Prospect Theory

Prospect Theory: For Risk and Ambiguity provides the first comprehensive and accessible textbook treatment of the way decisions are made both when we have the statistical probabilities associated with uncertain future events (risk) and when we lack them (ambiguity). The book presents models, primarily prospect theory, that are both tractable and psychologically realistic. A method of presentation is chosen that makes the empirical meaning of each theoretical model completely transparent.

Prospect theory has many applications in a wide variety of disciplines. The material in the book has been carefully organized so as to allow readers to select pathways relevant to their own interests. With numerous exercises and worked examples, the book is ideally suited to the needs of students taking courses in decision theory in economics, mathematics, finance, psychology, management science, health, computer science, Bayesian statistics, and engineering.

A companion website with exercises, additional assignments, and solutions is available at www.cambridge.org/wakker.

PETER P. WAKKER is Professor at the Econometric Institute, Erasmus University. He has worked in departments of mathematics, statistics, psychology, business, medicine, and economics in the Netherlands and in the USA, and has published in leading journals in all of these fields. He serves on the editorial boards of several major journals, including *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, *Management Science*, *Medical Decision Making*, and *Theory and Decision*. Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-76501-5 - Prospect Theory: For Risk and Ambiguity Peter P. Wakker Frontmatter More information Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-76501-5 - Prospect Theory: For Risk and Ambiguity Peter P. Wakker Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

Prospect Theory For Risk and Ambiguity

Peter P. Wakker





University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521748681

© Peter P. Wakker 2010

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2010 4th printing 2014

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc.

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Wakker, Peter P.
Prospect theory : for risk and ambiguity / Peter P. Wakker.
p. cm.
ISBN 978-0-521-76501-5 (Hardback) – ISBN 978-0-521-74868-1 (Pbk.)
1. Decision making. 2. Risk. 3. Uncertainty. 4. Probabilities. I. Title.
HD30.23.W34 2010
338.5-dc22

2010008581

ISBN 978-0-521-76501-5 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-74868-1 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

Preface

Part I

| | Introduction | 1 | |
|---|---|----|--|
| | Prospect theory | | |
| | Behavioral foundations | | |
| | Homeomorphic versus paramorphic modeling | 3 | |
| | Intended audience | 3 | |
| | Attractive feature of decision theory | 4 | |
| | Structure | 4 | |
| | Preview | 5 | |
| | Our five-step presentation of decision models | 7 | |
| | Expected utility | | |
| 1 | The general model of decision under uncertainty and no-arbitrage | | |
| | (expected utility with known utilities and unknown probabilities) | 11 | |
| | 1.1 Basic definitions | 11 | |
| | 1.2 Basic properties of preferences | 15 | |
| | 1.3 Expected value | 17 | |
| | 1.4 Data fitting for expected value | 19 | |
| | 1.5 The bookmaking argument of de Finetti, or the no-arbitrage | | |
| | condition from finance | 22 | |
| | 1.6 A behavioral foundation of subjective probabilities and | | |
| | expected value using no-arbitrage and no-book | 27 | |
| | 1.7 Discussion of Structural Assumption 1.2.1 (Decision | | |
| | under uncertainty) | 32 | |
| | 1.8 The general nature and usefulness of behavioral foundations | 34 | |
| | 1.9 Appendix: Natural, technical, and intuitive preference | | |
| | conditions, and the problematic completeness condition | 37 | |
| | 1.10 Appendix: The relation of Theorem 1.6.1 to the literature | 39 | |
| | 1.11 Appendix: Proof of Theorem 1.6.1 and Observation $1.6.1'$ | 41 | |

v

page xiii

vi Contents

| 2 | Expected utility with known probabilities – "risk" – | | | |
|---|---|-----|--|--|
| | and unknown utilities | 44 | | |
| | 2.1 Decision under risk as a special case of decision | | | |
| | under uncertainty | 44 | | |
| | 2.2 Decision under risk: basic concepts | 47 | | |
| | 2.3 Decision under risk as a special case of decision under | | | |
| | uncertainty; continued | 48 | | |
| | 2.4 Choices under risk and decision trees | 51 | | |
| | 2.5 Expected utility and utility measurement | 53 | | |
| | 2.6 Consistency of measurement and a behavioral foundation | | | |
| | of expected utility | 57 | | |
| | 2.7 Independence and other preference conditions for | | | |
| | expected utility | 63 | | |
| | 2.8 Basic choice inconsistencies | 65 | | |
| | 2.9 Appendix: Proof of Theorem 2.6.3 and Observation 2.6.3' | 67 | | |
| 3 | Applications of expected utility for risk | 69 | | |
| | 3.1 An application from the health domain: decision tree analysis | 69 | | |
| | 3.2 Risk aversion | 71 | | |
| | 3.3 Applications of risk aversion | 74 | | |
| | 3.4 Indexes of risk aversion | 77 | | |
| | 3.5 Parametric families of utility | 78 | | |
| | 3.6 Data fitting for expected utility under risk | 83 | | |
| | 3.7 Multiattribute utility | 85 | | |
| | 3.8 Taxation and welfare theory with cardinal utility | 91 | | |
| | 3.9 Proofs for Chapter 3 | 92 | | |
| 4 | Expected utility with unknown probabilities and | | | |
| | unknown utilities | 94 | | |
| | 4.1 Fifteen preference questions | 94 | | |
| | 4.2 (Subjective) expected utility | 100 | | |
| | 4.3 Measuring utility and testing EU for §4.1 | 103 | | |
| | 4.4 A decision process | 107 | | |
| | 4.5 General utility measurement and the tradeoff notation | 108 | | |
| | 4.6 A behavioral foundation of expected utility | 110 | | |
| | 4.7 Further discussion of Theorem 4.6.4 (Uncertainty-EU) | 112 | | |
| | 4.8 Further implications of expected utility | 114 | | |
| | 4.8.1 Savage's sure-thing principle | 114 | | |
| | 4.8.2 Utility analysis based on t-indifferences | 115 | | |
| | 4.8.3 Debreu's additive decomposability and state-dependent | | | |
| | utility | 117 | | |
| | 4.9 A hybrid case: subjective probabilities and utilities when also | | | |
| | objective probabilities are given | 118 | | |
| | 4.9.1 A general ("single-stage") approach | 119 | | |

CAMBRIDGE

| | 4.9.2 A behavioral foundation for the single-stage approach | 120 |
|---------|--|------------|
| | 4.9.3 The multi-stage approach of Anscombe & | |
| | Aumann (1963) | 122 |
| | 4.9.4 Comparing the Anscombe & Aumann model with the | |
| | single-stage approach | 125 |
| | 4.10 Data fitting for expected utility under uncertainty | 127 |
| | 4.11 A statistical analysis of the experiment of §4.1, revealing | |
| | violations of expected utility | 131 |
| | 4.12 The Allais paradox: a well-known violation of expected utility | 133 |
| | 4.13 Appendix: Experimental economics' real-incentive principle | 125 |
| | and the tradeoff method | 135 |
| | 4.14 Appendix: Tradeoff consistency as a generalization of | 138 |
| | de Finetti's bookmaking 4.15 Appendix: Proofs for Chapter 4 | 138 |
| | 4.15 Appendix. Floors for Chapter 4 | 139 |
| Part II | Nonexpected utility for risk | |
| 5 | Heuristic arguments for probabilistic sensitivity and | |
| 3 | rank dependence | 145 |
| | 5.1 Probabilistic sensitivity versus outcome sensitivity for | 145 |
| | single-nonzero-outcome-prospects | 145 |
| | 5.2 Probabilistic sensitivity for multi-outcome prospects, | 110 |
| | and the old way of transforming probabilities | 149 |
| | 5.3 A violation of stochastic dominance | 153 |
| | 5.4 Rank-dependent utility: discovering the formula using | |
| | psychological intuition | 155 |
| | 5.5 Rank-dependent utility: discovering the formula using | |
| | mathematical intuition | 161 |
| | 5.6 Calculating rank-dependent utility | 165 |
| | 5.7 Conclusion | 168 |
| _ | | |
| 6 | Probabilistic sensitivity and rank dependence analyzed | 169 |
| | 6.1 Ranks, ranked probabilities, and rank-dependent utility defined | 169 |
| | 6.2 Ranks, ranked probabilities, and rank-dependent utility discussed | 172 |
| | 6.3 Where rank-dependent utility deviates from expected utility: | 170 |
| | optimism and pessimism 6.4 Further deviations of rank-dependent utility from expected utility | 172 176 |
| | 6.4.1 The certainty effect | 176 |
| | 6.4.2 Further discussion of rank dependence | 170 |
| | 6.5 What rank-dependent utility shares with expected utility | 178 |
| | 6.5.1 Measuring utility under rank-dependent utility | 181 |
| | 6.5.2 A behavioral foundation of rank-dependent utility | 181 |
| | 6.5.3 An elaborated example | 185 |
| | 6.5.4 Abdellaoui's method of measuring probability weighting | 188 |
| | 6.5.5 Further implications of rank-dependent utility | 188 |
| | contract and an provident of funk dependent with y | 100 |

Contents

vii

viii Contents

| | 6.6 Appendix: Yet further deviations of rank-dependent utility | |
|---|---|-----|
| | from expected utility | 190 |
| | 6.7 Appendix: Ranking identical outcomes and collapsing outcomes | 194 |
| | 6.8 Appendix: An interpretation of rank-dependent utility using the | |
| | derivative of w | 197 |
| | 6.9 Appendix: RDU for continuous distributions and figures for | |
| | negative outcomes | 199 |
| 7 | Applications and extensions of rank dependence | 203 |
| | 7.1 Likelihood insensitivity and pessimism as two components | |
| | of probabilistic risk attitudes | 203 |
| | 7.2 Parametric forms of weighting functions | 206 |
| | 7.3 Data fitting for rank-dependent utility under risk | 211 |
| | 7.4 Direct ways to test convexity, concavity, and likelihood | |
| | insensitivity using violations of the sure-thing principle | 214 |
| | 7.5 An alternative direct way to directly investigate properties | |
| | of nonlinear decision weights | 217 |
| | 7.6 Bad-news probabilities or loss-ranks | 219 |
| | 7.7 A formal definition of likelihood insensitivity (inverse-S) | 222 |
| | 7.8 The choice of insensitivity region | 226 |
| | 7.9 Discussion of likelihood insensitivity | 227 |
| | 7.10 Indexes of pessimism and likelihood insensitivity | 229 |
| | 7.11 Binary rank-dependent utility | 230 |
| | 7.12 Appendix: Alternative definition of likelihood insensitivity: | |
| | first concave and then convex | 231 |
| | 7.13 Appendix: Proofs for Chapter 7 | 233 |
| 8 | Where prospect theory deviates from rank-dependent utility and | |
| | expected utility: reference dependence versus asset integration | 234 |
| | 8.1 A choice paradox | 235 |
| | 8.2 A discussion and the real culprit of the paradox | 236 |
| | 8.3 Deviations from a fixed reference point ("initial wealth") | |
| | as nothing but an alternative way of modeling final wealth, | |
| | and of incorporating some empirical improvements | 237 |
| | 8.4 Loss aversion defined | 238 |
| | 8.5 Deviations from a variable reference point as a major | |
| | breakaway from final-wealth models | 240 |
| | 8.6 Rabin's paradox | 242 |
| | 8.7 Future directions for theories of reference dependence | 245 |
| | 8.8 Appendix: Empirical meaningfulness problems of loss aversion | 247 |
| | 8.9 Appendix: A formal model of initial wealth and reference | |
| | points | 249 |

| 9 | Prospect theory for decision under risk | 251 |
|----------|---|---------------------------------|
| , | 9.1 A symmetry about 0 underlying prospect theory | 251 |
| | 9.2 The definition of prospect theory | 251 |
| | 9.3 Properties of the prospect theory formula, and calculations | 252 |
| | 9.4 What prospect theory shares with rank-dependent utility | 234 |
| | and with expected utility, and one more reanalysis of the | |
| | experiment of § 4.1 | 260 |
| | 9.4.1 Monotonicity, stochastic dominance, and the sure-thing | 200 |
| | principle | 260 |
| | 9.4.2 Measuring utility, event weighting, and loss aversion | 262 |
| | 9.5 Empirical findings on prospect theory | 264 |
| | 9.6 Analytical problems of power utility for analyzing loss aversion | 267 |
| | 9.6.1 The first problem: loss aversion depends on the | 207 |
| | monetary unit | 267 |
| | 9.6.2 The second problem: always $U(\alpha) > -U(-\alpha)$ for some | |
| | outcome $\alpha > 0$ | 270 |
| | 9.6.3 Ways to avoid the problems | 271 |
| | 9.7 Appendix: Some theoretical issues concerning prospect theory | 271 |
| | 9.8 Appendix: Original prospect theory of 1979 | 273 |
| Part III | Nonexpected utility for uncertainty | |
| 10 | Extending nonk dependent utility from risk to uncertainty | 279 |
| 10 | Extending rank-dependent utility from risk to uncertainty 10.1 Probabilistic sophistication | 279 |
| | 10.1 Probabilistic sophistication 10.2 Rank-dependent utility defined for uncertainty and without | 219 |
| | probabilistic sophistication | 282 |
| | 10.3 Rank-dependent utility and probabilistic sophistication | 262 |
| | once more | 286 |
| | 10.3.1 Ellsberg's violation of probabilistic sophistication | 286 |
| | 10.3.2 Further observations | 287 |
| | 10.4 Where rank-dependent utility under uncertainty deviates from | 207 |
| | expected utility in the same way as it did under risk | 288 |
| | 10.4.1 Optimism and pessimism | 289 |
| | | -07 |
| | 10.4.2 Likelihood insensitivity (Inverse-S) | 290 |
| | 10.4.2 Likelihood insensitivity (Inverse-S) 10.4.3 Direct ways to test convexity, concavity, and likelihood | 290 |
| | 10.4.3 Direct ways to test convexity, concavity, and likelihood | |
| | 10.4.3 Direct ways to test convexity, concavity, and likelihood insensitivity using violations of the sure-thing principle | 290 292 |
| | 10.4.3 Direct ways to test convexity, concavity, and likelihood insensitivity using violations of the sure-thing principle10.5 What rank-dependent utility shares with expected utility for | 292 |
| | 10.4.3 Direct ways to test convexity, concavity, and likelihood insensitivity using violations of the sure-thing principle10.5 What rank-dependent utility shares with expected utility for uncertainty (in the same way as it did for risk) | 292 294 |
| | 10.4.3 Direct ways to test convexity, concavity, and likelihood insensitivity using violations of the sure-thing principle 10.5 What rank-dependent utility shares with expected utility for uncertainty (in the same way as it did for risk) 10.5.1 Rank-preference conditions | 292 294 294 |
| | 10.4.3 Direct ways to test convexity, concavity, and likelihood insensitivity using violations of the sure-thing principle10.5 What rank-dependent utility shares with expected utility for uncertainty (in the same way as it did for risk) | 292 294 294 295 |
| | 10.4.3 Direct ways to test convexity, concavity, and likelihood insensitivity using violations of the sure-thing principle 10.5 What rank-dependent utility shares with expected utility for uncertainty (in the same way as it did for risk) 10.5.1 Rank-preference conditions 10.5.2 Measuring utility (and event weighting) under RDU 10.5.3 A behavioral foundation for RDU | 292 294 294 |
| | 10.4.3 Direct ways to test convexity, concavity, and likelihood insensitivity using violations of the sure-thing principle 10.5 What rank-dependent utility shares with expected utility for uncertainty (in the same way as it did for risk) 10.5.1 Rank-preference conditions 10.5.2 Measuring utility (and event weighting) under RDU | 292 294 294 295 297 |

Contents

ix

х

Contents

| | 10.7.1 A general ("single-stage") approach | 299 |
|----|--|-----|
| | 10.7.2 A behavioral foundation for the single-stage approach | 300 |
| | 10.7.3 Schmeidler's and Jaffray's two-stage approaches | 301 |
| | 10.8 Bad-news events or loss-ranks | 304 |
| | 10.9 Further observations regarding rank dependence | 305 |
| | 10.10 Appendix: An integral representation | 309 |
| | 10.11 Appendix: Ranking states and collapsing outcomes | 310 |
| | 10.12 Appendix: Comonotonicity | 310 |
| | 10.13 Appendix: Proofs for Chapter 10 | 314 |
| | 10.14 Appendix: Proof of Theorem 6.5.6 | 316 |
| 11 | Ambiguity: where uncertainty extends beyond risk | 317 |
| | 11.1 The Ellsberg paradox and the home bias as within-subject | |
| | between-source comparisons | 317 |
| | 11.2 Using rank dependence to analyze ambiguity | 318 |
| | 11.3 Elaborated examples for ranks, ambiguity premiums, | |
| | and hedging in finance | 322 |
| | 11.4 The CORE | 323 |
| | 11.5 Multiple priors (Maxmin expected utility and α -maxmin): | |
| | basic results | 324 |
| | 11.6 Approaches in the literature that we will not take | 327 |
| | 11.7 Indexes of ambiguity aversion | 328 |
| | 11.8 Indexes of ambiguity aversion and sensitivity | 332 |
| | 11.9 Appendix: Discussion of multiple priors and other models | 335 |
| | 11.10 Appendix: Violations of monotonicity for multiple priors | 338 |
| | 11.11 Appendix: Möbius transforms and belief functions | 339 |
| 12 | Prospect theory for uncertainty | 342 |
| | 12.1 Prospect theory defined | 342 |
| | 12.2 Where prospect theory deviates from rank-dependent utility | |
| | and expected utility | 344 |
| | 12.3 What prospect theory shares with rank-dependent utility | |
| | and expected utility under uncertainty | 344 |
| | 12.3.1 Sign-preference conditions | 344 |
| | 12.3.2 Measuring utility (and event weighting) under prospect theory | 345 |
| | 12.3.3 Measuring loss aversion under prospect theory | 345 |
| | | 340 |
| | 12.3.4 A behavioral foundation for prospect theory12.4 A hybrid case: prospect theory for uncertainty when also | 347 |
| | objective probabilities are given | 349 |
| | 12.5 Loss aversion versus ambiguity aversion | 349 |
| | 12.5 Loss aversion versus antiguity aversion 12.6 Violations of prospect theory and rank dependence | 349 |
| | 12.7 Indexes of ambiguity attitudes under prospect theory | 354 |
| | 12.7 mucros of amorganty autouces under prospect meory | 554 |

| | | Contents | xi |
|----|-------------|--|-----|
| | 12.8 Append | ix: Some theoretical issues concerning prospect theory | |
| | for unce | | 356 |
| | | ix: Proofs for Chapter 12 | 357 |
| 13 | Conclusion | | 358 |
| | Appendices | | 359 |
| | Appendix A | Models never hold perfectly: how to handle their | |
| | | deficiencies? | 359 |
| | A.1 Nonpa | rametric measurements and parametric fittings for | |
| | imper | fect models: general discussion | 359 |
| | A.2 Our di | istance measure for parametric fitting | 361 |
| | A.3 Discus | ssion of the distance measure | 363 |
| | Appendix B | Choosing from multiple prospects and binary choice: | |
| | | the principles of revealed preference | 366 |
| | B.1 Examp | ples | 367 |
| | B.2 A beha | avioral foundation of preference relations derived | |
| | from c | choice behavior | 371 |
| | B.3 Assum | ptions underlying revealed preference | 374 |
| | B.4 The hi | story of revealed preference | 378 |
| | Appendix C | Dynamic decisions | 380 |
| | Appendix D | Applications other than decision under uncertainty | 384 |
| | Appendix E | Bisymmetry-based preference conditions | 387 |
| | Appendix F | Nonmonotonic rank-dependent models and the | |
| | | Fehr–Schmidt model of welfare evaluation | 391 |
| | Appendix G | Extensions of finite-dimensional results to | |
| | | infinite-dimensional results: a meta-theorem | 393 |
| | Appendix H | Measure theory | 395 |
| | Appendix I | Related textbooks and surveys | 397 |
| | Appendix J | Elaborations of exercises | 399 |
| | Appendix K | Skipping parts and interdependencies between sections | 455 |
| | References | | 461 |
| | A d · 1 | | 402 |

| -) | |
|---------------|-----|
| Author index | 492 |
| Subject index | 500 |

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-76501-5 - Prospect Theory: For Risk and Ambiguity Peter P. Wakker Frontmatter More information Preface

This book is the culmination of 14 years of teaching. In the 15th year, when for the first time I did not feel like rereading or rewriting, the time had come to publish it. The book received helpful comments from Han Bleichrodt, Arie de Wild, Itzhak Gilboa, Glenn Harrison, Amit Kothiyal, Gijs van de Kuilen, Georg Weizsäcker, and many students during the past 14 years. Thorough comments from Rich Gonzalez and Vitalie Spinu are especially acknowledged. I am most indebted to Stefan Trautmann for the numerous improvements he suggested. This book has also benefited from many inspiring discussions with Craig Fox, with whom I share the privilege of having collaborated with Amos Tversky on uncertainty during the last years of his life.