

Index

- abuse
 verbal, 4–5, 55, 232–3
- accent
 mimicry of, 161–4
- activity type, 196
- aggravating language. *See* swearing
- aggression, 3–4, 20
 and intentionality, 50
 and Type-A Personality, 54
 general aggression model, 56
 verbal, 140, 222–3
- Allan, Keith and Kate Burridge, 142–3
- Anderson, Craig A., 56
- Archer, Dane and Robin M. Akert, 123–4
- Aristotle, 61
- Arndt, Horst and Richard Wayne Janney, 146–8
- Arundale, Robert B., 27–8
- bald on record strategy, 180–1, 184
- banter, 12, 57, 209
 Banter Principle, 208
 ritualised, 211, 244
- Baron and Richardson, 3, 20, 205
- Beebe, Leslie M., 19, 220
- behaviour, anti-social
 definition of, 21
- behaviours
 conventionalised impolite, 136
 in impoliteness events, 155
- behaviours, absence of
 as implicational impoliteness trigger, 182–3
- Bousfield, Derek, 7, 19, 20
- Brown and Levinson, 6, 118–19, 180–1, 184
 definition of face, 25
 difficulties accounting for impoliteness, 7
- bullying, 257
- Burman, Michelle, xii, 4
- Carter, Ronald, 240–4
- Chomsky, Noam, 75
- cognitive stereotypes, 14
- communication studies, 5
- Community of Practice, 216
- conflict studies, 5
 and discourse, 5
 interpersonal, 5
- context, 21, 125
 contextual priming, 201–2
 definition, 195
 model. *See* situation model
- contextualisation cues, 125, 129, 196
- contrastive patterning. *See* mismatching
- Cooperative Principle
 in implicational impoliteness, 157–8
 Maxim of Manner, 159, 160
 Maxim of Quality, 158, 208
 Maxim of Quantity, 159
 Maxim of Relation, 160
- co-text, 11–12
 co-textual priming, 203–7
- Coupland, Nikolas, 74
- Cowell, Simon, 174–5, 177
- Craig, Robert, 6–7
- creativity
 pattern forming, 240–1
 pattern re-forming, 240–1
 types of, 240–1
- Culpeper, Jonathan, 6, 19
 previous work on impoliteness, 7
- culture
 cross-cultural studies, 21
 definition of, 12
 individualist vs collectivist, 26
 national, 13–14
 cursing, 222–3
- data analysis
 criteria for, 11–12
- datasets, 8–11
 diary reports collection of, 9–11
- diary reports
 emotional reactions, 62–5

- impoliteness metalinguistic labels in, 93–7
 - results, 43–7
 - Duranti, Alessandro and Charles Goodwin, 125
 - dysphemism, 142–3
 - embarrassment, 61
 - and shame, 62
 - emotion, 21
 - aggression and cognition, 56–7
 - as attitude schemata, 59–60
 - cognitive appraisal of, 221
 - moral, 60–2
 - schemata, 57–9
 - prototype hierarchy, 58
 - ethnomethodology, 197
 - evaluative beliefs. *See* schema theory, attitude schemata
 - experiential norms. *See* habits
 - exploitative TV shows, 249–52
 - The Weakest Link*, 145–6, 217, 250
 - mimicry in, 161–4
 - mismatch: prosodic, 169–74
 - The X Factor*, 174
 - face
 - aggravation, 20
 - B&L's concept of criticised, 21
 - central to impoliteness definitions, 20
 - contextual priming of, 201–2
 - contrasted to identity, 28
 - definition of, 24–6
 - face-loss, 61
 - quality face, 28
 - relational face, 29–31
 - social identity face, 28–9, 108
 - face-aggravation, 19
 - face-attack, 6, 20, 71, 164
 - strategies, 6–7, 114–17
 - context-spanning, 117
 - context-tied, 117
 - face-threatening acts (FTAs), 19, 20, 118–19
 - facework, 114
 - aggressive, 20, 113, 152
 - sanctioned aggressive, 216
 - Fairclough, Norman, 225
 - fighting words, 138
 - Fiske, Susan T. and Shelley E. Taylor, 13, 33, 55, 201
 - formulae, 34
 - conventionalised impoliteness, 129–31, 154, 255
 - categories of, 135–6
 - intensification of, 139–41
 - lexical and grammatical intensification of, 145
 - methodologies for, 153
 - prosodic intensification of, 145–52
 - politeness
 - conventionalisation of, 126–7
 - pragmatic mismatch
 - internal, 174–8
 - pragmatic mismatch
 - external, 178–80
- Fraser, Bruce, 36
- frustration-aggression hypothesis, 221
- Garfinkel, Harold, 197
- Gilbert, Margaret, 35–6, 132, 199
- Giora, Rachel, 167–8
- Goffman, Erving, 6, 33, 61, 113, 114, 246
 - definition of face, 24
 - involvement obligations, 41
- Graded Saliency Hypothesis, 167
- Greenwell and Dengerink, xiii
- Grice, H. Paul, 128, 156
- Gumperz, John J., 125, 129, 196
- habits
 - as social norms, 33–4
- Haidt, Jonathan, 60–2, 69–70
- Haugh, Michael, 48
- Hobbes, Thomas, 235
- Holmes, Janet, 20, 209
- identities, 13
- ideology, 15–16
 - and metadiscourse, 132
- implicatures
 - generalised and particularised, 128, 156
- impoliteness
 - and directness, 186–94
 - as attitude, 22–3, 255
 - as attribution, 23
 - as meta-representation, 23
 - as perlocutionary effect, 23
 - attitude schemata and metalanguage, 74
 - choice of as term, 24
 - conventionalised formulae, 12, 113
 - recontextualisation of, 207
 - Conversation Analytic approach to, 7
 - defined in this work, 23
 - definition in this work expanded, 254–5
 - definitions
 - and face, 20
 - in linguistic pragmatics, 19–20
 - in social sciences, 20
 - diachronic dimension, 256–8
 - difficulty in defining, 24

- impoliteness (*cont.*)
- discursive approach to, 7, 21
 - entertaining
 - types of pleasure in, 234–5
 - evidence for. *See* data, analysis, criteria
 - implicational, 156
 - and Cooperative Principle, 160
 - behaviours, absence of, 182–3
 - context-driven, 156, 180–2
 - conventionalisation scale, 156
 - convention-driven, 155, 165–9
 - form-driven, 155, 156–60
 - mimicry, 161–5
 - inherent in language?
 - arguments for and against, 117–26
 - institutional, 249–52
 - instrumental, 220
 - manuals, 108–11
 - metalinguistic labels, 76–80, 255
 - abusive language*, 77
 - aggravation etc.*, 76
 - face attack*, 77
 - impolite*, 76, 82–8
 - in conceptual space, 97–100
 - in diary report data, 93–7
 - rude*, 82–8
 - rudeness*, 76
 - semantic domains, 80–2
 - verbal aggression*, 77
 - verbally abusive*, 88–93
 - verbally aggressive*, 88–93
 - metapragmatic comments, 73–4
 - metapragmatic comments
 - rules in institutions, 108
 - metapragmatic comments
 - rules general and private, 108–11
 - metapragmatic expressions
 - over-politeness, 100–3
 - mock, 207–15, 244
 - multidisciplinary field, 3
 - non-conventionalised. *See* impoliteness, implicational
 - postmodern approach. *See* impoliteness, discursive approach
 - strategies, 255
 - synonyms, 24
 - understanding
 - integrated socio-cognitive model of, 66–9
 - understanding
 - socio-cognitive model, 57
 - impoliteness events
 - functions of
 - affective, 221–5
 - coercive, 233
 - coercive, definition of, 226
 - entertainment, 239
 - impoliteness 1 and 2 approaches, 50
 - innuendo, 156–7
 - insults, 135, 142–3, 200, 246–7
 - etymology of term, xiii
 - humorous, 209
 - racist, 232–3, 248
 - intensifiers, 144
 - taboo, 144
 - intentionality, 21, 23, 49
 - and aggression, 50
 - as scalar concept, 50–2, 65–9
 - correlated with gravity of offence, 52–3
 - intentions, 49, 50
 - in Gricean approaches, 48
 - intimidation. *See* sociality rights, physical self
 - irony
 - echoic, 161
 - mimicry, 162
 - Jaworski, Adam, 73, 74
 - Jay, Timothy, 222–3
 - Kienpointner, Manfred, 20, 206, 220
 - Lakoff, Robin Tolmach, 19
 - Leech, Geoffrey, 6, 119, 124, 184–5, 208
 - legitimation, 215
 - Levinson, Stephen, 128, 156, 196
 - Liu, Runquist, 25–6
 - Locher, Miriam, 7, 19, 101, 123, 225–6
 - Lucretius, Titus Carus, 235
 - matching, 147
 - meaning
 - inherent, 120
 - memory
 - episodic, 15
 - semantic, 15
 - social. *See* memory, semantic
 - metadiscourse, 1. *See* metalanguage
 - and ideology, 132
 - and social oughts, 132
 - metalanguage, 73–4
 - metalinguistic
 - labels, 2
 - metalinguistic expressions
 - Sapir–Whorf hypothesis, 73
 - metapragmatic
 - comments, 2, 73–4
 - rhetorical devices, 174–8
 - Mills, Sara, 216
 - mimicry. *See* impoliteness, implicational
 - mismatching, 147, 155

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-68977-9 - Impoliteness: Using Language to Cause Offence

Jonathan Culpeper

Index

[More information](#)

Index

291

- multimodal, 169
- verbal formula, 174
- morality. *See* social norms, morality
- mortification institutional, 246
- Mrs Merton*, 251
- offence
 - gravity of and directness, 186
 - gravity of correlated with intentionality, 52–3
 - no offence*, 174–8
 - taking, 2–3, 217
 - types of, 39–43
- offence types
 - and emotion, 62
 - association rights, 43–7
 - and emotion labels, 64
 - cross-cultural variation of in report data, 43–7
 - equity rights, 43–7, 163
 - and emotion labels, 64
 - inverse relation quality face and sociality rights, 44
 - quality face, 43–7
 - and emotion labels, 64
 - social identity face, 43–7, 163
 - taboo language, 43–7
- over-politeness, 100–3
- Oxford English Corpus*, 75
- parental name calling, 201, 211
- Pattern Grammar, 134
- politeness
 - off-record super-strategy, 157
 - social norm view, 36
- politeness theories
 - difficulties accounting for impoliteness, 6
 - frame-based approach, 126
 - politeness 1 and 2 approaches, 71
 - relational approaches, 7
- politic behaviour, 34, 196
 - and politeness as scalar, 16
 - opposed to politeness, 16
- power
 - and impoliteness attributions, 186–94
- pragmatics
 - linguistic, 5
 - impoliteness definitions, 19–20
 - sociopragmatics, 5
- prosodic register matching, 162
- prosody, 2, 145–52
 - and sarcasm, 169–74
- Protection from Harassment Act 1997, 108
- prototype theory, 58
- racism
 - institutional, 245–6
- rapport management, 21
 - analytical framework, 26–8
- rationality, 47
 - and social norms, 31–3
- reciprocity principle
 - in Chinese culture, 46
- redundant patterning. *See* matching
- Relevance theory
 - definition of context, 195
- respect
 - le respect*, 201
 - with respect*, 174–8
- rhetorical patterns, 224
 - repetition, escalation, and inversion, 5
- Robinson, Anne, 145–6, 161–4, 169–74, 249–52
- Ross, Lee, 55
- rudeness, 19, 20
 - I hate to be rude*, 174–8
 - in football crowds, 211
- reactive, 206
- Ruhi, Şükriye, 22–3
- Russell, James A., 57
- sarcasm, 165
 - triggered by mismatch, 165–9
 - prosodic, 169–74
- schema theory, 14–15, 195, 197–8
 - attitude schemata, 15
 - emotion, 59–60
 - emotion schemata, 57–9
 - frames, 14, 196
 - scripts, 14, 196
 - aggression, 56
 - emotion, 57
- self as schema, 13, 26
- self-interest, 47
 - and social norms, 31–3
- sexism, 1
- shame. *See* face, face-loss
- Shaver, Philip *et al.*, 58–9
- Silverstein, Michael, 132, 178
- situation model, 66–7
- social ‘oughts’
 - as social norms, 35–6
- social cognition, 54–6
 - perceptual salience, 55
 - perspective, 55–6
 - stereotypes, 54–5

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-68977-9 - Impoliteness: Using Language to Cause Offence

Jonathan Culpeper

Index

[More information](#)

292 Index

- social harm, 4, 20, 226, 232
- social norms, 21
- and cultural ideologies, 198–9
 - definition, 36
 - equity, 37
 - implicit, 197
 - morality, 39
 - reciprocity, 37, 205–6
 - social responsibility, 37
- social psychology, 3
- fundamental attribution error, 55
- sociality rights, 39–43
- association rights, 41–2
 - equity rights, 40–1
 - physical self
 - threat to, 42–3
 - taboo language, 42
- sociolinguistics
- interactional, 5
- sociology, 4–5
- Soldier Girls*, 247
- sounding, 210
- speech act theory, 119
- Spencer-Oatey, Helen, 12, 25, 26–31, 39–43
- Sperber, Dan and Deirdre Wilson, 161, 195
- Stenström, Anne-Brita, 244
- swearing, 6, 211
- swearwords, 125
- taboo language
- as offence type, 42
 - contextual neutralisation of, 114–17
 - fuck* as intensifier, 144
- teasing, 166, 210
- Tedeschi and Felson, 4, 20, 37, 182, 226, 227, 228
- Terkourafi, Marina, 19, 23, 32, 34, 113, 125, 126–7, 128–9
- Tetreault, Chantal, 200
- Tracy, Karen, 117
- University Challenge*, 250
- valence
- of behavioural regularities, 34
- van Dijk, Teun, 15–16, 66
- van Dijk, Teun and Walter Kintsch, 48
- violence
- symbolic, xiii
- vocabulary
- Anglo-Saxon and Latinate
 - creative use of, 237
- Watts, Richard J., 16, 50, 71, 101, 122, 216
- Who Wants to be a Millionaire?*, 250