

Index

Page numbers in bold refer to tables and illustrations

- adaptive management and landscape change 10, 263–4, 312–33
 - case study
 - implications 329–30
 - Michigan watersheds 317–29
 - effects on wildlife 314–17
 - monitoring 307–8
 - schematic **308**
 - species life history 316
 - use of information 316–17
- Adirondack fisheries, aquatic–terrestrial linkages 250–4
- agriculture *see* Corn Belt; Western Australian wheatbelt
- alder, red (*Alnus rubra*) 182
- alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*) 247, 251
- Alosa see* alewife; shad
- analysis tools and data considerations, patch studies 79–85
- anchovy fishery, Peru, management 372–3
- animals
 - domestic 257
 - effective area model (EAM), edge effects 231–3
 - release in wild 446
- antbird (*Gymnopathys leucaspis*) **220–1**
- aquatic ecological systems (AES), conservation status 132–3
- aquatic resources management 434–8
 - fish habitat 436–8
 - land use and water quality 435–6
- aquatic–terrestrial linkages 241–64
 - case study, Adirondack fisheries 250–4
 - cross-boundary interactions 242–50
 - cross-boundary management 254–9
 - “rules of thumb” 258–9
 - see also* fisheries; stream biota
- area models, cross-boundary management, habitat edges *see* edge effects
- Argentine Chaco, thresholds, grazing intensity 43–5, 51
- arid and semi-arid rangelands 40–5
- Australia
 - Lake Eyre 35–6, **38**
 - rangelands, thresholds of grazing intensity 43–5
 - wetlands
 - connectivity **38–9**
 - waterbirds 34–40
 - see also* Western Australian wheatbelt
- Bachman’s sparrow (*Aimophila aestivalis*) 315, 339–43
- Baltimore Ecosystem Study (BES)
 - Gwynns Falls Watershed 157–61
 - multi system models
 - results of analysis 160
 - social/ecological variables 157–61
- bass
 - smallmouth (*Micropterus dolomieu*) **121**, 122–3, 252
 - striped (*Morone saxatilis*) 380
- bicolored antbird (*Gymnopathys leucaspis*) **220–1**
- birds
 - empirical studies **72**
 - see also* waterfowl
 - black bear (*Ursus americanus*) 194
- Black River watershed 317–29
- blackberry, Himalayan (*Rubus discolor*) 195
- blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*) 379
- bluestem (*Andropogon gerardi*) 399
- boundaries, spatial structure 26
- broom, Scotch (*Cytisus scoparius*) 195
- Brundtland Report (1983) 349
- burns, prescribed **280**, 286, **287**, 446
- butterflies
 - corridor width 226–30
 - Danaus plexippus* 232
 - Euptoieta claudia* **227**
 - Junonia coenia* **227**
 - Karner blue 278–9
 - Mesosemia asa* **221**, **229**
 - Papilio troilus* **227**

474 INDEX

- butterflies (*cont.*)
 - Speyeria*
 - S. idalia* 232
 - S. zerene, hippolyta* 182
- C₄ grasses 399
- Canada, geographic information systems (GIS) 395–7
- Carolinas, rapid change 338–9
- CART (classification and regression tree) 300
 - decision tree **301**
- catchment, spiraling concepts 116
- checklist, management steps and practices **55**
- Chequamegon, Wisconsin National Forests 362–3
- Chesapeake Bay Agreement (1987) 381–2
- Chesapeake Bay fisheries 378–82
 - challenges 384–6
 - dependence on landscape 379–80
 - landscape 378–80
 - solutions 381–2
 - watershed activities 380–1
- chipmunk (*Tamias striatus*) 70
- classification and regression tree (CART) **301**
- climate, El Niño events 373
- coastal landscape analysis and modeling study (CLAMS), Oregon Coast Range 183–7
- collaboration benefits 334–45
 - case study
 - implications and guidelines 341–3
 - research in rapidly changing landscapes 338–41
 - large spatial scales, problems with experimental studies 335–6
 - managed landscapes
 - disadvantages 338
 - quasi-experiments 336–7
- Colorado, Natural Diversity Information Source (NDIS) 451
- connectivity 26–7
- Conservation Biology* (journal) 146–9, 424
- conservation management zones 424
- conservation policies, Costa Rica 466–72
- Corn Belt 96–104
 - effect of culture 98–104
 - applied science and technology 100–1
 - diversity changes, Iowa **100**
 - land division, settlement patterns and ownership traditions 98–100
 - large equipment, few small patches 101–2
 - precision agriculture 102–3
 - stewardship values and landscape aesthetic values 103–4
- effecting landscape change, multi-scale management 107–9
- map **97**
 - watershed small patches **108**
- see also* human-dominated landscapes
- corridors 352–4
 - width, butterfly distribution 226–30
- Costa Rica, conservation policies 466–72
- crab (*Callinectes sapidus*) 379
- creeper, brown (*Certhia americana*) 193
- cross-boundary interactions (aquatic–terrestrial linkages) 242–50
 - hydro-physical links between terrestrial and aquatic systems 247–50
 - aboveground flows 247–8
 - groundwater flows, upland to aquatic 248–50
 - interactions between organisms and hydro-physical flows 250
- management 254–9
- organism movement/migrations 242–7
 - among aquatic habitat patches 245–7
 - between aquatic and upland habitats 242–3
 - between aquatic and wetland habitats 243–5
- cross-boundary management models *see* edge effects
- deermouse (*Peromyscus maniculatus*) **73**
- Department of Defense, Fort McCoy case study 277–87
- disturbance and recovery 29
- disturbance regimes, forest management 440–1
- domestic animals, control 257
- Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) 182, 194, 196
- eastern chipmunk (*Tamias striatus*) 70
- eastern meadowlark (*Sturnella magna*) 325–7
- ecological drainage units (EDUs) 132, **134–5**
- ecological landscape modeling, landscape-transition matrix models 266–71
- ecological and social scale integration 143–75
 - Baltimore Ecosystem Study (BES), multi-system models 157–61
 - spatial scales 145–57, 161–6
- ecological units **357**
- ecology, future interface with socioeconomics 461–5
- Ecology* (journal) 147
- ecosystem, historical range of variability (HRV) 50
- edge effects 210–15, 225–31
 - case studies and future applications 225–31
 - common assumptions 213–14
 - community organizations and biodiversity 228–30
 - definition 211
 - ecosystem function 231
 - edge exploiters/avoiders **219**
 - edge and interior species 211–13
 - effective area model (EAM) 215–25
 - applications, animals 231–3
 - habitat maps 222–3
 - patch-specific predictions for analysis 223–5
 - results: lessons and challenges 233–6
 - schematic **224**
 - selected species **220–1**
- mechanisms causing 214–15
- Oregon Coast Range spatial interactions 191–3
- patches, proliferation **209**

- population size 225–8
- research vs. management **217**
- see also* habitat edges
- edge permeability and animal behaviors, EAM
 - applications 231–3
- effective area models (EAMs), edge effects 215–25
- elk 193
- El Niño events 373
- Ensatina salamandar (*Ensatina eschscholtzi*) 194
- extent 28
- filter
 - aquatic–terrestrial linkages 249–50
 - coarse/fine, in conservation 131
 - habitat 119
- fireburns, prescribed **280**, 286, **287**, 446
- fish habitat, aquatic resources management 436–8
- fisheries management 366–89
 - aquatic landscapes
 - defined 368–70
 - effect of terrestrial landscapes 373–8
 - Huron River watershed 374–8
- case studies
 - Chesapeake Bay 378–82
 - Peruvian anchovy fishery 372–3
 - small temperate lakes 370–3
- Flint Hills of Kansas grasslands 398–406
 - assessment of woody expansion into grasslands 401–3
 - estimating aboveground primary production 399–401
 - implications and guidelines 406–7
 - map **391**
 - predicting grazing distribution at landscape scales 403–6
 - site description 398–9
- flood pulse concept 116
- flooding, interactions between organisms and hydro-physical flows 250
- focal patch design 85
- focal species 47, 420
- forest management 438–41
 - harvesting patterns 439–40
 - history 350–2
 - landscape ecology and natural resource management 438–41
 - natural disturbance regimes 440–1
 - prescribed burns **280**, 286, **287**, 446
 - USDA Forest Service, hierarchy of units **357**
 - see also specific case studies*
- FORMOSAIC models 356
- Fort McCoy
 - applying models 279–87
 - need for land management 277–9
- FRAGSTATS 8, 446
- frogs
 - movements 257
 - see also* green frog; northern leopard frog
- Fusilli puttanesca* 300
- future prospects 461–72
- GAP analysis program 131–6
- gaps, between landscape ecology and natural resource management **443**, 454–5
- General Land Office (GLO) surveys 319
- general linear models (GLMs) 82–3
- generalized additive models (GAMs) 83
- geographic information systems (GIS) 7–8, 395–7, 451
- Georgia
 - piedmont county 271
 - rapid change 338–9
- geostatistical methods 80
- global positioning systems (GPS) 397–8
- goals, natural resource management 442, 448–9
- grain 28
- grasses, C₄ 399
- grassland
 - advanced technologies 390–411
 - case study, Flint Hills of Kansas 398–406
 - geographic information systems (GIS) 395–7
 - global positioning systems (GPS) 397–8
 - overview 392–8
 - remote sensing 392–5
- grazing, arid and semi-arid rangelands 40–5
- grazing intensity
 - scales **42**
 - thresholds 43–5
- Great Lakes
 - and St. Lawrence River 244, **246**
 - see also* Huron; Michigan
- green frog (*Rana clamitans*) 327–30
- grey teal (*Anas gracilis*) 35
- Gwynns Falls Watershed, Baltimore 157–64
- habitat edges, area models for cross-boundary management 208–40
 - case studies and future applications 225–31
 - challenges 234–6
 - edge effects 210–15
 - lessons 233–4
- HARVEST models 361–2
- Henslow’s sparrow (*Ammodramus henslowi*) 405
- herb, *Fusilli puttanesca* 300–2
- historical range of variability (HRV) 50
- history, forest management 350–2
- Hoosier National Forest, Indiana 361–2
- horned lizard (*Phrynosoma Cornutum*) 405
- Human Ecology* (journal) 146–7
- human population 3
- human-dominated landscapes
 - land-use, effects on vegetation **280**
 - small patch patterns 92–113
 - case study, Midwest Corn Belt 96–104
 - cultural factors 94–6

476 INDEX

- human-dominated landscapes (*cont.*)
 - land-use, effects on vegetation (*cont.*)
 - recommendations, effecting landscape change 104–9
 - Corn Belt scale 95, 107–9
 - farm scale 106–7
 - field scale 105–6
 - see also* Corn Belt
 - Huron River watershed
 - adaptive management 317–29
 - fisheries management 374–8
 - hydro-physical links, aquatic–terrestrial linkages 247–50
- Illinois, Salt River basin, phosphorus 435
- Illinois River system, fish decline 437
- incongruities of scale, in management 442–5, 449–50
- Indiana, Hoosier National Forest 361–2
- Indiangrass (*Sorghastrum nutans*) 399
- infrastructure, research methods 447, 451–2
- insects
 - alfalfa fields 72
 - specialists vs. generalists 72
- institutional culture 447–8
- integrated landscape science and management 412–30
 - case study, Western Australian wheatbelt 414–24
 - conservation goals 419
 - economic, agricultural and hydrological modules 417–18
 - linking species and processes in conservation management 420–3
 - implications 424–8
 - isolation to integration 10–11
 - linking science and management 426–7
 - model 425
 - “rules of thumb” 427–8
- International Association for Landscape Ecology (IALE)
 - formation 4
 - Michigan conference (US-IALE) xiv
- Kansas
 - Konza Prairie Station 399–402
 - see also* Flint Hills grasslands
- lakes, small temperate, management 370–3
- lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) 247, 383
- Land Use Planning and Information System 417
- landscape, defined 69
- Landscape Analysis and Design (LAD), Wisconsin National Forests 362–3
- landscape change 312–33
 - effects on wildlife 314–17
 - configuration/context 315
 - quality 315
 - quantity and metapopulations 314–15
 - patterns/causes 313–14
 - water tables 437
- landscape ecology and natural resource management 4–8, 433–60
 - central themes, defined 24–5
 - concepts 4–6
 - and cross-boundary management 177–9
 - future interface of ecology and socioeconomics 461–5
 - integration 462–3
 - regional interface 463–5
 - gaps, bridging 443, 454–5
 - goals 442, 448–9
 - incongruities of scale 442–5, 449–50
 - institutional culture 447–8, 452–3
 - landscape ecology, examples 434–41
 - principles 6–8
 - regional context 5
 - technical infrastructure and data 447, 451–2
 - tools and methods 7–8, 445–6, 450
 - training and experience 447, 450–1
- landscape pattern analysis
 - patch studies 80–2
 - farm scale 106–7
 - pattern-based methods 81–2
 - settlements and ownership traditions 98–100
 - statistical considerations associated with landscape-scale data 82–5
- landscape structure and scale 4–6, 23–67
 - ecology 24–30
 - importance of scale 28–9, 118–20
 - landscape-scale studies 72–3
 - spatial structure and configuration 25–8
 - boundaries 26
 - connectivity 26–7
 - organisms 27–8
 - patch quality and context 25–6
 - scale 28–9
 - thresholds 29–30
 - variables, multi-scale analysis methods 87
- landscape–transition matrix models 266–71
 - case study, Fort McCoy, Department of Defense 277–87
 - development and application 271–7
 - decision and post-decision assessment 277
 - develop transition matrix 275
 - risk maps 275–6
 - spatial data 275
 - spatial-allocation rule, land-use-impact matrix 273–4
 - ecological landscape modeling 266–71
 - applications of transition matrices 270–1
 - Markov processes 56, 266–8, 270
- lichen (*Lobaria oregana*) 194
- loblolly pine (*Pinus tarda*) 339–43
- lotic systems *see* stream biota
- lupine (*Lupinus perennis*) 279

- Man and Biosphere (MAB) Program 150–1
management 4–14, 30–58, 433–60
 adaptive *see* adaptive management
 aquatic resources management 434–8
 aquatic–terrestrial linkages 254–9
 checklist, steps and practices 55
 collaboration with research *see* collaboration benefits
 conservation management zones 424
 conservation policies in Costa Rica 466–72
 cross-boundary
 aquatic–terrestrial linkages 254–9
 from within-boundary 10
 hydrologic regime 254–5
 maintaining isolation 257
 protection of critical landscape elements 255–6
 rules 258–9
 vulnerability of wildlife 256–7
 ecological integrity and ecological scales 51–2
 ecosystems vs. species 47–8
 equilibrium vs. natural variation and disturbance
 49–51
 fisheries 366–89
 forests 438–41
 Oregon Coast Range, multi-ownership forest
 policies 181, 199, 201–3
 grazing in arid and semi-arid rangelands 40–5
 integrated *see* integrated landscape science and
 management
 isolated to integrated 10–11
 landscape ecology and natural resource 4–8, 433–60
 linking with landscape ecology 11–14
 multi-scale 52–7
 Midwest Corn Belt 107–9
 small patch patterns in human-dominated
 landscapes 104–9
 paradigms 8–11, 9
 patch studies in wildlife management 68–91
 riverine, social and political considerations 123–5
 single-scale to multi-scale 8–10
 species vs ecosystems 47–8
 spotted owls in old-growth forests 31–4, 182, 193
 stream biota 125–31
 synthesis 45–6
 training 447, 450–1
 units vs mosaics 46
 waterbirds, and wetlands 34–40
 Western Australian wheatbelt 414–24
 wetlands, waterbirds 34–40
 within-boundary to cross-boundary 10
 yield vs. sustainability 48–9
maple, bigleaf (*Acer macrophyllum*) 182, 188
marbled murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*) 182
Markov decision theory 56
Markov processes 266–8, 270
Massif Central 271
matrix 352–4
meadowlark (*Sturnella magna*) 325–7
Mendota watershed, Wisconsin, phosphorus 435
methods *see* models; research methods and tools
Mexican spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis lucida*) 302–4
Michigan Department of Natural Resources 385
Michigan State University
 conference, US-IALE xiv
 geographic information systems (GIS) 7–8, 395–7,
 451
 Partnership for Research and Management (PERM)
 452
Michigan watersheds 317–29
 Black River watershed 317–29
 experimental units 324–29
 factors influencing landscape change 323
 Huron River watershed 317–29
 fisheries management 374–8
 landscape change 318–25
 effects on wildlife 323–4
 Raisin River Basin 124
Midwest Corn Belt *see* Corn Belt
migration/movement patterns
 cross-boundary interactions 242–47
 frogs 257
 salamanders 243
 turtles 243, 257
Minneapolis–St Paul area, lake watersheds 435
Missouri Aquatic Gap Analysis Project 132–3
 ecological drainage units (EDUs) 132, 134–5
models
 classification and regression tree (CART) 301
 cross-boundary management, habitat edges 208–40
 edge effects, effective area model (EAM) 215–25
 effective area models (EAMs), edge effects 215–25
 FORMOSAIC 356
 general linear models (GLMs) 82–3
 generalized additive models (GAMs) 83
 HARVEST 361–2
 landscape-transition matrix models 266–71
 Man and Biosphere (MAB) Program 150–1
 model-integrated sampling designs 299–305
 Oregon Coast Range (CLAMS) 183–7
 Oregon Coast Range, multi-ownership policies
 199–201
 Patch Analyst 8
 see also research methods and tools
monarch butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*) 232
monitoring of landscapes 294–311
 adaptive management opportunities 307–8
 model-integrated sampling designs 299–305
 Fusilli puttanesca 300
 Mexican spotted owl 302–4
 Sierra Nevada, climatically sensitive sites 304–5
 spatial heterogeneity sampling 296–9
 temporal change, trend detection and efficiency
 306–7
monoculture *see* Corn Belt; Western Australian
 wheatbelt

478 INDEX

- mottled sculpin (*Cottus bairdii*) 375–8
- movements *see* migrations
- multi-ownership policies *see* Oregon Coast Range, multi-ownership forest policies
- multi-scale analysis methods 87
 - landscape-scale studies 72–3
 - predictor variable 74
 - sampling designs 297, 299–305
 - variables
 - landscape scale 86
 - multi-scale 86
 - patch scale 86
- multi-scale management 52–7, 107–9
 - single-scale to multi-scale 8–10
- multiple use and sustained yield 349–65
 - Act (1960) 350–2
 - case studies
 - Hoosier National Forest 361–2
 - Pinelands National Reserve 358–61
 - Wisconsin National Forests, Landscape Analysis and Design (LAD) 362–3
 - definitions 350–2
 - guidelines 354–8
 - analysis and design 358
 - context 356
 - hierarchical organizations 356–8
 - scale 354–5
 - time and space 355–6
 - historical background 350–2
 - patches, corridors, matrix 352–4
- mussel, zebra (*Dreissena polymorpha*) 247
- myrtle, Oregon (*Umbellularia californica*) 188
- National Gap Analysis Program 131–6
- Natural Diversity Information Source (NDIS) 451
- natural flow paradigm 116
- natural resource management *see* management
- natural sciences
 - dominant scale uses 146–8
 - vs. social sciences, levels of analysis 144
- NAVSTAR, global positioning systems (GPS) 397–8
- New Jersey, Pinelands National Reserve 358–61
- New York State, Adirondack fisheries 250–4
- Nicolet, Wisconsin National Forests 362–3
- nitrogen 249
- normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) 400
- North America, wetlands management 36–40
- northern leopard frog (*Rana pipiens*), effects of
 - landscape structure on abundance 85–7, 323
 - focal patch design 85–7
 - landscape size 86
 - multi-scale analysis methods 86–7
 - strict selection criteria and variables 85–6
- northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*)
 - old-growth forests 31–4, 182, 193
 - “rules of thumb” 13, 53
- nutrient spiraling concept 115
- oak (*Quercus garryana*) 182
- ocean–land interface 259
- old-growth forests 31–4, 182, 193
- Ontario, Lake, and St. Lawrence river 244, 246
- optimum sustainable yield (OSY) 367
- orange-crowned warbler (*Vermivora celata*) 220–1
- Oregon Coast Range 181–98
 - background 182–3
 - coastal landscape analysis and modeling study (CLAMS) 183–7
 - future landscape conditions 187–8
 - landscape simulation 187–91
 - multi-ownership forest policies 179–207
 - integrated provincial-scale models 199–201
 - landscape projections 200
 - management 181, 199, 201–3
 - measuring ecological effects 200
 - policy and management 184, 199, 201–3
 - potential ecological effects 198–201
 - problem definition and conceptual model 199
 - public policy, stakeholders 201
 - scale 200
 - sink ownerships 197
 - spatial information 199–200
- spatial interactions 191–8
 - edge effects 191–3
 - interior area patch sizes 193
 - movement of wood and sediment 195–6
 - movement/migration of organisms 193–5
 - ownership classes 190, 192
 - roads 193
 - source–sink processes 196–8
- spatial variation and pattern of ecosystems and ownerships 188–91
- Oregon Mount Hood National Forest 120
- organism movements *see* migrations
- owls *see* Mexican spotted owl; northern spotted owl
- paradigms of management 8–11, 9
- Patch Analyst 8
- patch studies in wildlife management 68–91
 - analysis tools and data considerations 79–85
 - broad-scale spatial trends 83
 - data reduction 84–5
 - geostatistical methods 80
 - landscape pattern analysis 80–2
 - pattern-based methods 81–2
 - spatial autocorrelation 82–3
 - spatially–correlated common causes 84
 - statistical considerations associated with landscape-scale data 82–5
 - case study
 - landscape structure, leopard frog abundance 85–7
 - Midwest Corn Belt 96–104
 - human-dominated landscapes 92–113
 - landscape size 69–71
 - landscape study design trade-offs 74–9

- multi-scale landscape studies, guidelines 87–8
- multiple landscape measurement 71–4
- patch quality and context, spatial structure of landscape 25–6, 352–4
- sampling design 78
 - see also human-dominated landscapes
- pectoral sandpiper (*Calidris melanotos*) 37
- Peromyscus leucopus* (white-footed mouse) 70
- Peromyscus maniculatus* (deer mouse) 73
- Peruvian anchovy fishery, fisheries management 372–3
- Phaeocryptopus gaumanni* (Swiss needle cast) 194, 196
- phosphorus
 - aquatic–terrestrial linkages 249
 - Mendota watershed, Wisconsin 435
 - Salt River basin, Illinois 435–6
- Phytophthora lateralis* 195
- pike (*Esox* spp.) 244
- Pinelands National Reserve, New Jersey 358–61
- Pinus tarda* (loblolly pine) 339–43
- Port Orford cedar (*Chamaecyparis lawsoniana*) 195
- prairie chicken (*Tympanuchus cupido*) 405
- precision agriculture, Midwest Corn Belt 102–3
- production, ANPP
 - estimating 399–401
 - remote sensing 391–5
- programs
 - FRAGSTATS 8, 446
 - Man and Biosphere (MAB) Program 150–1
 - National GAP Analysis Program 131–6
- provincial-scale models 199–201
- Public Trust Doctrine 386
- raccoon (*Procyon lotor*) 72
- Raisin River Basin, Michigan 124
- Rana clamitans* (green frog) 327–30
- Rana pipiens* (northern leopard frog) 85–7, 323
- remote sensing techniques 392–5, 393
 - IKONOS 405
- research methods and tools 7–8, 445–6, 450
 - geostatistical methods 80
 - infrastructure 447, 451–2
 - institutional culture 447–8
 - management, checklist 55
 - multi-scale analysis methods 87
 - patch studies, analysis tools and data considerations 79–85
 - trade-offs in study design 74–9
 - sampling effort 76–7
 - training 447, 450–1
 - see also models
- resource management see management
- river continuum concept 115, 369
- riverine management
 - multiple scales, social and political considerations 123–5
 - riparian buffer, influence on management 127
 - “rules of thumb” 136–7
 - scale 117–25
 - importance 118–20
 - land and stream interaction 120
 - recreational fisheries management 120–3
 - round whitefish (*Prosopium cylindraceum*) 252
 - “rules of thumb” 13, 136–7
 - 40–20–40 rule 53
 - 50–11–40 rule 53
 - aquatic–terrestrial linkages 258–9
 - integrated landscape science and management 427–8
 - riverine management 136–7
- St. Lawrence river, and Ontario, Lake 244, 246
- salamanders, movement/migration patterns 243
- salinity gradients, Chesapeake Bay 378–82
- salmon
 - Oncorhynchus* spp. 181, 182, 188
 - Salmo salar* 369
- sampling designs
 - model-integrated 299–305
 - patch studies 78
 - regression tree 301
 - sampling effort 76–7
 - spatial heterogeneity sampling 296–9
- Savannah River
 - Bachman’s sparrow 315, 339–43
 - loblolly pine 339–43
- scales see spatial scales
- scaling windows, species 28
- sculpin (*Cottus bairdii*) 375–8
- sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) 247, 383
- sea turtles, movement/migration patterns 243, 257
- sediment trapping 249
- sequoia, giant (*Sequoiadendron giganteum*) 304
- shad (*Alosa sapidissima*) 380
- Sierra Nevada, climatically sensitive sites 304–5
- Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*) 182
- smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*) 120–3, 121, 252
- smelt (*Osmerus mordax*) 251
- social scale
 - integration with ecology 143–75
 - social stratification and spatial analysis 157–66
- social sciences
 - dominant scale uses 146–8
 - and natural sciences, integration 161–6, 462–3
 - vs. natural sciences, levels of analysis 144
 - spatial scale 150–1
- Society and Natural Resources* (journal) 147–8
- socioeconomics, future interface with ecology 461–5
- sparrow
 - Bachman’s (*Aimophila aestivalis*) 315, 339–43
 - Henslow’s (*Ammodramus henslowi*) 405
- spatial scales 145–57, 161–6
 - autocorrelation, patch studies 82–3
 - ecological and social systems integration 154–7
 - forest 354–5

480 INDEX

- spatial scales (*cont.*)
 - incongruities, landscape ecology and natural resource management 442–5, 449–50
 - multiple priorities, stream biota 131–6
 - scale delineation rationale 148–51
 - scaling issues 151–4
 - social and natural sciences
 - dominant scale uses 146–8
 - integration 161–6
 - scale of analysis 147
 - structure and configuration 25–8
- species
 - vs. ecosystems, management 47–8
 - focal species 47, 420
 - and landscape 27–8
 - life history and landscape change effects 316
 - scaling windows 28
- spotted owl *see* Mexican spotted owl; northern spotted owl
- statistical methods
 - general linear models (GLMs) 82–3
 - generalized additive models (GAMs) 83
 - geostatistical methods 80
 - landscape-scale data 82–5
- stewardship and aesthetic values, Midwest Corn Belt 103–4
- stream biota 114–42
 - biodiversity conservation 131–6
 - multiple spatial scale priorities 131–6
 - biological zonation 115
 - landscape ecology
 - spatial relations 115–16
 - temporal relations 116–17
 - management 125–31
 - guidelines 136–7
 - land use 126–7
 - riparian buffer influence 127
 - riverine management scale 117–25
 - stream–channel patches 128–31
 - terrestrial patches 125–8
 - time 127–8
 - scale 118
- stream hydraulics concept 115
- striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) 380
- Strix occidentalis caurina* (northern spotted owl) 31–4, 182, 193
- Strix occidentalis lucida* (Mexican spotted owl) 302–4
- sustainable yield *see* multiple use and sustained yield
- Swiss needle cast (*Phaeocryptopus gaumannii*) 194, 196
- tactical monitoring *see* monitoring of landscapes
- thresholds
 - grazing intensity 43–5
 - importance 29–30
 - stochastic systems 45–6
- training in resource management 447, 450–1
- transition matrix *see* landscape-transition matrix models
- trout
 - brook (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) 251–4
 - cutthroat (*Oncorhynchus clarki*) 195
 - steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) 195
- turtles, movement/migration patterns 243, 257, 323
- units, forest management 357
- variability, historical range of variability (HRV) 50
- vegetation
 - forests, carbon sequestration 153
 - land-use effects 280
 - prescribed burns 280, 286, 287, 446
- vegetation (cover) index (VI) 41
 - normalized difference (NDVI) 400
- water quality 435–6
- water tables, landscape change 437
- waterfowl
 - wetlands management 34–40
 - flyways, bag limit 49
- watersheds
 - Black River 317–29
 - Chesapeake Bay fisheries 380–1
 - Huron River 317–29
 - fisheries management 374–8
 - Michigan, wildlife resources 317–29
 - scale 148
 - small patches, Corn Belt 108
 - stream buffers 127
 - terrestrial–stream interactions 126–7
 - Wisconsin, Mendota watershed 435
 - see also* stream biota
- Western Australian wheatbelt management 414–24
 - ecological module 418–19
 - framework and methods 415–17
 - scales 423–4
- western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*) 182, 194
- western red cedar (*Thuja plicata*) 182, 188
- wetlands management 72
 - connectivity 38–9
 - waterfowl 34–40, 97
- wheat monoculture *see* Corn Belt; Western Australian wheatbelt
- white-footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*) 70
- whitetail deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) 181
- whitefish (*Prosopium cylindraceum*) 252
- Wisconsin, Mendota watershed, phosphorus 435
- Wisconsin National Forests
 - Chequamegon and Nicolet 362–3
 - Landscape Analysis and Design (LAD) 362–3
- wolf (*Canis lupus*) 181
- wood turtle, movement/migration patterns 323
- World Wide Web 451
- zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*) 247