Abortion: 184–5, 192–3; and public reason, 42, 59–60 n75, 198 n24, 327, 343, 382; reasonable disagreement over, 195, 328; formal vs. material right to, 414; and trespass law, 415, 418
Abstract individualism: feminist criticism of Rawls on, 492
Adoption: state control of, 505
Advertising: regulation of as a factor in social ethos, 231
Affirmative action: 84 n3
Agency: Kantian conception of, 8; and the moral powers, 28, 295–6
Agent-relative prerogatives: 265
Aggregate social welfare: and the least well off, 200
Altruism: and the original position, 430, and classical utilitarianism, 430–1; and distinctions among persons, 432; and sympathetic spectator, 431
American Revolution, the: 335
Anderson, Elizabeth: 255, 259
Animals: outside realm of justice on Rawls's account, 514
Anti-perfectionism: liberal, 470–1; and priority of right over good, 471; non-communitarian criticisms of, 471; Rawls's support of state doctrine of, 473; Rawls's defense of, 484
Anti-realism: as a constructivist doctrine, 347
Arendt, Hannah: on autonomy, 114
Aristotle: on justice and desert, 447
Aristotelian principle: defined, 25; 289–90; and congruence of justice and the good, 26, 291; as a psychological law, 289; and sense of justice, 291, 293
Arneson, Richard: 271 n9
Arnold, N. Scott: 235 n12
Aron, Raymond: 62
Arts: funding for, 194, 486 n21; justifiable grounds for state support of, 474
Arrow, Kenneth: 454 n5; on sensitivity of primary goods as an index, 256
Autonomy: 26, 288; and moral powers, 5; moral, 28; and Kantian constructivism, 28; rejected by Thomism, 29, 305; as basis of comprehensive liberalism, 74; rejection of, 96–7; cannot serve as basis for including political liberties among basic liberties, 107; and political action, 114, political, 121; and preservation of social institutions, 125; and good of justice, 159; public and private, 173–4; as an intrinsic good, 294, 300; and acting for the sake of justice, 300; and fact of reasonable pluralism, 304; rational and moral distinguished, 314 n50; full, 314 n50; Kantian ideal of in TJ, 379; as a liberal hypergood, 473; liberal emphasis on questioned, 476; priority of in political sphere, 476; disputes over importance of, 477
Background culture: contains comprehensive doctrines, 325; and civil society, 325, 331; elements of, 326; contrasted with public political...
Background culture: (cont.)

forum, 384; open debate as a part of, 387
Baier, Annette: critical of the original position: 492; on fraternity and liberty, 516 n12
Ball, Carlos: 423 n60
Barber, Benjamin, 131 n4
Barry, Brian: 56 n34, 61 n86, 136 n37, 234 n6, 240 n36, 277–8, 286, 288, 454 n5; on majority rule, 191; and representative government, 192; on focus on basic structure, 228; on Rawls's congruence argument, 281, 315 n58; on utilitarianism's participation in overlapping consensus, 458 n33; justice as fairness as a political conception, 486 n10
Basic liberties: defined and listed, 4–5; priority of, 5, 66–7; inalienability of, 6; worth of guaranteed by social minimum, 9; disagreement over, 67; protected by first principle, 89; protection of by democratic process, 118; difficulty in protecting through political process, 119; and collective self-regulation, 123; and the ends of social justice, 171–2; co-originality of personal and political, 173–4; priority given to whole scheme of, 178; trade-offs for non-liberties rejected by Rawls, 178, 417; resolving conflicts among, 181–7, 416; none are absolute, 184; reasonable disagreement over, 185; political liberalism's resources for dealing with conflicts among, 187; reasonable state restrictions on, 196; equal distribution of given strict priority in special conception of justice, 203; and constitutional law, 401; fully adequate scheme of, 403; and legislative majorities, 403; and judicial review, 404; as libertarian core of an acceptable constitution, 409–10; connected with underlying concept of the person, 410; formal vs. material interpretation of, 414–5; fair value of, 414–5; horizontal application of, 415, 419; adequacy condition for a scheme of, 417; tradable only for other basic liberties, 417; entrenched from majority vote, 509
Basic needs: provision for as a constitutional essential, 406; as lexically prior to first principle of justice, 406
Basic rights: and liberalism, 83; and restrictions on majority rule, 120; horizontal applicability of as a crucial matter of justice, 419; see also, Basic liberties
Basic structure of society: 50; defined, 3; and principles of justice, 3; as primary subject of justice, 4; importance of, 63; and entitlements, 67–8; and individual motives, 82; democratic conception of, 97; seen as given before political action, 125; as limit on political autonomy, 125; to be set up to overcome social and natural contingencies, 215; Rawls's justification for focusing on, 228–30; and focus on groups when measuring inequality, 242; as subject of public reason, 380; and distributive justice, 444, 457 n24; focus on shows Rawls's understanding of community, 467; and the need for care, 514
Bay, Christian: 234 n5
Bayesianism: 14–15, and equal probability, 14; and principle of insufficient reason, 16–17; not a limit on autonomy, 126
Beauchamp, Tom: 234 n6
Bedau, Hugo: 457 n23
Beitz, Charles: 61 n86, 138 n59
Benevolence: role of in Rawls's theory, 493
Benhabib, Seyla: criticisms of original position, 495; critical of public reason, 507
Bentham, Jeremy: 229; as social theorist, 444; and liberal conceptions of justice, 450; and overlapping consensus, 458–9 n33
Berlin, Isaiah: 62; on classical liberalism, 181; value pluralism of as distinct from Rawls's reasonable pluralism, 392 n8
Bernardin, Cardinal Joseph: 344
INDEX

Bill of rights: as block on majority rule, 182; controversies over scope of, 409–10
Binmore, Ken: 55 n24, 56 n34
Black, Sam: 487 n27
Bradley, F.H.: misreads Kant, 365 n17
Brandt, Richard: 166 n5; 167 n10; objection to reflective equilibrium, 146
Brennan, William: and American liberalism, 408; on freedom of association, 412
Brighouse, Harry: 185
Brink, David: 166 n5; 363 n2
Brock, Dan: 274 n48
Brute luck: opposed to option luck, 253; and duty of assistance, 253
Buchanan, Allen: 274 n49
Buckley v. Valeo: 138 n54, 183, 261, 425 n96
Burdened societies: duty to meet basic needs of, 48–49
Burdens of judgment: 32, 319; as recognized by citizens, 341; as a source of pluralism, 378; and inevitably of disagreement over the good, 482
Bureaucracy: and distributive justice, 449
Byrne, Steven E.: 238 n27
Callan, Eamonn: 487 n22
Calvin, John: 185; 342
Campaign financing: limits on, 13; as a site of disagreement over liberties, 128; and fair value of political liberties, 128, 420; and freedom of speech, 261; see also, Elections, Political campaigns
Capabilities: for social cooperation, 8; as index of well-being, 244, 520 n57; and democratic equality, 256–63; disagreement on value of, 259
Capital punishment: 184–5, 192; and moral disagreement, 187; and public reason, 198 n24
Care: giving and receiving as necessary part of society, 512; and basic structure, 514
Careers open to talents: defined, 249; and antidiscrimination legislation, 249; may leave unfair practices intact, 250
Carens, Joseph H.: 240 n36
Carnap, Rudolph: 347
Categorical imperative, the: 340; contrasted with original position, 352; as constructivist procedure, 354–5; universal law formulation of, 355; 358; practical implications of, 355; as laid out, not constructed, 356; given coherentist justification by Rawls, 357; as fundamental requirement of practical reasoning, 358–9; equivalence of formulations of, 366 n26; does not just supply external constraints on self-interested will, 492
Catholicism: liberal, 29; rejects autonomy, 29; and religious freedom, 165; and religious diversity, 342; as non-liberal comprehensive doctrine, 345; and public reason, 382; and free faith, 518–9 n48
Chain connection: and the difference principle, 237 n25, 273 n25
Channing, William Ellery: 385
Children: rights of, 503; as hostages to the family, 504; rights of as future citizens, 506
Christian Scientists: and public reason, 345
Churchill, Winston: on democracy, 116
Circumstances of justice: Rawls's characterization of criticized, 512
Citizens: mistrust of by philosophical theorists, 114; subordinated to philosophers, 121; virtues of, 340; and reasonableness, 340; not presupposed in Kant's constructivism, 360; and reason, 367 n32; as conception of the person in political liberalism, 465; liberal conception of, 478; and social stability, 478; role of in Rawls's theory contrasted with real people, 511–2; and asymmetrical need, 512–3; centrality of moral powers to definition of, 513
Civic friendship: requires offering reasons others can accept, 185; and mutual respect, 185; as relationship
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Civic friendship: [cont.]
   between citizens in political liberalism, 186; as desirable in itself, 186; educational effect of, 186
Civic humanism: central claims of
denied by political liberalism, 472; as a threat to pluralism, 472–3
Civic integrity: as a virtue in political liberalism, 186
Civil disobedience: grounds for,
   421 n4
Civil rights: state's duty to educate
   about, 476
Civil rights movement: and public reason, 385
Civil society: and background culture,
   325; contents of; 325; rarely discussed
   by Rawls, 495; importance of for
   Habermas, 495; not subject to the
   restrictions of public reason, 508
Civil war: as worst political evil, 385
   Hobbes view of, 385
Civility: duty of, stated, 38; and public reason, 380, 397; role of in political justification, 396–7; requires giving reasons others can accept, 396
Class: and fair equality of opportunity,
   241; feudal conception of, 249;
correlation with health, 260
Class interests: do not fully explain
differences in comprehensive doctrines, 321
Classical liberalism: gives priority to
   personal liberties, 181; not endorsed by
   Rawls, 181
Close-knottedness: and the difference principle, 203, 273 n25; and relationship of least to best off, 204–8; and variants of the difference principle, 208; assumption of seen as reasonable by Rawls, 208; assumptions on applicability of, 209
Coercion: justification of,
   395, 397, 405, 507; and comprehensive doctrines,
   466, 468
Cohen, G.A.: 83 n9, 234 n6, 240 n36,
   271 n9, 271 n11, 272 n17, 273 n35,
   518 n36; criticism of the difference principle, 81–2, 265; on incentives, 227; and strict version of difference principle, 230; and ethos of justice,
   265–9; on shortfalls from ideal justice,
   269; on the basic structure, 457 n23
Cohen, Joshua: 54 n20, 57 n45, 132
   n7, 198 n16, 272 n16, 272 n22, 275
   n59, 391 n2, 454 n6, 457 n24
Commager, Henry Steele: 137 n44
Common good: 287
Common law: and political philosophy,
   340
Communal goods: and pluralism, 468
Communism: radical forms of ruled out
   by two principles of justice, 223
Communitarianism: 12: criticism of
   Rawls, 82–3; fantasy of, 83; contrasted
   with constructivism, 348; contrasted
   with Rawls on reason, 353; and the
   difference principle, 441; and
   uncharitable readings of Rawls, 461;
as a practical political movement, 462;
   Rawls's response to, 463; conception
   of the person in, 464–6; criticism of
   the original position by, 464–5; criticism of
   Rawls as supporting
   asocial individualism, 466–9;
demands a comprehensive doctrine to
   order political life, 468; criticism of
   Rawls on universalism of liberalism
   469–70; critical of liberal neutrality,
   470–5; Rawls notes force of criticism
   against comprehensive liberalism,
   474; Rawls not guilty of charges
   brought by, 475; value of criticisms
   by, 475; and group rights, 476–7; and
   standards of community membership,
   478; and multiculturalism, 487 n25;
diversity of comprehensive doctrines
   as problem for, 494
Community: value of, 287; supposed
   liberal neglect of, 460; conflicting
   versions of as heart of
   liberal-communitarian debate, 462;
   compatibility of with liberalism,
   461–2; relationship of individual to,
   467; and perfectionism, 471
Competition: role of in capitalism, 204
Comprehensive doctrines: 34, 159;
   independence of political conceptions
   from, 35; and overlapping consensus,
   36; and TJ, 73; and public reason,
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76–7; and public basis for principles of justice, 84; unreasonable, 83–4; fanatical, 83–4; reasonable, defined, 164; role of in public reason, 195, 342; relationship to political conceptions, 228, 318; and liberal Thomism, 305; and oppressive state power, 318; irreconcilable conflicts among, 323; defined, 331; can strengthen public reason, 385; limits on appeals to, 385; proviso on, 385, 507; and stability, 454 n7; state oppression needed to reach agreement on in modern society, 468; and self identity, 477; diversity of as problem for communitarians 494; religious conceptions of, 508; see also Reasonable comprehensive doctrine

Comprehensive view: see see comprehensive doctrines

Concepts: as distinct from conceptions, 330; social construction of, 363 n3

Conceptual analysis: Rawls's project seen as, 322

Congruence: problem defined, 25, 280–1, 283, 285; two arguments for, 25; liberal Thomists' rejection of, 29; Kantian argument for given up in PL, 30; of justice and our good, 158; problems with argument for in TJ, 159, 303–6; need for, 282–3; argument for outlined, 292–5; problems with argument for, 303–6; not needed for stability, 306

Conscientious refusal: grounds for, 421 n4

Consequentialism: 57 n42; see also, Utilitarianism

Conservatism: 334

Considered judgments: defined, 140; features of, 143–44; stability of and confidence in, 143–44; are data on descriptive interpretation, 148; and supporting reasons of, 148; open to constant modification, 152; and the original position, 155–56; and principles of justice, 156–57; modifications of, 157; of value, 290

Constant, Benjamin: 62, 178

Constitution, the: justification of, 92–3; and imperfect procedural justice, 92–3; breakdown in, 121; U.S., preamble of, 336; first amendment of and public reason, 344; defined, 394

Constitutional contractarianism: and political liberalism, 395–7; as focused on essentials of constitution, 396; important place of constitutional essentials in, 404; and transparency of application, 404–6

Constitutional democracy: and justice as fairness, 92–3

Constitutional essentialism: defined, 395–6

Constitutional essentials: and political justification, 162–63; difficulty in identifying, 396–7; and justification of coercion, 396; listed, 401–2; and judicial review, 403–4; motives for separating out, 404; importance of transparency in application, 404–6; difference principle not included among, 405; are placed beyond grasp of majorities, 405; include basic needs, 406; include fair value of political liberties, 406; difficulties with transparency of, 417; defined, 421 n5

Constitutional law: matters to be resolved by, 401–2; use of precedent in, 408; Rawls's influence on, 408–20; libertarian core of, 409–10; Rawls's contribution to discourse of, 409; formal vs. material understanding of, 414–5; and horizontal application of constitutional rights, 415; and slavery, 519 n51

Constitutional stage: and four stage sequence, 93

Constitutionalism: favored over majoritarianism by political liberalism, 188; defined, 394

Contractarianism: and moral motivation, 282; in TJ, 369; Constitutional, 395–7; and political liberalism, 395–7; as means of political justification, 395; and liberal individualism, 395; and social institutions, 458 n28; see also Social contract

Constructivism: defined, 27, 347–9; and objectivity, 27; Kantian, 27–8;
Constructivism: defined (cont.) political, 34; primacy of the social in Rawls’s variant, 352; limits of, 352, 356; as political in Rawls, 353; as not justifying practical reason, 356; practicality of Rawls’s and Kant’s accounts, 361; and individualism, 361; Kant’s as more radical than Rawls’s, 362; limits of in Rawls, 366 n25; as solution to priority problem, 443; non-utilitarian conceptions of, 443; seen as not respecting separateness of persons, 449–50; see also, Kantian constructivism Copp, David: 166 n4 Corporation of Presiding Bishop v. Amos: 422 n27 Cosmopolitanism: in Kant, 360, 362; rejected by Rawls, 360 Costa Rica: 260 Critical method: importance of in Kant’s ethics, 356; rejected by Rawls, 356 Critique of Practical Reason: fact of reason in, 356 Cuba: 260 Dahl, Robert: 99, 134 n25, 136 n39, 137 n40; on democratic proceduralism, 122 Daniels, Norman: 166 n9; 364 n9 Decency: important category in law of peoples, 47 Decent hierarchical society: features of, 45; defines limits of liberal toleration, 46 Deliberative democracy: 100–4, 391 n2; and public reason, 43, 368; defined, 87; use of reason in, 101; endorsed by Rawls, 101–2, 134 n27 Deliberative rationality: and the good, 25; defined, 284, 311 n17; and choice of rational plans, 290; ambiguity in account of, 305–6 Democracy: and self-respect, 23; and the law of peoples, 48; liberal vs. majoritarianism, 75; idea of in TJ, 86–7; three ideas of, 87; as form of society, 95–100, deliberative, 100–4; minimalist view of, 100; aggregative conception of, 101; collective agent view of, 101; not merely instrumental in justice as fairness, 104; and role of justice, 111; institutional subordination of, 112, 113, 115–21; denigration of, 114, 121–8; and noncompliance, 116; cannot be limited for economic gain, 116; and disagreement, 127; relationship to liberalism, 168; as moral ideal, 169; implicitly identified with idea of free and equal persons by Rawls, 170; as a defense of political freedom, 175; relationship to liberalism as not merely instrumental, 176; subordinated to liberalism at later stages of Rawls’s theory, 177; relationship to majority rule, 189; as respect for equal political authority of all, 189; compatibility of with comprehensive doctrines, 322; coherence of idea of, 322; investigation of coherence of as primary goal of Rawls’s theory, 328; desirability of taken for granted, 328; presupposed by Rawls’s account, 353; suspension of judgment sometimes beneficial to, 387 Democratic equality: and fair value of liberty, 170; and second principle of justice, 171; and least well off, 171; as a liberal principle, 172; characterized, 241; and two principles of justice, 241; criticisms from left and right, 243; challenges to, 243–4; Rawls’s use of the term, 244–5; refers to all three principles of justice, 245; not a form of luck egalitarianism, 246; and self-respect, 248; role of appeal to moral arbitrariness in 248; and mitigating effect of moral arbitrariness, 249–56; level of inequality allowable in, 252; and health care, 254–5; justification of, 255; challenged on grounds of insensitivity of primary goods as an index, 256–7; requires universal health care, 258; as good for our health, 259–63; implications of for population health, 263; tendency towards equality in, 263; use of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>Democratic political regime: 92–5; defined, 87; connection with a democratic society, 96–7; see also, Political democracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Democratic politics: as seen in TJ: 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>264</td>
<td>incentives in, 264; and ethos of justice, 265–9; complexity of ignored by G.A.Cohen, 267; and the good life, 267–8; commitment to by all, 269; and morally arbitrary contingencies, 270; and disability, 270; and focus on the basic structure, 270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>egalitarianism of, 71–2; and pure procedural justice, 71; and egalitarianism, 78; and natural abilities, 79–80; and collective responsibility for social and economic framework, 80–1; strong egalitarianism of, 81; and consensual democracy, 94; and idea of free and equal persons, 98–100; and democratic equality, 98–100, 171–2; as appeal to common interests, 129; and equal liberties, 172; core idea of, 200; avoids absurdties of equality and maximizing, 200; relationship to the idea of the general interest, 200, as component of special conception of justice, 201; applied only to a subset of social primary goods in special conception of justice, 202; and zero-sum games, 203; and close-knitness, 203, 273 n25; and tolerance of social and economic inequalities, 204; and requirement for maximal improvement for the worst off, 205; more egalitarian variant of, 206; less egalitarian variant of, 206, 264; and efficiency, 207, 236 n16; arguments for more egalitarian variant of, 207; as principle of reciprocity, 207; satisfiability of, 207; as seen behind the veil of ignorance, 208; incompatible variants of, 208; as applicable within one generation, 209; as applicable within one nation, 209; lexical variant of, 209, 210; distribuendum of, 211–2, 232; meant to measure expectations over a lifetime, 213, 216; part of an individualistic approach to justice 213; to be understood as an opportunity-egalitarian principle, 214; as responsibility friendly, 216; as applied to healthy of working age, 216; and trickle up effect, 217; institutionalization of, 217; proper interpretation of, 221, 222; tools available in application of, 222–31, 232–3; application constrained by two principles of justice, 223, 232–3; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>273</td>
<td>basic structure of society, 50, 222; egalitarianism of, 71–2; and pure procedural justice, 71; and egalitarianism, 78; and natural abilities, 79–80; and collective responsibility for social and economic framework, 80–1; strong egalitarianism of, 81; and consensual democracy, 94; and idea of free and equal persons, 98–100; and democratic equality, 98–100, 171–2; as appeal to common interests, 129; and equal liberties, 172; core idea of, 200; avoids absurdties of equality and maximizing, 200; relationship to the idea of the general interest, 200, as component of special conception of justice, 201; applied only to a subset of social primary goods in special conception of justice, 202; and zero-sum games, 203; and close-knitness, 203, 273 n25; and tolerance of social and economic inequalities, 204; and requirement for maximal improvement for the worst off, 205; more egalitarian variant of, 206; less egalitarian variant of, 206, 264; and efficiency, 207, 236 n16; arguments for more egalitarian variant of, 207; as principle of reciprocity, 207; satisfiability of, 207; as seen behind the veil of ignorance, 208; incompatible variants of, 208; as applicable within one generation, 209; as applicable within one nation, 209; lexical variant of, 209, 210; distribuendum of, 211–2, 232; meant to measure expectations over a lifetime, 213, 216; part of an individualistic approach to justice 213; to be understood as an opportunity-egalitarian principle, 214; as responsibility friendly, 216; as applied to healthy of working age, 216; and trickle up effect, 217; institutionalization of, 217; proper interpretation of, 221, 222; tools available in application of, 222–31, 232–3; application constrained by two principles of justice, 223, 232–3; and</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Difference principle (cont.)
globalization, 210, 226–7, 233;
relationship to ideal of well-ordered
society, 227; and individual conduct,
227, 264–5; strict interpretation of,
227; and freedom of occupation, 228;
applied to global politics, 210, 216
n18; and chain connection, 237 n24;
contrasted with principle of
efficiency, 245, 248, 250; as corrective
to natural contingencies, 245; and
natural lottery, 250; not a ‘trickle
down’ principle, 251; treats
distribution of talents and skills as
common asset, 251; not a principle of
redress, 251; and luck, 255;
G.A.Cohen’s interpretation of, 264;
and incentives, 264; not implemented
by constitutional law, 401; not a
constitutional essential, 405;
difficulty with transparency in
application of, 405–6; difficulties in
judging satisfaction of, 407; as a
communitarian notion of justice,
441
Disability: 8–9, ignored by Rawls as
source of inequality, 242; and
difficulties with using primary social
goods as index of well-being, 244; and
right to health care, 257–8; and
reasonable accommodation, 258;
biomedical model of, 274 n46; and
exercise of the moral powers, 513; and
citizenship, 513–4
Disagreement: political, about justice,
88, 103, 129–31, 193–7
Discourse ethics: affinities with
feminist and religious critics of Rawls,
507
Disease: and rights to health care,
257–8, biomedical model of, 274 n46
Distinction among persons: and
utilitarianism, 429–30; and altruism,
431; Sandel on, 441; and
constructivism, 449–50
Distributive justice: and second
principle of justice, 6; and reciprocity,
7; and entitlements in Rawls, 67–8;
requires mitigating, not eliminating,
effect of arbitrary contingencies, 255;
differs from allocative justice, 444;
must be judged in terms of basic
structure, 444; does not apply to
single transactions, 445; holistic view
of taken by Rawls, 4, 445; and
moderate scarcity; 445; see also,
Difference principle
Divorce: Rawls on just division of assets
in, 503, 517 n26
Dostoyevsky, Fyodor: and benevolent
totalitarianism, 328; as critic of
democracy, 329
Douglas, Steven: 384
Dowries: illegality of in India, 506
Dreben, Burton: 59 n69
Drug laws: reasonable disagreement
over, 328
Du Plessis v. De Klerk: 424 n79
Duty of assistance: to disadvantaged
peoples, 49, 61 n87; as alternative to
the difference principle across
peoples, 210
Duty of noninterference: 61 n85
Dworkin, Ronald: 136 n39, 199 n25, 272
n17, 273 n35, 486 n21, 487 n26; on
judicial review, 137 n42; on
hypothetical contracts, 370, 371; on
role of respect in Rawls, 375; as
proposing a comprehensive liberal
dogma, 473
Economic freedom: and Rawls, 67–8
Education: role of, 221; and race and
class inequalities, 250; as a social
determinant of health, 261; and
religious minorities, 476; compulsory,
504
Efficiency: and the difference principle,
207, 236 n16, 245, 248; and the
natural lottery, 250; and inequalities
in capabilities, 259
Egalitarianism: and the difference
principle, 70, 71, 78, 241–76; and
relationship to justice, 205; see also
Democratic equality; Opportunity
egalitarianism; Outcome
egalitarianism
Elections: public financing of, 328, 407;
spending limits on, 407, 420
Elster, Jon: 391 n2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>565</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ely, John Hart: 408; on judicial review, 119–20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion: importance of for ethics emphasized by feminists, 489; intelligence of, 490; and unjust background conditions, 490; Rawls acknowledges role of in search for reflective equilibrium, 491; use of in public reason, 491; as source of stability, 496, 497; Rawls on cognitive content of, 497</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotivism: contrasted with constructivism, 438</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empathy: role of in social dialog, 496</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment subsidies: see wage subsidies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ends: common and shared, 287; plurality of, 438</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English, Jane: on heads of households assumption, 506; on just savings principle, 507</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enlightenment project: Rawls's project as distinct from, 326</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental protection: not fully covered by justice as fairness, 128; provision of, 475</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Envy: and lack of self-worth, 287; excusable, 288</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epstein, Richard A.: 424 n82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal citizens: and sense of self-respect, 23; importance of role of in moral education, 124; see also, Equality; Free and equal citizens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal consideration: demands a fair democratic process, 122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal liberty: institutions of, 224–5; see also, Basic liberties</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal opportunity for advantage: as alternative to democratic equality, 253; and moral arbitrariness of social and natural contingencies, 253; fails to protect needs of free and equal citizens, 255; as part of comprehensive doctrine, 256</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal political liberty: fundamental to democracy, 169, 173; relationship to equal personal liberty, 169; seen as merely instrumentally valuable by some liberals, 175</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal respect: as highest political value, 83; and basic rights, 96; and political democracy, 97; see also, Mutual respect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equality: and liberalism, 64, 65; socioeconomic, 65; and second principle of justice, 89; and democratic society as society of equals, 96; basis in sense of justice, 96, 247; relationship to sense of justice, 109, as baseline for contract among free and equal citizens, 247; tendency towards, 252; Conservatism and, 287; and rejection of sexual hierarchy, 502, under law, 519 n52; and sex discrimination, 519 n52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equality of opportunity: different interpretations of, 68–9; and influence of the family, 69; not sufficient for equality, 79–80; and natural abilities, 79; formal, 79, 243; and affirmative action, 84 n3; covered by constitutional law, 401; and deference to basic liberties, 402; see also Fair equality of opportunity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estlund, David: 240 n36, 267, 276 n67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical constructivism: contrasted with moral realism, 348; distinguished from moral anti-realism, 348; and justificatory role of ethics, 348; and objectivity, 348–9; see also, Constructivism; Kantian Constructivism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethos of justice: question of need for in democratic equality, 264; as alternative to Rawls's focus on basic structure, 265; G.A.Cohen on, 265–9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etzioni, Amitai: 462</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange branch: use of to achieve perfectionist ends, 193</td>
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INDEX

Leisure: as a primary good, 217, 219; and employment subsides, 219
Lesbianism: and equality under law, 519
Lexical ordering: explained, 237
Libel: not protected by freedom of speech, 182
Liberal democracy: diversity within, 34
Liberal equality: and social and natural contingencies, 215
Liberal neutrality: and political conceptions of justice, 473; Rawls's version as the standard for, 474
Liberal political principles: defined, 43, 334
Liberal principle of legitimacy: stated, 38, 395; relationship to public reason, 383
Liberal socialism: consistent with right to property, 223
Liberalism: and social minimum, 9; and ideal of mutual respect and reciprocity, 33, 77; justification of, 35; three features of, 43, 334; different images of, 62; Rawls's special place in tradition of, 62–3; opposes inequalities of status, 64; and egalitarianism, 64–5; Mill's vs. Rawls's, 65; difference between laissez-faire and welfare state liberalism, 68; and pluralism, 72–8; and neutrality, 74, 471; rights-based, 77; cleavage in tradition of, 79–80; and individual motives, 82; basic rights the core of, 83; relationships to democracy, 164, 175; as a defense of personal freedom, 175; communitarian variant of, 392 n11; 'fundamental errors' of, listed, 460; as underpinned by communitarian concerns, 461; does not presuppose atomism or self-interested individualism, 462; political conception of, 464; does not deny common aims for citizens, 468; and anti-perfectionism, 471; comprehensive variant of susceptible to communitarian critique, 473; and women's movement, 488; comprehensive version of as more amiable to feminist concerns, 515
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Maximin Rule: 15–16; Harsanyi’s argument against, 15; conditions on, 18, 55–6 n34, 432; rationality of in original position, 18; needs a measurable basis to work on, 212; as a principle of rational choice, 249; and choices of principles of justice, 431; applicable only in particular circumstances, 431; does not give a self-contained argument, 434; rationality of use of, 435; as a master argument, 436
McClain, Linda: 423 n59, 424 n69, 425 n89
McClennen, Edward: 455 n9
McPherson, Michael: 237 n22
Media: as part of nonpublic background culture, 326
Meikeljohn, Alexander: 120
Mertens, Thomas: 367 n35
Meyer, Milton: 314 n54
Michelman, Frank: 136 n39, 138 n52
Middle class: and interests of the lower class, 81
Mieszkowski, Paul M.: 237 n24
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Moral point of view: not a theory of human nature, 494
Moral Powers: defined, 5; and capacities of social cooperation, 8; and free moral agency, 26, 295–6; role of in political liberalism, 34; necessary for social cooperation, 34; and public reason, 41; and higher-order interests, 104; and priority of liberty, 104; role of in grounding political liberties, 107; as
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