

INDEX

- accuracy of eyewitness testimony
 criteria for evaluation 41
 importance of event factors 41
 influence of individual differences 58
 relationship to tension arousal 47–50
 role of perpetrator variables 80–1
 variables that impact on 41–54
 see also event characteristics and witness accuracy; interrogational variables and witness accuracy; perpetrator variables and witness accuracy; witness characteristics and accuracy
- Achieving Best Evidence in Crime Proceedings: Guidance for Vulnerable or Intimidated Witnesses* (ABE) 99–101, 120, 130, 306
- adversarial systems
 courtroom procedure 26–8
 police role in prosecution 373
 role of trials 28
 witness demeanour and credibility 70, 71
- advocacy, by psychologists 253
- affect-control theory 219–20
- age, and witness accuracy 63–5
- age-own bias, in recognition 64
- alcohol abuse, and witness accuracy and credibility 62
- Alexander v. R* 325
- algebraic weighted average model (jury decision-making) 188
- Allen v. U.S.* 187
- American Academy of Forensic Psychology 5
- The American Jury* 159
- American Psychiatric Association, *Statement on Memories of Sexual Abuse* 94
- American Psychological Association
 amicus brief in *Lockhart v. McCree* 173–4
 Division of Psychology and Law 4
 evaluation of validity of integrity tests 262–3
 recognition of forensic psychology 5
 Working Group on Memories of Childhood Abuse 94
- American Psychology–Law Society (APLS) 4, 5
- Americans with Disabilities Act* 1992 221
- amnesia 31, 54–5
- anatomically detailed dolls 133, 143–4
- Annual Survey of American Law* 5
- antisocial personality disorder (psychopathy) 266, 285, 288
- anxiety
 associated physiological changes 281–2
 relationship to memory 128
- archival studies 32, 36, 159
- area of expertise rule (admission of expert testimony) 232
- arrest and imprisonment rates, ethnic minorities 367
- articulated psychological differentiation 60
- Assisted Passenger Pre-screening System (CAPPS) 399
- Association of Chief Police Officers for England and Wales 373
- associative network theory 61
- attempted behavioural control (non-verbal cues of deception) 267
- attention 29, 30
- attitudinal model (judicial decision-making) 218
- attribution theory 219
- Auld, Lord Justice 163

- Auld Report* 155, 163, 190
Australian FACE (software) 338
 Australian Institute of Judicial Administration 249
 Australian and New Zealand Association of Psychiatry, Psychology and Law 5, 19
 Australian Psychological Society
 College of Forensic Psychologists 14
 Ethical Guidelines 94
 Guidelines Relating to the Reporting of Recovered Memories 94
Australian Psychologist 19
 autobiographical recall 124–8
- basis rule (admission of expert evidence) 233
 battered woman syndrome 241, 242
Baverstock case 198
 Bayesian probability theory model (jury decision-making) 188
 Beck Depression Inventory 391
 Beck Hopelessness Scale 391
Behaviour Sciences and the Law (journal) 4
 Behavioural Academic Self-Esteem Scale 131
Bernal v. The Queen 282
 Beswick and Hills' (1972) Australian Ethnocentrism (E) scale 359
 Birmingham jury study 160, 171
 Birmingham Six 305, 386, 387, 392
 Blackstone, William 165
 body maps 142–3
 Brain Fingerprinting Laboratories 291
 brainwaves, and deception-detection 290–1
 British Academy of Forensic Sciences 109
 British False Memory Society 98
 British Psychological Society
 Division of Criminological and Legal Psychology 4, 13
 Working Group Report on polygraphs 289–90
 Working Party on Psychologists as Expert Witnesses 252
Buckley v. Rice Thomas 230
 Bull, Ray 243–4
Bunbury case 92
Burch v. Louisiana 149, 150
 bystander intervention 11–12
- Canadian Psychiatric Association 94
 Canadian Psychological Association 94
 Canter, David 397
 capital juries *see* death-qualified juries
 Capital Jury Project (CJP) 164, 173, 176, 178, 182, 185
 capital punishment *see* death penalty
 CCTV identification 337–8
CD-fit (software) 340
 Chicago Jury Project 157
 child sexual abuse
 behaviour/characteristics of victims 246, 250
 detecting deception 273
 difficulty of investigating and prosecuting 113–14
 false allegations and convictions arising from illusory memories 61, 93, 98, 113
 reporting 91
 value of recourse to legal action 96–7
 witness credibility in recovered-memory cases 93
 see also interviewing children in sexual abuse cases
- children
 practice of deception 126, 272–4
 self-esteem and suggestibility 131
 as victims of crime 113
- children as witnesses
 compared to adults 134–6
 competence criteria 115
 consistency of recall 125
 credibility 135
 as defendants 116, 117
 detecting deception 272
 enhancing recall with CI technique 138–40
 fears about testifying 118
 identification ability 134, 135, 136
 impact of involvement in legal system 118–19
 individual differences in suggestibility 129
 interview guidelines 99, 101

- children as witnesses (*cont.*)
 - legal aspects 114–19
 - popular beliefs about 122–3
 - pressure for changes to law of
 - evidence and procedure 114
 - reasons for withholding the truth 117, 126, 136
 - reforms to rules pertaining to competence 115–19
 - remembering ability 123–5
 - research into 113–14
 - rules governing questioning 114–15
 - second-class witnesses 122
 - special measures by court 117, 136
 - special needs 136
 - videotaping of statements and interviews 120–1
 - see also* children's testimony
- children as witnesses testimony, parent's
 - linguistic style and child's recall 126
- children's evidence, field of 115
- children's memory
 - accuracy of 123
 - autobiographical recall 124–8
 - effects of misleading questions 131
 - memory conformity effect 124
 - quality of recall 125, 136
 - relationship between age and accuracy 123–5, 136
- children's suggestibility
 - ability to resist influence of leading questions 133–4
 - and adult authority figures 132
 - during interviewing 131–3
 - and ethnicity 132–3
 - individual differences 115
 - reducing the risks during interviewing 140
 - and self-esteem levels 131
 - and source attribution errors 133
 - and use of anatomically detailed dolls 133
- children's testimony
 - accuracy 125
 - concern over presence of perpetrator 127
 - effect of cross-examination on recall accuracy 125
 - effect of exposure to stress 128–9
 - effect of implanting false information 130–4
 - effect of past abuse 127
 - enhancing 136–7
 - factors that impact on testimony 126
 - influence of leading questions 129–30, 133
 - reliability of 114–15
 - use of cue-cards and photographs 137
 - use of open-ended prompts 137–8
 - via closed-circuit television 117–18, 122
 - via video-link (live) 116, 117, 119–22
- children's testimony research
 - methodology and findings 134–6
 - and sociology of knowledge 136
- CI *see* cognitive interview (CI)
- Circle Theory of Environmental Range 399
- circumstantial evidence 27
- civil law systems 150–2
- Clapper, Jerry 313
- closed-circuit television, for child witness testimony 117–18, 122
- Code of Practice for Victims of Crime 222
- coexistence view of post-event influences on memory 89, 90
- cognition, defined 30
- cognitive dissonance, and witness confidence 72–3
- cognitive efficiency, relationship to tension arousal 46–7
- cognitive interview (CI)
 - compared with other interviewing techniques 102–3
 - and deception-detection 268
 - and enhancement of children's testimony 138–40
 - and misinformation effect 103
 - overview 101–5
 - principles 102
 - use with children 139
 - use with vulnerable witnesses 103–4
 - weaknesses of 104

- cognitive psychologists
 - constructivist model of human memory 60, 95–6
 - and memory-recovery therapies 93, 96
- Cognitive Psychology* 5, 6
- cognitive story model
 - of judicial decision-making 218, 220
 - jury decision-making 188
- cognitive style, and witness accuracy 60
- collaborative storytelling 90
- collaborative testimony 78–80
- Commission of Racial Equality 209
- Commissioner for Victims' Rights 222
- common knowledge rule of evidence 233, 240, 242, 247
- common law systems
 - courtroom procedure 26–8
 - distinction between fact and opinion 231
 - see also* jury systems
- common law trials
 - and dispute settlement 28
 - guilty pleas 28
 - non-guilty pleas 27
 - problems of importing non-legal (expert) knowledge 235
- comparison question test 284
- comparison studies (sentencing) 203
- computerised lie-detection
 - Silent Talker* 280
 - timed antagonistic response alethiometer (TARA) 280
- computerised polygraph systems 283
- concealed knowledge technique (CKT) 287–8
- confabulation 32, 34–5, 259
- conferencing 226
- confessions
 - discounting if made under pressure 175
 - false confessions 385
 - predicting likelihood 389–90
 - theoretical models 384–5
- confidence–accuracy relationship in witness testimony
 - conditions that impede or enhance 70–5
- criticism of experimental simulation studies 74
- consistency, and evidence 85–6, 125
- Constitution of Commonwealth of Australia 149, 150
- Contempt of Court Act 1981* (UK) 163, 168, 189
- content complexity approach 267
- control question test (CQT) 284, 288
- coronial inquiry juries 149, 150
- correctional psychologists 2
- court clerk (Magistrates' Court) 198
- courtroom procedure 26–8
- covert group identification 322
- Crime Deception Judgement Task 278
- Crime (Sentences) Act 1997* (UK) 199
- crime victimisation 220–1
- Criminal Appeal Act 1907* (UK) 305
- criminal behaviour, and physical appearance 67–8
- Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health* 4
- Criminal Code of Canada Evidence Act* 115
- Criminal Evidence Act 1988* (UK) 165
- Criminal Geographic Targeting (software) 398
- The Criminal and His Victim* 220
- Criminal Injuries Compensation Tribunal 93
- Criminal Justice Act 1948* (UK) 165
- Criminal Justice Act 1988* (UK) 116, 117
- Criminal Justice Act 1991* (UK) 59, 116, 141, 197, 198
 - interviewing of children in cases of alleged abuse 99
- Criminal Justice Act 2003* (UK) 153
- Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994* (UK) 369, 375
- Criminal Law Act 1977* (UK) 165
- criteria-based content analysis (CBCA) 293–4, 295–7, 298
- cross-examination
 - discrediting key witnesses 74–5
 - guidance for expert witnesses 253
 - process, function and importance 27–8
 - questioning style and children's recall accuracy 125
 - techniques for unsettling expert witnesses 253–4

- cross-race identifications 65
- cue-utilisation theory 46–7
- culprits 326
- Dando, Jill 25–6
- Daubert* test for expert witnesses 93, 236–8, 239–40, 248, 283, 286, 296
- Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals*
 - details of case 236
 - impact of case 238–40
 - significance of ruling 237
- Davies, Graham 246
- Davis, Steven 245–6
- death penalty
 - opponents' arguments 212
 - racial disparity in sentencing 172
 - supporters arguments 211–12
- death-qualified juries
 - conviction rates 173–4
 - requirement to decide guilt and punishment separately 178
 - role of jurors 172–4
- deception
 - criminal and civil offences 260
 - nature of 258
 - physiological and neurological correlates 280–90
 - see also* lies
- deception-detection
 - computerised lie-detection 280
 - expert lie detectors 274–6
 - human super lie-detectors 278
 - integrity tests 261
 - micro-expressions 269–70
 - paper-and-pencil tests 261–4
 - paradigms used in studies 268
 - screening of employees 261
 - social psychological approach 264–8
 - training 276
 - see also* polygraphs
- deception-detection accuracy
 - with children 272–4
 - cues 215
 - and deception research 270–4
- deception research
 - brainwaves as indicators of deceit 290–1
 - contribution 277
 - deception-detection accuracy 270–4
 - ethical constraints 273
 - Exline procedure 269
 - external validity of mock studies 269
 - into micro-expressions 269–70
 - layered voice analysis (LVA) 281
 - paradigms used 268–70
 - problem of establishing ground truth 269
 - psychological stress evaluator (PSE) 280–1
 - reality monitoring 297–8
 - scientific content analysis (SCAN) 298
 - statement reality/validity analysis (SVA) 292
 - stylometry 291–2
 - truth-bias 269
 - veracity effect 269
 - voice stress analysis 280–1
 - see also* expert lie-detectors
- decision-making models (confessions) 384
- Deckinga, Shaun 313
- defendant characteristics
 - age 185
 - attractiveness 185, 213–14
 - gender and sentencing disparities 205–8
 - interaction with victim/plaintiff
 - characteristics 186
 - and jury trials 185
 - race and sentencing disparities 208–12
 - remorse 185
- Demjanjuk, John 84–5
- Denning, Lord 190–1
- detection apprehension 266
- detection guilt 266
- detectives *see* police detectives
- deterrence theory 211–12
- Devlin Committee (UK) 87, 305–6
- diagnosticity ratio 333
- direct evidence 27
- direct mediation 226
- directed lie control test (DLT) 286
- discovered memories 91
 - see also* false memories; recovered memories
- distractor identifications 326
- distractor/foil 326
- Dodson* case 312

- dolls *see* anatomically detailed dolls
- Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Act 2004* (UK) 222
- Duffy, Peter 397
- E-Fit* (software) 338, 339, 340, 341
- electronic monitoring of offenders 199
- Emery* case 241–2
- Emotion Deception Judgement Task 278
- emotional approach (non-verbal cues for deception-detection) 267
- Employee Polygraph Protection Act 1988* (US) 261, 283
- encoding specificity hypothesis 137
- episodic memory 63
- errors of omission 41
- estimator variables (eyewitness memory) 39
- ethical dilemmas, and expert testimony 13
- ethnic and Indigenous minorities
 - arrest and imprisonment rates 367
 - disparities in sentencing 208–9
- ethnicity, and children's suggestibility 132–3
- European Association of Psychology and Law (EAPL) 4, 5
- European Convention on Human Rights* 376
- European Court of Human Rights 376
- evening types (witness accuracy) 59
- event characteristics and witness
 - accuracy
 - duration of event 43–4
 - flashbulb memory 53–4
 - frequency of an event 43
 - illumination 44–5
 - passage of time since event 41–2
 - physiological arousal 46–7
 - presence of weapons
 - time of event 43
 - type of event 45–6
 - violent or traumatic events 47–50
 - and weapon focus effect 50–3
- evidence
 - comprehension by jurors 175–7
 - consistency 85–6
 - presentation of 27
 - types of 27
- Evidence Act 1977* (Cth) 115
- Evidence Act 1995* (Cth) 247–8
- Evidence Act 1995* (NSW) 247, 248
- Evidence Act 2001* (Tas.) 247
- Evidence Code of California* 97
- EvoFit* (software) 341
- expectations, impact on perceptions and actions 69
- Expert Evidence* 4
- expert evidence
 - Australian magistrates' perspectives 249
 - by assessors 234
 - by referees 234
 - differences in legal proceedings in different countries 233–4
 - evolution of treatment by the court 230–1
 - history of use 230
 - increased reliance on 29
 - influence on jurors 176, 250, 251–2
 - see also* *Daubert* test for expert witnesses; expert testimony by psychologists; *Frye* test for expert witnesses
- expert evidence admissibility
 - acceptance of opinions 231
 - in Australia 246–8
 - in Canada 248–9
 - constraints in rules of evidence in Australia and New Zealand 246
 - criteria 236–7
 - in England and Wales 240–6
 - and expertise of witness 231
 - five rules 232–3
 - in New Zealand 246–7, 248
 - relevancy, sufficiency and reliability of evidence 236–7
 - in the US 235–40
- expert lie-detectors
 - accuracy 274–6
 - avoiding being caught lying 279
 - guidelines for detecting lies 278–9
 - human super lie-detectors 278
 - Strategic Use of Evidence (SUE technique) 242
 - use of indirect method 276
 - use of psychologically informed mind reading 277

- expert testimony by psychologists
 - advocacy 253
 - appearing as a witness 252–4
 - ethical dilemmas 13
 - first case of psychologist testifying 2
 - impact of 250
 - increasing demand for 230
 - roles of forensic psychologists 231–2
- expert witness reports 234–5
- expert witnesses
 - court-appointed 234, 252
 - cross-examination techniques for unsettling 253–4
 - duties in a criminal trial 234
 - and expert battles 233
 - guidelines for coping with cross-examination 253
 - hired gun effect 233
 - legal guidelines 249–52
 - retained by parties to a trial 234
 - top five mistakes made 253
- expertise rule (admissibility of expert evidence) 232
- extroversion, and witness accuracy 58
- Eyewitness Evidence: A Guide for Law Enforcement* 306
- eyewitness memory
 - classification of variables 40, 41
 - refreshing a witness's memory 39
 - taxonomies of variables 39–40
 - variables in study of 39–41
 - variables that impact on accuracy 41–54
- eyewitness testimony
 - importance for crime investigators and lawyers 24
 - importance in criminal law 25
 - legal aspects 25–9
 - mistaken identification and wrongful conviction 25–6, 323
 - reliability 24, 28
 - role in crime detection 28
 - trauma of witnesses 46
 - see also* accuracy of eyewitness testimony
- eyewitness testimony research
 - focus on misidentification of innocent suspects 323
 - impact of non-identification 41
 - individual differences and identification accuracy 58
 - issue of identification 304
 - recognition rates 32, 35
 - reliability of 28
 - similarity between target and foil in line-ups 331
 - suspect identification and local procedures 327
 - variables in study of eyewitness memory 39–41
 - variables that impact on accuracy of testimony 41–54
- eyewitness testimony research
 - methodology
 - archival studies 32, 36
 - ecological validity 25–6
 - field studies 32, 35, 38
 - generalisability and reliability of findings 33, 34–7, 38
 - key issues 32–3, 34, 36–8
 - limitations of experimental simulation method 26–8, 32, 34–5, 74
 - meta-analysis 33, 34–51
 - methods used 10, 11, 33, 34–51
 - simulated crime methodology 38
 - single case studies 32–3, 36–7
 - slide presentations 34, 37–8
 - staged events 34–8
 - survey studies 33, 37
- Eysenck Personality Questionnaire 372, 390
- FACE* (Facial Composition and Editing) (software) 339–40
- face identification 310
- FACES* (software) 338, 340, 341
- facial characteristics 267
- facial composites 338–42
 - evaluation data 339–40
 - software 338
 - and verbal overshadowing 341
- false beliefs 96
- false confessions 385
 - expert testimony to explain 391
 - frequency 386
 - individual vulnerability to interrogative suggestibility 387
 - interrogative pressure 384, 386–7
 - predictors of 390

- preventing 392
- types of 387–8
- false identification 326
- false information, implanting in children 130–4
- false memories 87, 91
- false memory syndrome 96
- False Memory Syndrome Foundation 98, 130
- FBI profiling 395–6
- Federal Rules of Evidence* *see* US Federal Rules of Evidence (FRE)
- felt board interview technique 142
- field dependence/field independence 60
- field studies (eyewitness testimony research) 32, 35, 38
- flashbulb memory 53–4
- Florida v. Richard Campbell* 333
- Folkes v. Chadd* 240
- Forbes* direction 324
- forensic hypnosis
 - accuracy of witness recall 106–7
 - admissibility of hypnotically enhanced testimony 107–8
 - concerns 107
 - constraints on use 106
 - credibility of testimony in recovered-memory cases 60
 - defined 105
 - inadmissibility of evidence 247
 - overview 105
 - use by police in US 105
 - use in the UK and Australia 105
 - usefulness of 105
- forensic psychologists
 - actuarial role 232
 - advisory role 232
 - appearing as a witness 252
 - avoiding giving poor evidence 252
 - clinical role 232
 - experimental role 231
 - guidelines for giving sound evidence 252–3
 - roles as expert witnesses 231–2
- forensic psychology
 - in Australia 19
 - definition 2
 - need for codes of ethics 249
 - professional recognition 5
 - Specialty Guidelines for Forensic Psychologists 5
- forward telescoping 43
- Franklin, George 93
- free press vs fair trial 168–9
- free recall 86
- Freyd, Pamela 130
- Frost v. Chief Constable of the South Yorkshire Police* 242
- Frye* test for expert witnesses 236, 238, 248, 292
- Frye v. United States* 235–6
- G. v. DPP* 115, 242
- gender
 - and accuracy of eyewitness testimony 66–7
 - and jury composition 170
 - of sentencer and sentencing disparities 216–17
- gender bias
 - in sentencing 206
 - in society 205
- general deterrence 212
- General Electric Co. v. Joiner* *see* *Joiner* case
- geographical information systems 398
- geographical mapping 398–9
- geographical profiling 398
- George, Barry 25–6
- Gifford report (1985) 368
- Gilfoyle* case 242
- global psychological differentiation 60
- Gough Socialization Scale 390
- Gregg v. Georgia* 178
- group identification 322
- Gudjonsson Suggestibility Scale (GSS) 388–9, 391
- Guildford Four 305, 386
- guilty knowledge test (GKT) 271, 287, 288
- Haradinaj, Ramush 330
- headed records theory 31
- hearsay rule 27
- Hearst, Patty 292
- High Court of Australia 248, 325
- hired gun effect 233
- Holland v. Illinois* 153
- Home Office, Circular 057/2003 342–3
- honesty tests *see* integrity tests

- Hospital Anxiety Depression (HAD)
 - Scale 372
- Hulet* case 344
- human attention *see* attention
- human awareness training (HAT)
 - program 362–3
- human nature, conflicting models 8–10
- human perception *see* perception
- human super lie-detectors 278
- hung juries 187
- hypermnnesia 32, 86
- hypnosis *see* forensic hypnosis
- Identi-kit 341
- identification *see* person identification
- identification ability 134, 135, 136, 247
- identification error 326
- identification evidence
 - critique 250
 - exclusion by the court 304
 - importance of 245–6
 - Turnbull* warning by judges 306
 - and wrongful conviction 309
- identification line-ups
 - accuracy 327–8
 - admissibility of expert witnesses 325
 - admissibility of identification evidence 324
 - biased instructions to witnesses 334–5
 - composition 329–32
 - court support for 323–4, 325
 - double line-ups 333
 - elimination line-ups 337
 - feedback to witnesses after an identification 334
 - guidelines for conducting 324
 - for identification of objects 325
 - importance of clothing 332
 - intervening line-ups 336
 - live line-ups 323
 - match-to-description basis for foil selection 330, 331
 - measures of line-up size fairness 333
 - misidentification 305–8
 - multiple independent line-ups 337
 - Multiple-Choice-Sequential-Large (MSL) line-ups 333–4
 - police use of biased line-ups 322–3
 - presentation factors and bias 335–7
 - procedures 325–6, 329, 332
 - purpose 325, 329
 - same-race identification bias 328
 - scope for foils to communicate with witness 329
 - sequential presentation 335–7
 - similarity-to-suspect basis for foil selection 331–2
 - simultaneous or sequential presentation and accuracy 335–6
 - size 332–4
 - sources of bias 329–37
 - unreliability of evidence identification 323
 - video line-up identification 328–9
 - weapon effect 328
 - what is a ‘fair’ line-up 326
 - what is a ‘good distractor’ 331
 - when one person stands out 329–30
- identification parades *see* identification line-ups
- identification of persons
 - and eyewitness research 304
 - from a photograph prior to lineup 316
 - from photographs 309–10, 311–12
 - group identification 322
 - identification parades/line-ups 322–3
 - in-court (dock) identification 318–19
 - photo-arrays 310
 - show-ups/witness confrontations 316–17
 - videotape line-ups 310–16
 - visual methods 304
- identification tests
 - choosing a medium 310–11
 - comparison of identification performance 311
- Identi-kit 2000 338, 341
- The Ikarian Reefer* 234
- illegal drugs, and witness accuracy 62
- illusory memories, and false allegations and convictions of child sexual abuse 61, 93, 98, 113
- Improving the Criminal Trial Process for Young Witnesses: A Consultation Paper* 117, 118
- ‘Improving Interpersonal Evaluation (IIE) Interview’ 380
- impulsivity of witness, and accuracy 58

- in-court (dock) identification 318–19
- inadmissible evidence, juror's ability to discount 175
- The Indictment* (film) 129
- Indigenous peoples of Australia, disparities in sentencing 208–9
- indirect mediation 226
- indirect method (deception-detection) 276
- individual deterrence 212
- Industrial Relations Act 1971* (UK) 217
- infanticide 205, 207
- inquisitorial procedure 27
- institutional racism 368–9
- integration view (post-event influences on memory) 89–91
- integrity tests
 - concerns over use 262
 - constructs measured 262
 - difficulties in evaluating 263
 - honesty subscale in overt tests 262
 - overt tests 261
 - personality-based tests 261
 - validity and usefulness 262–3
- intellectual disability, and suggestibility 129–30
- intelligence level, and witness accuracy 59–60
- interaction process model (confessions) 385
- International Criminal Court (ICC), Rome Statute 223
- International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia 330
- International War Crimes Tribunals 83–4
- interrogational variables and witness accuracy
 - leading questions 88–91
 - number of efforts made to recall 86–7
 - overview 84
 - post-event interference 87–8
 - retention interval 84–6
 - type of recall 86
- interrogative recall 86
- interviewer bias, and children's suggestibility 131
- interviewer's emotional tone, and children's suggestibility 131
- interviewing children in sexual abuse cases
 - anatomical dolls 133, 143–4
 - body maps 142–3
 - felt board technique 142
 - goals of interview 141
 - interview procedure 142
 - reducing the risk of suggestibility 140
 - Statement Validity Analysis 141
 - Step-Wise Interview 142
 - Systematic Approach to Gathering Evidence (SAGE) 141–2
- interviewing eyewitnesses
 - closing the interview 100
 - cognitive interview (CI) 101
 - establishing rapport 99–100
 - guidelines regarding children and other vulnerable witnesses 99, 101
 - initiating and supporting a free narrative account 100
 - Memorandum of Good Practice* (MOGP) 99
 - neuro-linguistic programming 101
 - overview 98–9
 - PEACE model 99
 - questioning vulnerable witnesses 100
- interviewing judges (sentencing studies) 204
- Ivan the Terrible 84–5
- Jamieson v. The Queen* 292
- Johnson* case 343
- Johnson and Johnson* case 246
- Johnson v. Louisiana* 150–1
- Joiner* case 237, 239–40
- judge–jury agreement 160
- 'Judgement of Memory Characteristics Questionnaire' (JMCQ) 298
- judges
 - gender and sentencing practices 216–17
 - influence on jury trials 186
 - political affiliation and decision making 217
 - treatment of female defendants 206–7
 - see also* judiciary; sentencers

- judicial decision-making
 - affect-control theory 219–20
 - attitudinal model 218
 - attribution theory 219
 - as a balancing act 196
 - challenges for researchers 220
 - cognitive model 218
 - descriptive models 218–19
 - gender differences 216–17
 - instinctive synthesis approach 197
 - as an intuitive process 197
 - mechanical view 196
 - models 218
 - story model 220
- judicial discretion in sentencing 157, 197–8
 - criticisms of 201
 - extent of 197–8
 - and judicial self-regulation 201
 - justification of 197–8
 - and reducing disparities in sentencing 217–18
 - reduction of 157, 197–8
 - and statutory regulation 201
- judicial integrity, and corruption 214
- The Judicial Mind* 217
- The Judicial Mind Revisited* 217
- judiciary
 - demographic composition 214
 - expansion in size and power 196
 - provision of justifications for their sentencing 198
- judicium parium* 152, 153
- Juries Act 1974 (UK)* 149–50, 165
- Juror Bias Scale 166
- juror characteristics
 - age 170
 - attribution of responsibility 170
 - authoritarianism 172
 - conservatism 172
 - demographic similarity to defendant 170, 173–4
 - dogmatism 172
 - educational standard 170
 - gender 170
 - ideology 170
 - previous experience 172
 - race 171–2
 - relationship between attitudes and behaviour 172
 - relationship to verdict 167–8
 - reported importance of 169–74
- juror competence
 - and comprehension of evidence 175–7
 - in death-qualified juries 178
 - discussion of evidence during trials 189
 - el objeto del veredicto* 190
 - enhancement via procedural innovations 189, 190
 - and expert testimony 176
 - failure to assess 175
 - and joinder of criminal charges 180
 - overview 192
 - understanding and following of judge's instructions 177–8
- juror decision-making, influence of expert testimony 251–2
- juror note-taking 189
- juror prejudice
 - and pre-trial publicity 169
 - and racial discrimination
- juror questions 189
- jury charge, and juror competence 177–8
- jury composition
 - demographically heterogeneous 170
 - differences across jurisdictions 150–1
 - relationship to verdict 183
 - representativeness 190
- jury consulting firms 158, 164
- jury decision-making models
 - algebraic weighted average model 188
 - Bayesian probability theory model 188
 - cognitive story model 188
 - stochastic Poisson process model 188
- jury–defendant bias 171–2
- jury deliberations
 - behaviour of jurors before retiring to deliberate 167
 - chances of acquittal 183
 - differences across jurisdictions 152

- influencing factors 182–3
- and jury decision-making models 188–9
- liberation hypothesis 180, 181
- methods for reaching agreement 184
- pre-deliberation juror preferences 181
- process 180
- role of non-active jurors 184
- time taken to reach a verdict 182, 184–5
- why jurors change their minds 184–5
- jury eligibility 149–50
- jury foreperson
 - characteristics that predict election 179
 - influence over outcome of deliberation 179
 - selection and role 178–80
- jury research, methods since the 1980s 10
- jury selection
 - approaches to 166
 - challenge procedure 165–8
 - challenges for cause 165–6
 - Juror Bias Scale 166
 - peremptory challenges 165–6
 - process 166
 - recommendation for reform 190–1
 - scientific systematic jury selection 166–7
 - supplemental juror questionnaires 166
 - voir dire* hearings 165, 166
- jury size
 - 12-person juries 149, 150
 - role of non-active jurors 184
 - six-person juries 183–4
- jury studies 157, 158
 - archival research 159
 - books by ex-jurors 164
 - ecological validity of experimental simulation 162
 - findings and implications 160
 - and juror deliberation 167
 - methods for studying juries and juror 158, 164
 - mock juries 161–2
 - post-trial juror interviews 163–4
 - questionnaire surveys 159–61
 - shadow juries 162–3
- jury systems
 - alarming verdicts and juror behaviour 155
 - alternatives to trial by jury 191
 - arguments against jury trials 154–5
 - arguments in favour of jury trials 156
 - and decisions in legal cases 157
 - decline of 149, 150
 - differences between civil law and common law countries 150–2
 - function of juries 156, 160
 - historical background 149–50
 - impartiality 152, 153
 - and institution of trial by jury 149, 150
 - need for reform 158, 189–91, 193
 - notion of an impartial and fair jury 149, 150–8
 - pre-trial publicity 168–9
 - problematic concept of the jury 152–3
 - and reasoned verdicts 155
 - symbolic importance 152, 153
 - and trial by one's peers 152, 153
 - voir dire* hearing 149, 150
- jury verdicts
 - hung juries 187
 - impact of 157
 - and reasoned verdicts 155
 - unanimity requirement 149, 150, 151
 - see also* jury deliberations
- jury vetting 149, 150
- just deserts sentencing 197–9, 201
- Kane, Patrick 242–3
- Korsakoff's psychosis 32, 35
- Kumho Tire Co. v. Patrick Carmichael*
 - assumptions about capacity of judges 239–40
 - details of case 237
 - recommendations arising from case 239
 - significance of case 239
- Kupreskic, Vlatko 83–4

- law
 - adoption of psychological findings 14
 - conflicting approach to that of psychology 8
 - difficulties in bridging the gap with psychology 5–13
 - future relation to legal psychology 13–14
 - goal of uniformity 11–12
 - and memory issues 24
 - method 9–10
 - model of human nature 8–10
 - potential contribution of psychology 16–18
 - values and criteria of explanation 8
see also lawyers; legal profession
 - law-enforcement agencies *see* police forces
 - law-enforcement personnel *see* police officers; police recruitment
 - Law and Human Behaviour* (journal) 4
 - Law and Psychology Review* (journal) 4
 - Lawrence, Stephen 366, 368, 392
 - lawyers, influence on jury trials 186
 - layered voice analysis (LVA) 281
 - leading questions
 - children's ability to resist influence of 133–4
 - and contamination of memory of an event 88–9
 - influence on children's testimony 129–30
 - and suggestibility of children 133
 - susceptibility to 133
 - and witness accuracy 88–91
 - learned helplessness 241, 242
 - Legal and Criminological Psychology* 4
 - legal profession
 - ambivalence regarding relevance of psychology 7
 - common ground with psychology 7
 - future relation to legal psychology 13–14
 - legal psychology
 - adoption of findings by law and legal profession 14
 - definition 2
 - development of the field 2–5
 - expansion of field since 1960s 3–5, 6–7
 - future development 13–18
 - history 1930s to 1960s 6
 - jingoism, dogmatism and chauvinism 14
 - need for critical perspective 18
 - pioneering ideas and applications 2–3
 - position within psychology 13
 - relation to legal science and practice 13–14
 - strengths and limitations of experimental method 10–11
see also forensic psychology; psycholegal research
 - legal psychology movement
 - impact on the law 3–4
 - problems encountered 17–18
 - legal realism 5, 6
 - levelling-sharpening by witness 60–1
 - lie-detectors *see* polygraphs
 - lies
 - as an institutionalised practice 259
 - categories 260
 - defined 258
 - essential components of concept of lie 259
 - other-oriented 259
 - reasons for people to lie 259
 - self-oriented 259
 - types 259
 - ubiquitous nature 258–9
see also deception
 - Lindbergh* kidnapping case 344
 - litigation strategies, joinder of criminal charges 180
 - Live Link, for child witnesses 116, 117, 119–22
 - Lockhart v. McCree* 173–4
 - Lombroso, Cesare 282
 - lying behaviour, beliefs about 264–6
 - McMartin* case 129
 - Macpherson report (1999) 368–9
 - magistrate/judge shopping 214
 - Magna Carta* 149, 152, 153
 - Mallard v. The Queen* 247, 282
 - Manchester Metro News* 315

- mandatory sentencing 199
- Manson v. Braithwaite* 71, 319
- Marlowe-Crowne Social Desirability (SD) scale 359
- Marston, William 2, 5
- Maryland v. Craig* (US Supreme Court) 26, 117, 118, 127
- matching by item studies (sentencing studies) 203
- medication, and witness accuracy 62
- Memorandum of Good Practice* (MOGP) 99, 121
- memory
 - active and constructive model 29, 95–6
 - and anxiety 128
 - central executive 30
 - erroneous assumptions 29
 - motivated forgetting 31
 - operation of 246, 247
 - reasons for retrieval failure 31
 - as a social system 30
 - theories of remembering 31
 - three-stage processing model 30–1
 - see also* children's memory
- memory conformity effect 124
- memory disorders 31–2
- memory errors 40
- memory issues, importance in law 24
- memory monitoring 31
- memory performance
 - age-related decrements 64–5
 - in the elderly 63
 - metacognitive monitoring and control processes 31
 - peak age 64
 - and tension arousal 47–50
- memory-recovery therapies
 - concerns of professional associations 93–4
 - creation of illusory memories 93
 - criticisms of 95
 - false diagnoses of child sexual abuse 93
 - refusal of funding by US insurance companies 60
 - support for 96
 - types of 91–2
 - value of reviving memories of past traumas 97
- memory-recovery therapists
 - need for care in use of techniques 97
 - vs cognitive psychologists 95
- memory research, ecological validity issue 11
- memory wars 89
- men, discrimination in sentencing 206, 207–8
- Metsky, George 395
- Michaels* case
 - amicus* brief 131, 140, 232
 - details 129
- micro-expressions 269–70
- Minnesota Multiphase Personality Inventory 361
- Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission 198
- Miranda v. Arizona* 393
- misinformation effect 87–8, 103
- misinformation (source monitoring error) 91
- mistaken identification
 - formal recognition of dangers 305–8
 - formal recommendations to avoid misidentifications 305–6
 - in line-ups 305
 - problem of 29
 - and wrongful conviction 25–6
- Mitchell* case 292
- mock juries, in jury studies 161–2
- mood, and witness accuracy 61–2
- mood-congruency effect 61
- Moore v. Medley* 231
- morning types, and witness accuracy 59
- Morris Committee 179
- motivated forgetting 31
- movements (non-verbal behaviour) 267
- moving group identification 322
- mugshots *see* photographs
- Multiple-Choice-Sequential-Large (MSL) line-ups 333–4
- multiple-entry modular memory model 31
- Münsterberg, H. 2, 5
- Murphy, Patrick 305
- Murphy v. R* 246
- Murray v. UK* 375

- National Institute of Forensic Science 249
- National Institute of Justice, Working Group on Eyewitness Evidence *Guide* 306, 307, 336
- Neil v. Biggers* 41, 43, 71, 319
- neuro-linguistic programming 101
- neuroticism, and witness accuracy 58
- New Jersey v. Margaret Kelly Michaels* *see Michaels case*
- new terrorism 400
- non-court penalties 199
- non-custodial measures 221
- non-verbal behaviour 267
- non-verbal cues to deception 266–8, 271
- O'Brien, Dominic 32
- observational studies (sentencing studies) 203–4
- obsessive compulsive disorder 246
- offenders
 - age and eyewitness testimony 81
 - shows of remorse 185
 - see also* restorative justice (RJ)
- Office for Victims of Crime 222
- On the Witness Stand* 5
- Opinion Deception Judgement Task 278
- oral evidence 27
- overt integrity tests 261
- own-race bias 65
- P300 brainwave 290–1
- paper-and-pencil tests 261–4
- paramnesia 32–5
- Parole Index prediction method 203
- pathological lying 260
- Patton v. United States* 149, 150–2
- PC-Eyewitness* (software) 327
- PEACE interview model 99, 373
- peak of tension test 286
- peer pressure, and children's suggestibility 132
- penal philosophy, shift from rehabilitation in favour of just deserts 201
- People v. Buckley* *see* *McMartin case*
- perception
 - active and constructive model 29, 30
 - defined 30
 - erroneous assumptions about 29
 - and expectation 69
 - as a social system 30
- perpetrator variables and witness accuracy
 - age of offenders 81
 - height of perpetrators 82
 - number of perpetrators 81
 - offender's size 81
 - perpetrator's back and gait 82
 - race and ethnicity 82
 - whole-body information vs facial information 81–3
- person identification *see* identification of persons
- perverse acquittals 160
- perverse convictions, and race 171
- photo-arrays 308, 310, 316
- photo-board identification 311–12
- Photo-Fit* (software) 339
- photofits, broadcasting 315
- photographs
 - accuracy of identification 315
 - admissibility as source of identification 312
 - as an investigative tool 315
 - as a method of identification 309–10
 - misidentification 313
 - research into use of mugshots 314
 - State/national electronic databases 312
 - subsequent use 312
 - taken by police 312
- physical appearance, and criminal behaviour 67–8
- physical attractiveness
 - and deception-detection 279
 - and witness accuracy 69
- plea-bargaining 157, 199
- police associations, concern over effects of stress on members 369–70
- police brutality 384
- police–citizen interaction
 - attitudes of citizens 364–5
 - prejudice and discrimination 365–9
- Police and Criminal Evidence Act (PACE)* 1984 (UK) 29, 99, 306, 307, 336, 392
 - Annex A 328
 - Annex B 324
 - Annex C 322
 - Annex D 317

- Annex E 313
- Code of Practice D 306, 308, 312, 318, 330
- Code of Practice E 375–6
- and police interview procedures 374
- power to stop and search 366–7
- police detectives
 - core attributes 373
 - role and status 373
 - training in interviewing witnesses and suspects 373
- police forces
 - crime clear-up rates 373
 - demographic composition 356
 - differences in difference countries 355
 - institutional racism 368–9
 - prejudice and discrimination 365–9
 - and psychological testing 357
- police interrogations *see* police questioning of suspects
- police management, concern over effects of stress on officers 369–70
- police officers
 - accuracy of eyewitness testimony 75
 - assumptions about training and recall 75–8
 - attitudes 358–60
 - and authoritarianism 358, 359
 - and carrying firearms 364
 - concerns about own personal safety 370
 - conservatism 358, 359
 - effects of body-handling duties 372
 - encounters with the public 362–5
 - ethnocentrism 359
 - external stress 370
 - impact of training on mind set 76–7
 - intelligence, education and success in force 360
 - internal stress 370
 - interviewing practices and witness accuracy 80
 - John Wayne Syndrome 360
 - long-term effects of stress 369–70
 - most significant stressors 371
 - nature of role and skills required 356
 - negative stereotyping 367
 - stages of early career 359–60
 - stress and length of service 371–2
 - stress management 372–3
 - task-related stress 370
 - us against them mentality 357–8
 - values 357–8
 - vulnerability to stress 370
 - ways in which prejudice and discrimination are manifest 367–8
 - what they do while on duty 356
- police procedures
 - for interviewing witnesses 306–7
 - regarding witness identification 308
 - and social context 308
- police psychology, history of 355
- police–public relations, problematic nature of 362
- police questioning of suspects
 - admission/confession rates 374–5
 - advice on how to question con artists 378
 - advice on methods 378–9
 - challenges of interviewing fraudsters 377
 - creating resistance in suspects 381
 - differences between US and Britain 376
 - effectiveness in eliciting confessions 383–4
 - emphasis on ‘investigative interviewing’ 376
 - feedback persuasive techniques 376
 - goals of interrogation 376
 - how officers approach the task 382–5
 - Improving Interpersonal Evaluation (IIE) Interview 380
 - interrogative pressure 384
 - nature of interviewing 381
 - nine steps to securing a confession 380
 - PACE guidelines 375–6
 - PEACE interview model 99, 373
 - percentage of officer time spent on 373
 - and police brutality 384

- police questioning of suspects (*cont.*)
 - principles of ethical interviewing 391
 - psychological ploys 376
 - psychological techniques of influence 376
 - psychologically manipulative tactics 378
 - Reid Technique 378, 379
 - role of detectives 373
 - specialist interviewing (SI) 373
 - Specialist Investigative Interviewing (SII) 378, 379
 - suspects who refuse to answer questions 381–2
 - theoretical models of confessions 384–5
 - see also* PEACE interview model; Specialist Interviewing (SI)
- police race relations
 - combatting police stereotyping, prejudice and discrimination 369
 - and over-representation of ethnic minorities in arrest and imprisonment statistics 367
 - racial profiling in the US 365–6, 368
 - riots in England 369
 - treatment of ethnic minorities in Britain 366
 - treatment of victims of crime from ethnic minorities 367
- police recruitment
 - assessment centres 361
 - concurrent validation approach 360
 - deception-detection 361
 - immaturity index 361
 - inability of psychological testing to predict later performance 360–1
 - and police subculture 358
 - pre-dispositional model 357
 - predicting success within the force 360–1
 - predictive validation approach 360
 - psychological screening of 2
 - qualifications for entry 359
 - qualities needed 357
 - selection methods 356–7
 - selection of recruits 360
 - socialisation model 357, 359
 - using psychometric tests 360
- police subculture, induction of probationers 358, 364
- police uniforms 362–5
- policing, and psychological research 355
- Policing Skills Training (PST) 363–4
- polygraphs 246, 281
 - admissibility of expert evidence 282–3
 - ascertaining knowledge of specific information 286–8
 - comparison question test 284
 - concealed knowledge technique (CKT) 287–8
 - control question test (CQT) 284, 288
 - countermeasures to influence test outcomes 288–9
 - countries where they are not used 283
 - countries where they are used 283
 - criticisms of 290
 - and *Daubert* criteria 283, 286, 287–8
 - directed lie control test (DLT) 286
 - examiner influence on accuracy and outcomes 288
 - factors impacting on test accuracy and outcome 288–90
 - first development 2
 - fooling 288
 - formal assessments 289–90
 - guilty knowledge test (GKT) 287, 288
 - history of use 282
 - peak of tension test 286
 - relevant–irrelevant question test 284
 - scoring the polygraph chart 283
 - searching peak of tension test 286
 - techniques used 284–6
 - what they do 283
- post-event interference, and interrogational variables 87–8
- post-event misinformation, and effect on original memory 89–91
- post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) 241, 242, 246
- post-trial juror interviews 163–4
- Powers of Criminal Courts (Sentencing) Act 2000* (UK) 199

- Powers v. Ohio* 152, 153
 pre-trial publicity 168–9
 prediction studies (sentencing studies) 203
 Privy Council, Star Chamber sittings 149, 150–8
 procedural memory, decline of 63
 profiling offenders 393–4
 Circle Theory of Environmental Range 399
 Criminal Geographic Targeting (software) 398
 FBI profiling 395–6
 profiling defined 394
 psychological profiling evidence 242, 247
 statistical/actuarial profiling 396–9
 techniques and methodological frameworks 399
 victim search methods 398
PROfit (software) 338, 341
 prosecutorial discretion 157
Protocol for Judicial Case Management in Public Law Children Act Cases 252
 pseudological fantastica 260
 psychoanalytic models of confessions 385
 psycholegal jurisprudence 16
 psycholegal research
 application of general principles to individual cases 12–13
 in Australia 18
 failure to look at big picture 15
 future challenges 407–8
 gaps in knowledge 407–8
 imbalance in focus 406
 knowledge of procedural and substantive law 14, 15
 and legal implications of studies 12, 28
 methods 18
 nature and focus 2, 6–7, 406
 and policy formation 12–13
 practical importance of studies 28
 restrictions on field experiments 14
 scope 13, 14, 16–17, 407
 psychological differentiation, articulated vs global 60
 psychological pharmacology 62
 psychological profiling *see* FBI profiling; profiling offenders
 psychological stress evaluator (PSE) 280–1
 psychologists
 common ground with lawyers 7
 ethical dilemmas associated with providing expert testimony 13
 increasing demand as expert witnesses 230
 psychology
 applications to law 2
 areas in which psychologists are in demand as expert witnesses 230
 competing theories and perspectives 11–12
 conflicting approach to that of law to key issues 8
 difficulties in bridging the gap with law 5–13
 efforts to bridge gap with law
 model of human nature 8–10
 and policing 355
 position of legal psychology 13
 potential contribution to law 16–18
 research methods 9
 scientific method and criteria of explanation 8
 study of deception 260
 varying approaches to scientific experimentation 10–11
 see also legal psychology; social psychology
Psychology in the Courts: International Advances in Knowledge 4
Psychology, Crime and Law 4
 psychology in law 2
 psychology and law 2
 psychology of law 2
Psychology, Law and Legal Processes 4
Psychology in Legal Contexts: Applications and Limitations 4
The Psychology of Personal Identification 4
Psychology, Public Policy, and the Law 4
 psychology of terrorism 399–400
 psychometric tests, use in selection of police recruits 357

- psychopathy *see* antisocial personality disorder (psychopathy)
- questionnaires
 jury studies 159–61
 supplemental juror questionnaires 166
- Quinn* case 330
- R v. B.* 246
- R v. Bartlett* 92, 247
- R v. Beland* 282
- R v. Bowman* 234, 242
- R v. Brown* 248
- R v. Calder* 248
- R v. Clark* 247
- R v. Da Sylva* 39
- R v. Felin* 108
- R v. Fong* 246
- R v. George* 25–6
- R v. Harris* 234, 235, 242, 326
- R v. Jamel* 322
- R v. Jenkyns* 247–8
- R v. Johnson* 317
- R v. Johnston* 248–9
- R v. Jones and Nelson* 317
- R v. Jumeaux* 92
- R v. Kai-Whitewind* 235
- R v. Khan and Bains* 343
- R v. Leilua* 246
- R v. Letizia* 186–7
- R v. McCarthy* 247
- R v. McFelin* 247
- R v. Marcus* 328
- R v. Martin and Nicholls* 324
- R v. Maxwell* 166
- R v. Mohan* 247, 249
- R v. Murray* 246, 282
- R v. Oakwell* 307
- R v. O'Doherty* 342
- R v. Ranger* 247
- R v. Robb* 241
- R v. Sally Loraine Emery* *see* *Emery* case
- R v. Smith* 246
- R v. Taaka* 246
- R v. Tilley* 292
- R v. Turnbull* 43, 245
- R v. Turner* *see* *Turner* case
- race
 and death penalty 172
 of judge and sentencing disparities in the US 217
 and juror prejudice 171
 and jury composition 171–2
 and perverse convictions 171
 same-race identification bias 328
 and sentencing disparities in Britain 209–10
 and sentencing disparities in the US 210–11
 and treatment of Indigenous peoples of Australia 208–9
 and victim/plaintiff characteristics 185
 and witness accuracy 65, 82
- race riots 366, 369
- racial discrimination
 by police 365–9
 combatting in police forces 369
 and criminal justice policy 212
 in criminal justice system 208
 and social policy 212
- racial profiling, by US police 365–6, 368
- racial stereotypes 68
- random sample studies (sentencing studies) 203
- rape victims, stereotypical beliefs about 68–9, 170
- rational decision-making 11–12
- Ray's (1972) Balanced F (BF) scale 359
- reality monitoring 297–8
- recall 86–7
- recognition
 age-own bias 64
 and own-race bias 65
- recognition errors, among the elderly 64
- recovered memories
 child abuse allegations 93
 and concept of repression 31
 defined 91
 see also memory-recovery therapies; memory-recovery therapists
- recovered memory vs false memory debate 95–8
- recruit training questionnaire (RTQ) 363
- reflection, and accuracy of eyewitness testimony 58

- rehabilitation, and sentencing 197, 201
- Reid model of confessions 385
- relevant-irrelevant question test 284
- Reno, Janet 306
- repeating of misinformation 131
- repeating questions 116
- repressed memory syndrome 93, 96, 247
- repression of memory
 - controversy over 31
 - defined 91
 - rarity of 95
 - rejection of concept of massive repression 94
- restorative justice (RJ)
 - applications 225
 - centrality of offender's apology 224
 - and conflicts as property 224
 - criticisms 226
 - development of theory 224–5
 - difference from sentencing 224–5
 - distinguished from retribution and rehabilitation 224
 - evaluating 225
 - implementation of programs 223–4
 - key values 225
 - merits 225
 - objectives 225
 - origins 223
 - reasons for victim's choosing approach 225
 - supporting evidence for effectiveness 226
 - and victims of crime 221
 - victims' reasons for 225
- retention interval, and accuracy of eyewitness testimony 84–6
- retributive terrorism 400
- Review of the Criminal Courts of England and Wales see Auld Report*
- Revised Impact of Event Scale 372
- Ring v. Arizona* 174–85
- Rogers case 318
- Rokeach Value Survey 357
- Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale 391
- Roskill Committee 176
- Royal College of Psychiatrists (UK), Working Group on Recovered Memories 94
- Royal Commission on Criminal Justice (1993) 163, 176, 255, 387
- Royal Commission on Criminal Procedure 289
- Runciman Report *see* Royal Commission on Criminal Justice (1993)
- same-race identification bias 328
- Sapir, Avinoam 298
- SC v. United Kingdom* 117–18
- Scarman Report (1981) 368
- schemas 67, 69
- schemata theory 31
- scientific content analysis (SCAN)
 - application 299
 - coding 299
 - development 298
 - forensic utility 299
 - underpinning assumptions 298–9
- searching peak of tension test 286
- secondary victimisation 220
- self-monitoring, and witness accuracy 60
- self-presentation approach (non-verbal cues for deception-detection) 267–8
- semantic memory, decline of 63
- sentencers
 - and demographic composition of judiciary 214
 - difficulties of task 199–200
 - misbehaviour 214
 - relationship to sentence severity 215
 - and sentencing culture of jurisdiction 214
 - and sentencing disparities 214–15
- sentencing
 - consistency in 200
 - judicial discretion 157
 - just deserts 197–9
 - as public face of criminal justice process 199
 - and rehabilitation 197
 - and the right sentence 200
 - as a science or an art 196
- sentencing disparities
 - and defendant's attractiveness 213–14
 - and defendant's gender 205–8
 - and defendant's race 208–12

- sentencing disparities (*cont.*)
 - eliminating by training of judges 197
 - extralegal factors 202, 205
 - and gender of sentencer 216–17
 - interaction of legal and extralegal factors 202
 - international concern 200
 - legal factors 202
 - measures to reduce racial discrimination 212
 - and political affiliation of judges 217
 - and race of judge 217
 - reasons for 200–1
 - reducing 217–18
 - and the sentencer 214–15
- sentencing guidelines 198
- Sentencing Guidelines Commission (US) 198
- Sentencing Guidelines Council (England) 198
- sentencing policy, growing politicisation of 196
- sentencing studies
 - crude comparison studies 203
 - experimental studies 204
 - interviewing judges 204
 - matching by item studies 203
 - need for scale to measure sentence severity 205
 - observational studies 203–4
 - prediction studies 203
 - random sample studies 203
 - research methods for studying variations in sentencing 202–5
 - role of 201
- Sex and Power* (report) 216
- sexism, in sentencing 206
- sexual assault victims, participation in the criminal justice system 92–3, 96–7
- shadow juries, use in jury studies 162–3
- show-ups/witness confrontations 316–17
 - admissibility of identification evidence 317, 318, 319
 - arguments against use 319–20
 - identification procedure 316–17
 - risk of bias 319
- witness identification accuracy 320–2
- ‘Silent Talker’ 280
- simulated crime methodology, in eyewitness testimony research 38
- single case studies, in eyewitness testimony research 32–3, 36–7
- slide presentations, in eyewitness testimony research 34, 37–8
- social psychological approach to deception-detection
 - beliefs about lying behaviour 264–6
 - cognitive load on liar 276
 - non-verbal cues to deception 266–8
 - processes experienced by liars during deception 267–8
 - traditional interview technique compared to CI technique 268
 - verbal cues to deception 268
 - wrongful beliefs about cues to deception 266
- social psychology 6
- sociological jurisprudence 5
- sociology of knowledge 136
- software, face composites 338
- source attribution errors 133
- source monitoring errors 91
- Specialist Interviewing (SI) 373
- Specialist Investigative Interviewing (SII) 378, 379
- Specialty Guidelines for Forensic Psychologists* 5
- staged events, in eyewitness testimony research 34–8
- State v. Driver* 3
- statement reality/validity analysis (SVA)
 - applications of 294–5
 - criteria-based-content analysis (CBCA) criteria 293–4
 - development of 292
 - main elements 293
 - potential use in court proceedings 295–7
 - theoretical basis of technique 293
 - validity check-list items 294
- Statement Validity Analysis 141
- Statements of Opinion 221

- stationary group identification 322
- statistical/actuarial profiling 396–9
- Step-Wise Interview 140–1
- stereotypes
 - and accuracy of eyewitness testimony 67–9
 - and children's suggestibility 132
 - defined 67–8
 - and voices 342
- Stevens, John 397
- stochastic Poisson process model (jury decision-making) 188
- Strategic Use of Evidence (SUE technique) 242
- stress
 - categories 370
 - long-term effects for police officers 369–70
- stress management, for police 372–3
- stylometry
 - admissibility of stylometric evidence 292
 - focus of 291–2
- suggestibility of children *see* children's suggestibility
- suggestibility of vulnerable witnesses 243, 387, 388
- suggestibility of witnesses, limitations of experimental simulation 32, 35
- supplemental juror questionnaires 166
- suppression of memory 91, 95
- survey studies, in eyewitness testimony research 33, 37
- survivors of crime 220
- suspects 326
- system variables, in study of eyewitness memory 39
- Systematic Approach to Gathering Evidence (SAGE) 141–2
- TARA (timed antagonistic response alethiometer) 280
- tension arousal
 - and eyewitness performance 47–50
 - relationship to cognitive efficiency 46–7
 - relationship to memory 47
 - and unreliability of laboratory testing 47–8
- Terman, Louis, 2
- terrorism
 - causes, threat and impact 401
 - defined 400
 - psychology of 399–400
- Terrorism Act 2000* (UK) 369
- terrorists
 - age, gender and education 402
 - characteristics and motivations 401–3
 - identity and radicalisation 402
 - religious vs nationalist motives 403
- The Queen v. McCrossen* 292
- Thomas* case 318
- Titchner, Edward 6
- Toscanini, Arturo 32
- trace-alteration account 88
- transracial identification 244
- trauma, defined 91
- traumatic amnesia 26–8
- traumatised victims, interviewing 104
- trial by jury *see* jury systems
- trial by one's peers 152, 153
- trial by ordeal 149, 150
- trial tactics 186
- truth-bias 269, 272, 273
- Turnbull* Guidelines 245, 343
- Turnbull and others* 306
- Turnbull* warning 307, 337, 342, 344
- Turner* case 240
- Turner* rule
 - application and impact 241–6
 - assumptions regarding human behaviour 240–1
 - common knowledge and experience rule of evidence 240, 242
 - relation between psychology and common sense 240
- ultimate issue rule (admission of expert evidence) 233
- unconscious transference
 - empirical literature 314–15
 - expert testimony 243–4
 - nature of 314
 - theoretical approaches 314
- United States, psychologists as expert witnesses 233
- United States v. Plaza*
- US Congress Office of Technology Assessment (OTA) 262–3

- US Constitution
 - Article III 168
 - Eighth Amendment 182
 - Fifth Amendment – Due Process Clause 168
 - Fourteenth Amendment 153
 - proposed Crime Victims' Rights Amendment 222
 - Sixth Amendment 27, 118, 152, 153, 173–4
- US Department of Defence, report on polygraphs 289
- US Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP) 168, 180
- US Federal Rules of Evidence (FRE) 93, 236
 - FRE 601 115
 - FRE 702 238
- US v. Hearst* 292
- US v. Schefer* 283
- Varendonck, J. 230
- veracity effect *see* truth-bias
- verbal cues to deception 268, 271
- verbal descriptions of suspects 340
- 'verbal overshadowing' 341
- ViCLAS (Violent Crime Linkage Analysis System) 396
- victim, concept of 220
- victim advocacy 222
- Victim Impact Statements 221
- victim–offender mediation programs 221, 224
- victim/plaintiff characteristics
 - interaction with defendant characteristics 186
 - interaction effect between age and race 185
 - in jury trials 185
- victim precipitation 220
- Victim Statements 221
- Victim and Witness Protection Act 1982* (US) 222
- Victims' Charter 222
- victims of crime
 - attitudes towards offenders 221
 - changed role in trials 221
 - legal rights 221–2
 - legal rights in international law 223
 - offender characteristics recalled 70
 - participation in criminal trials 221
 - recovering from victimisation
 - experience 222–3
 - study into 220
 - treatment by criminal justice system 221
 - and witness accuracy 69–70
- Victims' Rights Amendments 222
- video footage identification 337
- Video Identification Parade Electronic Recording (VIPER) 327
- video line-up identification 308, 328–9
- video-link (live), for child witnesses 116, 117, 119–22
- Violent Sexual Offenders* 4
- visual witness identification 304
- vocal characteristics 267
- voice identification
 - abusive language 345
 - and accents 347
 - accuracy 344, 350–1
 - age of earwitness 348
 - blind listeners 349
 - characteristics of the voice 345
 - circumstances under which voice is heard 344–5
 - delay and retention interval 347–8
 - disguised voices 346
 - earwitness confidence and accuracy 349
 - expert testimony 326
 - experts' accuracy 349
 - familiar voices 346
 - forensic utility 350–1
 - formal guidelines for admissible evidence 342–3
 - gender of earwitness 348
 - guidelines for 306
 - hearing same voice repeatedly 347
 - humans vs machine 344
 - identification procedure used 350
 - and language familiarity 346–7
 - listener characteristics 348
 - and number of voices 344
 - and passively heard voices 345
 - post-event interference 349–50
 - and presence of a weapon 345
 - race of speaker and earwitness 348
 - reliability 344

- stereotypes about voices 342
 - training to enhance accuracy 349
 - when speaker is under stress 345
- voice stress analysis 280–1
- voir dire* hearings
 - function of 165
 - and honesty of jurors 166
 - influence over 166
- vulnerable witnesses
 - and cognitive interviews 103–4
 - and false confessions 387
 - guidelines for interviewing 99–101
 - most common vulnerabilities 390
 - suggestibility of 243, 387, 388
 - suggesting they seek therapeutic help 101
- Wagenaar, Willem 83–4, 85–6, 330
- Wainwright v. Witt* 153
- Washington Sentencing Reform Act 1984* (US) 208
- weapon effect 328
- weapon-focus effect 50–3
- Whitbread v. The Queen* 248
- Williams and Colgrove* 183
- Williams v. Florida* 184
- Willicroft* 196, 197
- Witherspoon v. Illinois* 173
- witness characteristics and accuracy
 - age 63–5
 - alcohol abuse 62
 - and breadth of categorising 60
 - cognitive style 60
 - confidence 70–5
 - extroversion 58
 - gender 66–7
 - illegal drug abuse 62
 - intelligence 59–60
 - levelling-sharpening 60–1
 - medication 62
 - mood 61–2
 - morning or evening types 59
 - need for approval/affiliation 58–9
 - neuroticism 58
 - number of witnesses 78–80
 - physical attractiveness 69
 - race 65
 - reflection/impulsivity 58
 - schemas/stereotypes 67–9
 - self-monitoring 60
 - whether witness is a police officer 75
 - whether witness is also a victim of the crime 69–70
- witness communication-persuasion model 72
- witness confidence
 - and accuracy of testimony 70–5
 - importance in adversarial system 70
 - influence on jurors 175
- witness confrontations *see* show-ups/witness confrontations
- witness credibility 71
 - and age 135
 - and demeanour 264
 - police preconceptions prior to interviewing 265–6
- witness reliability 70
- Wizard Project 278
- women
 - discrimination in sentencing 206, 207–8
 - in legal profession 216
- wrongful convictions, percentage of 309
- Yerkes–Dodson law 46–7
- Youth, Justice and Criminal Evidence Act (YJCEA) 1999* (UK) 115, 116–17