Russia transformed

Since the fall of communism, Russia has undergone a treble transformation of its political, social, and economic system. The government is an autocracy in which the Kremlin manages elections and administers the law to suit its own ends. It does not provide the democracy that most citizens desire. Given a contradiction between what Russians want and what they get, do they support their government and, if so, why? Using the New Russia Barometer – a unique set of public opinion surveys from 1992 to 2005 – this book shows that it is the passage of time that has been most important in developing support for the new regime. Although there remains great dissatisfaction with the regime's corruption, it has become accepted as a lesser evil to alternatives. The government appears stable today, but will be challenged by constitutional term limits forcing President Putin to leave office in 2008.

RICHARD ROSE is the Director of the Centre for the Study of Public Policy at the University of Aberdeen. He is the founder of the Barometer series of surveys of popular response to transformation in sixteen postcommunist countries and the author of dozens of books on comparative politics and public policy.

WILLIAM MISHLER is a Professor in the Department of Political Science at the University of Arizona and Visiting Professor, Centre for the Study of Public Policy at the University of Aberdeen. He has published widely on political behavior in the United States, Canada, and Europe.

NEIL MUNRO is a Senior Fellow in the Centre for the Study of Public Policy at the University of Aberdeen. He is coauthor with Richard Rose of *Elections Without Order: Russia's Challenge to Vladimir Putin* (Cambridge University Press, 2002).

> Russia has reached its limit for political and socioeconomic upheavals, cataclysms, and radical reforms. Vladimir Putin, millennium address, 2000

Russia transformed

Developing popular support for a new regime

Richard Rose, William Mishler, and Neil Munro

Centre for the Study of Public Policy, University of Aberdeen



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521692410

© Richard Rose, William Mishler and Neil Munro 2006

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2006

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN-13 978-0-521-87175-4 hardback

ISBN-10 0-521-87175-1 hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-69241-0 paperback

ISBN-10 0-521-69241-5 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

DEDICATED TO

The 28,155 people who took the time to tell us what they made of Russia's transformation

Contents

List of figures		page ix	
List of tables		Х	
Acknowledgments		xi	
	Introduction Transformation and its aftermath	1	
	Transforming institutions and popular support	3 7	
	Top-down and bottom-up approaches to transformation		
	Analyzing regime support	12	
1	Time matters: the dynamics of regime support	16	
	Maintaining support within a steady-state regime	18	
	Dynamic challenges	22	
	Political re-learning in a new regime	24	
2	The supply of regimes: democratic and autocratic	29	
	Defining regimes in two dimensions	30	
	Differentiating regimes that elites supply	33	
	Contrasting dynamics of regimes	38	
3	A changing supply of Russian regimes	49	
	An alternation of despotisms	51	
	Mass response: coexisting with despotism	56	
	From reform to transformation and a new equilibrium?	59	
4	Uncertainties of transformation: a view from the bottom	69	
	From controlled to free inquiry	70	
	Values amidst uncertainty	75	
	Coping strategies	78	
5	Changing levels of regime support	86	
	Variable support for the regime as it is	87	
	Dispersed support for alternative regimes	94	
	Theories of why Russians differ	99	
6	Social structure and the evaluation of regimes	106	
	Social differences are inevitable; their influence is not	107	

vii

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-69241-0 - Russia Transformed: Developing Popular Support for a New Regim	e
Richard Rose, William Mishler, and Neil Munro	
Frontmatter	
More information	

viii	Contents	
	Individuals age and society rejuvenates Combining the effects of social structure	114 118
7	The influence of political values and performance Democracy an ideal, not the Russian reality The legacy of the past Performance of a plebiscitarian regime Political performance matters	124 126 130 135 140
8	Finding the economic influences that matter Downs and ups of the national economy Downs and ups of the household economy Politics pervasive; economic influences contingent	147 150 155 160
9	The impact of the passage of time Stable and variable influences Expectations encourage resigned acceptance The cumulative impact of inertia	166 168 172 177
10	What could challenge the new equilibrium? Likelihoods and uncertainties Risks 2008: changing leaders or changing regimes?	185 186 189 192
	Appendix A. New Russia Barometer samples	202
	Appendix B. Coding of variables	208
References Index		211 223

Figures

2.1	Alternative forms of regime	34
2.2	Global overview of regime types	38
2.3	Differentiating regimes across Europe	47
3.1	Pessimistic view of the Russian Constitution	63
4.1	Endorsement of individual responsibility	79
4.2	Queuing for hours the norm	80
4.3	Uncertainty met with patience	84
4.4	Russian view of protest: leave me out	85
5.1	Trend in support for new regime	90
5.2	Wide dispersion in support for new regime	92
5.3	Endorsement of alternative regimes	95
5.4	Trends in endorsing alternative regimes	97
5.5	Dispersion in support: alternative regimes	99
6.1	Generational differences in regime support	117
6.2	Generational support fluctuates annually	119
7.1	Demand for and supply of democracy in Russia	129
7.2	Steady approval of past regime	132
7.3	Gap in approval of Putin and government	141
8.1	Macroeconomic trends	152
8.2	Evaluation of economic systems	154
8.3	Disagreement about ideal economic system	155
8.4	Consumer goods show discretionary income	159
8.5	Steady dissatisfaction with household economy	160
9.1	Economic expectations	173
9.2	Expectation of suspension of parliament	175
9.3	Changing impact of determinants of support	179
9.4	Time important for current regime support	182
9.5	Steady influences on rejecting alternatives	183
10.1	How should the next president be chosen?	199
10.2	Russians adapting to transformation	200

Tables

2.1	Modern and anti-modern states compared	42
2.2	Conduct of elections in post-Soviet states	45
3.1	Presidential elections 1996–2004	64
4.1	New Russia Barometer surveys since 1992	74
4.2	Values at the start of transformation	76
6.1	Ethnicity, identity, and regime support	109
6.2	Social structure and regime support	112
6.3	Social structure influences on current regime support	120
6.4	Social structure influences on rejecting alternative regimes	122
7.1	Russian views of essentials of democracy	128
7.2	Much greater sense of freedom from the state	134
7.3	Political institutions usually distrusted	139
7.4	Politics matters for current regime support	142
7.5	Politics matters for rejecting alternatives	145
8.1	Economic influence on current regime support	162
8.2	Economy adds little to rejecting alternatives	164
9.1	Influence of expectations: OLS model	176
9.2	Comprehensive multilevel model	178
10.1	Likelihood of future disasters	190

Acknowledgments

A lot has happened since Mikhail Gorbachev started what was intended to be a renewal of the Soviet system and Boris Yeltsin led a campaign to disrupt it. The launch of the Russian Federation at the beginning of 1992 was a journey into the unknown. Experience since has made the consequences of this transformation clear to ordinary Russians as well as to political elites.

A lot was written about the Russian regime while it was new, not least by the present authors. However, the regime is no longer new. The time that has passed since its launch is now longer than the time required for post-Franco Spain to qualify as a democratic member of the European Union. It is also longer than the time between the Weimar Republic introducing democratic elections to Germany and its replacement by Hitler's Third Reich. The Russian Federation has not gone to either of these extremes, yet it has been transformed.

While the launch of a regime is an event, the development of popular support is a process that takes time to unfold. The purpose of this book is to chart the extent to which a no-longer-new regime can claim the positive support of its citizens or at least the resigned acceptance of those who regard it as a fact of political life that will not go away. The book asks: how has support developed? Is it due to economic success, which can be fickle; to political values, which need not be democratic; or to the passage of time, which is irreversible? The evidence used to answer these questions comes from a unique database, fourteen New Russia Barometer surveys that began in January 1992, the first month of the new regime; the most recent was conducted in January 2005.

In conducting the New Russia Barometer surveys, the authors have accumulated many debts. Tens of thousands of Russians have answered questions about how they have coped with transformation and what they think of the regime that has resulted from it. A special debt is owed to the staff of the Levada Center (formerly VCIOM, the All-Russian Center for Public Opinion), which has conducted the fieldwork for New Russia Barometer surveys with great professionalism, despite the difficulties

xii Acknowledgments

created by the collapse of the Soviet Union and later by pressure from officials who tried unsuccessfully to undermine their independence. In an era of academic specialization, senior Russian scholars have been open to new approaches from the field of comparative politics.

The preparation of this book has been funded by a grant from the British Economic and Social Research Council (RES-000-23-0193) to study diverging paths of post-Communist regimes. The New Russia Barometer has also benefited from being part of the New Europe Barometer program of research, which since 1991 has conducted more than 100 surveys of mass response to transformation in sixteen post-Communist countries of Central and Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union (see www.abdn.ac.uk/cspp). The Paul Lazarsfeld Society, Vienna, under the leadership of Dr. Heinz Kienzl, was the leading partner in the development of this program. Comparative data have been especially valuable in identifying under what circumstances and to what extent Russians have differed from people challenged by transformation from the Baltic to the Black Sea and west as well as east of Vienna.

Earlier New Russia and New Europe Barometer surveys have been supported by grants from scientific, governmental, and private foundations in Austria, Germany, Hungary, Sweden, and the United States as well as Britain; from the epidemiological research program of Sir Michael Marmot at the University College London Medical School; and from intergovernmental agencies including the World Bank, the European Commission, and UN agencies. At no point has any intergovernmental organization had any claim to influence the design or content of Barometer questionnaires and reports. That has remained the responsibility of the senior author of this book.

Portions of this book have been presented in academic seminars and public policy gatherings in Britain, the United States, Austria, Estonia, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Lithuania, Slovenia, Sweden, Switzerland, and Taiwan, and published as "Resigned Acceptance of an Incomplete Democracy: Russia's Political Equilibrium," in *Post-Soviet Affairs*, 20, 3, 2004, 195–218. Anton Oleinik of Memorial University of Newfoundland made particularly cogent and useful remarks on an early draft of this manuscript, as did two anonymous reviewers of Cambridge University Press. The copyeditor, Karen Anderson Howes, did a splendid job of checking English-language expressions as well as Russian, and did so with great speed and clarity.