

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-76435-3 - Exploring Private Law
Edited by Elise Bant and Matthew Harding
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

EXPLORING PRIVATE LAW

Inspired by recent debate, the purpose of this collection of essays on private law doctrines, remedies and methods is to celebrate and illustrate the contribution that both 'top-down' and 'bottom-up' methods of reasoning make to the development of private law. The contributors explore a variety of topical subjects, including judicial approaches to 'top-down' and 'bottom-up' methods; teaching trusts law; the protection of privacy in private law; the development of the law of unjust enrichment; the private law consequences of theft; equity's jurisdiction to relieve against forfeiture; the nature of fiduciary relationships and obligations; the duties of trustees; compensation and disgorgement remedies; partial rescission; the role of unconscionability in proprietary estoppel; and the nature of registered title to land.

ELISE BANT is an Associate Professor in the Melbourne Law School at the University of Melbourne and an Honorary Fellow of the University of Western Australia.

MATTHEW HARDING is a Senior Lecturer in the Melbourne Law School at the University of Melbourne.

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-76435-3 - Exploring Private Law
Edited by Elise Bant and Matthew Harding
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

EXPLORING PRIVATE LAW

Edited by
ELISE BANT
and
MATTHEW HARDING



Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-76435-3 - Exploring Private Law
Edited by Elise Bant and Matthew Harding
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore,
São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521764353

© Cambridge University Press 2010

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2010

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-76435-3 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or
accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in
this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is,
or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-76435-3 - Exploring Private Law
Edited by Elise Bant and Matthew Harding
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

For Michael Bryan

CONTENTS

<i>List of Contributors</i>	x
<i>Foreword</i>	xii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xvi
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xvii
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xl

Introduction 1

ELISE BANT AND MATTHEW HARDING

I Method 17

- | | | |
|---|--|-----|
| 1 | Do top-down and bottom-up reasoning ever meet? | 19 |
| | KEITH MASON | |
| 2 | Internationalization or isolation: the Australian <i>cul de sac</i> ? The case of contract law | 41 |
| | PAUL FINN | |
| 3 | The Australian law of restitution: has the High Court lost its way? | 67 |
| | ANDREW BURROWS | |
| 4 | Privacy and private law: developing the common law of Australia | 86 |
| | MICHAEL TILBURY | |
| 5 | Towards legal pragmatism: breach of confidence and the right to privacy | 109 |
| | MEGAN RICHARDSON | |
| 6 | Teaching trust law in the twenty-first century | 125 |
| | TANG HANG WU | |

- II Unjust enrichment 151**
- 7 The impact of legal culture on the law of unjustified enrichment: the role of reasons 153
 HELEN SCOTT AND DANIEL VISSER
- 8 Natural obligations and unjust enrichment 175
 MITCHELL MCINNES
- 9 Causality and abstraction in the common law 200
 BIRKE HÄCKER
- 10 Trust and theft 223
 ROBERT CHAMBERS
- III Equity and trusts 247**
- 11 What is left of equity's relief against forfeiture? 249
 SARAH WORTHINGTON
- 12 Contracts, fiduciaries and the primacy of the deal 275
 ANTHONY DUGGAN
- 13 Four fiduciary puzzles 298
 JAMES EDELMAN
- 14 Good faith: what does it mean for fiduciaries and what does it tell us about them? 319
 RICHARD NOLAN AND MATTHEW CONAGLEN
- 15 Trustees' duties to provide information 343
 LUSINA HO
- IV Remedies 361**
- 16 The measurement of compensation claims against trustees and fiduciaries 363
 LIONEL SMITH
- 17 Substitutability and disgorgement damages in contract 377
 KATY BARNETT

CONTENTS

ix

- 18 Unconscionability and proprietary estoppel
remedies 402
ANDREW ROBERTSON
- 19 Partial rescission: disentangling the seedlings
but not transplanting them 427
PETER WATTS
- 20 Of horses and carts: theories of indefeasibility and
category errors in the Torrens system 446
KELVIN FK LOW
- Index* 468

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

ELISE BANT is an Associate Professor in the Melbourne Law School, University of Melbourne, and an Honorary Fellow of the Faculty of Law, The University of Western Australia.

KATY BARNETT is a PhD student in the Melbourne Law School, University of Melbourne.

ANDREW BURROWS QC (Hon) FBA is the Norton Rose Professor of Commercial Law at the University of Oxford, and a Fellow of St Hugh's College, Oxford.

ROBERT CHAMBERS is a professor of Property Law at University College London.

MATTHEW CONAGLEN is a University Senior Lecturer, University of Cambridge, and a Fellow of Trinity Hall, Cambridge.

ANTHONY DUGGAN holds the Hon Frank H Iacobucci Chair in the Faculty of Law at the University of Toronto, and is a Professorial Fellow in the Melbourne Law School, University of Melbourne.

JAMES EDELMAN is Professor of the Law of Obligations at the University of Oxford and a fellow of Keble College, Oxford, as well as a Conjoint Professor, University of New South Wales, and a barrister at One Essex Court, Temple.

PAUL FINN is a judge of the Federal Court of Australia, and a Professorial Fellow of the University of Melbourne.

BIRKE HÄCKER is a lecturer at Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München.

MATTHEW HARDING is a Senior Lecturer in the Melbourne Law School, University of Melbourne.

LUSINA HO is a Professor in the Faculty of Law at the University of Hong Kong.

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

xi

KELVIN LOW is an Associate Professor at the Singapore Management University, having held the same post at the University of Hong Kong when his chapter was written.

The Honourable **Keith Mason** AC QC is a Professorial Visiting Fellow of the University of New South Wales and was, until 2008, the President of the New South Wales Court of Appeal.

MITCHELL MCINNES is a Professor in the Faculty of Law at the University of Alberta.

RICHARD NOLAN is a Reader in Corporate and Trust Law at the University of Cambridge, a Fellow of St John's College, Cambridge, and a Door Tenant at Erskine Chambers, Lincoln's Inn.

MEGAN RICHARDSON is Professor of Law and Deputy Director of the Centre for Media and Communications Law at the Melbourne Law School, University of Melbourne.

ANDREW ROBERTSON is a Professor of Law at the University of Melbourne.

HELEN SCOTT is Associate Professor in the Department of Private Law at the University of Cape Town.

LIONEL SMITH is James McGill Professor of Law and the Director of the Quebec Research Centre of Private and Comparative Law in the Faculty of Law at McGill University.

TANG HANG WU is an Associate Professor in the Faculty of Law at the National University of Singapore.

MICHAEL TILBURY is Kerry Holdings Professor in Law, Chair of Private Law, the University of Hong Kong; a Professorial Fellow of the University of Melbourne; and an Adjunct Professor of Law at the University of Technology, Sydney.

DANIEL VISSER is Professor of Law and Deputy Vice-Chancellor at the University of Cape Town.

PETER WATTS is a Professor in the Faculty of Law at the University of Auckland.

SARAH WORTHINGTON QC FBA is Professor of Law at the London School of Economics and Political Science, a Bencher of Middle Temple and an academic member of 3–4 South Square, Gray's Inn.

FOREWORD

I am delighted to be invited to contribute a foreword to *Exploring Private Law*, which celebrates the breadth of Michael Bryan's scholarly interests and the influence of his work on former students, academic colleagues and members of the judiciary.

The purpose of a foreword is often to provide an overview of the material which follows. In this case, however, the excellent introduction by Elise Bant and Matthew Harding describes the intellectual terrain covered by the book, considers the topics examined by the 24 contributors and identifies the intersecting issues explored in the various essays. In these circumstances it would add little to describe the scope of the work or discuss the contents of particular essays. Instead, I will briefly mention three broad conceptual questions which underpin the book, and make it such an original and interesting contribution to legal scholarship.

Until recently, most legal texts examined subject areas defined by reference to recognized common law categories (for example criminal law, contract, property and torts) or (less frequently) by reference to an area of statute law (for example trade practices law). Legal and equitable remedies were often discussed separately from substantive legal principles. By contrast, this book aims to explore the intersections, gaps and inconsistencies between principles which have traditionally been treated as falling within the boundaries of distinct legal and equitable doctrines.

Thomas Kuhn's theory that revolutionary changes in science are prompted by shifts in guiding paradigms¹ has been widely accepted in the social and human sciences. By analogy, the identification of different approaches used to resolve questions within different subject boundaries

¹ T Kuhn, *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions* (3rd ed, University of Chicago Press, Chicago 1996).

may help to generate new questions, and result in new ways of ‘seeing’ and resolving particular legal problems.

There is increasing scholarly recognition that creative development of the law requires recognition and rationalization of overlaps and inconsistencies between principles which may lead to different outcomes, depending on the category of legal principle which is applied. This book is an important example of that approach, thus contributing to more adventurous legal scholarship, which will assist practising lawyers and judges. A number of the essays adopt a comparative law approach, which may lead to recognition of different ways of analysing and resolving problems which are common to many legal systems. Consistently with Michael Bryan’s reputation as a challenging and thoughtful teacher as well as a rigorous and creative legal scholar, the way the essays in this book cut across conventional legal boundaries will also encourage new approaches to teaching students about the law.

The second theme, which is explicitly explored in the first part of the book, concerns the techniques of legal reasoning which are available to resolve novel disputes in private law and the shared (and sometimes contested) understandings of lawyers about the legitimacy of various judicial approaches.

It is trite to observe that syllogistic logic does not always provide a legally authoritative or just solution to a novel legal question. Julius Stone identified the limits of logical reasoning processes many years ago. He said:

[p]erhaps the simplest illustration of these limits has reference to cases where two or more competing principles are available, each yielding different results; ... ‘there are comparatively few cases’ said Lord Wright, ‘in which the relevant rules of law are uncertain. What is more often uncertain is, what is the right rule to apply’.²

Much of the development of common law and equity has come about through the incremental extension of rules laid down in decided cases, to cover new situations. (The recent decision of the Victorian Court of Appeal in *Giller v Procopets*,³ discussed in Michael Tilbury’s essay, is an example.)

² Lord Wright, *Legal Essays and Addresses* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1939) 343, cited in Julius Stone, *Legal System and Lawyers’ Reasonings* (Maitland, Sydney 1964), 56.

³ [2008] VSCA 236.

However the process of deducing a specific rule from a broader general principle is also a familiar legal technique. Both approaches have played a part in the development of law and equity and each may assist in providing just solutions to new legal problems. Imagine, for example, how torts law might have evolved without the development of liability for reasonably foreseeable injury. To my mind, debate about the proper limits to the application of both techniques of judicial reasoning is more interesting than debate about the legitimacy of ‘top-down’ compared with ‘bottom-up’ reasoning. As Keith Mason observes in his essay, ‘the two concepts inevitably meet in the day to day exertions of any conscientious judge, whether or not he or she is prepared to admit it’.⁴

Legal scholars may have more opportunities than practising lawyers and judges to stand back and discern the emergence of broad principles from a series of individual examples. While practising lawyers are sometimes dismissive of legal theory, history shows that it can have an important influence on the development of the law. This book’s combination of theoretical discussion of broad principles and fine-grained analysis of particular cases will be valuable to all those interested in the development and application of private law.

The final theme, which is most explicitly addressed in the essays of Justice Paul Finn and Michael Tilbury, concerns the interaction between common law and statute in the future development of private law.

One of the questions which is implicitly raised by many of the essays in the book is the extent to which the principled development of private law doctrine can be achieved by judicial decision. Whatever view is taken of the appropriateness of particular forms of legal reasoning, the role of the judge is to resolve particular disputes. This imposes limits on judges’ capacity to survey an area of the law and systematically rationalize competing legal principles. Almost all of the essays in this book raise questions about the limits to judicial creativity.

As Justice Finn suggests in his essay on developments in contract law, there is an important place for use of law reform bodies, including *ad hoc* committees of legal scholars, practising lawyers and judges, to examine and rationalize particular areas of the law. In the future legal educators will need to think about the best ways of equipping tomorrow’s lawyers to participate in law reform. The necessary skills include the ability to think creatively and systematically, to draw insights from judge-made

⁴ 40.

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-76435-3 - Exploring Private Law
Edited by Elise Bant and Matthew Harding
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

FOREWORD

xv

and statute law from a variety of legal systems and, sometimes, to look to disciplines outside the law.

This fine collection of scholarly essays is a deserved tribute to Michael Bryan, which will stimulate thinking about the ways legal and equitable doctrines and statute law can, and should, change in the future.

The Hon Justice Marcia Neave AO
Melbourne
30 March 2010

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-76435-3 - Exploring Private Law
Edited by Elise Bant and Matthew Harding
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The editors wish to thank Professor James Hathaway for his support at the early stages of the project, Professor Charles Rickett for his enthusiasm for the project, even though regrettably he could not take part in it, Professor Andrew Robertson for his encouragement and advice throughout, and Professor Carolyn Evans and the Research Committee of the Melbourne Law School for making funding available to us when we needed it. Thanks also to Cambridge University Press for their work in preparing the manuscript for publication. A version of the essay by Paul Finn is to be published in M Hiscock and W van Caenegem (eds), *The Internationalisation of Law* (Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham UK and Northampton US 2010). Our thanks to the editors and publishers for permission to reproduce the essay here. Finally, we are especially grateful to our assistant Ms Bella Li for her professionalism, efficiency, and meticulous attention to detail.

TABLE OF CASES

-
- 3464920 *Canada Inc v Strother* (2002) 26 BLR (3d) 235 283
 3464920 *Canada Inc v Strother* (2005) 38 BCLR (4th) 159 283
 3464920 *Canada Inc v Strother* (2005) 8 BLR (4th) 1 283
 3464920 *Canada Inc v Strother* (2005) 256 DLR (4th) 319 283
 3464920 *Canada Inc v Strother* (2007) 281 DLR (4th) 640 11, 277, 282, 283,
 284, 289, 290, 297, 299
Abacus Trust (Isle of Man) Ltd v Barr [2003] EWHC 114, [2003] Ch 409, 388
Aberdeen Railway Co v Blaikie Bros (1854) 1 Macq 461, 149 RR 32, 340
Abou-Ramah v Abacha [2006] EWCA Civ 1492, [2007] 1 Lloyd's Rep 115,
 314, 315, 316
ACCC v CG Berbatis Holdings Pty Ltd (2003) 214 CLR 51 76
Actionstrength Ltd v International Glass Engineering In Gl En SpA [2003] 2
 AC 541 464
Adams v Amex Bank of Canada [2009] QJ No 5769, 178
Adamson, Ex p (1878) 8 Ch 807 366, 369, 370, 372
Adderley v Dixon (1824) 1 Sim & St 607, 57 ER 239, 254, 378, 381, 383
Adras Building Material Ltd v Harlow & Jones GmbH (1983) 37(4) PD 225 385
Adras Building Material Ltd v Harlow & Jones GmbH (1998) 42(1) PD 221
 384, 385
Agip (Africa) Ltd v Jackson [1990] EWCA Civ 2, [1991] Ch 547, 225
Agricultural and Rural Finance Pty Ltd v Gardiner (2008) 251 ALR 322 55, 60
Air Canada v British Columbia (1989) 59 DLR (4th) 161 181
Air Canada v Ontario (Liquor Control Board) (1997) 148 DLR (4th) 193 190
Alati v Kruger (1955) 94 CLR 216 212, 218
Allcard v Skinner (1887) 36 Ch D 145 216, 219
Allen v Gold Reefs of West Africa [1900] 1 Ch 656 328
Allied Irish Banks Plc v Byrne (Chancery Division, 1 February 1994) 432
American Baseball and Athletic Association v Harper, 54 Cent L J 449
 (1902) 387, 388
American Broadcasting Company v Wolf, 52 NY (2d) 394, 420 NE (2d) 363,
 438 NYS (2d) 482 (1981) 387

- AMEV-UDC Finance Ltd v Austin* (1986) 162 CLR 170 37
Amoco Australia Pty Ltd v Rocca Bros Motor Engineering Co Pty Ltd [1975] AC 561, 389
Anderson v Galbraith (1858) 15 UCQB 57 188
Angelopoulos v Sabatino (1995) 65 SASR1 35
ANZ Executors and Trustees Ltd v Humes Ltd [1990] VR 615, 386
Aristoc Industries Pty Ltd v R A Wenham (Builders) Pty Ltd [1965] NSWRC 581, 383
Arklow Investments Ltd v Maclean [2000] 1 WLR 594 300, 341
Armitage v Nurse [1998] Ch 241 146, 147, 290, 304, 323, 324, 325, 330, 343, 346, 350, 351, 355
Armory v Delamirie (1722) 1 Str 505, 93 ER 664, 229, 375
Atlas Steels (Australia) Pty Ltd v Atlas Steels Ltd (1948) 49 SR (NSW) 157 387, 388
Atlee v Backhouse (1838) 3 M and W 633 158
Attorney-General v Blake [1998] Ch 439 307, 398
Attorney-General v Blake [2001] 1 AC 268 377, 381, 382, 383, 391, 393, 394, 397, 398, 398, 399, 400
Attorney-General v Guardian Newspapers Ltd (No 2) [1990] 1 AC 109 7, 105, 111, 113, 114, 115, 116, 118, 119, 120
Attorney-General (UK) v Heinemann Publishers Australia Pty Ltd (1987) 10 NSWLR 86 116, 310
Attorney-General (England and Wales) v R [2002] 2 NZLR 91 311
Attorney-General (Belize) v Belize Telecom Ltd [2009] UKPC 10, 301
Attorney-General (Hong Kong) v Reid [1992] 2 NZLR 385 236
Attorney-General (Hong Kong) v Reid [1993] UKPC 2, [1994] 1 AC 324, 236
Aussie Invest Corp Pty Ltd v Pulcesia Pty Ltd [2005] VSC 362, 250
Austin v The Commonwealth (2003) 215 CLR 185 22, 28
Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Ltd v Petrik [1996] 2 VR 638 434, 435
Australian Broadcasting Corporation v Lenah Game Meats Pty Ltd (2001) 208 CLR 199 86, 91, 94, 95, 97, 98, 106, 110, 115, 116, 119, 120
Australian Broadcasting Corporation v O'Neill (2006) 227 CLR 57 117
Australian Capital Television Pty Ltd v The Commonwealth (1992) 177 CLR 106 21, 22, 30, 36
Australian Communist Party v The Commonwealth (1951) 83 CLR 1 29
Australian National Airways Pty Ltd v The Commonwealth (1945) 71 CLR 29 29
Australian Securities Commission v AS Nominees Ltd (1995) 133 ALR 1 341
Australian Securities and Investments Commission v Citigroup Global Markets Australia Pty Ltd (No 4) (2007) 160 FCR 35 11, 278, 293, 294, 295, 296, 341
Author of a Blog v Times Newspapers Ltd [2009] EWHC 1358, 124
Avanes v Marshall (2007) 68 NSWLR 595 344, 346

- Bacchus Marsh Concentrated Milk Co Ltd v Joseph Nathan & Co Ltd (in liq)* (1919) 26 CLR 410 389
- Baden Delvaux and Lecuit v Société Générale pour Favoriser le Développement du Commerce et de l'Industrie en France SA* [1983] BCLC 325, 312
- Badman v Drake* [2008] NSWSC 1366, 219
- Bahr v Nicolay (No 2)* (1988) 164 CLR 604 452, 453, 454, 465
- Baker v Baker* (1993) 25 HLR 408 408, 410, 416
- Baker v Courage & Co* [1910] 1 KB 56 76
- Baker v JE Clark & Co (Transport) UK Ltd* [2006] EWCA Civ 464 148, 306
- Baker Ltd v Medway Building and Supplies Ltd* [1958] 2 All ER 532 80
- Baker Ltd v Medway Building and Supplies Ltd* [1958] 3 All ER 540 80
- Baltic Shipping Co v Dillon (The Mikhail Lermontov)* (1993) 176 CLR 344 264
- Bamford v Bamford* [1970] Ch 212, 335
- Banque Belge pour l'Etranger v Hambrouck* [1921] 1 KB 321 215
- Banque Financière de la Cité v Parc (Battersea) Ltd* [1998] UKHL 7, [1999] 1 AC 221, 69, 70, 85, 232, 265
- Banque Financière de la Cité SA v Westgate Insurance Co Ltd* [1991] 2 AC 249 329
- Barbados Trust Co Ltd v Bank of Zambia* [2007] EWCA Civ 148, 229
- Barclays Bank Ltd v WJ Simms Son & Cooke (Southern) Ltd* [1980] QB 677, 206
- Barclays Bank Plc v Guy* [2008] EWCA Civ 452, 226
- Barclays Bank Plc v Guy* [2008] EWHC 893, 226
- Barclays Bank Plc v O'Brien* [1994] 1 AC 180 432, 460
- Barker v Furlong* [1891] 2 Ch 172 235
- Barlow Clowes International Ltd v Eurotrust International Ltd* [2005] UKPC 37 313, 314, 315, 316, 317
- Barnes v Addy* (1874) LR 9 Ch App 244 23, 33, 34, 71, 77, 78, 81, 82, 447, 449, 450, 451, 466
- Barrett v Ministry of Defence* [1995] 3 All ER 87 37
- Barthe v Succession of Lacroix*, 29 La Ann 326 (1877) 197
- Bathurst City Council v Saban* (1985) 2 NSWLR 704 92
- Baylis v Bishop of London* [1913] 1 Ch 127 180
- BCCI (No 8), Re* [1988] AC 214 265
- BCCI v Akindele* [2001] Ch 437, 71
- Bell v Lever Brothers Ltd* [1932] AC 161, 302
- Bell v Long* [2008] EWHC 1273, [2008] 2 BCLC 706, 331
- Bell Group Ltd v Westpac Banking Corp (No 9)* [2008] WASC 239 335
- Belvoir Finance Co Ltd v Stapleton* [1971] 1 QB 210 211
- Bennett v Alcock* (1787) 2 TR 166, 100 ER 90, 95
- Berman v Riverside Casino Corp*, 323 F (2d) 977 (9th Cir, 1963) 188
- Beswick v Beswick* [1968] AC 58, 255

- Bethlehem Engineering Export Co v Christie*, 105 F (2d) 933 (1939) 387
Bexley London Borough Council v Maison Maurice Ltd [2006] EWHC 3192, 424
BICC Plc v Burndy Corp [1985] Ch 232 250, 252, 254, 259, 266
Bilbie v Lumley (1802) 2 East 469, 102 ER 448, 180, 181, 193
Bird v Fort Frances [1949] 2 DLR 791 228
Bishopsgate Investment Management Ltd v Homan [1994] EWCA Civ 33, [1995] Ch 211, 225
Bize v Dickason (1786) 1 TR 285, 99 ER 1097, 179
Black v Garnock (2007) 230 CLR 438 205
Black v S Freedman & Co (1910) 12 CLR 105 223, 225, 228, 239, 240, 242
Black Clawson v Papierwerke [1975] AC 591, 192
Blacklocks v JB Developments (Godalming) Ltd [1982] Ch 183, 226
Blue Haven Enterprises Ltd v Tully [2006] UKPC 17, 273
Boardman v Phipps [1967] 2 AC 46 281, 338, 396
Boardwalk Regency Corp v Maalouf (1992) 88 DLR (4th) 612 187
Bofinger v Kingsway Group Ltd [2009] HCA 44 6, 85, 299
Bogdanovic v Koteff (1988) 12 NSWLR 472 241
Bo-Lassen v Josiassen [1973] 4 WWR 317 195
Bond Worth Ltd, Re [1980] 1 Ch 228 258, 267
Borden (UK) Ltd v Scottish Timber Products Ltd [1981] Ch 25 258, 267
Bosanquett v Dashwood (1734) Cas T Talbot 38, 25 ER 648, 190
Boudier Cas Req 15.6.1892, S 1893.1.28 166, 172, 173
Bowlen Estate, Re [2002] 207 DLR (4th) 175 237
Bowman De Wet and Du Plessis NNO & Ors v Fidelity Bank Ltd 1997 (2) SA 35 (SCA) 155
Boyd v Mayor of Wellington [1924] NZLR 1174, 455
Bozeman v Louisiana, 879 So 2d 692 (La, 2004) 178
BP Refinery (Westernport) Pty Ltd v Shire of Hastings (1977) 180 CLR 266 307
Breakspear v Ackland [2008] EWHC 220, [2009] Ch 32, 344, 346
Breen v Williams (1996) 186 CLR 71 299, 325, 341
Breitmeir v Batke (1966) 56 WWR 678 188
Breskvar v Wall (1971) 126 CLR 376 457
Brickles v Snell [1916] 2 AC 599 250
Bridge v Campbell Discount Co Ltd [1962] AC 600, 270
Bridgeman v Green (1757) Wilm 58, 97 ER 22, 81
Bridger v Savage (1884) 15 QBD 363 188
Bridges v Mees [1957] Ch 475, 448
Bridgewater v Leahy (1998) 194 CLR 457 15, 438, 440, 442, 443, 445
Brinsmead v Harrison (1871) LR 6 CP 584 455
Bristol and West Building Society v Mothew [1998] Ch 1 207, 300, 304, 323, 324, 330, 341
British Industrial Plastics Ltd v Ferguson [1940] 1 All ER 479 316

- British Motor Trade Association v Gilbert* [1951] 2 All ER 641 400
Brodie v Singleton (2001) 206 CLR 512 35, 37
Browning v Morris (1778) 2 Cowp 790, 98 ER 1364, 189, 190
Bryant, Powis & Bryant Ltd v Quebec Bank [1893] AC 170, 335
Buckenara v Hawthorn Football Club Ltd [1988] VR 39 387, 388
Buckley v Tutty (1971) 125 CLR 353 389
Bulldogs Rugby League Club v Williams [2008] NSWSC 822 387, 388, 390
Bunny Industries Ltd v FSW Enterprises Pty Ltd [1982] Qd R 712 381, 382
Bunyan v Jordan (1937) 57 CLR 1 100
Burland v Earle [1902] AC 83 328, 337
Burr v Bloomburg, 101 NJ Eq 615, 318 A 876 (1927) 383
Burrows v Sharp (1991) 23 HLR 82 404, 407, 410, 411, 421
Butcher v Stapeley (1686) 1 Vern 363, 23 ER 524, 463
Butler v Broadhead [1975] Ch 97, 80
Butler v Rice [1910] 2 Ch 277 435
Butt v Long (1953) 88 CLR 476 389
Buxton v Lister (1746) 3 Atk 383, 26 ER 1020, 383
Cadbury Schweppes Inc v FBI Foods Ltd [1999] 1 SCR 142, 167 DLR (4th) 577, 369
Campbell v Griffin [2001] EWCA Civ 990, 421
Campbell v Hogg [1930] 3 DLR 673 372
Campbell v MGN Ltd [2004] UKHL 22, [2004] 2 AC 457, 106, 115, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123
Campbell v Walker (1800) 5 Ves 678, 31 ER 801, 338
Canadian Aero Service v O'Malley (1973) 40 DLR (3d) 371 396
Canadian Pacific Airlines Ltd v British Columbia (1989) 59 DLR (4th) 218 181
Canson Enterprises Ltd v Boughton & Co [1991] 3 SCR 534, 85 DLR (4th) 129, 13, 284, 363, 364, 366, 367, 369, 370, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376
Canson Enterprises Ltd v Boughton & Co (1995) 11 BCLR (3d) 262 366
Canson Enterprises Ltd v Boughton & Co (1998) 31 BCLR (2d) 46 364
Cape Breton Co Ltd, Re (1885) 29 Ch D 795 337
Car & Universal Finance Co Ltd v Caldwell [1963] EWCA Civ 4, [1965] 1 QB 525, 209, 244
Caratun v Caratun (1992) 96 DLR (4th) 404 229
Carlis v McCusker 1904 TS 917 158
Carrier v Bonham [2002] 1 Qd R 474 100, 101, 102
Carter v Ferguson, 12 NYS 580, 58 Hun 569 (1890) 387
Castillo v Castillo (2005) 260 DLR (4th) 439 193
Castle Phillips Finance Co Ltd v Piddington [1995] 1 FLR 783 435
Central London Property Trust Ltd v High Trees House Ltd [1947] KB 130, 260
Chambers v Miller (1862) 13 CBNS 125 206
Chan v Zacharia (1984) 154 CLR 178 395
Chang v Registrar of Titles (1976) 137 CLR 177 205

- Chapin v Powers*, 73 NYS (2d) 854 (1947) 387
Chaplin v Leslie Frewin (Publishers) Ltd [1966] Ch 71, 195
Chapman v Westerby [1913] WN 277, 389
Charge Card Services Ltd, Re [1987] Ch 150, 265
Chartbrook Ltd v Persimmon Homes Ltd [2009] UKHL 38, [2009] 3 WLR
 267, 59, 431
Chase Manhattan Bank NA v Israel-British Bank (London) Ltd [1981] Ch 105
 214, 215, 217, 218, 435
Cheese v Thomas [1994] 1 WLR 29 433
Chesterfield v Janssen (1750) 1 Atk 301, 26 ER 191, 189
Chinn v Hochstrasser [1979] Ch 447, 386
Christinson v McBride (1881) 9 R 34 187
Church of Scientology v Kaufman [1973] RPC 627, 116
Citco Banking Corporation NV v Pusser's Ltd [2007] UKPC 13, [2007] 2
 BCLC 483, 328
Citibank NA v QVT Financial LP [2007] EWCA 11, [2007] 1 All ER (Comm)
 475, 137, 146, 303
Clarke v Shee & Johnson (1774) 1 Cowp 197, 98 ER 1041, 188
Clark Paper & Manufacturing Co v Stenacher, 236 NY 312, 140 NE 708
 (1923) 388
Clifton Manufacturing, 76 F 2d 577 (4th Cir, 1935) 194
Clough Mill Ltd v Martin [1985] 1 WLR 111 238
Coalport China Co Ltd, Re [1895] 2 Ch 404 324
Cobbe v Yeoman's Row Management Ltd [2006] EWCA Civ 1139 407, 408, 424
Cobbetts LLP, Lee Crowder (a firm) v Mark Reginald Stuart Hodge [2009]
 EWHC 786, 307
Coco v AN Clark (Engineers) Ltd [1969] RPC 41 105, 113
Coco v The Queen (1994) 179 CLR 427 88
Cohen v Mirror Newspapers Ltd [1971] 1 NSWLR 623 89
Collings v Lee [2001] 2 All ER 332 208
Commercial Bank of Australia Ltd v Amadio (1983) 151 CLR 447 44
Commissioner for Inland Revenue v First National Industrial Bank 1990 (3)
 SA 641 (A) 155
Commissioner of State Revenue (Vic) v Royal Insurance Australia Ltd (1994)
 182 CLR 51 68, 71, 232
*Commonwealth of Australia v Australia and New Zealand Banking Group
 Ltd* (NSWSC, 7 October 1993) 217
Commonwealth of Australia v John Fairfax & Sons Ltd (1980) 147 CLR 39
 115, 116
*Commonwealth of Australia v Official Trustee in Bankruptcy as Trustee of
 the Property of Stephen Vasil* [2004] NSWSC 1155, 240
Commonwealth of Australia v Verwayen (1990) 170 CLR 394 405

- Conlan v Registrar of Titles* (2001) 24 WAR 299 241
Consul Developments Pty Ltd v DPC Estates Pty Ltd (1975) 132 CLR 373 81
Conway v Ratiu [2005] EWCA Civ 1302, [2006] WTLR 101, 341
Coomber, Re [1911] 1 Ch 723 298
Co-operative Insurance Society Ltd v Argyll Stores (Holdings) Ltd [1998] AC 1, 255
Cornelius v De Taranto [2001] EMLR 329, 100
Cornelius v De Taranto [2002] EMLR 112, 100
Corrs Pavey Whiting & Byrne v Collector of Customs (Vic) (1987) 14 FCR 434 116
Costello v Derbyshire Constabulary [2001] EWCA Civ 381, [2001] 1 WLR 1437, 228, 229, 233
Coull v Kolbuc (1968) 78 WWR 76 195
Cowan de Groot Properties Ltd v Eagle Trust Plc [1992] 4 All ER 700 312
Cowin, Re (1886) 33 Ch D 179 351
Coxhead v Newmans Tours Ltd (1994) 6 TCLR 1 438
Crabb v Arun District Council [1976] Ch 179 403, 404, 407, 408, 409, 411, 422
Creak v James Moore & Sons Pty Ltd (1912) 15 CLR 426 244
Cream Holdings Ltd v Banerjee [2005] 1 AC 103 117
Cressman v Coys of Kensington (Sales) Ltd [2004] EWCA Civ 47, [2004] 1 WLR 2775, 69
Criterion Properties Plc v Stratford UK Properties LLC [2004] UKHL 28, [2004] 1 WLR 1846, 336
Cuckmere Brick Co Ltd v Mutual Finance Ltd [1971] 1 Ch 949 331
Cud v Rutter (1719) 1 P Wms 569, 24 ER 521, 386
Cundy v Lindsay (1878) 3 App Cas 459 211, 226
Curro v Beyond Productions Pty Ltd (1993) 30 NSWLR 337 387, 388, 389
Curtice Bros v Catts, 72 NJ Eq 831, 66 A 935 (1907) 384
Dagenham (Thames) Dock Co, ex p Hulse, Re (1873) 8 Ch App 1022 250, 251, 254, 266
Daly v Smith (1874) 49 How Pr 150 387
Daly v Sydney Stock Exchange Ltd (1986) 160 CLR 371 218
Damberg v Damberg (2002) 52 NSWLR 492 38, 311
Dan v Barclays Australia Ltd (1983) 46 ALR 437 428
Dartnall, Sawyer v Goddard, Re [1895] 1 Ch 474 351
David Securities Pty Ltd v Commonwealth Bank of Australia (1992) 175 CLR 353 23, 37, 67, 181, 206
Davis v Foreman [1894] 3 Ch 654 388
Davis v Hewitt (1885) 9 OR 435 188
DB Trustees (Hong Kong) Ltd v Consult Asia Pte Ltd [2009] SGHC 62, 137
Denley's Trust Deed, Re [1969] 1 Ch 373 144
DeJardin v Roy (1910) 12 WLR 704 187

- De Molestina v Ponton* [2002] 1 All ER (Comm) 587 435, 440
DHL International (NZ) Ltd v Richmond Ltd [1993] 3 NZLR 10 341
Dies v British International Mining and Finance Corporation Ltd [1939] 1 KB 724 264
Diggle v Higgs (1877) 2 Ex D 422 188
Digital Pulse Pty Ltd v Harris (2002) 166 FLR 421 31
Director of Public Prosecutions for the Northern Territory v WJI (2004) 219 CLR 43 26, 27
Dollars & Sense Finance Ltd v Nathan [2007] NZCA 177, 226
Domowicz v Orsa Investments Ltd (1993) 15 OR (3d) 661 371
Don Lodge Motel Ltd v Invercargill Licensing Trust [1970] NZLR 1105, 442
Donaldson v Smith [2006] EWHC 1290, 334
Donis v Donis (2007) 19 VR 577 407, 419, 421
Donoghue v Stevenson [1932] AC 562 97, 160, 164
Dougan v Ley (1946) 71 CLR 142 381, 383, 385, 386
Douglas v Hello! Ltd [2001] QB 967 98, 120
Douglas v Hello! Ltd (No 3) [2005] EWCA Civ 595, [2006] QB 125, 103, 115, 120, 123
Dover v Buck (1865) 5 Giff 57, 66 ER 921, 337, 338
Downsview Nominees Ltd v First City Corporation Ltd [1993] AC 295, 328
Duchess of Argyll v Duke of Argyll [1967] Ch 302 105, 118, 123
Duff v Russell, 14 NYS 134, 60 J & S 80 (1891) 387
Dufour v Ackland (1830) 9 LJ 3 188
Dunbar v Plant [1997] EWCA Civ 2167, [1998] Ch 412, 237
Dunbar Bank Plc v Nadeem [1998] 3 All ER 876 433
Duncuft v Albrecht (1841) 12 Sim 189, 59 ER 1104, 386
Dundee General Hospitals Board of Management v Walker [1952] 1 All ER 896 334
Dunlop Pneumatic Tyre Co Ltd v New Garage and Motor Co Ltd [1915] AC 79, 270
Dunn v Flood (1885) 28 Ch D 586 334
Durham Holdings Pty Ltd v New South Wales (2001) 205 CLR 399 460
Durham Tees Valley Airport Ltd v BMI Baby Ltd [2009] EWHC 852, 301
Eastern Air Lines v Gulf Oil Corporation, 415 F Supp 429 (1975) 384
Eastern Rolling Mill v Michlovitz, 157 Md 51, 145 A 378 (1929) 383
Edelstein v Edelstein 1952 (3) SA 1 (A) 158
Ehrman v Bartholomew [1898] 1 Ch 671 388, 389
El Ajou v Dollar Land Holdings Plc [1993] 3 All ER 717 239
El Ajou v Dollar Land Holdings Plc [1994] 2 All ER 685 239
Emcorp Pty Ltd v Australian Broadcasting Corporation [1988] 2 Qd R 169 94
Emerald Construction Co Ltd v Lowthian [1966] 1 WLR 691 316
Emhill Pty Ltd & Anor v Bonsoc Pty Ltd (No 2) [2007] VSCA 108 444

- Engels v Merit Insurance Brokers Ltd* (2000) 17 CBR (4th) 209 198
Esso Australia Resources Ltd v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (1999) 201 CLR 49 47
Esso Petroleum Co Ltd v Harper's Garage (Stourport) Ltd [1968] AC 269, 389
Ettingshausen v Australian Consolidated Press Ltd (1991) 23 NSWLR 443 89, 90
Ettingshausen v Australian Consolidated Press Ltd [1993] NSWCA 10 89, 90
Evans v European Bank Ltd (2004) 61 NSWLR 75 239, 240
Evening News Association v Peterson, 477 F Supp 77 (1979) 387
Experience Hendrix LLC v PPX Enterprises Inc [2003] EWCA Civ 323 394, 395, 399
Export Credits Guarantee Department v Universal Oil Products Co [1983] 1 WLR 399 270
Extrasure Travel Insurances Ltd v Scattergood [2002] EWHC 3093, [2003] 1 BCLC 598, 324, 325
Fairweather v St Marylebone Property Co Ltd [1963] 1 AC 510 233
Falcke v Gray (1859) 4 Drew 651, 62 ER 250, 383
Falcke v Scottish Imperial Insurance Company (1886) 34 Ch D 234 34
Fannon v Dobranski (1970) 73 WWR 371 195
Farah Constructions Pty Ltd v Say-Dee Pty Ltd [2004] NSWSC 800, 77
Farah Constructions Pty Ltd v Say-Dee Pty Ltd (2007) 230 CLR 89 5, 6, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 33, 34, 36, 37, 48, 77, 78, 79, 84, 317, 449, 450, 451, 454, 455, 466
Farmer v Arundel (1772) 2 Bl W 824, 96 ER 485, 179
Farquharson Brothers & Co v C King & Co [1902] AC 325, 227
Fassihi v Item Software (UK) Ltd [2004] EWCA Civ 1244, [2004] BCC 994, 302
Fletcher v Fletcher (1844) 4 Hare 67 129
Flight v Bolland (1828) 4 Russ 298, 38 ER 817, 195
Flynn v Mackin [1974] IR 101, 203
Foley v Hill (1848) 2 HLC 28, 9 ER 1002, 225
Forbes v NSW Trotting Club Ltd (1979) 143 CLR 242 389
Foreman v Chambers [2007] 284 DLR (4th) 210 236
Foreman v Kingstone [2004] 1 NZLR 841 346, 349, 353, 355, 356, 358
Forge v Australian Securities and Investments Commission (2006) 228 CLR 45 30
Foskett v McKeown [2000] UKHL 29, [2001] 1 AC 102, 70, 225
Fothergill v Rowland (1873) LR 17 Eq 132 383
Francis v Municipal Councillors of Kuala Lumpur [1962] 3 All ER 633 386, 387
Francome v Mirror Group Ltd [1984] 2 All ER 408 113, 114
Franklins Pty Ltd v Metcash Trading Ltd [2009] NSWCA 407, 60
Frazer v Walker [1967] 1 AC 569 453, 461, 462, 467
Friend v Brooker [2009] HCA 21, 299
Fuller v Happy Shopper Markets Ltd [2001] 1 WLR 1681 76

- Fyffes Group v Templeman* [2000] 2 Lloyds Rep 643 317
Gagné v Duval (1946) 28 CBR 43 198
Gala v Preston (1991) 172 CLR 243 35
Galambos v Perez [2009] SCC 48, 12
Garcia v National Australia Bank (1998) 194 CLR 395 76, 452, 460
Garland v Consumers' Gas Co (2001) 208 DLR (4th) 494 183, 190
Garland v Consumers' Gas Co (No 2) (2004) 237 DLR (4th) 385 182, 183, 190, 191, 193
Gartside v Outram (1856) 26 LJ Ch 378 116
GEC Marconi Systems Pty Ltd v BHP Information Technology Pty Ltd (2000) 128 FCR 1 55 60
General Securities Corporation v Welton, 223 Ala 299, 135 So 329 (1931) 386
Georges v Wieland [2009] NSWSC 733, 386
Geraghty v Minter (1979) 142 CLR 177 389
Gett v Tabet [2009] NSWCA 76, 20
Giller v Procopets [2008] VSCA 236 xiii, 86, 100, 101, 103, 104, 123
Gillett v Holt [2001] 1 Ch 210 404, 415, 422
Gisborne v Gisborne (1877) 2 App Cas 300 324
Giumelli v Giumelli (1999) 196 CLR 101 405, 419
Glandon Pty Ltd v Strata Consolidated Pty Ltd (1993) 11 ACSR 543 341
Global Container Lines Ltd v Bonyad Shipping Co [1998] 1 Lloyd's Rep 528 341
Golden Strait Corporation v Nippon Yusen Kubishka Kaisha [2007] 2 AC 353 368
Goldsmith v Rodger [1962] 2 Lloyd's Rep 249 213
Gonthier v Orange Contract Scaffolding Ltd [2003] EWCA Civ 873 420, 421
Goods and Services Tax (Alta), Reference re (1992) 94 DLR (4th) 51 183
Goss v Chilcott [1996] AC 788, 264
Governor, Goulburn Correctional Centre, ex p Eastman, Re (1999) 200 CLR 322 47
Graham v Freer (1980) 35 SASR 424 213
Graham v Pollock (1848) 10 D 646 187
Gray v McCormick, 663 So 2d 480 (La Ct App 2d Cir, 1995) 197
Greater Pacific Instruments Pty Ltd (in liq) v Australian National Industries Ltd (1996) 39 NSWLR 143 219
Greig v Greig [1966] VR 376, 89
Griffiths, Re [2008] EWHC 118, [2008] 2 All ER 654, 80
Grimston v Cuningham (1893) 1 QB 125 387, 388
Grosse v Purvis [2003] QDC 151 87, 99
Grundt v Great Boulder Proprietary Gold Mines Ltd (1937) 59 CLR 641 28
Grupo Torras SA v Al Sabah [2001] CLC 221, 309
Guerin v R [1984] 2 SCR 335, 13 DLR (4th) 321, 13, 366, 367, 373, 374, 375
Guinness Plc v Saunders [1990] 2 AC 663 337, 338

- Ha v New South Wales* (1997) 189 CLR 465 29
Halliday Estate v Kennedy (1997) 50 CBR (3d) 281 198
Halpern v Halpern (Nos 1 and 2) [2007] EWCA Civ 291, [2008] 1 QB 195, 433
Hambro v Burnand [1904] 2 KB 10 335
Hancock Family Memorial Foundation v Porteous (2000) 22 WAR 198 219
Harris v Digital Pulse Pty Ltd (2003) 56 NSWLR 298 5, 28, 31, 32, 299
Hartigan v International Society for Krishna Consciousness Inc [2002] NSWSC 810 219, 220
Hartigan Nominees Pty Ltd v Rydge (1992) 29 NSWLR 405 346, 355, 356
Harvard Securities, Re [1998] BCC 567, 129
Hawkesley v May [1957] 1 QB 304 347, 348, 349
Hawkins v Clayton (1987–88) CLR 539, 347
Hawthorn Football Club Ltd v Harding [1988] VR 49 387, 388
Hayim v Citibank NA [1987] AC 730, 304
Hedley Byrne & Co Ltd v Heller and Partners [1964] AC 465 302, 312
Heggies Bulkhaul Ltd v Global Minerals Pty Ltd [2003] NSWSC 851, 424
Heine Bros (Aust) Pty Ltd v Forrest [1963] VR 383 388, 389
Heinl v Jyske Bank [1999] Lloyd's Rep Bank 511, 335
Helmet Integrated Systems v Tunnard [2007] FSR 16, 307
Hely-Hutchinson v Brayhead Ltd [1968] 1 QB 549 335, 336, 337
Heperu Pty Ltd v Belle [2009] NSWCA 252 10, 241, 243
Hermann v Charlesworth [1905] 2 KB 123 197
HIH Casualty and General Insurance Ltd v Chase Manhattan Bank [2003] 1 All ER (Comm) 349 305
Hill v Van Erp (1997) 188 CLR 159 25, 35
Hill v William Hill (Park Lane) Ltd [1949] AC 530 (HL) 188
Hillsdown Plc v Pensions Ombudsman [1997] 1 All ER 862 334
Hilton v Barker Booth and Eastwood (a firm) [2002] EWCA Civ 723 301, 302
Hilton v Barker Booth and Eastwood (a firm) [2005] UKHL 8, [2005] 1 WLR 567, 277, 300, 301, 302
Hogan v Tumut Shire Council (1954) 54 SR (NSW) 284 386, 387
Hogg v Cramphorn Ltd [1967] Ch 254, 335
Holder v Holder [1968] Ch 353, 334
Holliday v Lockwood [1917] 2 Ch 47 440, 442
Holroyd v Marshall (1862) 10 HLC 191, 11 ER 999, 382
Holt v Markham [1905] 1 KB 505 180
Hopkins v TL Dallas Group Ltd [2004] EWHC 1379, [2005] 1 BCLC 543, 334, 336
Horseshoe Club Operating Co (cob The Horseshoe Club) v Bath [1998] 3 WWR 128 (BCSC) 187
Hosegood v Pedler (1896) 66 LJ QB 18 334
Hosking v Runting [2005] 1 NZLR 1 99

- Hospital Products Ltd v United States Surgical Corporation* (1984) 156 CLR
 41 227, 282, 295, 296, 301, 341, 396
- Howard Perry & Co v British Railways* [1980] 1 WLR 1375 384
- Howe v Smith* (1884) 27 ChD 89 267
- Hubbard v City of Hickman*, 67 Ky 204 (1868) 194
- Hubbard v Vosper* [1972] 2 QB 84 117
- Huber v Steiner* (1835) 2 Bing NC 202, 132 ER 80, 192
- Hughes v Western Australian Cricket Association (Inc)* (1986) 69 CLR 660 389
- Huish v Ellis* [1995] BCC 462, 328
- Hunter v Canary Wharf Ltd* [1997] AC 655, 93
- Hunter v Moss* [1994] 1 WLR 452 129
- Hunter v Senate Support Services Ltd* [2004] EWHC 1085, [2005] 1 BCLC
 175, 338
- Hunter BNZ Finance Ltd v CG Maloney Pty Ltd* (1989) 18 NSWLR 420 207
- HW Gossard Co v Crosby*, 132 Iowa 155, 109 NW 483 (1906) 386, 388
- Hyer v Richmond Traction Co*, 168 US 471 (1897) 386
- Hypac Electronics Pty Ltd v Mead* [2003] NSWSC 934, 424
- Hypac Electronics Pty Ltd v Mead* [2004] NSWCA 221, 424
- Hyundai Heavy Industries Ltd v Papadopoulos* [1980] 1 WLR 1129 264
- Ilich v R* (1987) 162 CLR 110 206, 218
- Imperial Group Pension Trust Ltd v Imperial Tobacco Ltd* [1991] 1 WLR 589 341
- Ingram v IRC* [1997] 4 All ER 395 337, 338
- Ingram v IRC* [2000] 1 AC 293 338
- IRC v Schroder* [1983] STC 480, 346
- Irwin v Hunnewell*, 21 So 2d 485, 488 (La, 1945) 198
- Item Software (UK) Ltd v Fassihi* [2004] EWCA Civ 1244, [2005] 2 BCLC 91,
 323, 325, 326, 327, 330
- Jakeman v Cook* (1878) 4 Ex D 26 198
- James, Ex p* (1803) 8 Ves 337, 32 ER 385, 334
- Jane Doe v Australian Broadcasting Corporation* [2007] VCC 281 87, 99, 107
- JA Pye (Oxford) Ltd v Graham* [2002] UKHL 30, [2003] 1 AC 419, 235
- Jaques v Stafford* (1890) 11 NSWLR 127 43
- JC Williamson Ltd v Lukey and Mulholland* (1931) 45 CLR 282 386
- Jennings v Rice* [2002] EWCA Civ 159, [2003] 1 FCR 501, 406, 407, 409, 412,
 413, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 425
- JJ Harrison (Properties) Ltd v Harrison* [2001] EWCA Civ 1467, [2002] 1
 BCLC 162, 336
- J Leslie Engineers Ltd, Re* [1976] 1 WLR 292 80
- Jobson v Johnson* [1969] 1 WLR 1026 270, 272
- John Cort v Lassard & Lucifer*, 18 Ore 221, 22 P 1054 (1889) 387
- Johnson v EBS Pensioner Trustees Ltd* [2002] EWCA Civ 164, [2002] Lloyd's
 Rep PN 309, 300, 436
- Johnson Matthey (Aust) Pty Ltd v Dascorp Pty Ltd* [2003] VSC 291, 227

- Johnston v Australian Broadcasting Corporation* (1993) 113 FLR 307 89
Jones v Shipping Federation of British Columbia (1963) 37 DLR (2d) 273 355
J Willis & Sons v Willis [1986] 1 EGLR 62 420, 421
Kane v Radley-Kane [1999] Ch 274, 337
Kasumu v Baba-Egbe [1956] 1 AC 539 189
Kaye v Robertson [1991] FSR 62 87, 88, 114
Keech v Sandford (1726) Sel Cas King 61, 25 ER 223, 396
Keith v Kellermann, 169 F 196 (1909) 387
Kelly v Cooper [1993] AC 205 277, 301, 302
Kelly v Solari (1841) 11 LJ Ex 10, 152 ER 24, 75, 158
Kelly Asphalt Block Co v Brooklyn Alcatraz Asphalt Co, 133 NE 899 (1922) 194
Kennedy v Panama New Zealand and Australian Royal Mail Co (1867) LR 2
 QB 580 212
Kern Corporation Ltd v Walter Reid Trading Pty Ltd (1987) 163 CLR 164 205
Khorasandjian v Bush [1993] QB 727 93, 107
Kilmer v British Columbia Orchard Lands Ltd [1913] AC 319 250, 251, 254,
 259, 266
Kilroy v A OK Payday Loans Inc (2007) 278 DLR (4th) 193 191
KLB v British Columbia [2003] 2 SCR 403 288
Kleinwort Benson Ltd v Birmingham City Council [1997] QB 380, 232
Kleinwort Benson Ltd v Lincoln City Council [1999] 2 AC 349 76, 181
Kleinwort Benson Ltd v South Tyneside Metropolitan Borough Council [1994]
 4 All ER 972 76
Kolari, Re (1981) 36 OR (2d) 473 239
Koompahtoo Local Aboriginal Land Council v Sanpine Pty Ltd (2007) 233
 CLR 115 65
*Koorootang Nominees Pty Ltd v Australia and New Zealand Banking Group
 Ltd* [1998] 3 VR 16 78, 449
Kramer v McMahon [1970] 1 NSW 194 213
Kuwait Oil Tanker SAK v Al Bader [2000] 2 All ER (Comm) 271 309
Kyrris v Oldham [2003] EWCA Civ 1506, [2004] 1 BCLC 305, 341
Laclede Gas Co v Amoco Oil Co, 845 F 2d 76 (4th Cir, 1975) 383
LAC Minerals Ltd v International Corona Resources Ltd [1989] 2 SCR 574, 61
 DLR (4th) 14, 236, 396
Lady Hood of Avalon v Mackinnon [1909] 1 Ch 476 183
Lake v Bayliss [1974] 1 WLR 1073 381, 382, 383
Lands Allotment Co, Re [1894] 1 Ch 616 335
Lange v Australian Broadcasting Corporation (1997) 189 CLR 520 30
Larner v London County Council [1949] 2 KB 683 196
Latec Investments Ltd v Hotel Terrigal Pty Ltd (1965) 113 CLR 265 216
Laurin v DeCarolis Construction Co, 363 NE 2d 675 (Mass 1977) 382
Leaf v International Galleries [1950] 2 KB 86 212
Leason Pty Ltd v Princes Farm Pty Ltd [1983] 2 NSWLR 381 212

- Legator McKenna Inc & Anor v Shea & Ors* [2009] 2 All SA 45 (SCA) 155, 156
Legione v Hateley (1983) 152 CLR 406 44, 250, 251, 254, 256, 259, 260, 266
Lemos v Coutts & Co (Cayman) Ltd [1992–93] CILR 460, 351
Leroux v Brown (1852) 12 CB 801, 138 ER 1119, 192
Lewis, Re [1904] 2 Ch 656 347, 348
LHK Nominees Pty Ltd v Kenworthy (2002) 26 WAR 517 449
Lincoln Hunt Australia Pty Ltd v Willesee (1986) 4 NSWLR 457 94
Lindner v Murdock's Garage (1950) 83 CLR 628 389
Lipkin Gorman (a firm) v Karpnale Ltd [1991] 2 AC 548 70, 79, 81, 188
Lipohar v The Queen (1999) 200 CLR 485 20, 46, 49
Lister & Co v Stubbs (1890) 45 Ch D 1 236
Lloyd's v Harper (1880) 16 Ch D 290 129
Logue v Shoalhaven Shire Council [1979] 1 NSWLR 537 465
London Assurance v Mansel (1879) 11 Ch D 363 329
Londonderry's Settlement, Re [1965] Ch 918 344, 346, 356
Long v Lloyd [1958] 1 WLR 753 212
Longstaff v Birtles [2001] EWCA Civ 1219, [2002] 1 WLR 470, 308
Lord Ashburton v Pape [1913] 2 Ch 469 115
Louth v Diprose (1992) 175 CLR 621 219, 221
Lowe v Waller (1781) 2 Doug 736, 99 ER 470, 189
Lowry v Bourdieu (1780) 2 Doug 468, 99 ER 299, 190
Lumbers v W Cook Builders Pty Ltd (2008) 232 CLR 635 6, 20, 24, 34, 35, 37, 38, 82, 83, 84, 85
Lumley v Wagner (1852) 1 De GM & G 604, 42 ER 687, 387, 388, 389
Lyman v Kuzik (1965) 57 WWR 110 187
Lysaght v Edwards (1876) 2 ChD 499 204, 213, 382
MacDonald Estate v Martin [1990] 3 SCR 1235 288, 289
MacKenzie v Royal Bank of Canada [1934] AC 468, 441
Macquarie Bank Ltd v Sixty-Fourth Throne Pty Ltd [1998] 3 VR 133 226, 449, 450, 460
Maddison v Alderson (1883) 8 App Cas 467 464
Magill v Magill (2006) 226 CLR 551 101
Maguire v Makaronis (1997) 188 CLR 449 338, 436
Malone v Metropolitan Police Commissioner [1979] Ch 344 113, 114
Malone v United Kingdom (1985) 7 EHRR 14 114
Malory Enterprises Ltd v Cheshire Homes (UK) Ltd [2002] EWCA Civ 151, [2002] Ch 216, 208, 226
Manisty's Settlement, Re [1974] Ch 17 304, 352
Marco Productions Ltd v Pagola [1945] 1 KB 111 387, 388
Marcq v Christie, Manson & Woods Ltd [2003] EWCA Civ 731, [2004] QB 286, 235
Marriott v Hampton (1797) 7 TR 269 158
Martin v Perrie (1986) 24 DLR (4th) 1 193

- Martin v Pont* [1993] 3 NZLR 25 75
Marvin v Wallace (1856) E & B 726, 119 ER 1035, 466
Mayo v Cantrade [1998] JLR 173, 311
Mayson v Clouet [1924] AC 980, 264
McCulloch v Fern [2001] NSWSC 406, 219
McDonald v Dennys Lascelles Ltd (1933) 48 CLR 457 264, 265
McDonald v Ellis [2007] NSWSC 1068 344, 346, 347, 348, 349, 351
McDonald v North Queensland Newspaper Co Ltd [1997] 1 Qd R 62 90
McGinty v Western Australia (1996) 186 CLR 140 20, 21, 22, 29, 38
McGrath v Campbell (2006) NSW ConvR 56 159 462
McKeand v Thomas [2006] NSWSC 1028 [2006] NSWSC 1356, 424
McKennitt v Ash [2006] EWCA Civ 778, [2008] QB 73, 103, 123, 124
McNeil v Fultz (1906) 38 SCR 198 374
McPhail v Doulton [1971] AC 424, 346
Medforth v Blake [2000] Ch 86 324, 328
Mediana, The [1900] AC 113, 234
Mediterranean Salvage & Towage v Seamar Trading & Commerce 'The Reborn' [2009] EWCA Civ 531, 301
Meinhard v Salmon, 164 NE 545 (NY, 1928) 276
Merchants Express Co v Morton (1868) 15 Gr 274 238
Mestaer v Gillespie (1805) 11 Ves 621, 32 ER 1230, 463
Metallgesellschaft Ltd and Hoechst AG v Commissioners of Inland Revenue (Joined Cases C-397/98 and C-410/98) [2000] ECR I-1727 68
Metropolitan Bank v Heiron (1880) 5 Ex D 319 236
Millar v Bassey [1994] EMLR 44, 316
Ministry of Defence v Simpson [1951] AC 251, 80
Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing (Australia) Pty Ltd v Richards [1963] NSW 1613, 389
M(K) v M(H) [1992] 3 SCR 6 287, 288
M(K) v M(H) (1993) 96 DLR (4th) 289 191
Montague v Flockton (1873) 16 LR Eq 189 387
Moorgate Mercantile Co Ltd v Twitchings [1977] AC 890, 227
Moorgate Tobacco Co Ltd v Philip Morris Ltd (No 2) (1984) 156 CLR 414 105
Moreno v Hanford Sentinel Inc, 172 Cal App 4th 1125 (2009) 124
Morgan v Ashcroft [1938] 1 KB 49 182
Morgan Grenfell & Co Ltd v Welwyn Hatfield District Council [1995] 1 All ER 1 186
Morice v Bishop of Durham (1804) 9 Ves 399, 32 ER 656, 133, 346
Morice v Bishop of Durham (1805) 10 Ves 522, 32 ER 947, 346
Mortimer v Beckett (1920) 1 Ch 571 388
Moses v Macferlan (1760) 2 Burr 1005, 97 ER 676, 73, 179, 186, 189, 191, 193
Mosley v News Group Newspapers Ltd [2008] EWHC 1777 123, 124
Moynes v Cooper [1956] 1 QB 439 207, 215

- Muller, Re* [1953] NZLR 879, 238
Munt v Beasley [2006] EWCA Civ 370, 422
Munt v Stokes (1792) 4 TR 561, 100 ER 1176, 180, 184
Murphy v Burrows [2004] EWHC 1900, 415
Murphy's Settlement, Re [1999] 1 WLR 282 352
Murray v Big Pictures (UK) Ltd [2008] EWCA Civ 446 106, 123
Murray v Schreuder [2009] WASC 51, 347
Muschinski v Dodds (1985) 160 CLR 583 220
Muse v St Paul Fire & Marine Insurance Co, 328 So 2d 698 (La Ct App 1st Cir, 1976) 198
Mussen v Van Diemen's Land Co [1938] Ch 253 250, 267, 268
Mutch v Sleeman (1928) 29 SR (NSW) 125 89
Myddleton v Lord Kenyon (1794) 2 Ves 391, 30 ER 689, 442
Natal Bank v Roorda 1903 TH 298 158
National Commercial Bank v Wimborne (1978) 5 BPR 11, 958, 310
Nationwide News Pty Ltd v Naidu (2007) 71 NSWLR 471 100, 101, 102
Nationwide News Pty Ltd v Willis (1992) 177 CLR 1 21
Nepean (Township) Hydro Electric Commission v Ontario Hydro (1982) 132 DLR (3d) 193 181, 189
New Solutions Financial Corp v Transport North American Express Inc (2004) 235 DLR (4th) 385 191
New South Wales v Ibbett (2006) 229 CLR 485 88, 89, 99
New South Wales v Lepore (2003) 212 CLR 511 101
Newmans Tours Ltd v Ranier Investments Ltd [1992] 2 NZLR 68 437, 444
Nguyen v Nguyen (1990) 169 CLR 245 46
Nocton v Lord Ashburton [1914] AC 932, 305
North v Great Northern Railway Company (1860) 2 Giff 64, 66 ER 28, 383
North-West Transportation Co Ltd v Beatty (1887) 12 App Cas 589 328
Northern Territory v Mengel (1995) 185 CLR 307 100
Norwich Union Fire Insurance Society Ltd v William Price Ltd [1934] AC 455, 206
Obermann v ACP Publishing Pty Ltd [2001] NSWSC 1022, 90
OBG Ltd v Allan [2007] UKHL 21, [2008] 1 AC 1, 115, 315, 316, 317
O'Brien v Sheahan [2002] FCA 1292, 424
Oddy, Re (1911) 104 LT 128 324
Oh Hiam v Tham Kong (1980) 2 BPR 9451 456, 461, 467
OJSC Oil Company Yugraneft (in liq) v Abramovich [2008] EWHC 2613 309, 311
Olympia & York Canary Wharf Ltd (No 2), Re [1993] BCC 159 256
On Demand Information Plc (in administrative receivership) v Michael Gerson (Finance) Plc [2002] UKHL 13, [2003] 1 AC 368, 250, 254, 266
Orakpo v Manson Investments Ltd [1978] AC 95, 74