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Preface

My obsession with this book’s subject goes way back in time. I remember my shock when coming across, at the age of fifteen, the autobiography of one of the handful of Jews from Norway who survived Auschwitz, Herman Sachnowitz. His book is titled *It Concerns You Too*.

Now a professor of philosophy specializing in ethics, my early interest in how organized evil comes about and what it does to all affected is, if anything, more intense than ever. Being a contemporary to the occurrence of genocide in the 1990s – in Bosnia and in Rwanda – made the topic even more urgent. Sixty years after the liberation of Auschwitz, we know only too well that the promise, nay imperative, ‘Never again!’ has been betrayed again and again.

During the years spent working on this book, I have benefited from exchange with a large number of friends and colleagues. For contributions big and small, I wish to thank Per Nortvedt, Jan-Olav Henriksen, Lars Svendsen, Henrik Syse, Carsten Bagge Laustsen, Tone Bringa, Odd Bjorn Fure, and Bernt Hagtvet. Alastair Hannay once again offered his unfailing moral support. Zygmunt Bauman once again demonstrated that genuine friendship can endure heated disagreement. Thomas Cushman showed his belief in the book at a decisive moment. To all of them, and to the students who have made my seminars on evil into a workshop of ideas from which my argument in this book slowly ripened, I wish to express my deep gratitude. This also goes for my editor, Sarah Caro.

Chapter 2 partly uses material originally published in my 2001 article ‘Hannah Arendt on Conscience and Evil’ (*Philosophy and Social Criticism* 27, 5). I am grateful to Sage Publications Ltd for permission to reuse this material.
On 26 November 1942, at four o’clock in the morning, about 100 black taxis driven by Norwegian plain-clothes policemen were used to round up 532 Jews from their homes in the Oslo area. The group, which included children as well as elderly men and women, had to stand in line to wait to be given the order to embark on the German ship Donau, which would take them to Germany. The group would then be transported by freight trains to the infamous death camp Auschwitz in Poland. Of the 532 individuals deported that day, only 9 would survive Auschwitz and return to Norway after the camp was liberated by the Soviet Red Army on 27 January 1945. With very few exceptions, the group was ‘selected’ for extermination by gas immediately upon arriving at Auschwitz in the early days of December 1942. This event, which went largely unnoticed at the time and was without dramatic incident (the arrests occurred without noteworthy resistance), has – somewhat misleadingly – come to be known in postwar Norway as the ‘Norwegian Kristallnacht’, alluding to the pogroms orchestrated by the Nazi Party in Germany on 9 November 1938, when German Jews were killed or beaten up, synagogues throughout Germany set on fire and thousands of books burned amid anti-Semitic speeches and shouting of Nazi slogans.

The photo was taken by a young Norwegian man, Georg W. Fossum, who used to take photos for the underground resistance, sending them by courier to Sweden. Because of a series of arrests, the film of which the ‘Donau-photo’ is part was in fact not exposed until after the war. Only in 1994 did the photo become known to the wider public, having been part of Fossum’s private collection until then.