enriched by friends and colleagues who, at various stages of its composition, took time off from their own work to read draft versions of individual chapters or even the entire



Preface xiii

typescript. Altogether I cannot here do justice to what their criticism, encouragement, and stimulating readings have meant for me on top of the actual improvements they provided for this book (whose failings of course remain exclusively my own responsibility). Let me just record the extremely thoughtful responses that William Dodd, Bryan Reynolds, and James Siemon gave to an early version. At a later stage Laurie Elizabeth Maguire graciously read and commented on two chapters that touched on issues of textual scholarship. I owe a very special debt indeed to William Worthen who richly annotated the Introduction and had sagacious comments on several other chapters. I am equally indebted to Michael Bristol who shrewdly helped to sharpen the book's 'topical hook' and stimulated its historical perspective. Stephen Greenblatt and David Scott Kastan generously agreed to read the entire final draft version; the help and the support they gave was much greater than they perhaps would believe. Last not least, it was Stephen Orgel who, as series editor, gave thoroughly helpful criticism and unfailing encouragement to a project that he had generously accepted when far from being finished. Finally, the greatest debt of all is, as ever, nearer home: it is impossible here to express my gratitude to Maja for her sustained help, patience, and forbearance.

Acknowledgements: I wish to thank both the editors and publishers of *New Literary History*, *Representations*, and *Shakespeare Quarterly* for permission to use and reproduce material previously published on their pages. This material is taken from essays – with one exception listed in Works Cited – which are either heavily revised or reproduced after a largely selective fashion in the present study. The exception is the more recent essay, "Playing with a Difference: Revisiting 'Pen' and 'Voice' in Shakespeare's Theater," *Shakespeare Quarterly* 50 (1999). Additionally, a somewhat earlier version of Chapter 1 appeared in the collection, *Die Wunde der Geschichte: Aufsätze zur Literatur and Ästhetik* (Weimar: Böhlau, 1999), whose editors I thank for permission to use that material.