

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-74523-9 - Child Language Acquisition: Contrasting Theoretical Approaches
Ben Ambridge and Elena V. M. Lieven

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Child Language Acquisition

Contrasting Theoretical Approaches

Is children's language acquisition based on innate linguistic structures or built from cognitive and communicative skills? This book summarizes the major theoretical debates in all of the core domains of child language acquisition research (phonology, word learning, inflectional morphology, syntax and binding) and includes a complete introduction to the two major contrasting theoretical approaches: generativist and constructivist. For each debate, the predictions of the competing accounts are closely and even-handedly evaluated against the empirical data. The result is an evidence-based review of the central issues in language acquisition research that will constitute a valuable resource for students, teachers, course-builders and researchers alike.

BEN AMBRIDGE is Lecturer in Psychology at the University of Liverpool. He has published numerous articles on child language acquisition with a particular focus on the acquisition of syntax and morphology, and the retreat from overgeneralization error.

ELENA V. M. LIEVEN is Professor and Senior Researcher at the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, and Director of the Max Planck Child Study Centre, University of Manchester. She is best known for her work on naturalistic corpus data, and is a former editor of the *Journal of Child Language*.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-74523-9 - Child Language Acquisition: Contrasting Theoretical Approaches

Ben Ambridge and Elena V. M. Lieven

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Child Language Acquisition

Contrasting Theoretical Approaches

Ben Ambridge

Elena V. M. Lieven



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-74523-9 - Child Language Acquisition: Contrasting Theoretical Approaches
Ben Ambridge and Elena V. M. Lieven

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town,
Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521745239

© Ben Ambridge and Elena V. M. Lieven 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Ambridge, Ben, 1977–

Child language acquisition : contrasting theoretical approaches / Ben Ambridge,
Elena V. M. Lieven.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-521-76804-7 (hardback)

1. Children – Language. 2. Language acquisition. 3. Language arts (Early
childhood) 4. Verbal ability in children. I. Lieven, Elena V. M. II. Title.

P118.A47 2011

401'.41 – dc22 2010048067

ISBN 978-0-521-76804-7 Hardback

ISBN 978-0-521-74523-9 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-74523-9 - Child Language Acquisition: Contrasting Theoretical Approaches

Ben Ambridge and Elena V. M. Lieven

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| <i>List of figures</i> | <i>page</i> vii |
| <i>List of tables</i> | x |
| <i>List of boxes</i> | xi |
| <i>List of summary tables</i> | xii |
| <i>Preface</i> | xiii |
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> | xv |
| | |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 The major theoretical approaches | 1 |
| 1.2 The domains and debates | 4 |
| 1.3 Methodologies | 6 |
| 2 Speech perception, segmentation and production | 13 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 13 |
| 2.2 Characteristics of speech | 14 |
| 2.3 Developing a phonemic inventory | 18 |
| 2.4 Segmenting the speech stream into words, phrases and clauses | 31 |
| 2.5 Speech production | 47 |
| 2.6 Speech perception, segmentation and production: conclusion | 57 |
| 3 Learning word meanings | 61 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 61 |
| 3.2 The constraints or 'principles' approach | 62 |
| 3.3 The social-pragmatic approach | 70 |
| 3.4 The associative learning approach | 83 |
| 3.5 Syntactic bootstrapping | 89 |
| 3.6 Conclusion: how do children learn the meanings of words? | 100 |
| 4 Theoretical approaches to grammar acquisition | 103 |
| 4.1 Generativist approaches | 103 |
| 4.2 Constructivist approaches | 123 |
| 4.3 Theoretical approaches to grammar acquisition: conclusion | 136 |
| 5 Inflection | 137 |
| 5.1 Introduction to inflection | 137 |

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-74523-9 - Child Language Acquisition: Contrasting Theoretical Approaches
Ben Ambridge and Elena V. M. Lieven

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

| | | |
|----|---|-----|
| vi | Contents | |
| | 5.2 Why do children fail to mark tense and agreement in obligatory contexts? | 144 |
| | 5.3 Are children less productive with morphology than adults? | 157 |
| | 5.4 Rules versus analogies in inflectional morphology (with special reference to the English past-tense debate) | 169 |
| | 5.5 Learning inflectional morphology: conclusion | 187 |
| 6 | Simple syntax | 191 |
| | 6.1 Identifying/constructing syntactic categories | 192 |
| | 6.2 Acquiring basic word order | 209 |
| | 6.3 The retreat from overgeneralization error | 242 |
| | 6.4 Simple syntax: conclusion | 265 |
| 7 | Movement and complex syntax | 269 |
| | 7.1 Non-canonical word orders (passives and questions) | 269 |
| | 7.2 Multiple-clause sentences | 289 |
| | 7.3 Movement and complex syntax: conclusion | 312 |
| 8 | Binding, quantification and control | 314 |
| | 8.1 Binding and coreference | 315 |
| | 8.2 Quantification | 335 |
| | 8.3 Control | 346 |
| | 8.4 Binding, quantification and control: conclusion | 357 |
| 9 | Related debates and conclusions | 359 |
| | 9.1 Related debates | 359 |
| | 9.2 Conclusions and future directions | 369 |
| | <i>Notes</i> | 377 |
| | <i>References</i> | 387 |
| | <i>Author index</i> | 427 |
| | <i>Subject index</i> | 432 |

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-74523-9 - Child Language Acquisition: Contrasting Theoretical Approaches

Ben Ambridge and Elena V. M. Lieven

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Figures

| | | |
|------|--|---------|
| 2.1 | A sound spectrogram of the utterance <i>There are really a lot of words to learn</i> (redrawn from Peter W. Jusczyk, <i>The Discovery of Spoken Language</i> , figure 1.1. © 1997 Massachusetts Institute of Technology, by permission of the MIT Press) | page 15 |
| 2.2 | Schematized sound spectrograms of syllables of the voiced stop consonants [b], [d] and [g] before seven different English vowels (from Jusczyk, 1997; reproduced by permission of the MIT Press) | 16 |
| 2.3 | Schematic spectrograms of the syllables [pi], [ka] and [pu] (from Jusczyk, 1997; reproduced by permission of the MIT Press) | 17 |
| 3.1 | An example trial from Naigles (1990) for a child in the <i>transitive-audio</i> condition. Stimuli from a modified replication of this study conducted by Noble, Rowland and Pine (in press; reproduced by permission of the authors) | 92 |
| 4.1 | A simple phrase-structure tree | 106 |
| 4.2 | A simple phrase-structure tree for the string <i>the boy</i> | 106 |
| 4.3 | A NOUN PHRASE can be of any length | 106 |
| 4.4 | A simple NP or VP consists of a single NOUN or VERB | 107 |
| 4.5 | The three-level structure of VP: V' contains the head (V) and its complement (an NP) | 107 |
| 4.6 | Expanding V' to house an adjunct | 108 |
| 4.7 | For every category, the head combines with any complement to form a single-bar phrase | 109 |
| 4.8 | Initial structure of a simple transitive sentence | 109 |
| 4.9 | The verb moves from V to I to receive inflection | 111 |
| 4.10 | The subject moves to Spec IP to check nominative case (e.g. <i>he/*him</i>) | 112 |
| 4.11 | Auxiliaries (e.g. <i>will</i>) are directly generated at I (<i>John will kick Sue</i>) | 113 |
| 4.12 | Verb Agreement is checked at I | 113 |

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-74523-9 - Child Language Acquisition: Contrasting Theoretical Approaches
Ben Ambridge and Elena V. M. Lieven

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| viii | List of figures | |
| 4.13 | IP is split into AGRP and TNSP | 114 |
| 4.14a | Structure of a complement clause | 115 |
| 4.14b | All ‘sentences’ are CPs | 115 |
| 4.15 | <i>Yes/no</i> questions are formed from declaratives by movement of the auxiliary | 116 |
| 4.16 | Illegal movement ‘outside’ the phrase structure tree | 116 |
| 4.17 | CP provides a landing site for subject–auxiliary inversion in questions | 117 |
| 4.18 | Sentences with a complement clause contain two nested CPs | 117 |
| 4.19 | A taxonomic hierarchy of constructions | 130 |
| 4.20 | Generativist and constructivist analysis are sometimes (apparent) notational variants | 132 |
| 5.1 | Actual (left-hand panel) and predicted (right-hand panel) rates of RI errors (‘non-finites’; e.g. <i>He go</i>), correct simple finites (e.g. <i>He goes</i>) and compound finites (e.g. <i>He is going</i>) for the English and Dutch children studied by Freudenthal <i>et al.</i> (2007: 326; reproduced by permission of the Cognitive Science Society) | 154 |
| 6.1 | Mean proportion of utterances for which children matched the experimenter’s weird word order (SOV/VSO) or – in a control condition – conventional SVO word-order (from Akhtar, 1999: 346; reproduced by permission of Cambridge University Press) | 224 |
| 7.1 | Formation of the passive sentence <i>The ball was kicked</i> under a generativist account | 271 |
| 7.2 | Unergative and unaccusative intransitive verbs | 272 |
| 7.3 | Generativist analysis of <i>wh</i> -questions | 282 |
| 7.4 | <i>Wh</i> -movement in subject (left) and object (right) relative clauses | 292 |
| 8.1 | B c-commands D, E and F; D c-commands B; E and F c-command one another | 315 |
| 8.2 | Principle A governs interpretation of <i>Goldilocks_i said that Mama Bear_j is touching herself_{*i/j}</i> | 318 |
| 8.3 | Principle A: proportion of correct responses (‘no’) to the questions ‘Is Mama Bear touching herself’ (left-hand panel) and ‘Is every bear touching herself?’ (right-hand panel) (from Chien and Wexler, 1990: 269; reproduced by permission of Taylor & Francis) | 319 |
| 8.4 | Principle B governs interpretation of <i>Mama Bear_j is touching her_{*j}</i> | 320 |
| 8.5 | Principle B. Proportion of correct responses (‘no’) to the questions ‘Is Mama Bear touching her’ (left-hand panel) and | |

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-74523-9 - Child Language Acquisition: Contrasting Theoretical Approaches
Ben Ambridge and Elena V. M. Lieven

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

| | |
|---|-----|
| List of figures | ix |
| ‘Is every bear touching her?’ (right-hand panel) (from Chien and Wexler, 1990: 273; reproduced by permission of Taylor & Francis) | 321 |
| 8.6 Principle C rules out the sentence interpretation <i>He_{*i} saw a snake next to John_i</i> | 323 |
| 8.7 Nested reference point-dominion configurations within the clause | 327 |
| 8.8 Nested reference point-dominion configurations across clauses | 328 |
| 8.9 Generativist analysis of Universal and Existential wide scope. The NP that is highest in the tree has scope over the IP that it c-commands | 336 |
| 8.10 Children incorrectly rejected <i>Every farmer is feeding a donkey</i> on 75 per cent of trials, but <i>Every farmer is a donkey-feeder</i> on only 50 per cent of trials (from Crain <i>et al.</i> , 1996: 84; reproduced by permission of Taylor & Francis) | 339 |
| 8.11 Children accept <i>A man is feeding every donkey</i> as a description of this picture (from Crain <i>et al.</i> , 1996: 105; reproduced by permission of Taylor & Francis) | 340 |
| 8.12 <i>Every man is washing a bear / There is a man washing every bear</i> (from Brooks and Sekerina, 2006: 183; reproduced by permission of Taylor & Francis) | 343 |
| 8.13 Which picture shows <i>Every alligator is in a bathtub?</i> Only half of the adults studied correctly selected the left-hand picture (from Brooks and Sekerina, 2006: 192; reproduced by permission of Taylor & Francis) | 343 |

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-74523-9 - Child Language Acquisition: Contrasting Theoretical Approaches

Ben Ambridge and Elena V. M. Lieven

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Tables

| | |
|---|---------------|
| 1.1 Major paradigms in child language acquisition research | <i>page 7</i> |
| 2.1 Types of differences from the adult model in words produced by children | 49 |
| 2.2 Constraint ranking for [pliz] in one child's speech (adapted from table 40 in Kager <i>et al.</i> , 2004, which is in turn based on Gnanadesikan, 2004, by permission of Cambridge University Press) | 53 |
| 5.1 Some examples of present-tense person+number (agreement) marking across six fusional languages. | 139 |
| 5.2 Patterns of error predicted under the Agreement/Tense Omission model | 146 |
| 5.3 Predictions of the variational learning model for Spanish, French and English | 151 |
| 5.4 Crosslinguistic rates of root infinitive errors and predicted error rates from MOSAIC and the variational learning model (NB: for the latter, the prediction does not relate to the absolute rate of RI errors, only the rank order of the languages) | 154 |
| 5.5 Instances of incorrect inflection provided by Juan (from Aguado-Orea, 2004) | 166 |
| 6.1 Elicited production studies investigating productivity with the SVO transitive construction | 221 |
| 6.2 Order of importance of cues to actor assignment across languages (from Tomasello, 2003: 138), which in turn is based on Bates and MacWhinney, 1989; reproduced by permission of Harvard University Press | 230 |
| 6.3 Examples of grammatical and ungrammatical novel strings produced by (over)generalization | 258 |
| 7.1 Summary of findings from early studies of relative clause comprehension with children aged 3–5. The greater than (>) sign indicates a higher rate of successful enactments (adapted from Kidd and Bavin, 2002: 601, by permission of Springer) | 293 |

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-74523-9 - Child Language Acquisition: Contrasting Theoretical Approaches

Ben Ambridge and Elena V. M. Lieven

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Boxes

| | |
|--|----------------|
| 3.1 Controversies in word learning | <i>page</i> 68 |
| 3.2 How should preferential-looking results be interpreted? | 93 |
| 5.1 Examples of past-tense formation under the dual- and single-route models | 171 |
| 6.1 Different types of argument-structure overgeneralization errors observed in child language | 244 |

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-74523-9 - Child Language Acquisition: Contrasting Theoretical Approaches

Ben Ambridge and Elena V. M. Lieven

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Summary tables

| | | |
|------|--|---------|
| 2.1 | Speech perception and distinctive features | page 30 |
| 2.2a | Segmenting speech into words | 44 |
| 2.2b | Segmenting speech into phrases and clauses | 46 |
| 2.3 | Speech production | 58 |
| 3.1 | Learning word meanings | 86 |
| 3.2 | Syntactic bootstrapping | 99 |
| 5.1 | Why do children produce root-infinitive errors (e.g. * <i>He play</i>)? | 158 |
| 5.2 | Do children show full productivity (generativist prediction) or not (constructivist prediction)? | 168 |
| 5.3 | Rules versus analogies in inflectional morphology | 188 |
| 6.1 | How do children assign words to (generativist approaches) or construct (constructivist approaches) syntactic categories? | 207 |
| 6.2 | Acquiring basic word order | 240 |
| 6.3 | The retreat from overgeneralization error | 266 |
| 7.1 | Acquisition of passives | 280 |
| 7.2 | Acquisition of questions | 290 |
| 7.3 | Acquisition of relative clauses | 303 |
| 7.4 | Acquisition of sentential complement clauses | 311 |
| 8.1 | Binding Principles A, B and C | 334 |
| 8.2 | Quantification | 345 |
| 8.3 | Control | 356 |

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-74523-9 - Child Language Acquisition: Contrasting Theoretical Approaches

Ben Ambridge and Elena V. M. Lieven

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Preface

How do children acquire their native language? This question has prompted a lively theoretical debate and a great deal of empirical research, much of which explicitly tests the predictions of the various competing accounts. In our view, it is therefore unfortunate that most previous child language textbooks and monographs barely cover this debate at all. Instead, most authors, whether implicitly or explicitly, adopt a particular theoretical position and largely discuss only research conducted within the relevant paradigm. If proposals that derive from an opposing theoretical viewpoint are discussed at all, it is generally only to dismiss them, with no serious consideration of their strengths and weaknesses.

We want to change all that with this textbook. Our goal is to identify the key debates in each of what we consider to be the ‘core’ domains in language acquisition (by monolingual, typically-developing speakers) and to outline the empirical evidence for and against each theoretical proposal, in an even-handed, systematic and (as far as space permits) comprehensive manner. We aim to hold every proposal to an equally high standard of proof – making no prior assumptions with regard to parsimony or psychological plausibility – and, in so doing, to provide detailed challenges to all researchers, of all theoretical standpoints.

This focus on theoretical debates has three important consequences. The first is that, although we seek to be comprehensive in covering all the major domains of acquisition (speech perception/segmentation and production, word learning, inflectional morphology and syntax), this textbook does not constitute a descriptive account of what children’s language looks like at each age (there are many other textbooks that fulfil this function). Whilst the reader will, nevertheless, build up a detailed picture of the timecourse of key acquisition phenomena, areas which have attracted rather less theoretical debate are not covered.

The second consequence of our theoretically oriented approach is that when selecting studies to include, we have focused, as far as possible, on quantitative experimental or naturalistic-data studies that have been published in peer-reviewed journals, and that include appropriate statistical analyses. Whilst descriptive accounts of children’s language (in terms of one or other theory

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-74523-9 - Child Language Acquisition: Contrasting Theoretical Approaches

Ben Ambridge and Elena V. M. Lieven

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xiv Preface

of adult linguistics) play an important role in the development of theories of language acquisition, they are generally not an appropriate basis on which to compare competing theoretical accounts (which, for the most part, make precise quantitative predictions).

The final consequence of organizing this textbook around theoretical debates is that it is relatively modular in its structure. Each chapter covers a particular domain of language acquisition, meaning that readers who are interested in a particular topic will be able to ‘dip in’ at the relevant chapter. The internal structure of each chapter is also relatively modular, with each focusing on two, three or four key debates. Our intention is that researchers who are interested in a particular debate will be able to read the relevant section without having to consult the rest of the chapter, and that course leaders can base lectures around individual debates. Whilst the division of language acquisition into a number of relatively self-contained (and inevitably somewhat arbitrary) domains is, of course, artificial, our goal in doing so is to provide an ordered set of topics around which an undergraduate or postgraduate course can be based.

Because the debates are often complicated and draw on a great deal of empirical research, we end each with a summary table that summarizes the evidence for and against each of the proposals (and that will hopefully serve as a useful guide for revision, coursework projects etc.). We certainly do not wish to imply that one can choose between the rival accounts by counting up the relative numbers of ‘for’s and ‘against’s (not least because one critical shortcoming can trump any number of advantages). Rather, these tables reflect the approach that we adopt throughout this book: our aim is not to choose a ‘winner’ in each debate, but simply to bring together the relevant findings, in order to allow the reader to draw his or her own conclusions. We hope that we have succeeded in our aim to be fair to – and appropriately critical of – researchers from all theoretical persuasions.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-74523-9 - Child Language Acquisition: Contrasting Theoretical Approaches
Ben Ambridge and Elena V. M. Lieven

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Acknowledgements

We thank the many people who have read and commented on drafts of this book, a reviewer for Cambridge University Press and our students, friends and families. We thank Nicole Lorenz for preparing the author index and Kay McKechnie for copy-editing. Special thanks are due to Siu-Lin Rawlinson for redrawing Figures 8.3, 8.5 and 8.10–8.13 by hand from the originals, at extremely short notice. Ben Ambridge's own research discussed in this book was supported by grants RES-062-23-0931, RES-000-22-1540 and PTA-026-27-0705 from the Economic and Social Research Council; Elena Lieven's by grants RES-000-22-1285, RES-000-23-6393 and RES-000-23-7911 from the Economic and Social Research Council, and by the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology.