Ancient China and Its Enemies

It has been an article of faith among historians of ancient China that Chinese culture represented the highest level of civilization in the greater Asia region from the first millennium B.C. throughout the pre-imperial period. This Sinocentric image – which contrasts the high culture of Shang and Chou China with the lower, “barbarian” peoples living off the grasslands along the northern frontier – is embedded in early Chinese historical records and has been perpetuated over the years by Chinese and Western historians. In this comprehensive history of the northern frontier of China from 900 to 100 B.C., Nicola Di Cosmo investigates the origins of this simplistic image, and in the process shatters it.

This book presents a far more complex picture of early China and its relations with the “barbarians” to the North, documenting how early Chinese perceived and interacted with increasingly organized, advanced, and politically unified (and threatening) groupings of people just outside their domain. Di Cosmo explores the growing tensions between these two worlds as they became progressively more polarized, with the eventual creation of the nomadic, Hsiung-nu empire in the north and Chinese empire in the south.

This book is part of a new wave of revisionist scholarship made possible by recent, important archaeological findings in China, Mongolia, and Central Asia that can now be compared against the historical record. It is the first study investigating the antagonism between early China and its neighbors that combines both Chinese historical texts and archaeological data. Di Cosmo reconciles new, archaeological evidence – of early non-Chinese to the north and west of China who lived in stable communities, had developed bronze technology, and used written language – with the common notion of undifferentiated tribes living beyond the pale of Chinese civilization. He analyzes the patterns of interaction along China’s northern frontiers (from trading, often on an equal basis, to Eastern Hun–Chinese warfare during the Ch’in dynasty) and then explores how these relations were recorded (and why) in early Chinese historiography. Di Cosmo scrutinizes the way in which the great Chinese historian, Ssu-ma Chi’en portrayed the Hsiung-nu empire in his “Records of the Grand Historian” (99 B.C.), the first written narrative of the northern nomads in Chinese history. Chinese cultural definitions are explained here as the expression of political goals (for example, the need to cast enemies in a negative light) and the result of historical processes.

Herein are new interpretations of well-known historical events, including the construction of the early walls, later unified into the “Great Wall”; the formation of the first nomadic empire in world history, the Hsiung-nu empire; and the chain of events that led Chinese armies to conquer the northwestern regions, thus opening a commercial avenue with Central Asia (to become the Silk Road). Readers will come away with an entirely new, more nuanced picture of the world of ancient China and of its enemies.

Nicola Di Cosmo is Senior Lecturer in Chinese History at the University of Canterbury (Christchurch, New Zealand). He has been a Research Fellow at Clare Hall, Cambridge, and has taught at Indiana University and Harvard University. He is a contributing author of The Cambridge History of Ancient China (Michael Loewe and Edward Shaughnessy, eds., 1999) and State and Ritual in China (Joseph McDermott, ed., 1999). He is a member of the editorial boards of the Journal of Asian Studies, Asia Major, and the Journal of East Asian Archaeology.
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So many times have I thought that this page would never be written, that it is with great relief that I can now begin to thank all the friends and people who have in one way or another given me assistance or inspiration. Because in a previous incarnation part of this book was my doctoral dissertation, my first debt of gratitude goes to the members of my doctoral committee in the then Department of Uralic and Altaic Studies at Indiana University: Christopher I. Beckwith – with whom I first discussed my idea – and Yuri Bregel, György Kara, and Elliot Sperling, who allowed me to pursue an interest that was at best tangential to the mainstream of the discipline. Lynn Struve was an exceptionally scrupulous and insightful external member. I must also thank Denis Sinor for encouraging me, while I was still a graduate student, to present papers at several conferences. I did much of the research that eventually went into this book at Cambridge University, where I was a Research Fellow in the Mongolia Studies Unit (1989–92); my sincere thanks to Caroline Humphrey and to the staff of the Mongolia Studies Unit and the Faculty of Oriental Studies for having given me valuable and much-appreciated support.

The dissertation being written, I had no intention of continuing my research in ancient Chinese history. If I have persevered, the merit belongs to Michael Loewe and Edward Shaughnessy. In different ways, they are among the best scholars I have ever worked with. Loewe’s valuable works were the first that I read in this field and also the last, given the inexhaustible pace of his scholarship. Although not an Inner Asian specialist, Loewe (in collaboration with Hulsewé) has done more to enlighten our understanding of the ancient relations between China and Central Asia than any other scholar, including Pelliot and Chavannes.

Loewe and Shaughnessy’s influence on this book has also been essential in a very direct way. I was thrilled when they asked me to contribute a
chapter to the Cambridge History of Ancient China, but I accepted the task without a clear notion of how I was going to fulfill it. Having had to train myself in the basics of archaeological scholarship to write the chapter, my work for the History helped me to keep my interest in ancient China despite pressure “to return” to my original field, Manchu and Qing history. My participation in the making of the volume and the chance to meet the greatest scholars in the field were an invaluable psychological boost. My gratitude, then, goes to all the participants in the “Starved Rock” preparatory workshop. By the time the chapter was written, I had had some ideas that perhaps could be developed further. In talking with Ed Shaughnessy I decided to try to consolidate those ideas into a book; Ed also volunteered – a selfless act for which I am very grateful – to read a first draft. Needless to say, neither Shaughnessy nor Loewe is in any way responsible for any shortcomings of this book, but their support and encouragement have been essential to keeping me in this field long enough to finish it.

Over the years, I have become acquainted with many Early China scholars who in different ways provided me with help, advice, and useful criticism when required. Among these, I wish to mention Jessica Rawson, whose scholarship, insightfulness, and enthusiasm I have always admired. I have also profited from my acquaintance with David Keightley, Robert Bagley, and Donald Harper. Lothar von Falkenhausen has been generous with advice and assistance whenever needed, and his writings have been a source of knowledge for me. I am most indebted to Emma Bunker among the art historians working on the “barbaric” frontier. She has helped me to appreciate the visual aspect of the material culture of northern China. Others whose active research on the “northern frontier” of China has been especially valuable to me are Jenny So, Louisa Fitzerald-Huber, Fredrik Hiebert, Victor Mair, Thomas Barfield, Gideon Shelach, Katheryn Linduff, and Yangjin Pak.

The greatest archaeologist I have known, during my time at Harvard, was the late K. C. Chang. To my eternal regret, I was just a little too late. Long before my arrival at Harvard, I had developed a revering admiration for K. C. Chang, whose books were for me, as for everyone in my generation, the formative introduction to Chinese archaeology. When I came to know K. C., a terrible illness had already started to erode his small, hard physique. Over time, we had several conversations, which I will always remember with great joy and great sadness. Yet the memory of the K. C. Chang I used to talk with will survive: probably the strongest and most generous man I have known.

Many of my former colleagues at Harvard provided me with advice and help in my work in the ancient world; I wish to thank in particular Professor Carl Lamberg-Karlovsky, with whom I had extremely rewarding talks. Of the Early China scholars, I would like to thank Bruce Brooks and
the Warring States Working Group for keeping me informed about developments in the field as I was disengaging myself from my Early China studies. I owe special thanks also to Professor Denis Twitchett, whose unparalleled knowledge of Chinese history and scholarly energy, breadth, and vision are inspirational.

I am also indebted to several librarians, in particular Charles Aylmer, the Chinese Librarian at Cambridge University Library; the Librarian and staff of the Harvard–Yenching Library; and finally Martin Hejdra, the Gest Librarian at Princeton University.

My stay at the Institute for Advanced Study, where I wrote the last part of this book and tidied it up before final submission, was made especially pleasurable by the acquaintance of several scholars whose fascination for the ancient world I happen to share. Among them I should mention Professors Glen Bowersock, Oleg Grabar, and Heinrich von Staden. Last but surely not least, I must thank profusely the many valiant scholars in China who study northern China's archaeology. Some of them, like Wang Binghua and Guo Suxin, I have had the pleasure to meet personally. Without their efforts, work in this field would be impossible.

Among the institutions that provided me with teaching relief, assistance, time, and support, all or part of which I used in preparing this book, I wish to thank, first of all, at Cambridge University, the Mongolia Study Unit and Clare Hall, which allowed me to work in blissful freedom for three years; the Chiang Ching-kuo and Rockefeller Foundations, for postdoctoral grants; Harvard University, which provided me with sabbatical leave on two occasions; and, finally, the Institute for Advanced Study, which is the best working environment I have ever experienced. Cambridge University Press has been marvelous in its care and assistance. I wish to thank in particular Mary Child, Camilla Knapp, and Mike Green. It is with enduring admiration that I thank them for their patient and careful work.

Naturally I cannot ignore my wife, Lia, for her patience and support, and my son, Francesco, for having had to share my time with an “older brother” he could not see.

Whatever debts I have incurred in writing this book, responsibility for it rests solely with me. This book is by no means an arrival point; rather, it is a temporary stop on a journey that cannot be charted for sure. No doubt our understanding of the “northern frontier” of China will become increasingly rich, but this process of accumulating knowledge must be guided by a sense of history that has sometimes been obfuscated, or simply overwhelmed, by the combined weights of millenarian literary tradition and quantities of archaeological data. Trying to find its way between the Scylla of archaeology and the Charybdis of tradition, this book is an attempt to recover that sense of history. In all, I must say that (while not without its perils) it has been a marvelous voyage.