

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| | <i>Acknowledgments</i> | page xii |
| | <i>List of abbreviations</i> | xiv |
| 1 | Inferential–realizational morphology | 1 |
| 1.1. | Theories of inflectional morphology | 1 |
| 1.2. | Evidence favouring realizational theories over incremental theories | 3 |
| 1.3. | Minimizing unmotivated theoretical distinctions in inflectional morphology | 9 |
| 1.4. | The interface between syntax and inflectional morphology | 12 |
| 1.5. | On certain properties that make some affixes SEEM like syntactic objects | 17 |
| 1.6. | Conclusion | 27 |
| 2 | Paradigm functions | 31 |
| 2.1. | Paradigm Function Morphology | 32 |
| 2.2. | Bulgarian verb inflection | 34 |
| 2.3. | Morphosyntactic properties | 38 |
| 2.4. | Paradigms and paradigm functions | 43 |
| 2.5. | Realization rules and rule blocks | 44 |
| 2.6. | Morphophonological rules and morphological metageneralizations | 47 |
| 2.7. | Defining a language's paradigm function in terms of its realization rules | 50 |
| 2.8. | Summary and prospect | 57 |
| 3 | Rule competition | 62 |
| 3.1. | Two approaches to resolving rule competition | 62 |
| 3.2. | Evidence from Potawatomi | 63 |
| 3.3. | Evidence from Georgian | 69 |
| | | ix |

Cambridge University Press

0521780470 - Inflectional Morphology: A Theory of Paradigm Structure

Gregory T. Stump

Table of Contents

[More information](#)x *Contents*

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| 3.4. | Two modes of application for realization rules | 72 |
| 3.5. | The Pāṇinian approach is more restrictive than the rule-ordering approach | 73 |
| 3.6. | Generalizing over expansion schemata | 75 |
| 3.7. | Expansion metarules are not always reducible to argument hierarchies | 86 |
| | Appendix: Analysis of a fragment of Potawatomi verb morphology | 88 |
| 4 | Headedness | 96 |
| 4.1. | Introduction | 96 |
| 4.2. | Headed morphological expressions | 99 |
| 4.3. | Four generalizations about head marking | 103 |
| 4.4. | The Head Operation Hypothesis | 112 |
| 4.5. | Head marking in PFM | 114 |
| 4.6. | Some apparent counterexamples to the PUG | 119 |
| 4.7. | The problem of word-to-stem derivatives | 134 |
| 4.8. | Conclusions | 134 |
| 5 | Rule blocks | 138 |
| 5.1. | Introduction | 138 |
| 5.2. | Portmanteau rule blocks | 139 |
| 5.3. | Parallel rule blocks | 144 |
| 5.4. | Reversible rule blocks | 149 |
| 5.5. | Rule blocks or feature discharge? | 156 |
| 5.6. | Conclusions | 167 |
| 6 | Stem alternations | 169 |
| 6.1. | Introduction | 169 |
| 6.2. | Stem-selection rules and morphological metageneralizations | 173 |
| 6.3. | Stem formation and stem indexing | 183 |
| 6.4. | Summary of the proposed theory of stem alternations | 199 |
| 6.5. | Some applications of the theory | 202 |
| 7 | Syncretism | 212 |
| 7.1. | Four types of syncretism | 212 |
| 7.2. | Rules of referral | 218 |
| 7.3. | Symmetrical syncretisms | 222 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| | <i>Contents</i> | xi |
| 7.4. | Rule interactions involving rules of referral | 223 |
| 7.5. | Syncretism across paradigms | 230 |
| 7.6. | Restrictions on the incidence of syncretism | 235 |
| 8 | Conclusions, extensions, and alternatives | 242 |
| 8.1. | A synopsis of PFM | 242 |
| 8.2. | Beyond inflection | 246 |
| 8.3. | Alternatives | 260 |
| | <i>Notes</i> | 277 |
| | <i>References</i> | 292 |
| | <i>Index</i> | 301 |