

Aversive Democracy

The twenty-first century has brought a renewed interest in democratic theory and practices, creating a complicated relationship between time-honoured democratic traditions and new forms of political participation. Reflecting on this interplay between tradition and innovation, Aletta J. Norval offers fresh insights into the global complexities of the formation of democratic subjectivity, the difficult emergence and articulation of political claims, the constitution of democratic relations between citizens and the deepening of our democratic imagination. *Aversive Democracy* draws inspiration from a critical engagement with deliberative and post-structuralist models of democracy, while offering a distinctive reading inspired by contemporary work on the later Wittgenstein. This is a creative and insightful work which reorients democratic theory, elucidating the character of the commitments we engage in when we participate in democratic life together.

Aletta J. Norval is Reader in Political Theory in the Department of Government at the University of Essex. She is the author of *Deconstructing Apartheid Discourse* (1996).



Aversive Democracy

Inheritance and Originality in the Democratic Tradition

ALETTA J. NORVAL





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi
Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521878425

© Aletta J. Norval 2007

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2007

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-87842-5 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-70268-3 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For David and James



It is when Emerson thinks of thinking, or conversion, as oppositional, or critical, that he calls it aversion.

Cavell, Conditions Handsome and Unhandsome (1990, 36)

The alternative to speaking for myself representatively (for *someone* else's consent) is not: speaking for myself privately. The alternative is having nothing to say, being voiceless, not even mute.

Cavell, The Claim of Reason (1982, 28)

Hence the calculation of units, that is, what are called *voices* or *votes* [voix] in democracy...What is a voice or a vote?

Derrida, Rogues (2005, 30)



Contents

Ac	knowledgements	page viii
Introduction: towards an aversive account of democracy		1
1	Democracy, universalization and (dis)agreement	18
2	Democratic argumentation: rhetoric and imagination	56
3	Democratic identification and aspect change	105
4	Democratic subjectivity: the promise of democratic community	141
5	Conclusion: aversive democracy – exemplarity, imagination and passion	187
	Bibliography	215
	Index	230



Acknowledgements

This book has been long in the making. I would like to acknowledge the assistance of many friends and colleagues over the years that I have been working on this project in one form or another. I have been very fortunate to have been in a Department in which there is no lack of intellectual challenge and inspiration. The initial ideas for this book were formed in the context of the PhD seminars in Ideology and Discourse Analysis at the University of Essex, when I first taught a course on Wittgenstein to a cohort of graduate students and visiting post-doctoral fellows. Then, and more recently, the students on this programme proved to be both insightful commentators and critical readers of my arguments. I cannot mention these seminars without also immediately acknowledging my enormous debt to Ernesto Laclau, whose acute and perceptive style of political analysis inspired me to take up the challenge of developing a distinctively Wittgensteinian approach to thinking about democratic politics. But beyond this, I am deeply appreciative of his efforts to create and foster an institutional context and community in which intellectual debate and engagement with a wide variety of perspectives became possible. Several colleagues at the University of Essex also read and commented repeatedly on early drafts and chapters. I wish to thank, in particular, the members of the University of Essex Political Theory group for their encouragement and engagement with this project. I am particularly grateful to Albert Weale and Sheldon Leader, as well as to Jason Glynos and David Howarth for patient reading and engagement with early drafts of chapters. I also am deeply appreciative of the time and attention David Howarth and Jason Glynos devoted to reading and commenting on the final version of the manuscript. James Tully and David Owen read the manuscript for



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS iX

Cambridge University Press and gave me enormously helpful comments both on the content of arguments and the structuring of the text. Special thanks also to David Owen, who met up with me to discuss the manuscript in detail. It is not often that one finds such an erudite reader. Many other colleagues and friends also commented on various occasions when I presented sections of this book in seminars. Michael Freeden kindly invited me to give a paper in the Centre for Political Ideologies, Oxford and I have benefited from his contributions, as well as from those of his students. I presented a version of Chapter 3 to the Political Theory Colloquium at Northwestern University, where Bonnie Honig and Linda Zerilli both commented in some detail on my arguments. Linda Zerilli subsequently provided me with an extremely thoughtful commentary and thought-provoking questions. I also presented sections of the argument at conferences in Copenhagen, where Eva Sørenson and Jacob Torfing of the Centre for Democratic Network Governance at the University of Roskilde provided me with encouragement and always engaged generously with my arguments. Lasse Thomassen at Limerick University most recently also probed my arguments in his usual gentle style. Finally, Andy Schaap, Samuel Chambers, Alex Thomson and Emilios Christodoulidis all provoked me to rethink and defend my arguments at a stimulating workshop held in Edinburgh.

I also would like to thank the Leverhulme Trust, from whom I obtained a year's research leave at the start of this project. The Department of Government, University of Essex also provided me with generous study leave at crucial points in the project. I would like to thank David Sanders in particular for his support. I am also grateful to colleagues who took over some of my supervision during my research leave. Here David Howarth and Jason Glynos, who took on most of the additional burden of supervision and teaching, deserve a special thanks, as do Sarah Birch and Hugh Ward. I have been very fortunate also to have had the help of Noreen Harburt, who kindly agreed at a late stage to help with the final preparation of the bibliography and the production of the text. In this regard, I would



X ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

also like to thank John Haslam, Carrie Cheek, Joanna Breeze and Sue Dickinson, for their help and careful advice in steering my manuscript through the production process.

Finally, I also have debts of a more personal nature. I am grateful beyond words for the love and support of my family: James, whose cheerful disposition kept the writing process in perspective, David Howarth, who remains one of my most critical readers, as well as my sister, Betsy Stoltz and my mother, Nanda Norval, whose encouragement sustained me throughout this project.

Chapter 1 was published in S. Critchley and O. Marchart (eds.), *Laclau. A Critical Reader* (Abingdon, Oxon.: Routledge, 2004). Chapter 3 was published in *Political Theory* 34, no. 2 (2006), 229–55.