## HONG KONG AS A GLOBAL METROPOLIS

DAVID R. MEYER



PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK http://www.cup.cam.ac.uk 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011–4211, USA http://www.cup.org 10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia

© David R. Meyer 2000

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2000

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeset in Times NR 10/12 pt in QuarkXPress<sup>TM</sup> [SE]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Mever. David R.

Hong Kong as a global metropolis / David R. Meyer. p. cm. – (Cambridge studies in historical geography 30)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0 521 64344 9 (hardback)

1. Hong Kong (China) – History. 2. Hong Kong (China) – Economic conditions. I. Title. II. Series.

DS796.H757M48 2000

951.25-dc21 99-32285 CIP

ISBN 0 521 64344 9 hardback

### Contents

	List of figures	page viii
	List of maps	X
	List of tables	xi
	Preface	xiii
1	Enigma	1
2	Intermediaries of capital	5
3	From Canton to Hong Kong	28
4	Hub of the China trade	52
5	Chinese and foreign social networks of capital	78
6	Trade and finance center for Asia	114
7	Industrial metropolis	143
8	Global metropolis for Asia	179
9	Hong Kong, China	219
	Bibliography	248
	Index	270

# Figures

2.1	Intermediary A exerts power over other intermediaries in upper	
	portion, but A has less power in lower portion	page 13
2.2	Dynamic model of global metropolitan intermediaries	16
2.3	Long-term dynamics of the world system of metropolises	26
3.1	Opium shipments to China, 1800–1838	33
3.2	Value per chest of opium imports at Canton, 1816–1837	39
3.3	Total value of opium imports at Canton, 1816–1837	40
4.1	Opium imports to China and Shanghai, 1837–1860	62
4.2	Total British exports to, and imports from, China and Hong	
	Kong, 1834–1904	63
4.3	British exports to China and Hong Kong for total goods and	
	textiles, 1834–1904	64
4.4	British imports of tea and raw silk from China and Hong Kong	
	1834–1904, and of tea from India and Ceylon, 1864–1904	64
4.5	Exports of tea and silk from Canton, Foochow, and Shanghai,	
	1843–1860	67
5.1	Freight rates between Britain and Asia, 1869–1913	80
6.1	Population of Hong Kong, 1841–1931	115
6.2	Tonnage and number of ships and junks entering and leaving	
	Hong Kong, 1844–1936	116
6.3	Hong Kong trade with Britain, 1843–1938	117
6.4	Hong Kong trade with China, 1869–1938	117
6.5	Population of Shanghai, 1840–1936	129
6.6	Exports of Singapore, 1870–1927	137
6.7	Selective view of the system of metropolises in Asia, <i>circa</i>	
	1880–1920	142
7.1	Assets of the Hongkong and Shanghai Bank, 1946–1979	148
7.2	Gross national product (GNP) per capita by political unit,	
	1964–1996	155
7.3	Structure of manufacturing in Hong Kong, 1950–1997	159

7.4	Number of employees by total and selected type of manufacturing in Hong Kong, 1950–1997	162
7.5	Employees/establishment for total and selected type of	102
1.5	manufacturing in Hong Kong, 1950–1997	167
0 1		
8.1	Sectoral change in employment in Hong Kong, 1976–1996	185
8.2	Sectoral change in gross domestic product (GDP) in Hong Kong, 1980–1995	187
8.3	Value of domestic exports and re-exports of Hong Kong,	107
	1918–1997	189
8.4	Domestic exports of Hong Kong to world regions, 1963–1997	190
8.5	Imports of Hong Kong from world regions, 1963–1997	191
8.6	Re-exports of Hong Kong to world regions, 1963–1997	192
8.7	Domestic exports of Hong Kong to Asia, 1963–1997	193
8.8	Imports of Hong Kong from Asia, 1963–1997	194
8.9	Re-exports of Hong Kong to Asia, 1963–1997	195
8.10	Number of licensed banks in Hong Kong, 1955–1997	198
8.11	Balance-sheets of licensed banks in Hong Kong, 1970–1997	202
8.12	Loans and advances of licensed banks in Hong Kong, 1973–1997	204
8.13	Annual foreign direct investment utilized in China by origin,	
	1985–1995	205
8.14	Number of regional headquarters and regional offices in Hong	
	Kong, 1979–1996	210
8.15	Number of intermediary businesses and their support services in	
	Hong Kong, 1976–1996	216
8.16	Employment in intermediary businesses and their support	
	services in Hong Kong, 1976–1996	217
9.1	Emigration from and immigration to Hong Kong and foreign	
	worker visas, 1985–1997	222

# Maps

1	The global triangle of trade, <i>circa</i> 1820	page 36
2	Hong Kong and the treaty ports, circa 1845	56
3	Hong Kong and the Nanyang trade centers, circa 1870	92
4	Asian political units and metropolitan centers, late twentieth	
	century	144

Maps prepared by Donna Souza.

# Tables

3.1	Balance of trade at Canton, 1828 (\$'000s)	page 42
4.1	Number of non-Chinese adult male civilians in ports, 1850–1859	61
5.1	Foreign commodity trade of China, 1867–1934	85
5.2	Investments of Jardine, Matheson & Company, 1885	105
5.3	Earliest branches, agencies, and agents of Hongkong and	
	Shanghai Bank, 1864–1866	109
5.4	Branches and agencies of Hongkong and Shanghai Bank, 1918	110
6.1	Net profits of Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation,	
	July–December, 1887	123
6.2	Trading areas of selected Chinese exporters and importers in	
	Hong Kong, 1915	124
	Foreign population of treaty ports, 1870	127
6.4	Percentage of total imports and exports of China passing throug	
	Shanghai, Canton, and Tientsin, 1870–1930	130
6.5	Percentage distributions of annual average exports and imports	
	of Singapore, 1871–1927	138
7.1	Percentage distributions of manufacturing employment by	
	industry in Hong Kong, 1950–1997	160
7.2	Percentage distributions of value of domestic exports by industry	
	in Hong Kong, 1960–1997	161
7.3	Hong Kong manufactures typical of industrial metropolises,	
	1985–1997	163
7.4	Growth rate of real payroll per person by economic group in	4.54
	Hong Kong, 1981–1996	164
7.5	Foreign direct investment (FDI) by employment and value in	
	Hong Kong, 1990 and 1996	171
8.1	World's largest 500 banks with licensed bank in Hong Kong,	100
0.0	1986–1997	199
8.2	Number of licensed banks in Hong Kong by political unit of	201
	beneficial ownership, 1986–1997	201

#### xii List of tables

8.3	Specialized financial institutions in Hong Kong, 1984–1997	208
8.4	Regional headquarters and offices in Hong Kong and their	
	overseas parent firms by major business line, 1997	212
8.5	Regional headquarters and offices in Hong Kong by political unit	
	of parent, 1997	214
8.6	Region of responsibility for regional headquarters of overseas	
	companies in Hong Kong, 1997	215
8.7	Producer services in Hong Kong, 1984–1997	218
9.1	Selected comparisons of Singapore and Hong Kong	232

### Enigma

Her Majesty's plenipotentiary has now to announce the conclusion of preliminary arrangements between the Imperial commissioner and himself involving the following conditions: The cession of the island and harbour of Hongkong to the British crown.<sup>1</sup>

Hong Kong remains wrapped in an enigma. Its intermediaries of capital, who include traders, financiers, and corporate managers, have made Hong Kong the pivot of decision-making about the exchange of capital within Asia and between that region and the rest of the world. Yet, for 150 years, this tiny island and adjacent peninsula could not even lay claim to status as a city-state. When Britain declared sovereignty over Hong Kong in 1841, after taking it from China under the terms of the Treaty of Nanking that settled the Opium War, the government and merchants had to build a town. The British viewed Hong Kong as their emporium of trade in the Far East, but they did not aspire to transform it into a commercial-military power similar to the earlier aggressive city-states of Genoa and Venice. From the start, Hong Kong and Asia remained peripheral to a British foreign policy focused on Europe, and up to 1860, the meager fleet on the China station seldom numbered more than six ships. Britain devoted greater attention to avoiding being drawn into the interior of China than to expanding trade.<sup>2</sup>

British governors of Hong Kong supported the traders and financiers and worked closely with them. Yet, for all the attention paid to British, and to a lesser extent, other "foreign" traders and financiers, city residents overwhelmingly consisted of Chinese, many of them also traders and financiers. Britain signed a treaty with China in 1898 that leased the New Territories, an area north of the Kowloon Peninsula, for ninety-nine years, and the governments set the return date for 1997, setting off a ticking clock that ended with

Notification of Captain Elliot, January 20, 1841; quoted in Morse, The international relations of the Chinese empire, vol. I, p. 271.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Endacott, A history of Hong Kong, pp. 25–50; Graham, The China station.

#### 2 Hong Kong as a global metropolis

the monumental peaceful transfer of a global metropolis between nations. Nevertheless, seen from Britain's perspective. Hong Kong still resided outside the mainstream of foreign policy. Even during World War II, Britain conceded Hong Kong to Japan and concentrated its defensive resources in Singapore. At the end of the war, the foreign and Chinese traders and financiers quickly regrouped in Hong Kong, reconstituting it as the pivot of the Asian networks of capital. When Britain finally realized that it could not retain control of Hong Kong and signed the Joint Declaration with China in 1984 that set the return to China for July 1, 1997, Britain did not seriously consult Hong Kong's residents and refused to commit extensive political and economic resources to contest the transfer.<sup>3</sup> As the transfer date loomed and Hong Kong would gain a territorial hinterland coterminous with its sovereign power, skeptics depicted pro-democracy movements, China's assertions that it would not tolerate challenges to its authority, and emigration of professionals as signs that Hong Kong would decline; and shortly after the transfer proceeded smoothly, economic travails in Asia impacted Hong Kong and again called its viability into question.4

An interpretation of Hong Kong as the global metropolis for Asia must explain the enigma of its expansion without a sovereign territorial hinterland even as a British colonial policy kept it at the periphery of concern. It soared after 1945 even as advances in telecommunications raised the specter of seamless capital exchanges without the need for face-to-face communication, and improved air travel seemingly allowed firms to manage trade, finance, and corporate organizations from almost any city. And with increasing uncertainty surrounding its return to China in 1997, competitors such as Tokyo and Singapore failed to dethrone Hong Kong as the dominant Asian venue for decision-making about the exchange of capital. Suggestions that Hong Kong may become one of the greatest global metropolises must reckon both with the capacity of its intermediaries to remain dominant in Asia, even as economic turmoil threatens, and with its status as a "capitalist" bastion ruled by a "socialist" state.

Traders and financiers in Hong Kong always operated in multitiered national, world-regional, and global economies. Recognition of that business scope provides one key for unlocking the enigma of Hong Kong as the global metropolis for Asia. Since the Canton days of the early nineteenth century, foreign merchant traders operated as agents of powerful global firms head-quartered in London, New York, and Boston, among other metropolises. Their arrival in Asia represented an extension of expansive colonial states in Europe, and Britain, the leading extractor of concessions from China after the

Endacott, A history of Hong Kong, pp. 260–69; Welsh, A borrowed place, pp. 374–440, 502–36.
For examples of skepticism, see: Kraar, "The death of Hong Kong"; Theroux, "Letter from Hong Kong." For the economic crisis in Asia and its impact on Hong Kong, see Guyot, "Fears rise in Hong Kong over credit"; Pesek, "Dis-oriented markets."

Opium War of the early 1840s, was the strongest imperial power based on its industrial might. The mad rush of great foreign trading firms to Hong Kong in the 1840s instantaneously established it as an arm of global firms in leading metropolises, and the simultaneous arrival of numerous Chinese trading firms boldly indicated that this metropolis operated more than as an outpost of foreign capital.

The trading firms and financial institutions that followed, nevertheless, always remained embedded in the political economy of Asia. Peasant impoverishment continually thwarted attempts of foreign trade and financial firms to find markets for their home-country products and capital, and this impoverishment impacted the firms' specialization, capitalization, and capacity to compete for control of the exchange of commodity and financial capital. As peasants started to rise out of poverty in the late twentieth century, traders and financiers transformed their businesses, but foreign firms confronted anew the reality of Asian economic exchange. Chinese traders and financiers dominated the exchange of commodity and financial capital at unspecialized, less-capitalized levels, and those intermediaries leveraged that dominance into higher levels of specialization and capitalization as economic growth and development of Asian countries accelerated.

Chinese and foreign traders and financiers always operated as two social networks of capital, a Chinese and a foreign network, and those networks intersected at key metropolises. The term "social networks" emphasizes that intermediary decision-making about the exchange of capital rests on bonds that extend beyond pure market calculations of profit and loss to include deeper, wider social relations. Those relations are essential to build trust and monitor malfeasant behavior, thus reducing the risks of exchange. Social networks provided the means for economic exchange within Asia and between Asia and the developed world of Europe and North America, and Hong Kong operated as the pivotal meeting-place of the Chinese and foreign social networks of capital in Asia. The first step in interpreting Hong Kong as the global metropolis for Asia requires a specification of behavioral principles that intermediaries use to control the exchange of commodity and financial capital. Then, these principles frame the interpretation of the changes in the social networks of capital in Asia that revolve around Hong Kong from antecedents in the Canton days around 1800 to the present. Rather than viewing the Chinese business networks as exceptional, these principles portray both the Chinese and foreign networks as pieces cut from the same cloth.

These behavioral principles also provide a lens with which to evaluate the skeptics' claim that Chinese government control weakens Hong Kong as the global metropolis for Asia. This claim dismisses too readily both Hong Kong's status as the pivot of the Chinese and foreign social networks of capital and China's commitment to preserving the Hong Kong jewel as its window to the world economy. The arrival of "red chips," mainland Chinese firms with

#### 4 Hong Kong as a global metropolis

government connections, infiltrates *guanxi* (connections) into the business environment and this undermines Hong Kong, the skeptics argue; yet, increasingly, mainland Chinese work in foreign firms and foreigners work in mainland firms. The influx of red chips and private mainland firms strengthens Hong Kong as the meeting-place of the Chinese and foreign social networks of capital. As economic crises swirl in Asia, the critics' predictions that China would undermine Hong Kong are contradicted by the unwavering support that China expresses for its international business center. That affirmation will reinforce Hong Kong's advance towards the level of London and New York during the twenty-first century as it becomes the global metropolis for one of the largest economies in the world.