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In our world comedy is available on a daily basis, packaged and processed like bread and milk: the comic strip in the newspaper, the situation comedy on television. As with bread and milk, predictability is the principle, and surprises are undesirable. The familiar characters, embodied on television by familiar actors, the familiar situations and the running gags, suggest a need to play for safety. Sometimes a strip is worth clipping out, a program (or a whole series) is worth taping and saving. But in general the product, taking few risks and making fewer demands, is disposable, and is meant to be disposable. Stand-up comedy, live or on television, deals, like the other forms, in quick and instantly recognizable effects. Some comedians will refuse to play it safe, evidently aiming to shock and offend: but there is such a thing as acceptable shock, and as a comic pushes the limits the limits simply get wider. Even the comedy of sheer craziness quickly develops its own conventions: for viewers of this editor’s generation, the lunatic fantasies of Monty Python, the dead parrot and the Ministry of Silly Walks, getting into their thirties now, have become familiar old friends, as comfortable as a worn pair of slippers.

Home-delivery comedy of this sort operates in small units, dealing in quickly established situations rather than full stories. Comedy in the movies shares the qualities I have been describing: recurring conventions, familiar actors, the offensiveness that in crossing the line simply changes the line’s position. But it can also develop stories, taking its characters through a period of confusion and misunderstanding towards a final resolution in a way that in broad terms would seem like business as usual not just to Shakespeare but to his predecessors as far back as classical Greece and Rome. Just before I wrote this preface I watched a movie that may be forgotten by the time you read it: the romantic comedy Notting Hill (1999, directed by Roger Michell) in which two lovers, played by Hugh Grant and Julia Roberts, overcome the obstacles presented by their social situation (he runs a small, struggling bookshop, she is an internationally famous movie star)
on their way to that most traditional of comic endings, marriage. As the story develops, the comedy draws laughs from familiar human psychology (the difficulties of conversation between shy people), from the larger idiosyncrasies of society (the cult of celebrity in which the victim is raised to divinity, then killed and eaten) and familiar character types (the impossible flatmate, the gormless shop assistant, the pompous hotel desk clerk). If we could dig Shakespeare up and show him this or any one of a number of similar movies, he would need to have most of the jokes and nearly all of the technology explained to him, but he would recognize the basic conventions.

Moving into Shakespearean comedy means moving into a lost world, in which men wore swords, a gentleman's name would be entered on the burial register in larger letters than that of a social inferior, and children would be expected to kneel before their fathers every morning and ask their blessing. The unfamiliarity is cultural as well as social: his comedy throws off mythological references as our comedy throws off movie references; his requires footnotes, as ours will before long. Yet the purpose behind such references is fundamentally similar: to draw on a common pool of knowledge that not only highlights the meaning of a particular moment, but creates a sense of community between the story and its audience. We can see an equivalent play of similarity and difference if we look at the movie *10 Things I Hate About You* (1999, directed by Gil Junger), which transfers plot material from *The Taming of the Shrew* into the world of modern American teenagers. While the play deals with marriage and its expectation of a lasting relationship, the movie deals with dating, the only expectation being that one date may lead to another. This gives the story a different rhythm, a different structure. But when the Petruchio character is paid to date the Katherina character an issue arises that we recognize in Shakespeare, the interplay of personal relations and money.

Comedy is at once a traditional form in which conventions like mistaken identity, rival wooers, and parents who oppose love-matches are handed down through the centuries; and a form attuned to the changing society around it, commenting on manners, dress, and language in a way that as the years go by fixes a comedy in its period. It is formulaic, dealing in the familiar: yet its greatest artists can give new twists to a formula, and make us see the familiar with fresh eyes. This means that the play of similarity and difference we have noted between historical periods can also be seen within a period when a writer, drawing on stock material, deepens the experience it offers.

This collection is concerned first with the traditions Shakespeare inherited and second with the distinctive achievement of his own art. Part 1 begins with the theory of comedy available in his time and goes on to his
dramatic sources in Rome, Italy, and Elizabethan England. It will be clear that “sources” does not just mean the stories he borrowed, and that the work in question commands attention in its own right, not just as background to Shakespeare. The section concludes with his nonliterary source in popular festivity, traditional in its own way. Part 2 examines his comedies, not taking each play in isolation but setting groups of plays together around recurring themes, structural principles, and comic techniques. As within the larger comic tradition, so within Shakespearean comedy itself there are conventions and preoccupations that appear in play after play but never look the same from one play to another. Shakespeare’s comedies seem at times to speak to each other in a world of their own making, and some of the chapters trace their themes through a large number of plays, seeing each play in a context created by the others. Yet these comedies are also tuned to the world outside, a world in which they themselves can be transformed; other chapters accordingly relate the plays to contemporary politics and contemporary writing on rhetoric, and to theatrical practice through the centuries down to our own time.

The play of similarity and difference can be seen in the decision to include Shakespeare’s final romances in this volume. While in some chapters they appear as part of a continuum that includes the earliest comedies, in the final chapter they appear as a distinctive form with their own tradition. Each approach has its value, and if this is inconsistency, it can be said that Shakespeare criticism has always thrived on inconsistency. Each chapter in the volume is free-standing and can be read on its own; but anyone who reads through the book as a whole will find some problems and questions recurring, as each contributor, from the angle of approach of that chapter, considers what to make of (for example) the taming of Katherina or the treatment of Shylock.

The results differ, as they are bound to do. On a technical level, there has been no attempt to make each contributor conform to a single standard text of Shakespeare for references, and this means that readers will find, just as in the Shakespeare section of a bookstore or library, a variety of texts. The exception, to ensure that we are inconstant about our inconsistency, is that the spelling of characters’ names conforms to the Riverside edition, the one most commonly used by contributors. There is in the Shakespeare text itself, as in the history of its interpretation, a play of stability and shiftiness, which is reflected here.

Much of the comedy of Shakespeare’s time, as of ours, was disposable, and through the centuries his own comedies have shifted in and out of favor, and have appeared in strange mutations. But they have never been off the boards for long, and there are very few writers of comedy about whom one
could make such a claim. These comedies use and transform the conventions of tradition, both theory and practice; they reflect and question each other; and they constantly provoke us to question them.

My first debt of gratitude is to the contributors, who have maximized the pleasures of editorship while keeping its frustrations to a reasonable minimum. We have had some conversations that made e-mail seem almost a civilized medium. Richard Helgerson, Linda Hutcheon, and Alan Somerset have offered practical help and advice. At the Press, Sarah Stanton has provided wise, firm, and good-humored guidance from the very beginning, and Teresa Sheppard has been unfailingly helpful, often stepping into the breach before I knew there was one. The anonymous readers who commented on an early proposal gave valuable advice that helped shape the volume as it now stands. I am particularly grateful to my wife Anna Leggatt, who not only urged me to take this project on and offered practical help throughout, but was prepared to put up with me on those days when I felt the reality of the old story of the actor on his deathbed who, when asked how he felt, replied, “Dying is easy; comedy is hard.”
CHRONOLOGY

Dates given for plays are of first performance unless otherwise specified; most of these dates are approximate.

1564  Shakespeare born at Stratford-upon-Avon
1566  George Gascoigne, Supposes (translation of Ariosto’s I Suppositi, and source for the Bianca plot of The Taming of the Shrew)
1567  The Red Lion playhouse opens
1576  The Theatre opens
1577  The Curtain playhouse opens
1582  Shakespeare marries Anne Hathaway; the license is issued on November 27 and the first child (Susanna) is born six months later
1584  Lyly, Campaspe, Sappho and Phao
1585  Shakespeare’s twin son and daughter, Hamnet and Judith, born; Lyly, Gallathea
1586  Shakespeare leaves Stratford; nothing is known for certain of his life between this date and 1592, by which time he is in London
1587  The Rose playhouse opens
1588  Lyly, Endymion
1589  Greene, Friar Bacon and Friar Bungay; Lyly, Mother Bombie; Marlowe, The Jew of Malta; Anon., The Taming of a Shrew
1590  Greene, The Scottish History of James IV; Peele, The Old Wives’ Tale; Anon., Mucedorus
1592  Shakespeare, The Comedy of Errors, The Taming of the Shrew
1593  Lyly, The Woman in the Moon; Shakespeare, The Two Gentlemen of Verona
1594  Around this time Shakespeare becomes a sharer in the Chamberlain’s Men
1595  Shakespeare, Love’s Labor’s Lost
1596  Shakespeare, A Midsummer Night’s Dream, The Merchant of Venice
chronology

1597 c. Jonson, *The Case is Altered*; Shakespeare, *The Merry Wives of Windsor*
1599 c. The Globe playhouse opens; Shakespeare's principal clown Will Kempe leaves the company; his successor is Robert Armin
1600 Jonson, *Every Man out of his Humour*; Shakespeare, *As You Like It*
1601 A *Midsummer Night's Dream*, *The Merchant of Venice* and *Much Ado About Nothing* published in quarto
1602 c. Shakespeare, *Twelfth Night*
1603 Shakespeare, *All's Well That Ends Well*; *The Merry Wives of Windsor* published in quarto
1604 Queen Elizabeth dies and is succeeded by James I, who takes the acting companies under royal patronage; Shakespeare's company, the Chamberlain's Men, becomes the King's Men
1608 Shakespeare, *Pericles*
1609 Shakespeare, *Cymbeline*
1611 Shakespeare, *The Tempest*; *Pericles* published in quarto
1614 Jonson, *Bartholomew Fair*
1616 Shakespeare dies in Stratford
1623 c. Publication of the first folio, the first collected edition of Shakespeare's plays; in it *The Tempest*, *The Two Gentlemen of Verona*, *Measure for Measure*, *The Comedy of Errors*, *As You Like It*, *The Taming of the Shrew*, *All's Well That Ends Well*, *Twelfth Night*, *The Winter's Tale* and *Cymbeline* are published for the first time