CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-69769-9 - Medical Decision Making: A Physician's Guide
Alan Schwartz and George Bergus
Index
More information

Index

Page numbers followed by *t* indicates tables, *f* indicates figures and *n* indicates footnotes.

absolute risk reduction (ARR), 67
activities of daily living (ADLs), 19
adaptation, 38
advanced directives, 153–154
allocation. *see* cost-effectiveness analysis; public health decisions; social values
ambiguity, 57,62
American Society for Bioethics and Humanities, 176
analogue scales, 31f
Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), 26,26t
Aristotle, 37
"Asian disease problem," 61
aspiration Index, 9
asymmetric dominance, 106n
attitudes
  towards loss, 61
  towards risk and loss, 35,82n
  towards uncertainty, 62
attraction effect, 106n
availability heuristic, 65

Basu, Anirban, 150,151–153
Bayes' Theorem, 137,137f
Beaver Dam Health Outcomes Study, 25
Belmont Report of the National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects, 182
beneficence, 182–183
benefits, of health, 161–164
Bentham, Jeremy, 80,80n
Bernoulli, Daniel, 79
Bernoulli, Nicolas, 79
bias
  cost of, in learning environments, 145
  in diagnostic testing, 144–145
  and dominated opinions, 106n
  and judgment heuristics, 66
  outcome bias, 66
  in probability revision, 144–145

caregivers. *see* family
category rating, 31f
Cayley, William, 8
Center on the Evaluation of Value and Risk in Health, 170,170t
chance and choice, 81,81t
  see also expected utility
charges *vs.* costs, 164–165
Clinical Decision Making: From Theory to Practice (Eddy), 171–172
clinical research, 123f
  ethics in, 182–183
  phase I drug trials, 183–185
  see also evidence-based medicine (EBM)
clinical scoring rules, 141–142,143f
communication
  approaches to, 70
  and health dimensions, 23
  of uncertainty to patients, 63
components, health. *see* health dimensions
conditional independence, 140

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-69769-9 - Medical Decision Making: A Physician's Guide
Alan Schwartz and George Bergus
Index
More information

confidence, 98
  and calibration, 95f
  clinical questions for, 98
  defined, 90
  by experts, 97
  and lack of conflict, 97
  over- and underconfidence, 93
  and second opinions, 114,116f
  statistical, 92
  subjective, 92
  as term, 90n
confidence intervals, 91
confirmation bias, 145
constraints
  defined, 8
  as goals, 4
  vs. goals and objectives, 8
  see also goals; objectives
contingent valuation, 162
contrast, 37
coping, uncertainty and, 72
cost-benefit analysis, 161–162
cost-effectiveness analysis, 162,166–173
  cost-effectiveness ratio, 167,168f
  discussions of, 171–173
  incremental cost-effectiveness ratio, 169,170t
  principles of, 166–167
  rationing care, 177–179
cost-effectiveness ratio (CER), 167, 168f
costs *vs.* charges, 164–165
cost-utility analysis, 163–164
criteria, decision making, 7
cumulative prospect theory, 82

decision perspective, 160–161
decision support systems (DSS), 142
decision trees, 107,107f
decisions
  clinical questions for, 13
  deliberative consideration of, 6
  dimensions and. *see* health dimensions
  ethics in, 175–177
  and family. *see* family
  good decisions, defined, 7
  holistic *vs.* decompositional approach to, 17,41
  information and, 5
  information for. *see* information
  justifications for, 5
  life goals and, 7,13
  outcomes and, 4,22
  preparing for outcomes of, 78
  uncertainty in. *see* uncertainty
  visualizing. *see* visualization
Decisions with Multiple Objectives (Keeney and Raiffa), 7
decompositional approach, 17
  example of, 23
  vs. holistic approach, 17,41
diagnostic tests
  Bayes' Theorem, 137,137f
  clinical questions for, 146
  combination of results, 139–140
  and conditional independence, 140
  development of, 130,130f
  gold standards, 130–131
  interpretation of, 136–139
  multiple, 138–139
  ordering strategies, 141
  psychology of, 142,144f
  ROC curve, 133,134f
  scoring rules for, 141–142,143f
  sensitivity and specificity, 133
  thresholds for, 131,131f
dimensions of health. *see* health dimensions
discounting, 47,165–166
discrete probability distribution, 121f
distributive justice, 181–182
DOSPERT (Domain-Specific Risk-Taking) scale, 59,60t
durable power of attorney for health care, 153–154

Eddy, David, 171–172
Educating Intuition (Hogarth), 145
emotions, risk and, 62
"Enough: The Failure of the Living Will" (Fagerlin and Schneider), 154
epidemiology, risk and, 56,56n
equity, 179
error
  cost of, in learning environments, 145
  false negatives, 132,133
  false positives, 132,133
  in interpretation, 144–145
  in probability revision, 144–145
ethics, 175–177
  and medical research, 182–183
  and phase I drug trials, 183–185
EuroQOL EQ-5D, 24

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-69769-9 - Medical Decision Making: A Physician's Guide
Alan Schwartz and George Bergus
Index
More information

evaluation, health states, 36
  analog scales *vs.* category rating, 31f
  clinical questions for, 41
  comparison of methods, 36
  of health states, 31f
  holistic *vs.* decompositional approach to, 41
  prediction problem, 39
  standard gamble method, 35
  time tradeoff method, 33
  utility for, 35n
evidence-based medicine (EBM), 126f
expectation principle, 77t
expected utility, 87
  alternatives to, 84t
  clinical questions for, 87
  decision trees, 108
  and the expectation principle, 77t
  expected utility theory, 81,81t
  and expected value of perfect information, 121f
  gist representation and, 87,87t
  of health benefits, 163–164
  lexicographic strategy to, 84
  vs. maximax strategy, 85
  vs. minimax strategy, 85
  patient responses towards, 81,83f
  and the preparation principle, 78
  vs. regret minimization, 86
  from testing. *see* diagnostic tests
  theory of, 81,81t
expected value of imperfect information (EVII), 122f
expected value of information (EVI), 120f
expected value of perfect information (EVPI), 121f
experiential approach, 70
Expert Choice 11, 26
expert judgment, 97

Fagan's nomogram, 137,137f
Fagerlin, Angela, 154
false negatives, 132,133
false positives, 132,133
family, 149–158
  benefits of patients to, 151,152
  benefits to patients with, 150–151
  clinical questions for, 158
  costs to, 152
  surrogate decision-makers, 153–157
  utility, 149–153
Foundation for Informed Decision Making, 30
functional status, 19
future planning prediction problem, 39
fuzzy trace theory (FTT), 87,87t

goals
  alternatives and, 11t
  clarification of, 10
  and medical decisions, 7,11t
  vs. objectives and constraints, 8
  swing-weighting, 12t
  typology of (Aspiration Index), 9
  see also constraints; objectives
gold standards, 130–131
Groopman, Jerome, 66

health
  defining, 18
  describing states of, 30
  evaluation of health states. *see* evaluation, health states
  functional status, 19
  life expectancy, 18
  mental well-being, 19
  overall state of, 41
  quality of life, 20
  questionnaires, 25
  social well-being, 19
health benefits
  health units of, 162
  monetary units of, 161–162
  utility units of, 163–164
health care proxy, 153–154
health dimensions, 28
  Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), 26,26t
  clinical questions for, 28
  defining health, 20
  holistic *vs.* decompositional approach to, 41
  measurement tools for, 26
  rating the importance of, 23
  Simple Multi-Attribute Rating Technique Exploiting Ranks (SMARTER), 27,27n
  use of, 23
health profiles, 44f
Health Utilities Index (HUI), 24
healthy-year equivalents (HYE), 48
Hershberger's Inventory of Cognitive Biases in Medicine, 65f
heuristic judgments, 65f
hierarchical tree method, 104,104f
higher-order uncertainty, 57
Hogarth, Robin M., 145

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-69769-9 - Medical Decision Making: A Physician's Guide
Alan Schwartz and George Bergus
Index
More information

holistic approach
vs. decompositional approach, 17,41
to health states, 29
hope, uncertainty and, 72
How Doctors Think (Groopman), 66

Impact 3 Survey Generator program, 36
incidence *vs.* prevalence, 56n
incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER), 169,170t
individual patient perspective, 160
influence diagrams, 110f
information, 113,115f
clinical questions for, 127f
from clinical research, 123f
from evidence-based medicine, 126f
expected value of (EVI), 120f
expected value of imperfect (EVII), 122f
expected value of perfect (EVPI), 121f
information analysis, value of, 120f
maximum value of (MVI), 123f
for patients, 125f
from second opinions, 114,116f
sensitivity analysis, 116f
informed consent, 182,184–185

Kasser, Tim, 9

legal considerations
and communicating uncertainty, 63
and decision support systems (DSS), 142
of research practices and informed consent, 182
and testing, 139n
lexicographic strategy, 84
life expectancy, 18
clinical questions for, 51
vs. quality of life, 51
quality-adjusted, 48
life goals. *see* goals
likelihood of being helped or harmed (LHH), 68
living wills, 153–154
losses *vs.* gains
and expected utility, 82
patient attitude towards, 61,82n

Markov models, 50f
maximax strategy, 85
maximum value of information (MVI), 123f
measurement, of health dimensions, 26
medical care goals
for family. *see* family
good decisions, defined, 7
overview, 4
and social values. *see* social values
for society. *see* public health decisions
see also goals
medical costs, 164–166
costs *vs.* charges, 164–165
discounting and, 165–166
future indirect, 166
vs. nonmedical costs, 165
Medical Outcome Study SF-36, SF-12, and SF-8, 24
medical research. *see* clinical research
Meltzer, David, 150,150n
mental well-being, 19
minimax strategy, 85
monetary value of health, 161–162
Monte Carlo methods, 118n
Mueller-Lyer illusion, 63n
multiattribute utility theory, 23
multiple outcomes, 77t

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), 124f
nonmaleficence, 178
number needed to treat (NNT), 68
numeracy, 63,63n
Nuremberg Code of 1948, 182

objectives
defined, 7
vs. goals and constraints, 8
see also constraints; goals
observed spending behavior, 161–162
odds, 63n
organ donation dilemma, 179–181
Ottawa Personal Decision Guide, 107
outcome bias, 66
outcomes
attributes of, 105
of decisions, 4,78
dimension importance and, 23
multiple and the expectation principle, 77t
ranges of, 22
short term and long term, 46
overall health. *see* health
overconfidence, 93
overextremity, 94
overprediction, 94

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-69769-9 - Medical Decision Making: A Physician's Guide
Alan Schwartz and George Bergus
Index
More information

Panel on Cost Effectiveness in Health and Medicine, 167
Pascal, Blaise, 79
patients
  advance decision-making by, 153–154
  clarification of goals, 10
  communication about health dimensions, 23
  as competent decision makers, 153
  emotional reactions of, 62
  and expected utility, 81,83f
  and family. *see* family
  health, in context of, 20
  individual patient perspective and, 160
  medical knowledge of, 125f
  numeracy level of, 63,63n
  prediction problem, 39
  religious beliefs of, 33
  risk attitudes of, 62
  and social values. *see* social values
  swing-weighting goals, 12t
  and uncertainty, attitudes towards, 62
payer perspective, 160–161
perfect health, 30
  rating against death,
  and time tradeoff method, 33
perspective, 160–161
  individual, 160
  payer, 160–161
  societal, 161
pharmaceutical advertising, 125f
phase I drug trials, 183–185
physicians
  and calibration, 94,95f
  ethics and. *see* social values
  and nonmaleficence, 178
  public health responsibilities. *see* public health decisions
  risk attitudes of, 59
  role in surrogate decision-making, 157,157n
  and second opinions, 114,116f
  and uncertainty, 58
Poetics (Aristotle), 37
prediction problem, 39
  for acute states, 37
  for chronic states, 38
  discounting and, 47
  surrogate predictions, 39
preferences, uncertainty in, 58
preparation principle, 78
prevalence *vs.* incidence, 56n
Principles of Biomedical Ethics (Beauchamp and Childress), 182
pro and con lists, 105,106t
probabilistic sensitivity analysis, 117,118n
probability *vs.* frequency. *see* uncertainty
"problem of points," 79
procedural justice, 179–181
pseudodiagnosticity, 145
public health decisions, 159–174
  arguments about, 171–173
  clinical questions for, 173–174
  cost-effectiveness, 166,168f
  decision perspective and, 160–161
  health benefits, health units of, 162
  health benefits, monetary units of, 161–162
  health benefits, utility units of, 163–164
  and medical cost, measurement of, 164–166
  rationing care, 177–179
  and social values. *see* social values

quality adjusted life years (QALYs), 48,150–151,163–164
  see also cost-effectiveness analysis
quality of life, 20
  adjusted life expectancy and, 48
  clinical questions for, 51
  discounting and, 47
  and expected utility, 80
  Markov models, 50f
  vs. quantity of, 51
  questionnaires, 25
  and short term and long term outcomes, 46
Quality of Well-Being scale (QWB), 24
quantity of life. *see* life expectancy; quality of life

rank order centroid (ROC) weights, 27n
rating
  for evaluation of health states, 31f
  importance of health dimensions, 23
rationing care, 177–179
receiver operating characteristic (ROC), 133,134f
reference standards, 130–131
regret minimization, 86
rehabilitation, quality of life and, 44f
relative risk reduction (RRR), 67
representativeness heuristic, 65f
rescue, rule of, 178–179
research. *see* clinical research

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-69769-9 - Medical Decision Making: A Physician's Guide
Alan Schwartz and George Bergus
Index
More information

resource allocation. *see* cost-effectiveness analysis; public health decisions; rationing care
Reyna, Valerie, 87,87t
risk
  and emotion, 62
  patient attitude towards, 35,82n
  reduction of, 70
  and uncertainty, 57,62
Risk Assessment Tool, 89n
risk-seeking *vs.* risk-averse choices, 62, 82,83f
rule of rescue, 178–179

St. Petersburg Paradox, 79
Schneider, Carl E., 154
scoring rules, 141–142,143f
screening. *see* diagnostic tests
second opinions, 114,116f
sensitivity, 133
sensitivity analysis, 116f
  defined, 116f
  probabilistic, 117,118n
  threshold, 116f
Simple Multi-Attribute Rating Technique Exploiting Ranks (SMARTER), 27,27n
social values
  clinical questions for, 185
  and distributive justice, 181–182
  ethical decisions and, 175–177
  ethics and medical research, 182–183
  phase I drug trials, 183–185
  and procedural justice, 179–181
  rationing care, 177–179
  see also public health decisions
social well-being, 19
societal decisions. *see* family; public health decisions
societal perspective, 161
Society for Medical Decision Making, 176
specificity, 133
spending behavior, 161–162
standard gamble, 35
standard gamble method, 35
statistical confidence, 92
strategy, defined, 101
  see also visualization
subjective confidence, 92
subjective expected utility (SEU), 82
support theory, 66
surrogate decision-making, 154–157
surrogate predictions, 39
Surrogates' Agreement with Patients' Resuscitation Preferences (SUPPORT) trial, 156,157n
Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) database, 152–153
swing-weighting goals, 12t
  with SMARTER, 27,27n

taxpayer samples, 164
testing. *see* diagnostic tests
threshold sensitivity analysis, 116f
thresholds, for testing, 131,131f
time tradeoff method, 33
trade-offs, in decision making, 12t
triage, 179

uncertainty
  attitudes towards, 60t
  clinical questions for, 73
  communicating to patients, 63
  and confidence intervals, 91
  coping with, 72
  defined, 56
  and the expectation principle, 76
  and hope, 72
  managing, 71
  and numeracy, 63,63n
  probability associated with, 110,110f
  probability issues, 64f
  probability *vs.* frequency, 66,67t
  risk reduction, 70
  types of, 58
underconfidence, 93
United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS), 180–181
^{13}C-urea breath test (UBT), 130,130f
utilitarian approach, to rationing care, 177
utility. *see* expected utility; family

valence effects, 66
values
  expected, 78
  monetary, of health, 161–162
  social. *see* social values
  uncertainty in, 58
verbal approach, 69
visual approach, 69,70f

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-69769-9 - Medical Decision Making: A Physician's Guide
Alan Schwartz and George Bergus
Index
More information

visualization, 101–112
attributes for, 104,104f
bias in, potential, 106n
clinical questions for, 112
decision trees, 107,107f
influence diagrams, 110f
outcome attributes for, 105
pro and con lists, 105,106t
strategy construction for, 101,102t
web sites
for expected utility worksheets, 81
for nomogram, 138n
for Risk Assessment Tool, 89n
well-being
mental, 19
social, 19
World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki, 182

© Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org