

#### **Global Citizens**

Australian Activists for Change

Against a backdrop of advancing neoliberalism and globalisation, this timely book examines nine prominent Australians from diverse backgrounds – 'global citizens' – who have each enhanced public life through promoting universal values and human rights.

The book charts over 50 years of campaigning, and espouses perennial causes such as peace, social justice, ecological sustainability and gender and racial equality. Ultimately, this inspiring volume sends a message of hope for Australian society and provides a benchmark for all proponents of change.

**Geoffrey Stokes** is Professor of Politics in the School of International and Political Studies at Deakin University, Melbourne.

**Roderic Pitty** is Senior Lecturer in Political Science and International Relations at the School of Social and Cultural Studies, University of Western Australia, Perth.

**Gary Smith** is Professor and Head of the School of International and Political Studies at Deakin University, Melbourne.



# Global Citizens Australian Activists for Change

Edited by
GEOFFREY STOKES, RODERIC PITTY AND
GARY SMITH





## **CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521731874

© Geoffrey Stokes, Roderic Pitty, Gary Smith 2008

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2008

 $A\ catalogue\ record\ for\ this\ publication\ is\ available\ from\ the\ British\ Library$ 

National Library of Australia Cataloguing in Publication data

Stokes, Geoffrey.

Global citizens: Australian activists for change / authors,

Geoffrey Stokes; Roderic Pitty; Gary Smith.

Cambridge; Port Melbourne: Cambridge University

Press, 2008.

9780521731874 (pbk.)

Includes Index.

Bibliography.

World citizenship.

Globalization - Australia.

Australia - Politics and government - 20th century.

Pitty, Roderic.

Smith, Gary, 1950-

323.6

ISBN 978-0-521-73187-4 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For April Carter.



## Contents

Acknowledgments List of Contributors	page viii ix
Foreword by Carmen Lawrence	xi
1 Global Citizenship in Australia: Theory and Practice Geoffrey Stokes	1
2 Faith Bandler: Campaigning for Racial Equality Roderic Pitty	23
<b>3 Herb Feith: Working for Peace across Cultures</b> Gary Smith	45
4 Jack Mundey: The Global Responsibilities of Labour Michael Leach	65
5 Nancy Shelley: Empowerment through Peace Education Roderic Pitty	81
<b>6 Bob Brown: Ecology, Economy, Equality and Eternity</b> Peter Haeusler	101
7 Keith Suter: Christian Activism for Peace and Global Change Lucinda Horrocks	121
8 Margaret Reynolds: Community Activism for Universal Value Linda Hancock	es 140
<b>9 Michael Kirby: Speaking for Human Rights</b> Roderic Pitty	160
10 Young Australians as Global Citizens Thao Nguyen, interviewed by Gary Smith and Roderic Pitty	182
11 Globalisation and Cosmopolitanism: Beyond Populist Nationalism and Neoliberalism Roderic Pitty, Geoffrey Stokes and Gary Smith	200
Index	212

vii



## Acknowledgments

The editors would like to thank Lucinda Horrocks for the excellent research and editorial assistance she provided for this project. Many thanks are due to those subjects of this study who gave up a portion of their valuable time to be interviewed, and respond to questions. We are also grateful to Deakin University, the Faculty of Arts, and the School of International and Political Studies for providing financial support for this project.

### Cover photographs

We acknowledge permission to reproduce photographs for the cover, from left to right:

Top row:

Faith Bandler © Newspix/Samantha Emanuel

Jack MundeyCourtesy NSW Historic Houses Trust MembersHerb and Betty FeithCourtesy David Feith and Australian Volunteers

International

Middle row:

Bob BrownCourtesy Peter WhyteMichael KirbyCourtesy Justice Kirby

Nancy Shelley Courtesy Norman Ainsworth

Bottom row:

Margaret Reynolds Courtesy Margaret Reynolds

Keith Suter Courtesy Keith Suter
Thao Nguyen Courtesy Thao Nguyen

viii



### Contributors

**Peter Haeusler** is Senior Lecturer in Politics and Policy Studies at Deakin University. His research interests include political thought and political culture in Australia. His recent work explores the nexus between Catholic social thought and Australian politics, with published papers in this field including 'Living with hope and fear: Advancing Catholic social ideals amid the spectre of communism', in B. Costar, P. Love and P. Strangio (eds) *The Great Labor Schism* (Scribe 2005). He is working on a book concerning the role of intellectuals in shaping Australian political culture.

**Linda Hancock** is Associate Professor in Public Policy at Deakin University. She has published widely in the fields of social and public policy analysis. Her books include (ed. with Brian Howe, Marion Frere and Anthony O'Donnell) *Future Directions in Australian Public Policy* (CEDA 2001); (ed. with Carolyn O'Brien) *Rewriting Rights in Europe* (Aldershot 2000); and (ed.) *Women, Public Policy and the State* (Macmillan 1999).

**Lucinda Horrocks** is Research Fellow in the Institute for Citizenship and Globalisation at Deakin University, where she has worked on numerous projects in the fields of politics, public policy, globalisation and citizenship. She also lectures in the disciplines of Politics and Sociology.

Michael Leach is Senior Research Fellow in the Institute for Citizenship and Globalisation, Deakin University. He has published on issues of national identity, immigration, Australian multiculturalism, and labour history. He is co-author (with Fethi Mansouri) of *Lives in Limbo: Voices of Refugees on Australia* (UNSW Press 2004). In 2000 he co-edited (with G. Stokes and I. Ward) *The Rise and Fall of One Nation* (University of Queensland Press). He is co-editor (with Fethi Mansouri) of *Critical Perspectives on Refugee Policy in Australia* (Deakin University 2003), and (with Damien Kingsbury) of *East Timor: Beyond Independence* (Monash University Press 2007).

**Thao Nguyen** holds degrees in Commerce and Law from the University of Sydney, and has worked at the New South Wales Legal Aid Commission. In 2004, Thao was the Australian Youth Representative to the UN General

ix

Assembly. In the following year she was a member of the Australian non-governmental delegation to the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child in Geneva. Thao has been Youth Chair of the Ethnic Communities' Council of New South Wales, and a member of the National Community Advisory Committee to the Special Broadcasting Service (SBS).

Roderic Pitty is Senior Lecturer in Political Science and International Relations at the University of Western Australia. He has published articles on international law and Indigenous rights, written various works on Russia, and contributed chapters to *Facing North* (Melbourne University Press 2001, 2003), a history of Australian policies towards, and relations with, Asia. For some years, he was a contributing editor of the *Current Affairs Bulletin*. His current research interests focus on universal human rights and international justice.

Gary Smith is Professor and Head of the School of International and Political Studies at Deakin University. He was previously Head of the School of International Studies at the University of South Australia. He has also worked as Program Director at the Centre for Defence and Strategic Studies, Australia's senior defence college in Canberra. His research is on international relations in the Asia–Pacific region, global governance and civil society, and on Australian foreign relations. His publications include *Australia and Asia* (Oxford University Press 1997), *Australia in the World* (Oxford University Press 1996), and *Threats Without Enemies* (Pluto 1992).

**Geoffrey Stokes** is Professor of Politics at Deakin University. His recent research has focused upon the problems of transnational political thought. With April Carter he has co-edited *Democratic Theory Today* (Polity 2002) and *Liberal Democracy and its Critics* (Polity 1998). He has also edited *The Politics of Identity in Australia* (Cambridge University Press 1997) and *Australian Political Ideas* (UNSW Press 1994). In 1990–91 he was Senior Adviser to the Commonwealth Minister for Trade and Overseas Development.



### Foreword

#### Carmen Lawrence

The stark division of community opinion in recent years over how to respond to people seeking asylum in Australia reveals a fundamental set of tensions in our society. As the numbers of asylum seekers increased in the late 1990s and the MV *Tampa*'s sorry human cargo was repelled by armed Australian soldiers, media coverage illustrated the chasm that exists between alternative visions of how Australia ought to be linked with the wider world. Some Australians strongly hold that there are fundamental principles of respect for human decency which transcend national boundaries. Others fiercely defend such boundaries against the uninvited. Those who are able to see beyond borders regard the needs of the asylum seekers as deserving humane consideration. Those who see only the borders condone the prolonged incarceration of refugees as a warning signal to others who might otherwise follow. This gulf reflects the varying respect for human rights standards in Australia. There is a divide between those who see Australia as part of a common humanity, and those who see Australia apart from the wider world, and who would also exclude others on the basis of race, religion, and culture.

As this book reminds us, there are and always have been a good many Australians, although probably not the majority, who have a strong sense of global citizenship, just as there are those who are proudly nationalistic and defensive of narrowly defined 'Australian values'. Many clearly appreciate that, in the age of global warming, resource depletion, and burgeoning population, the big problems we confront simply cannot be solved in splendid isolation. Those who espouse a global perspective have, until recently, found themselves on the receiving end of derision and abuse, accused of caring more about 'those people' than their own. *Ad hominem* attacks on critics of narrow parochialism were commonplace. The abusive epithet 'un-Australian' was often used to denigrate those who try to show how the world can be re-imagined and remade.

In such times, it is challenging to work toward a world in which the precarious aspects of our common humanity are reaffirmed. Yet the increased vulnerability felt by many people in western societies early in the twentyfirst century offers a challenge, which the American writer Judith Butler

xi



OREWOR

(2004: xii–xiii) has described as an opportunity. She says that this vulnerability 'offers a chance to start to imagine a world in which violence might be minimised, in which an inevitable interdependency becomes acknowledged as the basis for global political community'. What this book shows is that there are many strands of reason from which such a vision of an interdependent world can be created. Even during the Howard years, the voices of those who remained optimistic about Australia's links with the wider world were not silenced. There is a strong tradition, celebrated in this collection, of using universalist values to criticise and reform institutions and practices in Australia, and elsewhere. This tradition has clearly informed contemporary campaigns for racial equality, global peace, women's rights, environmental protection, and human rights more generally, as it did in the recent debate over asylum seekers.

The tradition of seeking to transcend parochial, nationalist, and populist politics drove Australia's active participation in the establishment of the United Nations. This was based on the recognition, after two catastrophic wars and the annihilation of millions, that such destruction was possible only because of the failure to accord basic human dignity and worth to all humans. The Nazis built the ideological campaign that led to the Holocaust upon a pre-existing political culture of anti-Semitism, onto which they grafted propaganda campaigns that depicted the Jewish people as vermin, and as threatening the fabric of German society. To those in the postwar era, the combination of this representation of the Jews as less than human, and the extreme nationalism of the Third Reich, seemed to demand a global remedy, namely an international framework for the protection of human rights.

Amongst the instruments devised was the UN Convention on Refugees. This was adopted in 1951, and ratified by the majority of nations, including Australia. The convention was designed to prevent a recurrence of the scandalous treatment of the Jewish people fleeing Nazi Germany, whose claims for asylum were rebuffed and who were returned to certain death in the gas chambers of occupied Europe. While many Jewish refugees escaped this horror, like the young Herb Feith who arrived in Australia with his parents in 1938, many others did not. The Refugee Convention has come under strain in recent years, but it continues to provide an essential framework for protecting the rights of people fleeing persecution. It is one of the many institutional threads linking Australian society with the wider world.

Australia has become an increasingly reluctant participant in protecting people from persecution. Many of the recent changes to migration legislation, which were presented in terms of protecting our borders from vulnerable people, arguably violate the Refugee Convention. In clear violation

xii



of our commitments under section 33 not to send people back to a place of persecution, asylum seekers from Iraq and Iran have been returned to danger. There is strong evidence that some of them may have been killed (Corlett 2005). The former UN High Commissioner on Refugees (Lubbers 2001) has observed:

If refugees are sent straight back to danger – or are prevented from leaving their countries in the first place – then all the other measures designed to protect and assist them count for nothing. Under international law this should not happen, and blatantly ignoring international law is a dangerous path to tread.

By denying asylum seekers proper recourse to Australian law, while attempting to foist our responsibilities onto our impoverished Pacific neighbours, Australia has clearly reneged on its obligations and responsibilities under international law. If every country behaved in this way, there would be nowhere for people fleeing persecution to find peace, safety and security.

Australia's adherence to various UN declarations, conventions, and protocols has always been uneven, as has our willingness to subject ourselves to international norms and scrutiny. Indeed, in the past decade there was a fundamental reappraisal of our status within the international community. When he was Prime Minister, John Howard appealed to populist prejudice, and once referred to one UN human rights body as 'just a bunch of foreigners in Geneva'. Criticism from various UN human rights bodies has focused on Australia's failure to grapple satisfactorily with Indigenous injustice, the unprecedented turning away of some asylum seekers, and the indefinite detention of many others. In response to this criticism, the Howard government effectively banned cooperation with the UN Committee system, blocking future visits by UN inspectors, and further delaying routine reports. These defensive moves to protect Australia from adverse commentary attracted further UN criticism. In August 2000, the head of the UN Treaty section, Mr Kohona (quoted in Riley 2000), was openly critical of Australia:

The moral authority Australia enjoys will be dissipated by this peevish attitude towards these UN committees. [It] should be doing its best to protect its good record and using it to advance the cause of human rights around the world.

Australia's obstructionist stance gave a green light to repressive regimes with far worse human rights records than ours. China, for example, congratulated the Howard government over its outburst against the UN in 2000 when our mandatory sentencing laws were criticised. In claiming that the UN should reserve its criticisms only for the most odious regimes, the Howard government failed to appreciate that Australia has a crucial role to play

xiii

in setting an example, by protecting human rights within and beyond its borders. It failed to rise to the challenge of an interdependent world.

Changes in government policy in the past decade meant that Australia reached a low point in our implementation of international human rights obligations. On every major issue — except trade — the Howard government played down the idea of Australia as an international citizen: from the Refugee Convention to global warming and the Kyoto Protocol, from Indigenous self-determination to disarmament, from joining the attack on Iraq without UN approval to breaking UN sanctions against Iraq in the lead-up to the war. Such actions led many Australians to feel ashamed of their government, particularly when travelling abroad. While many of these actions are being repudiated by the new Rudd Labor government, one lesson of the last decade is that Australians must not rely only on governments to respond to the global challenges of the current era. Given the urgent need to imagine a world based on non-violence and responsibility for others, direct action by Australians to address global issues has become vital.

This collection of essays provides us with a timely reminder that Australia has a strong tradition of such action to draw upon. Citizenship for Australians does not require excluding others, whether refugees or other victims of injustice around the world. It is possible, and increasingly necessary, to be an Australian global citizen, concerned about universal human rights, and determined to enhance Australia's positive engagement with the wider world. This book reveals a tradition of global citizenship in Australia expressed in the lives of quite diverse people, some prominent and others less so. All have responded to the challenges of an interdependent world, seeking to resolve Australian problems in accordance with principles of our common humanity. In these vulnerable times, there is much to learn from these Australian activists, about how we can broaden our perspectives on our place in the world today, and practise an active citizenship that is limited neither by borders nor by timidity.

#### References

Butler, J. 2004. Precarious Life: The Powers of Mourning and Violence. London: Verso. Corlett, D. 2005. Following Them Home: The Fate of the Returned Asylum Seekers. Melbourne: Black Inc.

Lubbers, R. 2001. Statement by UN High Commissioner for Refugees on the 50th anniversary of the Refugee Convention, 26 July, viewed 15 January 2008, http://www.unhcr.bg/briefing\_documents/brfnote\_26072001\_en.htm

Riley, M. 2000. 'Australia warned: Grow up and stop sulking'. *Sydney Morning Herald*, 30 August.

xiv