CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-76047-8 - Preposition Placement in English: A Usage-based Approach
Thomas Hoffmann
Table of Contents
More information

Contents

List of Figures *page* x
List of Tables xiv
List of Abbreviations xvii
Acknowledgements xix

1 Introduction 1
1.1 Preposition placement: The need for corroborating evidence 1
1.2 World Englishes, usage-based linguistics and preposition placement 3
1.3 Outline 7

2 Corroborating evidence: Data and methodology 9
2.1 'What counts as evidence in Linguistics' 9
2.2 Exhibit A: Corpus data 14
2.2.1 The International Corpus of English (ICE) corpora 15
2.2.1.1 ICE-GB 15
2.2.1.2 ICE-EA 17
2.2.2 Forensic tools I: Goldvarb, R, Coll.analysis 3 and HCFA 18
2.3 Exhibit B: Introspection data 26
2.3.1 Experiment design 26
2.3.2 Methodology: Magnitude Estimation 28
2.3.3 Forensic tools II: SPSS 32

3 Case notes: Independent factors 35
3.1 Construction-specific constraints 35
3.1.1 Relative clauses 36
3.1.2 Type of displaced element 40
3.1.2.1 Beyond bound relative clauses 40
3.1.2.2 Bound relative clauses: Secondary effects 47
3.1.3 Different variable effects across clause types 51
3.1.4 Diachronic and typological evidence 56

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-76047-8 - Preposition Placement in English: A Usage-based Approach
Thomas Hoffmann
Table of Contents
More information

3.2 Type of PP 65
3.2.1 PP complements vs PP adjuncts 65
3.2.2 Types of PPs: The complement–adjunct cline 66
3.2.3 Idiosyncratic lexical effects 72
3.2.3.1 Obligatorily pied-piped prepositions 72
3.2.3.2 Obligatorily stranded prepositions 74
3.2.3.3 Antecedents which induce obligatory pied-piping 75
3.3 Level of formality 76
3.4 PPs embedded in NPs and AdjPs 84
3.5 Complexity 93
3.5.1 Gries's multivariate corpus study (2002) 94
3.5.2 Complexity-related and -specific factors 96
3.6 Second-language effects: Kenyan English 98
3.6.1 L2 language learning 99
3.6.2 General properties of L2 preposition placement 101
3.6.3 English in Kenya: Focus on preposition placement 104

4 Evidence I: Corpus results 113
4.1 Coding decisions 113
4.2 Categorical clause contexts 120
4.2.1 ICE-GB results 120
4.2.2 ICE-EA results 125
4.2.3 HCFA: ICE-GB vs ICE-EA 129
4.3 Variable clause contexts: ICE-GB vs ICE-EA 133
4.3.1 Tokens displaying no variation 133
4.3.1.1 Non-standard ICE-EA tokens 133
4.3.1.2 Accidental and systematic gaps I 134
4.3.1.3 Idiosyncratic effects: Obligatorily stranded prepositions and the effect of *to be* 137
4.3.1.4 Categorical PP types: Accidental and systematic gaps II 141
4.3.1.5 Obligatorily pied-piping PP types 146
4.3.2 Logistic regression analysis 147
4.4 Relative clauses 157
4.4.1 Non-finite relative clauses 158
4.4.2 Categorically pied-piping PPs 160
4.4.3 Logistic regression analysis 165
4.4.4 HCFA: Stranded finite relative clauses 170
4.5 Summary 172

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-76047-8 - Preposition Placement in English: A Usage-based Approach
Thomas Hoffmann
Table of Contents
More information

5 Evidence II: Experimental results 175
5.1 Preposition placement in simple relative clauses 175
5.1.1 British English 177
5.1.2 Kenyan English 183
5.2 Preposition placement in relative clauses of varying complexity 188
5.2.1 British English 192
5.2.2 Kenyan English 203
5.3 Preposition placement in interrogative clauses 212
5.3.1 British English 214
5.3.2 Kenyan English 219
5.4 Summary 224

6 Preposition placement: The case for a Construction Grammar account 226
6.1 Construction Grammar: A descriptively and explanatorily adequate linguistic theory 226
6.2 Preposition placement: The minimum complete-inheritance construction network 236
6.2.1 Variable preposition placement 241
6.2.2 English relative clauses and Construction Grammar 247
6.2.3 Categorically stranding contexts 257
6.3 Preposition placement: The enriched usage-based construction network 264

7 Conclusion: The verdict 276

Online appendix 279
References 280
Index 295

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org