IRENAEUS OF LYONS

Eric Osborn’s book presents a major study of Irenaeus (125–200), bishop of Lyons, who attacked Gnostic theosophy with positive ideas as well as negative critiques. Irenaeus’ combination of argument and imagery, logic and aesthetic, was directed to a new document, the Christian bible. Dominated by a Socratic love of truth and a classical love of beauty he was a founder of Western humanism. Erasmus, who edited the first printed edition of Irenaeus, praised him for his freshness and vigour. He is today valued for his splendid aphorisms, his optimism, love of the created world, evolutionary view of history, theology of beauty, and humour. Why have two millennia of European culture been so creative? Irenaeus points to the sources: Greek ways of thinking and the Christian bible. Irenaeus’ thought is complex, yet infinitely rewarding to the critical reader, and this full study of it will be of interest to theologians, historians of ideas, classicists, scientists and students.

ERIC OSBORN is Honorary Professor at the Department of History, La Trobe University and Professorial Fellow at the Department of Fine Arts, Classical Studies and Archaeology, University of Melbourne. His books (some of which have been translated into French and German) include The beginning of Christian philosophy (Cambridge, 1981), The emergence of Christian theology (Cambridge, 1993) and Tertullian, first theologian of the West (Cambridge, 1997).
IRENAEUS OF LYONS

ERIC OSBORN

Honorary Professor, La Trobe University, Melbourne
and
Professorial Fellow, University of Melbourne
To

Sophie and Genevieve
Contents

Preface xi
List of abbreviations xvi

1 Irenaeus: argument and imagery 1
  1.1 Life and work 1
  1.2 Irenaeus philosophus? 7
  1.3 The unexpected jungle 9
  1.4 Source criticism and conceptual bankruptcy 10
  1.5 Content, contour and conflict 12
  1.6 Truth and beauty: the two criteria 18
  1.7 The four concepts 20
  1.8 Argument and images 22

PART I DIVINE INTELLECT

2 One God: Intellect and love 27
  2.1 Attributes of God: from opulence to omnipresence 28
  2.2 Metaphysic of mind 32
  2.3 Divine Intellect in Irenaeus 38
  2.4 Plotinus 44
  2.5 Knowledge, love and unity of the divine Intellect 46

PART II ECONOMY

3 One creator: ut sapiens architectus et maximus rex 51
  3.1 Two analogies – wise architect and sovereign king 51
  3.2.1 Sovereign king 52
  3.2.2 Enclosing, not enclosed 55
  3.2.3 One creator, no regress 56
  3.3.1 Wise architect – word and plan 58
List of contents

3.3.2 Wise architect – the configuration of created things 60
3.4 Plotinus’ argument for a good creator 62
3.5 God needs nothing 64
3.6.1 *Creatio ex nihilo:* concept without formula (Justin) 65
3.6.2 *Creatio ex nihilo:* formula without concept (Basilides) 68
3.6.3 *Creatio ex nihilo:* formula and concept (Irenaeus) 69
3.7 Immediacy 73

4 Economy: God as architect of time 74
4.1 Economy and divine architect 75
4.2.1 Economy as accustoming 80
4.2.2 Economy as progressive revelation 82
4.2.3 Economy as the ascent of man 85
4.2.4 Economy as polemic: history as knowledge 86
4.3 Trinity and the hands of God 89
4.4 River and movement 93

PART III RECAPITULATION

5 Recapitulation: correction and perfection 97
5.1 Recapitulation, a complex concept 97
5.2 Correction 100
5.3.1 Perfection of being 104
5.3.2 Joy in truth; perfection of truth 108
5.3.3 Perfection of goodness 109
5.4.1 Christology: two Adams 110
5.4.2 Word of God 111
5.4.3 One mediator 112
5.4.4 Father and son 113
5.4.5 The name 114
5.5 The totality of recapitulation 115

6 Recapitulation: inauguration and consummation 117
6.1.1 Inauguration of new life 117
6.1.2 Life through the cross 118
6.2.1 Christ as head and cornerstone 121
6.2.2 The unity of the church 123
6.3 The economy of apostolic truth 126
6.4 New way of life 130
6.5 Baptism, eucharist and ministry 132
6.6.1 Consumption: one God alone will reign 134
6.6.2 When Christ shall come again 135
6.6.3 Resurrection 136
List of contents

ix

6.6.4 Communion and separation 137
6.6.5 Antichrist and chiliasm 138

PART IV PARTICIPATION

7 Logic and the rule of truth: participation in truth 143
  7.1 Canon and criterion 143
  7.2 Compendium of truth 145
  7.3 Charisma and truth 146
  7.4 What does the rule say? 149
  7.5 Conversion, recovery of reason, faith and sight 150
  7.6.1 Heresy 150
  7.6.2 Contemporary assessment 153
  7.6.3 Sophistry 154
  7.7 Parody: theology for horses 156
  7.8 Consonantia 159

8 Scripture as mind and will of God: participation in truth 162
  8.1.1 From prophetic spirit to eternal word 163
  8.1.2 Mind and will of God 165
  8.1.3 Prophecy: from prediction to presence 167
  8.1.4 A rebirth of images 169
  8.2.1 The unity and truth of scripture 170
  8.2.2 Scripture and tradition 172
  8.2.3 Principles of interpretation 172
  8.4 Images of the economy: the four Gospels 175
  8.5.1 Formation of the Christian bible 178
  8.5.2 Canon of scripture 180
  8.6.1 Typology and truth 182
  8.6.2 Typology and economy 184
  8.7 John 186
  8.8 Paul 189

9 Aesthetics: participation in beauty 193
  9.1 Persistence of aesthetics 193
  9.2 A theological aesthetic? 195
  9.3 Art and aesthetics in early Christianity 199
  9.4 Manifestation, vision and participation 202
  9.5.1 Glory 205
  9.5.2 Criticism and difficulties 207
  9.6 The limits of beauty 209
List of contents

10 Human growth from creation to resurrection: participation in life
   10.1 Image and likeness: the puzzle
   10.2 Image and likeness: inseparable conjunction
   10.3 Image and likeness: disjunction
   10.4 Solutions to the problem: Fantino and Sesboüé
   10.5 Sin and fall, original sin
   10.6 Perfection of Adam
   10.7 Unity of man as body, soul and spirit
   10.8 Breath of life
   10.9 Spirit transforms flesh into incorruption
   10.10 Strength made perfect in weakness
   10.11 Flesh and glory

10.12 Growth through participation

11 Goodness and truth: ethics of participation
   11.1 Free will and freedom
   11.2 Horizons of freedom
   11.3 Love of truth
   11.4 Love and natural law
   11.5 Lusts of the flesh
   11.6 Martyrdom
   11.7 Ethics and participation
   11.8 Love of enemies as crux of recapitulation

PART V CONCLUSION

12 The glory of God and man
   12.1 Humanism: saving the self
   12.2 Two criteria: argument and imagery
   12.3 Optimism and growth through participation
   12.4 The immediacy of God

Appendix: Gnosticism

Select bibliography
Citations from Irenaeus
Citations from the Bible
Index of classical authors
Index of patristic authors
General index
Preface

In Irenaeus, Athens and Jerusalem meet at Patmos. The visions of the prophets, which point to Christ, take the place of Plato’s forms and from them he proves the truth of the apostolic preaching. Here Irenaeus follows Justin but with wider vision, for he is the first writer to have a Christian bible before him. To this text he applies the classical criteria of logic (what is true) and aesthetics (what is fitting) to draw out his four concepts of divine Intellect, economy, recapitulation and participation. His two criteria, along with his exuberant images, present his reader first with a jungle and then with a clear synthesis. From one central point he moves through the universe of biblical imagery, rubbing argument and image together because each is necessary to the other.

Irenaeus is a difficult author because of conflict within a clear general structure. Loofs identified multiple sources and wished to prove incoherence. His analysis was reasonably rejected by Hitchcock and others. His general claim for multiple sources stands, but his procedure is regressive rather than progressive. An interpreter may note what a source meant in an earlier context, but his chief interest is what the author or compiler makes of anything he includes. A second objection to Irenaeus was more to the point: Koch alleged conceptual bankruptcy or a general lack of coherent ideas.

The first step out of the genuine despair, which every interpreter of Irenaeus knows, is a recognition of Irenaeus’ criteria. Alongside his logical argument which pursues truth there is his perception of fitness. This governs the apparently ridiculous claim that there must be four Gospels because there are four winds and because living things are tetramorphous. With prophetic visions as the final
source of truth, aesthetic fitness governs exposition. Here the interpreter of Irenaeus needs a poet. I was fortunate to find Chris Wallace Crabbe’s comic poem ‘Why does a cauliflower so much resemble a brain?’ and to discuss with him the way poetic association works. Prophetic imagery is born afresh in Irenaeus through poetic association. How argument and imagery fit together is an endless inquiry. Their presence as two criteria must be recognised in Irenaeus and the whole of Christian culture.

The other step for an interpreter is to identify the concepts, which govern his author’s thought. For much of the twentieth century no one wrote a theology of Irenaeus. Many wrote on specific concepts of economy (divine plan) and recapitulation (summing-up of all things). These concepts do not explain, however, the immediacy of God whose glory is a living man. Following the useful rule that a thinker’s ideas centre on the points where his interpreters disagree, the concept of participation emerged. Since participation is always participation of someone or something, the concept of the divine Intellect as the source of all goodness moved to the beginning of the analysis. Participation is only possible if God wills to share his goodness. The four concepts: divine Intellect, economy, recapitulation and participation govern the gospel, which Irenaeus declares. God and man are joined when God becomes what men are in order to bring them to be what he is. Economy and recapitulation join, as Irenaeus puts it, the end to the beginning, man to God. The four concepts are intelligible in succession: the divine Intellect plans the economy, which ends in recapitulation and the sharing of divine goodness. They must be kept together. The immediacy of God is known because God creates from nothing, never allows Adam to leave his hands, becomes man that man might share in God. If we ignore the first and last concepts (divine goodness and participation) we omit the point of the process, which is to join divine glory to human life. Economy and recapitulation lose point without their source (divine goodness) and end (participation).

To the logical exploration of these ideas Irenaeus adds his other criterion, an aesthetic of divine glory. The divine economy is a prolongation of God, which may be seen. Irenaeus is an empiricist whose vision of God is the source of life. This element of Irenaeus
Preface

has constituted his appeal to Christians over the centuries. It may be noted in contrast to a modern writer whose language reflects many of the ideas of Irenaeus. T. S. Eliot speaks, as does Irenaeus, of the moment in which past and future are conquered and reconciled, and of the crowned knot of fire in which all is brought to a good end. Yet Eliot writes with the hostility of an Absolute Idealist to empiricism which is the folly of old men. Irenaeus is close to Hopkins who sees God’s glory in the world.

How do we use the four concepts and the two criteria to combat the accusation of confusion against Irenaeus? First, we must identify the vocabulary which Irenaeus uses to express his concepts. We must learn his language. Because Irenaeus is drawing on different sources, we must show that his opinions are not confined to one part of his work. Secondly, vocabulary takes meaning within argument. Therefore we must trace his arguments which are of three kinds. There is straight logical argument as in the refutation of Gnosticism in Book 2. There is the accumulated imagery of lists of prophecy which prove the truth of the apostolic preaching. Finally there is the composite argument of Irenaeus where the logic leap-frogs through scripture which is its guarantee of truth. This is exemplified in the two arguments, which end in love of enemies as the essence of recapitulation. These different kinds of argument are to be expected from the two criteria of logic and aesthetics.

One point of possible confusion may be clarified. I have hesitantly (because of probable misunderstanding) pointed to the presence of a Platonic paradigm in Irenaeus. He explicitly opposes Platonism on fundamental points: the status of forms and the material world, the meaning of history and the nature of man. Yet willy-nilly he thinks in the framework of his time and shares with the opposing Platonic underworld an intellectual machinery which makes controversy and new thought possible.

Remarkably, the chief influence of Gnosticism on Irenaeus was that it forced him to take Athens seriously. Gnosticism had to be met near Plato. Reasoned argument had to guide a barrage of texts. As a result Gnosticism (theosophy) stimulated its opposite (philosophy) and exegesis to produce Christian theology. Argument and imagery presented to inquirers a better use of the Socratic tradition. Gnostics were strong on picture and myth but weak on argument. When we
have seen this, we begin to understand the second century, that fertile period which formed Western thought.

Since my concern is to understand Irenaeus, his criteria and his concepts, I have taken the account of his Protean opponents at face value. By setting out the teachings to which he is opposed he has defined the indefinable Gnosticism in a way that seems no longer possible. Since the variety of groups called ‘Gnostic’ rivals the incoherence of contemporary Anglo-American Christianity, some scholars today challenge the usefulness of the term. Even the general assessment of Gnostics is uncertain. Once seen (by Irenaeus and Plotinus) as world-haters, many are now seen as world-lovers, who were concerned to lessen the tension between their religion and society. I have discussed these issues in an appendix; but they are marginal to my purpose. Fortunately, Irenaeus set out carefully the views, which he rejected; their importance for us is that they gave him a stimulus without which he might never have completed the first great synthesis of Christian thought. The exploration of that synthesis is my concern. His claim that the transcendence of divine love implies God’s immediacy may be a rejection of the ‘separate God’ of Gnosticism; but it is much more besides. It is a profound interpretation of the Christian gospel.

Orbe’s favoured epithet for Irenaeus was ‘rich’. This prolixity has squeezed out many pages of secondary discussion and I cannot hope to indicate my debt to those who have written about him during the last two hundred years. What remains will demonstrate that Irenaeus not only said good things, but that he gave good reasons for saying these things. The general reader may bypass, on first reading, the multiplicity of references to the text, which the scholar needs in order to learn the language of Irenaeus, to prove that the four concepts are universal and to elucidate their meaning. Equally important is the analysis of argument, for it is here, not in the aphorisms, that the synthesis of Irenaeus is evident.

From conversations over the years with Jacques Fantino, E. P. Meijering and John Rist, each of whom read a first draft, and with Norbert Brox, Louis Doutreleau, Robert Grant, Christoph Markschies, Denis Minns, Antonio Orbe, Pierre Prigent, and Bernard Sesboüé, I have learnt more than I can say. The late André Benoit was my colleague in Strasbourg twenty years ago.
They all saw Irenaeus’ richness of thought, imagery and humanity. I am profoundly grateful to them. John Behr and Bernard Sesboüé sent me, in advance, the proofs of their fine new books.

In Rome, the community and library of the Augustinianum have helped me greatly. In Cambridge, Wesley House and my own college (Queens’) have always been hospitable, while at Tübingen the Stift has warmly received me. At the local level, I have gained much from colleagues in History at La Trobe University and in Classics at Melbourne. Margot Hyslop of the Borchardt Library, La Trobe, has been a constant help.

From John Honner (who edited first and final drafts), Clive Bloomfield (who checked all Irenaeus’ references), Grantley McDonald (who checked other references) and Edward Morgan (who found books and typed) I have received indispensable aid through the generosity of the Australian Research Council.

Finally, my thanks go to Jan Chapman and Kevin Taylor of Cambridge University Press who thoughtfully and intelligently guided the manuscript into print.

The book is dedicated, with great affection, to my granddaughters, Sophie and Genevieve.
### Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABR</td>
<td>Australian Biblical Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AJPP</td>
<td>Australasian Journal of Philosophy and Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHAW.PH</td>
<td>Abhandlungen der Heidelberger Akademie der</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wissenschaften – Philosophisch-historische Klasse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANCL</td>
<td>Ante-Nicene Christian Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AThR</td>
<td>Anglican Theological Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ang</td>
<td>Augustinianum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJRL</td>
<td>Bulletin of the John Rylands Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLE</td>
<td>Bulletin de littérature ecclésiastique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHH</td>
<td>Church History</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CICL</td>
<td>Cahiers de l’institut catholique de Lyon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNRS</td>
<td>Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSCO</td>
<td>Corpus Scriptorum Christianorum Orientalium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>H. Diels and W. Kranz, <em>Die Fragmentent der</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vorsokratiker (7th edn; Berlin, 1951–4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR</td>
<td>Downside Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS</td>
<td>Dictionnaire de spiritualité</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DViv</td>
<td>Dieu vivant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EThL</td>
<td>Epherides theologicae Lovanienses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EThSt</td>
<td>Erfurter Theologische Studien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EvQ</td>
<td>Evangelical Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FZPhTh</td>
<td>Freiburger Zeitschrift für Philosophie und Theologie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOTR</td>
<td>Greek Orthodox Theological Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg</td>
<td>Gregorianum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.E.</td>
<td>Eusebius, Ecclesiastical History</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTh</td>
<td>History and Theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HThR</td>
<td>Harvard Theological Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irén</td>
<td>Irénikon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of abbreviations

JThS  Journal of Theological Studies
LCL  Loeb Classical Library
Miss  Missionalia
MThŽ  Münchener Theologische Zeitschrift
Mus  Muséon
NAKG  Nederlandsch archief voor kerkgeschiedenis
NAWG.PH  Nachrichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen – Philologisch-historische Klasse
NedThT  Nederlandsche Theologisch Tijdschrift
NRTh  Nouvelle revue théologique
NT  Novum Testamentum
NTS  New Testament Studies
OrChrAn  Orientalia Christiana Analecta
PAS  Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society
PG  Patrologia graeca (Migne)
Ph  Philologus
Phron  Phronesis
REG  Revue des études grecques
RevBen  Revue Benedictine
RevSR  Revue des sciences religieuses
RFNS  Revista di filosofia neo-scolastica
RHPhR  Revue d’histoire et de philosophie religieuses
RSLR  Revista di storia e letteratura religiosa
RSPhTh  Revue des sciences philosophiques et théologiques
RSR  Recherches de science religieuse
RThAM  Recherches de théologie ancienne et médiévale
RThPh  Revue de théologie et de philosophie
RTR  Reformed Theological Review
SC  Sources chrétiennes
Schol  Scholastik
SecCent  Second Century
SjTh  Scottish Journal of Theology
StMiss  Studia missionaria
List of abbreviations

StTh  Studia theologica
StudAns  Studia Anselmiana
StudPatr  Studia patristica
SVF  Stoicorum Vēterum Fragmenta
SVTQ  St Vladimir’s Theological Quarterly
ThH  Théologie historique
ThLZ  Theologische Literaturzeitung
ThQ  Theologische Quartalschrift
ThR  Theologische Rundschau
ThStK  Theologische Studien und Kritiken
Trad  Traditio
TRE  Theologische Realencyklopädie
TS  Theological Studies
TU  Texte und Untersuchungen
USQR  Union Seminary Quarterly Review
VigChr  Vigiliae Christianae
VetChr  Vetera Christianorum
ZAC  Zeitschrift für antikes Christentum
ZKG  Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte
ZKTTh  Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie
ZNW  Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft
ZThK  Zeitschrift für Theologie und Kirche


REFERENCES TO IRENÆUS

(3.3.1) refers to Against heresies, Book 3, chapter 3, paragraph 1 (SC); (4 pref. 4) refers to Against heresies, Book 4, preface, paragraph 4; (dem. 20) refers to Demonstration of the apostolic preaching, section 20 (SC); (frag. 4) refers to fragment 4 in the edition of W. Harvey (Cambridge, 1857).