Who Gets What? Analysing Economic Inequality in Australia

Why have the incomes of corporate executives dramatically outstripped those of other workers? Do people's income and wealth reflect differences in their contributions to the Australian economy? Do big economic inequalities damage productivity and social cohesion? Why isn't Australia a more egalitarian society?

Who Gets What? explores questions like these. It looks at recent trends in income and wealth in Australia and examines the economic evidence in a way that makes fascinating reading for both general and specialist audiences.

The book looks at who is rich and who in Australia still lives in poverty – and why. It explores the causes of economic inequality and the possibility of making our society more equal. Ultimately, the authors offer their own solution to these problems, with policies which could redistribute income and wealth more equitably.

FRANK STILWELL is Professor of Political Economy at the University of Sydney.

KIRRILY JORDAN is a research assistant in political economy at the University of Sydney.

Who Gets What? Analysing Economic Inequality in Australia

FRANK STILWELL AND KIRRILY JORDAN



CAMBRIDGE

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521700320

© Frank Stilwell, Kirrily Jordan 2007

This publication is copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2007

Printed in China by Everbest Printing Company Ltd

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

National Library of Australia Cataloguing in Publication data
Stilwell, Frank J B
Who Gets What?: Analysing Economic Inequality in Australia.
Bibliography.
Includes index.
ISBN-13 978-0-52170-032-0 paperback
ISBN-10 0-52170-032-9 paperback
I. Income distribution – Australia. 2. Wealth – Moral and ethical aspects – Australia.
Australia – Economic conditions – 2001 – Regional disparities. I. Jordan, Kirrily.
II. Title.
339,220994

ISBN-13 978-0-52170-032-0 paperback ISBN-10 0-52170-032-9 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

CONTENTS

List of figures		<i>page</i> vi
List of tables		vii
Ack	nowledgements	Х
1	Inequality	1
2	Incomes	20
3	Wealth	44
4	The rich	64
5	The poor	79
6	Divided spaces	104
7	A gender agenda	126
8	Driving the disparities	148
9	Getting happier?	169
10	Fallout	184
11	What is to be done?	200
12	Prospects	223
Appendix A		231
Appendix B		233
Bibliography		234
Index		260

۷

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Wages share of total income, 1960–1 to 2005–6	page 22
2.2	Profits share of total income, 1960-1 to 2005-6	22
5.1	Estimated poverty rates by age group, 2001	90
5.2	Estimated poverty rates by workforce	93
6.1	Estimated increase in average household income by region,	
	before and after adjusting for housing costs, 1996 to 2001	115
6.2	Unemployment rate by region, 2001	119
7.1	Female employment by industry, April 2006	131

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Total weekly earnings by occupation, percentage of	
	employees in each income range, 2005	page 24
2.2	BCA CEO total cash remuneration, shareholder return and	
	adult earnings, trend comparisons 1990–2005	27
2.3	Number of Australian households, by income range,	
	2003–4	31
2.4	Income shares of the Australian population, 2003–4	33
2.5	Income inequality in Australia, as shown by the Gini	
	coefficient, 1978–9 to 2003–4 (available years)	36
2.6	Income inequality in Australia, as shown by the P90/P10	
	ratio, 1994–5 to 2003–4	37
2.7	Income share of different income groups, Australia, 1994–5	
	to 2003–4	37
2.8	International comparison of income inequality, selected	
	countries	41
3.1	Wealth held in bank deposits, 2002	52
3.2	Wealth held in equity investments, 2002	54
3.3	Wealth held in superannuation, 2002	55
3.4	Wealth held in housing, 2002	56
4.1	The wealth needed to be on the Australian rich list,	
	1994–2006	66
4.2	Asset values of the super rich in Australia, 1994–2006	67
4.3	Concentration of assets among the Australian super rich,	
	1994–2006	68
4.4	Principal sources of wealth of top ten wealth holders in	
	Australia, 1994 and 2006	72

viii Who Gets What?

4.5	Selected background characteristics and wealth sources of	
	the top 10 wealth holders in Australia, 1994 and 2006	74
4.6	All-time richest Australians in 2004, selected entries	77
5.1	Poverty rates in OECD countries	83
6.1	Estimated average household incomes by region, 2001	115
6.2	Estimated average household income by state and region, as	
	a percentage of average Sydney household income, 2001	116
6.3	Unemployment rates by state and territory, and by region,	
	2001	120
6.4	Proportion of households in given income groups, by region,	
	2001	122
7.1	Male and female average weekly earnings, February 2006	129
7.2	Female earnings as a percentage of male earnings, 1985–2006	130
7.3	Workforce status of males and females, May 2006	134
7.4	Female participation in the workforce and workforce status,	
	June 1980–June 2006, selected years	135
7.5	Workforce status of males and females, June 1980–June 2006,	
	selected years	135
7.6	Average hours per week of unpaid domestic work in couple	
	households, 2001	140
7.7	International comparison of gender inequalities in income	
	and burden of work	143
8.1	Methods of setting pay by sector, May 2004	
	(% of employees)	159
9.1	Attitudes to needs, by income quintile	173
12.1	Attitudes to economic inequality in Australia, 1984–2003;	
	per cent of the population who consider that income	
	differences in Australia are too large	226
12.2	Attitudes to income redistribution in Australia, 1984–2003;	
	per cent of the population who think that income should be	
	redistributed	227
A.1	Attitudes of different income groups to gap between high	
	and low incomes according to gross annual income of	
	respondent	231

List of tables ix

Attitudes of different income groups to the statement,	
'Ordinary working people do not get a fair share of the	
nation's wealth', according to gross annual income of	
respondent	232
Attitudes of different income groups to the statement,	
'Government should redistribute income', percent, by gross	
annual income of respondent	232
Comparison of equivalence scales utilised in the NATSEM	
Report (2004) and the Henderson Reports (1975) on Poverty	233
	'Ordinary working people do not get a fair share of the nation's wealth', according to gross annual income of respondent Attitudes of different income groups to the statement, 'Government should redistribute income', percent, by gross annual income of respondent Comparison of equivalence scales utilised in the <i>NATSEM</i>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Research in the social sciences has an inherently collective character. Individual researchers compile and interpret information about patterns and processes, as we have done in this study, drawing on previous knowledge developed by other researchers. This is both inevitable and desirable. In developing this analysis of economic inequality we have built on a wide array of previously published research, as well as official data from the Australian Bureau of Statistics. These sources are carefully acknowledged in the text and in the comprehensive bibliography.

We would also like to acknowledge the direct assistance of other researchers. Bill Pritchard commented on an earlier draft of chapter 6, Elizabeth Hill made helpful suggestions about chapter 7 and Phil Raskall helped clarify the relationship between economic inequality and the balance of payments that is considered in chapter 10. Three anonymous referees also provided comments on an earlier draft of the whole volume; their work had a major influence on the revisions we made for the final version.

Makiz Ansari provided valuable research assistance at an early stage in the project. Eleanor Armstrong did much of the word processing. Kim Armitage from Cambridge University Press buoyed our spirits with her enthusiasm for publishing the volume, and Kate Indigo steered it through the production process. Sandra Goldbloom Zurbo was an excellent copy editor and Neale Towart efficiently compiled the index.

The veracity of the published work and its value for future researchers and policy-makers, as well as students of the social sciences, is our own joint responsibility, of course. We hope it helps to inform and to make a difference.

> Frank Stilwell Kirrily Jordan February 2007