

The Concept of Non-International Armed Conflict in International Humanitarian Law

Anthony Cullen advances an argument for a particular approach to the interpretation of non-international armed conflict in international humanitarian law. Part I examines the origins of the 'armed conflict' concept and its development as the lower threshold for the application of international humanitarian law. Here, the meaning of the term is traced from its use in the Hague Regulations to the present day. Part II focuses on a number of contemporary developments which have affected the scope of non-international armed conflict. The case law of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia has been especially influential, and the definition of non-international armed conflict provided by this institution is examined in detail. It is argued that this concept represents the most authoritative definition of threshold and that, despite differences in interpretation, there exist reasons to interpret an identical threshold of application in the Rome Statute.

ANTHONY CULLEN is a researcher on the joint British Red Cross and International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) project to update the collection of practice underlying the ICRC's Study on Customary International Humanitarian Law. He is also a Research Fellow at the Lauterpacht Centre for International Law and a Visiting Fellow at Wolfson College, University of Cambridge.



CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN INTERNATIONAL AND COMPARATIVE LAW

Established in 1946, this series produces high-quality scholarship in the fields of public and private international law and comparative law. Although these are distinct legal sub-disciplines, developments since 1946 confirm their interrelation.

Comparative law is increasingly used as a tool in the making of law at national, regional and international levels. Private international law is now often affected by international conventions, and the issues faced by classical conflicts rules are frequently dealt with by substantive harmonisation of law under international auspices. Mixed international arbitrations, especially those involving state economic activity, raise mixed questions of public and private international law, while in many fields (such as the protection of human rights and democratic standards, investment guarantees and international criminal law) international and national systems interact. National constitutional arrangements relating to 'foreign affairs', and to the implementation of international norms, are a focus of attention.

The Board welcomes works of a theoretical or interdisciplinary character, and those focusing on the new approaches to international or comparative law or conflicts of law. Studies of particular institutions or problems are equally welcome, as are translations of the best work published in other languages.

> General Editors James Crawford SC FBA Whewell Professor of International Law, Faculty of Law. and Director, Lauterpacht Research Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge John S. Bell FBA Professor of Law, Faculty of Law, University of Cambridge

Editorial Board

Professor Hilary Charlesworth Australian National

University

Professor Lori Damrosch Columbia University Law

Professor John Dugard Universiteit Leiden

Professor Mary-Ann Glendon Harvard Law School Professor Christopher Greenwood London School of

Professor David Johnston University of Edinburgh Professor Hein Kötz Max-Planck-Institut, Hamburg Professor Donald McRae University of Ottawa Professor Onuma Yasuaki University of Tokyo Professor Reinhard Zimmermann Universität

Regensburg

Professor D.W. Bowett, OC Advisory Committee

Judge Rosalyn Higgins, OC Professor J.A. Jolowicz, QC

Professor Sir Elihu Lauterpacht CBE, QC

Judge Stephen Schwebel

A list of books in the series can be found at the end of this volume.



The Concept of Non-International Armed Conflict in International Humanitarian Law

Anthony Cullen





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521760485

© Anthony Cullen 2010.

The opinions in this work are those of the author alone and do not necessarily correspond to those of the British Red Cross Society or the International Committee of the Red Cross.

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2010

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-76048-5 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

Acknowledgements

Ab	breviati	ions		X
In	troduct	tion		1
	confl	ict	Origins of the non-international armed concept and its development in ional humanitarian law	5
1	The a	ppli	cation of international humanitarian norms to	
	internal conflict prior to the Geneva Conventions of 1949			7
	1.1	Γhe	practice of recognition and the application of	
	ŀ	nun	nanitarian norms in traditional international law	7
	1.2	Γhe	non-application of the laws of war to situations of	
	_		ellion	8
			concept of insurgency	10
			recognition of belligerency and the application of	
	i	nte	rnational humanitarian norms in civil war	14
		4.1	The practice of belligerent recognition	14
			The legal personality of belligerents in civil war	18
			Conditions determining belligerent recognition	19
			Problems in the interpretation of belligerency	20
	1.4	4.5	The substitution of 'armed conflict' for 'war'	21
	1.4	4.6	8 3	
			international law	22
	1.5	Con	cluding observations	23

v

page ix



	CONTENTS
V1	

2	Article 3 common to the four Geneva Conventions of 1949 and the threshold of non-international armed conflict in				
	international humanitarian law				
		e drafting history of common Article 3	25 27		
		Interpretation of 'armed conflict not of an	27		
	2.1.1	international character' at the Geneva			
		Conference of 1949	29		
	2.1.2		2)		
	2.1.2	Assembly of the Diplomatic Conference	42		
	2.1.3	ž <u>-</u>	72		
	2.1.0	Conventions to armed conflicts not of an			
		international character	44		
	2.1.4		77		
	2.1.4	Article 3	49		
	2.2 Th	e ICRC Commentary on common Article 3	51		
		te practice relating to the applicability of	51		
		nmon Article 3	55		
		ncluding observations	59		
3	Changes in the scope of non-international armed				
		resulting from the Additional Protocols of 1977	62		
	3.1 Add	ditional Protocol I	63		
	3.1.1	8 11 J	66		
	3.1.2	The customary status of wars of national			
		liberation	81		
		The applicability of Article 1(4)	83		
	3.2 Ad	3.2 Additional Protocol II			
	3.2.1	The drafting history of Additional Protocol II	88		
	3.2.2	The threshold for the application of			
		Additional Protocol II	102		
	3.2.3	Applicability of Additional Protocol II	109		
	3.3 Co	ncluding observations	113		
	рарт т	The anatomy of non-international arms d			
		I The anatomy of non-international armed in international humanitarian law	115		
4		eshold of non-international armed conflict	117		
		lić: a formula for the characterisation of armed afflict	117		



		CONTENTS	vii
	4.1.1	Two aspects of non-international armed conflict determining the applicability of international	
		humanitarian law: the organisation of	
		insurgents and the intensity of hostilities	122
	4.1.2	Application of the <i>Tadić</i> formula for the	
		characterisation of armed conflict	133
		geographical scope of non-international armed	
		flict	140
		temporal scope of non-international armed	4.40
		flict	142
		existence of armed conflict between non-state	446
	acto		146
		question of responsible command	148
	4.5.1	1	
		conflict presuppose the existence of responsible	148
	4 5 2	command?	148
	4.5.2	The existence of responsible command as a condition for the characterisation of armed	
		conflict: Prosecutor v. Hadžihasanović	150
	4.5.3		150
	4.3.3	characterisation of non-international armed	
		conflict in international humanitarian law	155
	4.6 Com	icluding observations	157
	4.0 Con	icluding observations	157
5	The conc	ept of non-international armed conflict in the	
		atute of the International Criminal Court	159
	5.1 The question of subject-matter jurisdiction over war		
		nes in non-international armed conflicts prior	
	to t	he Rome Conference	160
	5.2 The	question of subject-matter jurisdiction over war	
	crin	nes in non-international armed conflicts at the	
	Ron	ne Conference	163
	5.2.1	Report of the Preparatory Committee on the	
		Establishment of an International Criminal	
		Court	163
	5.2.2	Views of delegations on inclusion of clauses	
		relating to non-international armed conflict	165
	5.2.3	Bridging the gap: proposals for a threshold for	
		non-international armed conflict	167



viii		CONT	ENTS	
	5.3	Inte: 5.3.1	rpreting the threshold contained in Article 8(2)(f) The meaning of the threshold intended by the	174
			Rome Conference	175
		5.3.2	Textual interpretation of Article 8(2)(f)	177
		5.3.3	The customary status of provisions relating to non-international armed conflict and the interpretation of the threshold contained in	
			Article 8(2)(f)	183
	5.4	Con	cluding observations	185
6	Con	clusic	on	186
	6.1	On t	he interpretation of non-international armed	
		conf	lict	189
	6.2	On t	he significance of the <i>Tadić</i> definition	190
	6.3	The	characterisation of non-international armed	
		conf	lict and its effect on the legal status of parties	192
Bib	liogr	aphy		194
Ind	_			212



Acknowledgements

This work would not have been completed without the help and guidance of Professor William Schabas, Director of the Irish Centre for Human Rights, National University of Ireland, Galway. For his thorough and very patient supervision, I am greatly indebted.

Thanks are due also to a number of individuals who have read and commented on parts of this study. These include Ruby Carmen, Andrew Clapham, Rose Cullen, Aoife Daly, Shane Darcy, Paul Downes, Roja Fazaeli, Michael Kearney, Donncha McDermott, Ray Murphy, Marko Divac Öberg and A. P. V. Rogers.

I am grateful to the Irish Research Council for the Humanities and Social Sciences for funding received in the form of a research scholarship.



Abbreviations

ABiH Army of Bosnia and Herzegovina
AJIL American Journal of International Law

ARK Autonomous Region of Krajina

ASU Active Service Unit

BH Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina BRITBAT UNPROFOR British Battalion

BYBIL British Yearbook of International Law

CBOZ Central Bosnia Operative Zone

ECHR European Convention on Human Rights
ECMM European Commission Monitoring Mission
EJIL European Journal of International Law

EU European Union

FRY Federal Republic of Yugoslavia [Serbia and

Montenegro]

HDZ Croatian Democratic Community; Croat Democratic

Union

HOS Croatian Defence Forces

HV Army of the Republic of Croatia

HVO Croatian Defence Council

HZHB Croatian Community of Herceg-Bosna

ICC International Criminal Court

ICCPR International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

ICJ International Court of Justice

ICRC International Committee of the Red Cross
ICTR International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
ICTY International Criminal Tribunal for the former

Yugoslavia

ILC International Law Commission
ILM International Law Materials

IRRC International Review of the Red Cross

X



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

хi

IYBHR Israel Yearbook on Human Rights JACL Journal of Armed Conflict Law JCSL Journal of Conflict & Security Law

JNA Yugoslav People's Army KLA Kosovo Liberation Army

NYUL Rev New York University Law Review SC Security Council [United Nations] SCOR Security Council Official Records SDA Muslim Party of Democratic Action

SDS Serb Democratic Party

SIS HVO Security and Information Service SUP Serbian Secretariat of Internal Affairs

TO Bosnian Territorial Defence

UN United Nations

UNCHR UN Commission on Human Rights

UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural

Organization

UNGA UN General Assembly

UNPROFOR United Nations Protection Force
UNTS United Nations Treaty Series

VJ Army of the FRY

VRS Army of Republika Srpska



Introduction

The objective of this study is twofold. First, it seeks to highlight misconceptions surrounding the concept of non-international armed conflict in international humanitarian law. Second, it advances an argument refining interpretation of the lower threshold of non-international armed conflict. Although the majority of armed conflicts that have occurred since the Second World War may be characterised as noninternational, research on the application of international humanitarian law to such situations has been sparse. Substantive scholarship in this area has been discouraged by a number of factors. The extremely complex and highly politicised nature of non-international armed conflict tends to provide an especially difficult subject area for research. Also, many scholars have argued that the distinction between internal and international armed conflict is an unhelpful, artificial one which should ultimately be dispensed with.¹ Contending that the same body of law should apply to all situations of armed conflict, irrespective of their characterisation as either internal or international, publicists have argued against continuing use of the distinction in international humanitarian law.² This has, understandably, lessened interest in research on the characterisation of armed conflict. Irrespective of one's position on the merit of the distinction, its recent codification in the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court provides for the continued classification of armed conflict as either international or non-international. Given the frequency of non-international armed conflict, and problems surrounding the application of international

¹ See, for example, Crawford, 'Unequal before the Law'.

 $^{^{2}\,}$ See Stewart, 'Towards a Single Definition', McDonald, 'Eleventh Annual Waldemar A. Solf Lecture'.



14 INTRODUCTION

humanitarian law in such situations, the lack of scholarship on the subject is regrettable.

As a starting point for the analysis of the concept of non-international armed conflict, Chapter 1 focuses on how the application of international humanitarian norms to such situations had evolved prior to the formulation of the Geneva Conventions of 1949. This chapter examines the characterisation of situations using the concepts of rebellion, insurgency and belligerency in traditional international law. As precursor to the regime established by the Geneva Conventions, recognition of belligerency required the application of the 'laws of war' to situations of internal conflict. The threshold for the recognition of belligerency was that of civil war.

Chapter 2 examines Article 3 common to the four Geneva Conventions of 1949 and its impact as a development of international humanitarian law. The drafting history of this provision is explored in depth to highlight the intended scope of the term 'armed conflict not of an international character'. As the first substantive provision of international humanitarian law specific to situations of non-international armed conflict, attention is drawn to the import of common Article 3 as a development of this body of law. The Commentary on this provision provided by the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) is also probed to investigate its consistency with the intentions of those who drafted common Article 3.

Chapter 3 looks at changes in the concept of non-international armed conflict resulting from the 1977 Protocols Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 1949. Additional Protocol I expands the scope of international armed conflict to include wars of national liberation, while Additional Protocol II creates a new category of non-international armed conflict. The *travaux préparatoires* of both instruments is examined to highlight the basis for these developments of international humanitarian law. While the distinctions introduced by the Additional Protocols are significant, it is argued that they do not affect the lower threshold for the application of international humanitarian law to situations of non-international armed conflict.

Chapter 4 examines the threshold distinguishing situations of non-international armed conflict from situations of internal disturbances and tensions in light of recent developments. The objective is to clarify the terms of its application under international humanitarian law. An argument will be advanced in support of a particular approach to the application of this threshold in the characterisation of



INTRODUCTION

15

non-international armed conflict. The definition of non-international armed conflict provided in the case law of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) will form a central tenet of this argument. The interpretation of this definition will focus on two aspects of non-international armed conflict: the intensity of hostilities and the organisation of armed groups. The temporal and geographical scope of non-international armed conflict will also be examined. The application of international humanitarian law to hostilities between non-state armed groups is furthermore discussed as a recent development affecting the scope of non-international armed conflict

Chapter 5 examines possible lines of interpretation applicable to non-international armed conflict in the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court and in doing so sets out an argument for an understanding of the threshold contained in Article 8(2)(f) as one equivalent to that of Article 3 common to the four Geneva Conventions of 1949. The drafting history of the Rome Statute is explored in this chapter and provisions relating to war crimes committed in non-international armed conflict are analysed in light of guidance on interpretation provided by the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties.

Chapter 6 concludes the study with a summary of recommendations on the interpretation of non-international armed conflict. Attention is drawn to state practice on the complementarity of international humanitarian law and international human rights law. It is argued that as the interpretation of non-international armed conflict evolves, it is important that an approach is employed which is guided by the object and purpose of international humanitarian law. This approach must proceed with a view to providing the best possible legal framework for the implementation of this body of law. If the role of international humanitarian law in situations of armed conflict is misconstrued, its utility is undermined. It is essential therefore that the concept of non-international armed conflict be interpreted in terms consistent with the object and purpose of this body of law, which concerns the protection of victims of armed conflict.

Overall, this study seeks to remedy some of the confusion that exists surrounding distinctions that are used to differentiate non-international armed conflict. It presents an approach to the interpretation of important distinctions which define the concept of the non-international armed conflict in international humanitaran



16 INTRODUCTION

law. In doing so, an attempt is made to develop a framework for the characterisation of armed conflict. It is hoped, in adopting this approach, that the analysis may prove useful in determining the applicability of international humanitarian law to situations of noninternational armed conflict.