DETECTIVE FICTION AND THE RISE OF FORENSIC SCIENCE

RONALD R. THOMAS



PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK http://www.cup.cam.ac.uk 40 West 20th Street, New York NY 10011–4211, USA http://www.cup.org 10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia

© Ronald R. Thomas 1999

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1999

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeset in Baskerville 11/12.5pt [VN]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress cataloguing in publication data

Thomas, Ronald R., 1949-

Detective fiction and the rise of forensic science / Ronald R. Thomas. p. cm. – (Cambridge studies in nineteenth-century literature and culture, 26) ISBN 0 521 65303 7 (hardcover)

- 1. Detective and mystery stories, English History and criticism.
- 2. Detective and mystery stories, American History and criticism.
- 3. Literature and science Great Britain History. 4. Literature and science United States History. 5. Forensic sciences History.

I. Title. II. Series.

PR830.D4T53 1999

823'.087209-dc21 99-11713 CIP

ISBN 0 521 65303 7 hardback

Contents

| List | of illustrations page | xiii |
|------|---|------|
| Ack | nowledgements | xvii |
| I | The devices of truth | I |
| PAI | RT I TELL-TALE HEARTS | |
| 2 | The lie detector and the thinking machine | 21 |
| 3 | The unequal voice in "The Murders in the Rue Morgue" | 40 |
| 4 | The letter of the law in The Woman in White | 57 |
| 5 | The criminal type in "A Case of Identity" | 75 |
| 6 | The voice of America in Red Harvest | 91 |
| PAI | RT II ARRESTING IMAGES | |
| 7 | The mug shot and the magnifying glass | III |
| 8 | Photographic memories in Bleak House | 131 |
| 9 | Double exposures in The House of the Seven Gables | 150 |
| ю | Negative images in "A Scandal in Bohemia" | 167 |
| ΙΙ | Empty cameras in The Big Sleep and Farewell, My Lovely | 181 |
| PAI | RT III IDENTIFYING MARKS | |
| 12 | The fingerprint and the map of crime | 201 |
| 13 | Foreign bodies in A Study in Scarlet and The Sign of Four | 220 |

| xii | Contents |
|-----|----------|
| X11 | Contents |

| 14 | Accusing hands in Pudd'nhead Wilson | 240 |
|-----------------|--|-----|
| 15 | International plots in <i>The Maltese Falcon</i> and <i>Murder on the Orient Express</i> | 257 |
| 16 | Missing persons and secret agents | 276 |
| $\mathcal{N}ot$ | es | 291 |
| Sele | cted works for further reading | 323 |
| Ind | ex | 335 |
| | | |

Illustrations

Illustration by Dudley Hardy for E. Phillips Oppenheim's "The Restless Traveller," from the June 1910 issue of the Strand Magazine.

frontispiece

- The first "lie detector," adapted by Cesare Lombroso in 1895 from nineteenth-century medical instruments. From Gina Lombroso-Ferrero, Criminal Man According to the Classification of Cesare Lombroso, (1911). *page* 22
- The binaural stethoscope, designed by Dr. Arthur Leared, was first displayed at the International Exhibition of 1851. Courtesy of the Smithsonian Institution. 26
- The Pond sphygmograph, developed in the latter part of the nineteenth century to monitor the effects of medical treatment on the heart and vascular motions controlling blood flow. From the 1889 Sharp and Smith Catalogue, courtesy of the Smithsonian Institution.
- The Marey Sphygmograph, one of the instruments from which Lombroso adapted his lie detector (circa 1893). From the A.S. Aloe Catalogue, courtesy of the Smithsonian Institution.
- An illustration from the second edition of The Woman in White (New York: Harper, 1873), showing Walter Hartright recovering one of many medical and legal documents. The artist is not identified. 58
- Illustrations of typewriter imprint analysis from Albert S. Osborn's Questioned Documents (London: Sweet and Maxwell, 1910).
- Dr. Leonarde Keeler of the Scientific Crime Detection Laboratory demonstrating the modern polygraph (1935). Used with permission of Wide World Photos.
- Jacob A. Riis, "Photographing a Rogue: Inspector Byrnes Looking On" (circa 1886). Courtesy of the Jacob A. Riis Collection in the Museum of the City of New York. 112

- 9 Drawing by R. T. Sperry in *Darkness and Light*, illustrating Thomas Byrnes's recollections as a police detective (Hartford, 1892). 113
- Early mug shots taken for Thomas Byrnes's Rogues' Gallery as they appeared in his *Professional Criminals of America* (1886). Courtesy of The Watkinson Special Collections Library, Trinity College, Hartford, CT.
- Mug shots of women from Byrnes's Rogues' Gallery also published in his *Professional Criminals of America* (1896). Courtesy of The Watkinson Special Collections Library, Trinity College, Hartford, CT.
- 12 Illustration from Bertillon's *Anthropologie Métrique*, showing the chair and camera designed by Bertillon for criminal portraiture (Paris: Imprimerie Nationale, 1909).
- 13 Diagram detailing Bertillon's instructions for accurately taking a portrait parlé. From Anthropologie Métrique (Paris: Imprimerie Nationale, 1909).
- 14 A bertillon card ("portrait parlé"), from 1902. From Alphonse Bertillon, Anthropologie Métrique, Paris: Imprimerie Nationale, 1909.
- From Francis Galton, *Inquiries into Human Faculty and its Development*, illustrating the principles of composite criminal photography (1883). Courtesy of The Watkinson Special Collections Library, Trinity College, Hartford, CT.
- 16 George Cruikshank's wood engraving of Richard Beard's public photographic portrait studio in 1842. From the Gernsheim Collection, Harry Ransom Humanities Research Center, The University of Texas at Austin.
- 17 A portrait from *Heath's Book of British Beauty* (London, 1844), likely a model for the *Galaxy Gallery of British Beauty* in Dickens's *Bleak House*.
- 18 Anonymous. Daguerreotypist Jabez Hogg making a portrait in Richard Beard's studio, 1843. Daguerreotype, from the National Museum of Photography, Film, and Television, Bradford, UK.
 153
- 19 Honoré Daumier, "Nadar Raising Photography to the Height of Art," lithograph, 1862. From the Gernsheim Collection, Harry Ransom Humanities Research Center, The University of Texas at Austin.
- 20 Illustrations from Arthur Conan Doyle's *The Case for Spirit Photogra*phy (New York: George Doran and Company, 1923). Courtesy of

- The Watkinson Special Collections Library, Trinity College, Hartford, CT.
- Walker Evans, "Penny Picture Display" in Savannah, one of the first images in his *American Photographs* (1938). From the collection of the Library of Congress.
- Walker Evans, "Houses and Billboards in Atlanta," as it appeared in *American Photographs* (1938). From the collection of the Library of Congress.
- An illustration by F. D. Steele for "The Norwood Builder," as it appeared on the cover of the November 1906 edition of Collier's.
- The frontispiece from *The Finger Print Instructor* for the New York City Police Department (New York: Munn & Company, 1916). Courtesy of The Watkinson Special Collections Library, Trinity College, Hartford, CT.
- One of the illustrations from Kuhne's Finger Print Instructor demonstrating the Galton-Henry classification system. Courtesy of The Watkinson Special Collections Library, Trinity College, Hartford, CT.
- 26 Diagram of fingerprint patterns illustrating the Galton-Henry classification system from B. C. Bridges, *Practical Fingerprinting* (New York, 1942). Used with permission of Harper Collins Publishers.
- 27 Diagram of fingerprint patterns demonstrating Sir Francis Galton's analogy between the science of fingerprinting and cartography. From B. C. Bridges, *Practical Fingerprinting*, used with permission of Harper Collins Publishers.
 215
- 28 D. H. Friston's frontispiece for the first edition of *A Study in Scarlet*, the first depiction of Sherlock Holmes (1887).
- A photogravure by F. Luis Mora illustrating A Double-Barreled Detective Story. From Mark Twain, My Debut as a Literary Person with Other Essays and Stories (Hartford: The American Publishing Company, 1903)
- 30 Engraving of the Greenwich Observatory from volume 77 of the *Illustrated London News* (1880). Courtesy of The Watkinson Special Collections Library, Trinity College, Hartford, CT.
 277

CHAPTER I

The devices of truth

We are far removed indeed from those accounts of the life and misdeeds of the criminal in which he admitted his crimes, and which recounted in detail the tortures of his execution: we have moved from the exposition of the facts or the confession to the slow process of discovery; from the execution to the investigation; from the physical confrontation to the intellectual struggle between criminal and investigator.

Michel Foucault, Discipline and Punish

I must admit, Watson, that you have some power of selection which atones for much which I deplore in your narratives. Your fatal habit of looking at everything from the point of view of a story instead of as a scientific exercise has ruined what might have been an instructive and even classical series of demonstrations.

Sherlock Holmes in The Adventure of the Abbey Grange

In the opening pages of one of the first Sherlock Holmes stories Doctor Watson discovers Holmes engaged in what the doctor describes as "a pathological and morbid process." With his "nervous fingers," the self-proclaimed architect of the "science" of detection is injecting his customary 7 percent solution of cocaine (I: 89). He is doing so, he maintains, because he "abhor[s] the dull routine of existence." "Give me problems, give me work, give me the most abstruse cryptogram, or the most intricate analysis, and I am in my own proper atmosphere," Holmes says in defense of his behavior. "That is why I have chosen my own particular profession, or rather created it, for I am the only one in the world" (I: 89–90). Here, the great detective represents himself as self-invented and his "profession" as at once both escapist and transformative. As an alternative to reality and to cocaine use, the "exact science" of detection and the technological apparatus for that enterprise he has assembled in his laboratory grant him the authority to tell a truth

that is otherwise undiscoverable (I: 33). Watson, the narrator of these tales, has just returned to England to nurse a wound he had suffered in the colonial campaign in Afghanistan where he served as a medical officer. He, too, escapes a pathological condition in Holmes's chosen profession by writing these stories about it. Their bodies bear the marks of their engagements with and their escapes from the peculiar historical circumstances in which they live, and in their adventures of detection they translate those anatomical marks into quests for some elusive truth.

When Watson comes upon Holmes injecting his cocaine, the detective is also reading a book. These three activities – taking a drug, being a detective, reading a book – are presented as substitutions for ordinary life and as symptoms of some unnamed nervousness. Together, these devices point back to a very real "pathological and morbid process" at the center of Holmes's professional identity – and at the heart of this popular nineteenth-century literary form. In this same scene, Holmes will reprove Watson for his overly romantic literary account of an earlier case, just as Watson had reproved Holmes for his drug use. But the two activities are really manifestations of the same pathology, and the book we are reading implicates us in that failing as well. The Sherlock Holmes stories, like any detective narrative, function as our cocaine, our diversion from some historical reality. But they are also our work, written and read to transform what have become the unexamined routines of political life and the sometimes criminal cravings that leave their tracks upon the body. In *Detective Fiction and the Rise of Forensic Science*, my task is to analyze the complex process of exchange that takes place in this "escapist" literature invented during the nineteenth century in America and England, and to understand how a specialized body of scientific knowledge was employed in that literature to convert disturbing historical facts into a new kind of narrative.

At the center of virtually every detective story is a body upon which the literary detective focuses his gaze and employs his unique interpretive powers. His goal is to explain an event that seems to be inexplicable to everyone else. At stake is not just the identification of a dead victim or an unknown suspect, but the demonstration of the power invested in certain forensic devices embodied in the figure of the literary detective – the fingerprint, the mug shot, or the lie detector, for example – all of which enable the detective to read the clues to a mystery that is written in the suspect body. Following Edgar Allan Poe's lead, writers as different as Charles Dickens, Wilkie Collins, Nathaniel Hawthorne, Mary Elizabeth Braddon, Arthur Conan Doyle, Mark Twain, Joseph

Conrad, Agatha Christie, Dashiell Hammett, and Raymond Chandler would create investigators endowed with the capabilities of devices such as these to read the secret truth of the past in the bodies of the victims and perpetrators of crime. Like the inquiry of the detective, this book begins here: with the strategies of interpretation and authentication the detective brings to bear on the body of the criminal and the victim alike. I attend in particular to the authority the literary detective claims for himself through the "devices" by which he discovers the truth and defines an identity, calling attention to the way those technologies relate to broader questions of subjectivity and cultural authority at decisive moments in the evolution of the genre in nineteenth-century England and America. In this enterprise, the history of detective fiction is deeply implicated with the history of forensic technology.

My aim is to investigate how certain forensic devices enable the body to function both as text and as politics in these narratives. I am especially interested in the ways a literary genre preoccupied with resolving questions of personal identity also speaks to questions about national identity. Invariably, the mangled corpse the literary detective scrutinizes reveals a code that his trained eve is uniquely capable of reading; or, alternatively, the body of the suspect betrays its own guilt in some visible signs that are legible only to the eyes of the detective. The conventions of the form generally require the detective to explain what seems to be his uncanny act of second sight as the simple application of a technique, or even a technology, to the variables of the present occasion. The literary detective's power, that is, is consistently represented as a new kind of reading, just as the genre which produced him was regarded as a new kind of writing in the nineteenth century. The systematic medicalization of crime in criminological discourse during this period corresponded to the literary detective's development into a kind of master diagnostician, an expert capable of reading the symptoms of criminal pathology in the individual body and the social body as well.

The forensic techniques that make up this new literacy, however scientifically represented, often prove to have a political genealogy that becomes inflected into the act of analysis the detective practices and promulgates. It may be the detective's matching of a suspect with a fingerprint left at the scene of the crime that suggests a racial or even national set of differences. It may be the discovery of a chemical substance in the body that could have originated only in an exotic colonial setting. It may be the recognition of certain features in a foreigner's photograph that correspond to the facial characteristics of a

"typical" criminal as delineated in current anthropological data. Each of these detective devices – fingerprint technology, forensic profiling, crime photography – is itself a nineteenth-century invention designed to convert the body into a text to be read. Each also serves as a potent analogy for the literary detective that deploys it. Through these detectives and their devices, the mysteries of individual anatomy and personal identity come to represent the general condition of the body politic itself.

This book does not provide a comprehensive history of the genre of detective fiction or of forensic science. Rather, it offers a series of investigations into the way technological developments in the field of forensic science directed a preoccupation with the history of persons within the genre. Controlling the historical account is, indeed, the objective of most detective stories: the detective's goal is to tell the story of a past event that remains otherwise unknown and unexplained by fixing the identity of a suspect and filling in the blanks of a broken story. While the specific historical circumstances of the detective's narrative may not be evident in a given text, they are important to understanding the work's appeal and effectiveness. Detective fiction as a form is generally recognized as an invention of the nineteenth century, coincident with the development of the modern police force and the creation of the modern bureaucratic state. This context was crucial in shaping the way detective fiction developed and in determining the kind of cultural work it performed for societies that were increasingly preoccupied with systematically bringing under control the potentially anarchic forces unleashed by democratic reform, urban growth, national expansion, and imperial engagement. This book reads those conditions back into the detective story, tracing them in the linked histories of the criminal body and forensic technology.

While the narratives of writers like Poe, Dickens, and Conan Doyle often reflected and popularized contemporary scientific theories of law enforcement, the detective stories they wrote also sometimes anticipated actual procedures in scientific police practice by offering fantasies of social control and knowledge before the actual technology to achieve either was available. At times, these texts seemed to call those technologies into being. It became commonplace for early criminologists to attribute inspiration for their theories to the methods of a Sherlock Holmes or an Auguste Dupin. In developing what he called "a new police science" which focused on the examination of microscopic particles on the criminal body, for example, the pioneering French forensic

scientist Edmond Locard went so far as to instruct his colleagues and students "to read over such stories as 'A Study in Scarlet' and 'The Sign of the Four'" in order to understand the basis of the principles he was recommending.² Articles written to present theories on the atavistic physiology of the criminal type or on a new medical invention that could diagnose criminal pathologies might appear in the same volume of a popular magazine, inserted between a Sherlock Holmes mystery about an aboriginal savage criminal from India and a regular feature on "News from the Empire" that offered thrilling accounts of British military heroism in the colonies. Constructions of the criminal body around a "science" of racial typing made legible by new developments in medical technology could appear along with political explanations of brutal imperial policies to confirm and justify one another conveniently. Both could find a fitting cultural imaginary in the new literary form of the detective story.³

Detective Fiction and the Rise of Forensic Science examines points of intersection within that body of knowledge — literary, legal, scientific, and political — at critical moments in two national settings. I attend specifically to the production and dissemination of narratives that established the authority of a class of experts that could read someone's body like a text with the precision of a machine. By being so striking, Philip Fisher has argued, popular cultural forms often become quickly settled in the perceptual frame of their civilization, and therefore appear obvious or even invisible in retrospect. But in fact, such forms may require more intense critical analysis than do "higher" art forms, because they have so subtly invaded and ordered massive, unsorted psychic and cultural materials from the historical moment in which they appeared.

Detective fiction's emphasis on the scientific aspects of criminal investigation best exemplifies this ordering activity in a popular literary form. Each section of this book makes that case by focusing upon three critical points in the history of the literary detective: the invention of the figure by such writers as Poe, Dickens, and Collins in the 1840s and 1850s; the refinement of such literature into a genre by Arthur Conan Doyle in England, together with its parodying by figures such as Mark Twain in America during the 1890s; and the establishment and subsequent rejection of the "golden age" of the English literary detective in the 1920s and 1930s by such writers as Agatha Christie, Dashiell Hammett, and Raymond Chandler. While the focus of the book throughout is the nineteenth-century culture that invented this literature, each major section concludes with a reading of an early twentieth-century

reflection upon its predecessors and the detective devices they employed. As I will show, these developments in literary history correspond to periods of intense scientific theorizing about the origin and nature of human life that were often shaped by particular political anxieties. They also coincide with periods of unprecedented inventiveness in developing practical forensic devices that extended the power of the human senses to render visible and measurable what had previously been undetectable.

My interests focus upon the way detective stories help to provide reassurances at these junctures by continually reinventing fictions of national and individual identity to respond to rather specific historical anxieties, often invoking the authority of science to do so. But the narratives in question did not simply or consistently reassure; they also exposed, and in so doing they sometimes challenged the emerging culture of surveillance and the explanations of individual and collective identity it promulgated. In this respect, the detective story may act less like an enforcer of legitimate cultural authority and more like a force of resistance to such authority. Rather than tracing a single through-line in the genre's evolution, therefore, each of the three major sections of this book explores a complex and sometimes contradictory response to a different technology for establishing criminal identity in texts spanning the first 100 years of the modern detective story. Each section returns to the same historical moments from a new vantage point, each one focusing on the invention of a different technique for investigating, identifying, and interpreting the criminal body. Unifying these investigations is the claim that in the post-Benthamite world of what Foucault called the "panoptical machine," where the individual is not so much repressed by the social order as fabricated in it, the literary private eye offers both a potent demonstration of and a critical investigation into the technologies of truth-making that were incarnated in this popular literary form that helped replace the "execution" of the criminal with his "investigation."5

The crucial issue is not the way in which detective fiction deploys these devices (though that is sometimes the case), but how detective fiction at once resembles and resists them in the ways it produces the truth and reinterprets a dark deed from the past. The detective story often functioned as a kind of lie detector redefining truth for its culture, or as a rogues' gallery of mug shots sketching out a portrait of the typical criminal, or as a fingerprint manual discriminating the unique identity of an individual in the traces of his body. My inquiry focuses on how two

national traditions developed and made use of these technologies in the nineteenth century, and then reevaluated their usefulness in the twentieth. Rather than seeking to establish a definitive point of commonality or difference between the development of the form in America and England, my aim is to demonstrate how specific cultural conditions demanded and produced different incarnations of the private eye, equipping that figure with a variety of technologies with which to detect and make visible the public enemy that threatens us all.

Even as detective fiction was first making its appearance on the scene - an occurrence normally traced back to Poe in America and to Dickens in England - it was being viewed with a suspicious eye by critics. Anthony Trollope condemned its unrealistic preoccupation with plots that were too complex and characters that were too simple.⁶ Mrs. Oliphant warned about the dangers of its implicit celebration of criminality and rebelliousness.7 Henry James regarded detective fiction and its twin, the sensation novel, "not so much works of art as works of science."8 Indeed, some of the most ardent articulations of the aesthetic and moral attributes of high Victorian realism were occasioned by condemnation of the cheap effects and immense popularity of nineteenth-century detective and sensation fiction. Modern defenders as different as T. S. Eliot, Raymond Chandler, and Edmund Wilson countered these suspicions with their variously-pointed admirations for the form. More recent critics have continued the debate over the moral and literary merits of detective fiction, its status as a literary genre, its ideological affiliations, and its evolution as a form of popular culture.

Contemporary literary scholars have also repeatedly returned to detective literature to illustrate new waves of critical methodology as they come into fashion. In recent decades, detective stories have provided the demonstration pieces of choice for critics working in narrative theory, gender studies, popular culture, ideological critique, psychoanalysis, the new historicism, and cultural studies. If Peter Brooks sees in the Sherlock Holmes stories an allegory of plot that reveals the double logic of death and desire that drives all narratives, Jacques Lacan detects in "The Purloined Letter" an allegory of the signifier that reveals the paradoxical logic of the text of the unconscious. Franco Moretti views the detective story as a contest between the individual and the social organism in which the ethic of bourgeois culture is erased from the consciousness of the masses. Michael Holquist reads it as the preeminent literary model for postmodernism's exposure of the subterfuge of order and the fundamental truth of chaos. 10

Such extensive critical attention has complicated what we mean by the term "detective fiction," and challenged its traditional relegation into a specifiable generic category all its own. A considerable debt is owed to critics like John G. Cawelti who, from the perspective of popular culture and formula literature, established detective fiction as a reputable genre and kept alive a tradition of taking its merits seriously. These critics made possible studies of the relationship between detective fiction's broad ideological implications and its formal properties in work such as Dennis Porter's *The Pursuit of Crime* (1981) and Stephen Knight's *Form and Ideology in Crime Fiction* (1980). Later in the 1980s, scholars focused with more precision and specificity on the genre from theoretical points of view, best represented in the collection of essays edited by Glenn W. Most and William W. Stowe in *The Poetics of Murder* (1983) and those on the semiotics of detective fiction collected by Umberto Eco and Thomas Sebeok in *The Sign of Three: Dupin, Holmes, Peirce* (1983).

Together with the rise of cultural studies, critical legal studies, and the critique of the canon, modern criticism has begun to grant detective fiction a more prestigious place in the house of "legitimate" literature. As a result, valuable contributions to the study of (especially) nineteenthcentury detective fiction also appear in criticism that is not centrally concerned with setting it aside as a special category of literature. Some of the most useful new work on the subject reads detective texts in the light of traditionally respected literary and cultural materials, treating them as equal participants in an emerging culture of knowledge and power in the period. One example of this critical realignment may be seen in Martin Priestman's Detective Fiction and Literature (1991), which considers classic detective texts by Poe, Collins, Conan Doyle, and Chandler together with works by Aristotle, Sophocles, and Henry James. 11 At least from the point of view of making literary discriminations, my approach to the subject is in accord with these aims. One of my principal goals is to demonstrate how the classification and marginalization of popular forms like the detective story may be read as an effect of the culture of knowledge and power that produced them. Indeed, this investigation of the criminal body as a site of interpretation and enforcement in nineteenth-century British and American fiction argues that the detective narrative is integral rather than peripheral to the novel's crucial project of self-fashioning in the period.

The centrality of the detective narrative for the nineteenth century is based on its crucial role in the process of making and monitoring the modern subject. Theorists of the novel from Georg Lukacs to Ian Watt to Edward Said have defined the novel as an essentially biographical form that came to prominence in the late eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, largely in response to the breakdown of institutions of broad cultural authority. Lukacs argued, for example, that the novel "seeks, by giving form, to uncover and construct the concealed totality of life" in the interiorized life story of its heroes. 12 The plot of the novel is the protagonist's quest for authority within, when it cannot be discovered outside. By this accounting, there are no objective goals in the novel. only the subjective goal of seeking the law that is necessarily created by the self. The distinctions between crime and heroism in the novel. therefore, or between madness and wisdom, become purely subjective ones. This condition comprises the novel's "givenness," according to Lukacs, a condition in which telling the story of the quest for form is the story itself. In that story, the individual subject is a kind of romantic criminal who constructs his or her own authority, as we see in the great autobiographical novels of the nineteenth century such as 7ane Eyre, David Copperfield, or Huckleberry Finn. 13

The invention of another biographical form later in the century – the detective story – maps the limits to the subjective authority of the biographical novel, imposing what Said might call the "molestation" of its "authority." Though it is often regarded as a cerebral form that appeals to the reasoning faculties of its readers, the detective novel is fundamentally preoccupied with physical evidence and with investigating the suspect body rather than with exploring the complexities of the mind. Accordingly, detective fiction - generally dismissed as being inadequately concerned with the development of character – also may be viewed as a corrective countergenre evolving along with (and within) the biographical novel. By reasserting an objective – even if unofficial – social authority over individual freedom, the detective story imposes restrictions on the autonomy of the individual voice by identifying that voice as criminal. In the detective story, a designated cultural authority - the literary detective - rises to power, corresponding in time with the invention of the science of modern criminology. He stakes out the precise place where heroism ends and criminality begins - the very boundary obscured by the subjective focus of the biographical novel as defined by Lukacs. The detective hero's function is to identify that contested narrative space and to occupy it with his truth-telling voice, with his "solution" to the case. Any version of the story told by the figure the detective identifies as a criminal is then transformed into a criminal act itself. Equipped with his devices, the detective thereby converts the romantic tradition of the criminal biography into evidence, or alibi, or testimony, or confession, or proof of some other story — all subject to an objective social authority located outside the individual. As these literary detectives demonstrate, that act of narrative transformation may also be regarded as a political act, taken in defense of broader communal interests to challenge the authority of the individual self and to secure the endangered authority of the culture at large.

The literary detective takes his place as a performer on the cultural stage, then, speaking not his own part but that of his society, a part vocalized through the otherwise mute figures the culture designates as both its criminals and its victims. He does so with the aid and imprimatur of the forensic technologies I call "devices of truth." Franco Moretti has described detective fiction as "radically anti-novelistic," pointing out that its aim "is no longer the character's development into autonomy" but the character's repression and control. 15 I will argue that detective fiction is not "radically anti-novelistic" but both collaborative with and critical of the achievements of the nineteenth-century biographical novel, conspiring with it to produce a complex set of discourses on subjectivity for the nineteenth century. Because it stakes out and enforces the limits of individual autonomy as they were developed in the biographical novel, therefore, detective fiction might more accurately be described as "novelistically anti-radical," even as it is a product of radical conditions. Seen in this light, detective fiction must be regarded as an equal accomplice in the important cultural work often ascribed to the biographical novel in this period.

Significantly, Anglo-American detective fiction appears in a post-revolutionary environment when the heroic status of the rebel or the criminal is transferred to the detective and the police. Since these narratives generally involve the identification of some criminal singled out as a distinct "other" who poses a threat to a new sense of the social order, they must also be seen as part of the history of nationalist discourse during a critical period of the nineteenth century. "As with modern persons, so it is with nations," Benedict Anderson says in his analysis of nineteenth-century nationalism in *Imagined Communities*. The new nineteenth-century awareness of "being imbedded in secular, serial time, with all its implications of continuity, yet of 'forgetting' the experience of this continuity," Anderson explains, "engenders the need for a narrative of 'identity'."¹⁷

As the nation was a differently imagined "state" in America and Britain during this period, however, the threat to it was also differently conceived in each setting, and consequently so were the "narratives of identity" each engendered. But there were some common elements in those narratives as well. In addition to the broader sorting out of the familiar from the foreign, another transaction took place in texts from both traditions with respect to questions about subjectivity. That issue emerged from the conflict which occurs within any modern democracy when an understanding of the nation as "the people" (as a collection of individual citizens with discrete and independent wills) gives way to the conception of it as "the state" (a bureaucratic system of order and enforcement that governs the individual impulses within the nation). During the nineteenth century, this development involved the systematic transformation of the notion of the individual citizen's essential reality from something we call "character" to something we came to call "identity." We may think of these two categories of persons as representing, respectively, the romantic-autonomous individual of a revolutionary period (the "character" who generated and expressed the romantic spirit of the nation), and the alienated, bourgeois agent of the state in the industrial and post-industrial age of capital (the "identity" of which was defined and policed by the forces of the newly-established state). This transformation of characters into identities represents a crucial shift in our understanding of modern persons, and it is at the heart of my argument here. The fictional detective is the popularculture figure most explicitly engaged in negotiating this transaction and in monitoring this transformation. Usually operating within the confines of the law but independently of the law's official policing agencies, the literary detective – the private eye – is perfectly positioned to perform this task.

It is fitting that the "narrative of identity" generally recognized as the first modern detective story should appear in America at a time often recognized as the last decade of the revolutionary age in Europe, and that it should be set in the streets of Paris – the city commonly associated with both the achievements and the excesses of the revolutionary spirit. It is equally fitting that Poe's "The Murders in the Rue Morgue" (1841) should be a mystery based on the problem of distinguishing the suspect's national identity and that the mystery should be solved by the detective's identification of the suspect as someone without any national affiliation and therefore without human subjectivity. As I will explore more fully in the next chapter, the orangutan that Dupin theorizes as the criminal responsible for the brutal murders in the case is a "foreigner" not only because it came from another country, but because it

comes to represent foreignness itself. Dupin determines that the criminal is not even to be regarded as a "character" at all, but an "identity" defined entirely by the traces of its physiology – a hand print, a tuft of hair, extraordinary strength, and so on. These characteristics are given an identity by the detective only when he matches them with a description of a certain almost-human beast he read about in a scientific text. With that description and the physical evidence from the crime scene, the detective effectively invents the criminal identity and defines him as *the foreigner*.

If Poe is the acknowledged inventor of the detective story in America, his English counterpart, Dickens, is recognized as the originator of the detective story in Britain. The criminal in Dickens's first detective novel is also a foreigner – a French working-class woman – who is explicitly compared to the reign of terror that followed the revolution in France. But while in "The Rue Morgue" the victims had been French workingclass women – the most potent popular symbol of the revolution in France – in *Bleak House* (1852–53) that same figure becomes the foreign, female criminal force that must be arrested and contained by the London police. The official police are useless in fixing the identity of the criminal in Poe, efficient and effective in Dickens. The detective in "The Rue Morgue" comes from a decaying aristocratic family, and in *Bleak House* he is the professional, middle-class son of servants. The politics of detection may be dissimilar and even opposing in these two texts; but in both, revolutionary France forms the background against which a new national order is defined and established by, respectively, the private detective Dupin and the police inspector Mr. Bucket – two very different representatives of an emerging bourgeois class of experts. The aim in both cases is not simply to identify the foreigner as the criminal, but to formulate the techniques with which the detective is able to identify the "foreignness" of the criminal in our midst, the one who threatens the entire nation as much as any individual in it.

These two originary figures in detective fiction reflect the distinct but related genealogies of policing that developed in America and in Britain during the early part of the nineteenth century as new forms of domestic social control. The modern police force came into being in both countries during roughly the same period. The establishment of the London Metropolitan Police in 1829 by Sir Robert Peel usually marks the official beginning of the comprehensive reform of the criminal code that was effected during the first half of the century in England. Peel's uniformed "bobbies" set the tone for the "new model" of English policing as a

national military-style force, characterized by an elitist administrative structure and a professional ethos. 18 American policing grew somewhat less systematically and centrally. Historians commonly identify the establishment of the "day watch" in Boston in 1838 as a key moment in the more gradual evolution in America from improvised and amateur systems of local protection to more professional policing agencies. Though American cities frequently borrowed from the London model in setting up official public police departments, they refrained from creating a national force and adopting the English system wholesale. More inclined to treat policing as a local issue, American police departments historically became so deeply involved in the vagaries of local political struggles that departments were often composed of amateurs rather than professionals. 19 While Britain had in Scotland Yard a viable national police force from the 1830s, then, with a detective force instituted as early as 1842, it was not until 1908 that a Federal Bureau of Investigation would be founded in America to take over the role of policing on a national scale from the entrepreneurial spirit of private agencies like the Pinkertons. As Dupin's independence from and competition with the official police contrasts with the middle-class professionalism of Dickens's Sergeant Bucket, so will the American literary detective's deployment of the devices of detection be somewhat more skeptical and tentative than his English counterparts throughout the nineteenth century – an attitude we will find to be consistent with the project of establishing and maintaining a distinctive "American" national identity during this period.

D. A. Miller's *The Novel and the Police* provides a logical point of departure for the historical and critical placement of this study. Miller argued for the central importance of the detective's invisibility in the Victorian novel. His claim was not that the detective was absent from the nineteenth-century novel, only that he was most insistently present where he was least seen. In making a case for the "radical entanglement between the nature of the novel and the practice of the police," Miller makes a compelling case for their essential equivalence in deploying "representational technologies" that internalize in the novel-reading public (and more broadly in the nineteenth-century bourgeois self) the social practices of surveillance and regulation we associate with the detective police. Miller effectively shifted the critical focus away from the opposition between "high" Victorian realism (on the one hand) and the subversive "other Victorian" literary underworlds of gothic, detective, and sensation fiction (on the other). *The Novel and the Police* recog-

nized all these manifestations of the novel as continuous expressions – even agents – of a pervasive culture of social discipline.

Detective Fiction and the Rise of Forensic Science counters and complements that argument by examining a range of the most visible of literary detectives and the history of the rather different "representational technologies" by which they defined the criminal body. These figures are examined in the specific context of the emergence of American and English criminology in the nineteenth century and in dialectical relation with the actual detective devices they came to embody. My analysis shows, however, that Miller's assessment of the novel's discursive networks posits too singular and monolithic an ideological force. His judgment of the novel as a genre (and of detective fiction by implication) too quickly repudiates its capacities for exposure, resistance, and transgression. Nor do I entirely concur with Martin Kayman's more recent claim that detective fiction always necessarily opposes rather than collaborates with the dominant discourses of the realist novel, science, and the law.21 I will argue that nineteenth-century detective literature both reinforces and resists the disciplinary regime it represents, preserving the capacity to criticize the system in which it also functions as an integral part.²² While claiming to speak the truth, the literary detective acknowledges that he does so through so many devices of his own making.

My concern with the "devices" of detection – literal and literary – has brought into the discussion texts which anyone would consider likely suspects for such an investigation as well as some texts not conventionally considered in the category of detective fiction. Each section of this study focuses upon the dynamics of a mutual crosscontamination between literary and cultural materials at different moments in the modernization of England and America. Throughout, scientific, legal, and technical knowledge informs and is informed by the devices of detection that are anticipated or deployed by the literary detective. Part I looks at detective narratives by Poe, Collins, Conan Doyle, and Hammett in the context of the Anglo-American review of the principles of legal evidence during the latter half of the century, a process that culminated in the publication of John E. Wigmore's call for a scientific approach to the subject in his Principles of Judicial Proof (1913). Hugo Munsterberg's pioneering research on the lie detector at the end of the century and his appeal for the wider use of the expert witness in On the Witness Stand (1907) figure as the organizing motifs for this chapter. Part II, focusing upon the device of the mug shot, reads novels like Bleak House and The House of the Seven Gables in light of

materials drawn from the history of photography, including Poe's and Dickens's own published essays on the subject, the debate between American and British photographers on the relative merits of the daguerreotype and calotype processes, Francis Galton's experiments with composite criminal photography, and Walker Evans's landmark work on documentary photography, American Photographs (1938). Part III concentrates upon detection as an international issue, especially in detective stories dealing with the relation between America, England, and the colonies. There I examine Twain's Pudd'nhead Wilson and Conan Doyle's A Study in Scarlet together with Christie's Murder on the Orient Express and Hammett's The Maltese Falcon in relation to such phenomena as the rise of criminal anthropology, Havelock Ellis's study of criminal physiology, and (most importantly) Francis Galton's ground-breaking book on the technology of fingerprinting.

The second characteristic shared by the texts I examine here is that they all occupy an uncertain territory between popular and high culture. Each has been both dismissed for pandering to lurid popular tastes and recognized for achievement in the realms of "serious literature." This question about legitimacy and cultural status is often written into and reflected upon in the texts themselves, in authorial prefaces, and in defenses of the genre offered by the authors in separate essays. The most conspicuous exception may be the most interesting case – namely, the work of Sir Arthur Conan Doyle himself. Despite Holmes's frequent scolding of Watson for the overly literary quality of his narratives, Conan Doyle himself conceived of his Sherlock Holmes series as the less serious and more popular cash crop that would enable him to write what he regarded as the really important literature of historical fiction – which, of course, became nowhere near as successful with critics or with readers as his detective writing. On the other hand, Raymond Chandler would maintain that the hard-boiled detective fiction of Dashiell Hammett elevated the aesthetic of the dime novel to great American literature. Chandler would credit his predecessor with continuing the tradition of Walt Whitman and making possible the work of Hemingway (p. 14). "I do not know what the loftiest level of literary achievement is," Chandler scoffed in response to critics; "neither did Aeschylus or Shakespeare."23

I have been drawn to the texts that move in this shadowy zone between popular and high culture in part because they demonstrate how volatile and historically determined such designations are. This is especially the case in a popular literature that was so central to these nations' reimagining of themselves in the context of the dramatic social changes they underwent during this hundred-year span. While influenced by Foucault and the new historicism, then, this book does not seek to unearth an inevitably contained and silenced popular history any more than it aims at endorsing some official historical account. Rather, it focuses upon the devices, discourses, and networks – in literature and science – within which this transaction was often taking place, where the subversive criminal body was being distinguished from the legitimate investigating body by transforming the suspect itself into a kind of literature.²⁴

Except for the consideration I have given to work by Agatha Christie and Mary Elizabeth Braddon, I have not concentrated upon the substantial contribution women writers have made to the literature of detection. This omission is primarily a result of my fundamental concern with the criminalization of the female body through law and technology, a quality most prominently reflected by the male writers in the genre. It is also the case that much of the popular new woman fiction written in the detective mode – like Andrew Forrester's The Female Detective (1864) or W. Stephens Hayward's The Experiences of a Lady Detective (1864) – was actually written by men. The female literary detectives of the nineteenth century comprise an important and complex subject of their own, and they have recently received at least three book-length studies by Kathleen Gregory Klein, Ann Cvetkovich, and Patricia Craig and Mary Cadogan. The affiliation of the genre's readership or point of view with one gender or the other is a central concern in these studies, and can only occupy a smaller part of my analysis here. However, the importance of the subject is implicit throughout this book. In Part I, my reading of The Woman in White argues that the typical plot of a sensation novel – where a female body often vanishes and is recovered by a combination of legal and medical male expertise - represents a taking over of the terms of personal identity by an emerging class of professionals who compose a reconfigured patriarchal class. In Part III, I deal with the feminization of the criminal body in nineteenth-century English criminological discourse and with the contemptuous feminization of the English literary detective by American hard-boiled writers in the twentieth century. There, the threat of the new woman from the turn of the century is transformed into suspicion of the sexually promiscuous femme fatale and the sexually ambiguous foreigner. Throughout, gender is a critical category in my investigation of personal and political identity as it is defined and redefined in all of these texts.

My concern with tracing the links between technologies of individual identification and anxieties about national identity in Britain and America has made especially relevant texts whose plots deal with relations between the two nations – texts such as certain Sherlock Holmes stories. Pudd'nhead Wilson, The House of the Seven Gables, Murder on the Orient Express, and The Maltese Falcon. Histories of detective literature have commonly chosen either to ignore the distinctions between English and American approaches to the form or to offer simplistic and absolute principles to distinguish between them – contrasting the refined and rational analysis of the mannered English tradition, for example, with the irrational violence of the American hard-boiled school. My analysis of detective fiction as a form of popular cultural history and criticism attempts to give a more complex picture of the dynamic relationship between these two national traditions as they engaged their distinctive historical situations, concentrating on the devices with which literary private eyes made the public world visible and legible.

Those devices were invariably aimed at making the body write or speak for itself. The jagged lines of the heart recorded by the lie detector, the lineaments of the face imprinted on a mug shot, and the swirling patterns of the skin inscribed in the fingerprint all render the body as a kind of automatic writing machine. The detective narrative, in its deployment of these forensic technologies and in its resemblance to them, helped to make nineteenth-century persons legible for a modern technological culture. In modern societies, Foucault has argued, the human body was integrated into a new "political economy" as individuals began to be controlled by having the instruments, techniques, and procedures of "discipline" inscribed upon them rather than serving as objects of public torture and "punishment." Foucault and others have also demonstrated that what we call the Victorian "repression" of the body is at least as much hypothesis as it is fact.²⁶ This was the age that demonstrated such extraordinary inventiveness in creating institutions and rituals for monitoring, policing, treating, and even confessing the activity of the body. During this period, national professional organizations for analyzing and curing the body were formed, technologies for protecting and enhancing it were developed, businesses for exploiting and profiting from it thrived, and magazines for displaying and selling it achieved wide circulation.

The nineteenth century succeeded in creating this elaborate social machinery to examine, classify, and analyze every conceivable variety of bodily activity and anatomical aberration. It also invented this resilient and popular literary genre so centrally concerned with the act of investigating bodies, exposing and submitting for scrutiny the most carnal of secrets, and offering as evidence brutal facts about the body in order to control its functioning – either by explanation or confinement. In these narratives is conceived a technology for rendering the suspect body into a text to be read rather than a prisoner to be punished. The hero of this literature, the discipline of forensic science to which he gave rise, and the cultural devices embodied in him are the subjects under examination in this book.