

Principles of optics

Principles of Optics is one of the classic science books of the twentieth century, and probably the most influential book in optics published in the past 40 years. The new edition is the first ever thoroughly revised and expanded edition of this standard text.

Among the new material, much of which is not available in any other optics text, is a section on the CAT scan (computerized axial tomography), which has revolutionized medical diagnostics. The book also includes a new chapter on scattering from inhomogeneous media which provides a comprehensive treatment of the theory of scattering of scalar as well as of electromagnetic waves, including the Born series and the Rytov series. The chapter also presents an account of the principles of diffraction tomography – a refinement of the CAT scan – to which Emil Wolf, one of the authors, has made a basic contribution by formulating in 1969 what is generally regarded to be the basic theorem in this field. The chapter also includes an account of scattering from periodic potentials and its connection to the classic subject of determining the structure of crystals from X-ray diffraction experiments, including accounts of von Laue equations, Bragg's law, the Ewald sphere of reflection and the Ewald limiting sphere, both generalized to continuous media. These topics, although originally introduced in connection with the theory of X-ray diffraction by crystals, have since become of considerable relevance to optics, for example in connection with deep holograms.

Other new topics covered in this new edition include interference with broad-band light, which introduces the reader to an important phenomenon discovered relatively recently by Emil Wolf, namely the generation of shifts of spectral lines and other modifications of spectra of radiated fields due to the state of coherence of a source. There is also a section on the so-called Rayleigh–Sommerfeld diffraction theory which, in recent times, has been finding increasing popularity among optical scientists. There are also several new appendices, including one on energy conservation in scalar wavefields, which is seldom discussed in books on optics.

The new edition of this standard reference will continue to be invaluable to advanced undergraduates, graduate students and researchers working in most areas of optics.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-64222-4 - Principles of Optics: Electromagnetic Theory of Propagation, Interference and Diffraction of Light

Max Born and Emil Wolf

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

To the Memory of
Sir Ernest Oppenheimer

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-64222-4 - Principles of Optics: Electromagnetic Theory of Propagation, Interference and Diffraction of Light

Max Born and Emil Wolf

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Principles of optics

*Electromagnetic theory of propagation,
interference and diffraction of light*

MAX BORN

MA, Dr Phil, FRS

Nobel Laureate

Formerly Professor at the Universities of Göttingen and Edinburgh

and

EMIL WOLF

PhD, DSc

Wilson Professor of Optical Physics, University of Rochester, NY

with contributions by

A.B. BHATIA, P.C. CLEMMOW, D.GABOR, A.R. STOKES,

A.M. TAYLOR, P.A. WAYMAN AND W.L. WILCOCK

SEVENTH (EXPANDED) EDITION



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-64222-4 - Principles of Optics: Electromagnetic Theory of Propagation, Interference and Diffraction of Light

Max Born and Emil Wolf

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521642224

Seventh (expanded) edition © Margaret Farley-Born and Emil Wolf 1999

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1959 by Pergamon Press Ltd, London

Sixth edition 1982

Reprinted Seven Times 1983-93

Reissued by Cambridge University Press 1997

Seventh (expanded) edition 1999

Reprinted with corrections 2002

10th printing 2015

Printed in the United Kingdom by CPI Group Ltd, Croydon CR0 4YY

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Born, Max

Principles of Optics - 7th edition.

1. Optics. I. Title. II. Wolf Emil
535 QC351 80-41470.

ISBN-13 978-0-521-64222-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate. Information regarding prices, travel timetables and other factual information given in this work are correct at the time of first printing but

Cambridge University Press does not guarantee the accuracy of such information thereafter.

Preface to corrected reprint of the seventh edition

As mentioned in the Preface to the seventh edition of this work, a change to a new publisher has made it possible to reset the whole text. Not surprisingly such a large amount of typesetting introduced some typographical errors. Those found by now have been corrected, as has been other inaccuracies which the reviewers and readers of the book brought to my attention. A small number of additional references have also been included. For the sake of completeness the Prefaces to the third, fourth and fifth editions have also been added.

I am particularly indebted to Dr E. Hecht who in a thorough review of the seventh edition noted several errors and inaccuracies that have now been corrected. I am also obliged to Dr S. H. Wiersma and Mr Damon Diehl who read much of the text very carefully and supplied me with long lists of misprints and other errors. I must also thank my friends and colleagues Professor Taco Visser, Dr Daniel F. V. James, Dr Peter Milonni, Professor Richard M. Sillitto, Mrs Winifred Sillitto and Dr Andrei Shchegrov for drawing my attention to a number of errors. Finally I wish to express my indebtedness to my colleague and former student Dr Greg Gbur for much help with the preparation of the corrected version.

Rochester, New York
August 2001

EW

Preface to the first edition

THE idea of writing this book was a result of frequent enquiries about the possibility of publishing in the English language a book on optics written by one of us* more than twenty-five years ago. A preliminary survey of the literature showed that numerous researches on almost every aspect of optics have been carried out in the intervening years, so that the book no longer gives a comprehensive and balanced picture of the field. In consequence it was felt that a translation was hardly appropriate; instead a substantially new book was prepared, which we are now placing before the reader. In planning this book it soon became apparent that even if only the most important developments which took place since the publication of *Optik* were incorporated, the book would become impracticably large. It was, therefore, deemed necessary to restrict its scope to a narrower field. *Optik* itself did not treat the whole of optics. The optics of moving media, optics of X-rays and γ -rays, the theory of spectra and the full connection between optics and atomic physics were not discussed; nor did the old book consider the effects of light on our visual sense organ – the eye. These subjects can be treated more appropriately in connection with other fields such as relativity, quantum mechanics, atomic and nuclear physics, and physiology. In this book not only are these subjects excluded, but also the classical molecular optics which was the subject matter of almost half of the German book. Thus our discussion is restricted to those optical phenomena which may be treated in terms of Maxwell's phenomenological theory. This includes all situations in which the atomistic structure of matter plays no decisive part. The connection with atomic physics, quantum mechanics, and physiology is indicated only by short references wherever necessary. The fact that, even after this limitation, the book is much larger than *Optik*, gives some indication about the extent of the researches that have been carried out in classical optics in recent times.

We have aimed at giving, within the framework just outlined, a reasonably complete picture of our present knowledge. We have attempted to present the theory in such a way that practically all the results can be traced back to the basic equations of Maxwell's electromagnetic theory, from which our whole consideration starts.

In Chapter I the main properties of the electromagnetic field are discussed and the effect of matter on the propagation of the electromagnetic disturbance is described formally, in terms of the usual material constants. A more physical approach to the

* Max Born, *Optik* (Berlin, Springer, 1933).

question of influence of matter is developed in Chapter II: it is shown that in the presence of an external incident field, each volume element of a material medium may be assumed to give rise to a secondary (scattered) wavelet and that the combination of these wavelets leads to the observable, macroscopic field. This approach is of considerable physical significance and its power is illustrated in a later chapter (Chapter XII) in connection with the diffraction of light by ultrasonic waves, first treated in this way by A. B. Bhatia and W. J. Noble; Chapter XII was contributed by Prof. Bhatia himself.

A considerable part of Chapter III is devoted to showing how geometrical optics follows from Maxwell's wave theory as a limiting case of short wavelengths. In addition to discussing the main properties of rays and wave-fronts, the vectorial aspects of the problem (propagation of the directions of the field vectors) are also considered. A detailed discussion of the foundations of geometrical optics seemed to us desirable in view of the important developments made in recent years in the related field of microwave optics (optics of short radio waves). These developments were often stimulated by the close analogy between the two fields and have provided new experimental techniques for testing the predictions of the theory. We found it convenient to separate the mathematical apparatus of geometrical optics – the calculus of variations – from the main text; an appendix on this subject (Appendix I) is based in the main part on unpublished lectures given by D. Hilbert at Göttingen University in the early years of this century. The following appendix (Appendix II), contributed by Prof. D. Gabor, shows the close formal analogy that exists between geometrical optics, classical mechanics, and electron optics, when these subjects are presented in the language of the calculus of variations.

We make no apology for basing our treatment of geometrical theory of imaging (Chapter IV) on Hamilton's classical methods of characteristic functions. Though these methods have found little favour in connection with the design of optical instruments, they represent nevertheless an essential tool for presenting in a unified manner the many diverse aspects of the subject. It is, of course, possible to derive some of the results more simply from *ad hoc* assumptions; but, however valuable such an approach may be for the solution of individual problems, it cannot have more than illustrative value in a book concerned with a systematic development of a theory from a few simple postulates.

The defect of optical images (the influence of aberrations) may be studied either by geometrical optics (appropriate when the aberrations are large), or by diffraction theory (when they are sufficiently small). Since one usually proceeds from quite different starting points in the two methods of treatment, a comparison of results has in the past not always been easy. We have attempted to develop a more unified treatment, based on the concept of the deformation of wave-fronts. In the geometrical analysis of aberrations (Chapter V) we have found it possible and advantageous to follow, after a slight modification of his eikonal, the old method of K. Schwarzschild. The chapter on diffraction theory of aberrations (Chapter IX) gives an account of the Nijboer–Zernike theory and also includes an introductory section on the imaging of extended objects, in coherent and in incoherent illumination, based on the techniques of Fourier transforms.

Chapter VI, contributed by Dr P. A. Wayman, gives a brief description of the main image-forming optical systems. Its purpose is to provide a framework for those parts of the book which deal with the theory of image formation.

Chapter VII is concerned with the elements of the theory of interference and with interferometers. Some of the theoretical sections have their nucleus in the corresponding sections of *Optik*, but the chapter has been completely re-written by Dr W. L. Wilcock, who has also considerably broadened its scope.

Chapter VIII is mainly concerned with the Fresnel–Kirchhoff diffraction theory and with some of its applications. In addition to the usual topics, the chapter includes a detailed discussion of the central problem of optical image formation – the analysis of the three-dimensional light distribution near the geometrical focus. An account is also given of a less familiar alternative approach to diffraction, based on the notion of the boundary diffraction wave of T. Young.

The chapters so far referred to are mainly concerned with perfectly monochromatic (and therefore completely coherent) light, produced by point sources. Chapter X deals with the more realistic case of light produced by sources of finite extension and covering a finite frequency range. This is the subject of partial coherence, where considerable progress has been made in recent years. In fact, a systematic theory of interference and diffraction with partially coherent light has now been developed. This chapter also includes an account of the closely related subject of partial polarization, from the standpoint of coherence theory.

Chapter XI deals with rigorous diffraction theory, a field that has witnessed a tremendous development over the period of the last twenty years,* stimulated largely by advances in the ultra-shortwave radio techniques. This chapter was contributed by Dr P. C. Clemmow who also prepared Appendix III, which deals with the mathematical methods of steepest descent and stationary phase.

The last two chapters, ‘Optics of metals’ (Chapter XIII) and ‘Optics of crystals’ (Chapter XIV) are based largely on the corresponding chapters of *Optik*, but were revised and extended with the help of Prof. A. M. Taylor and Dr A. R. Stokes respectively. These two subjects are perhaps discussed in less detail than might seem appropriate. However, the optics of metals can only be treated adequately with the help of quantum mechanics of electrons, which is outside the scope of this book. In crystal optics the centre of interest has gradually shifted from visible radiation to X-rays, and the progress made in recent years has been of a technical rather than theoretical nature.

Though we have aimed at producing a book which in its methods of presentation and general approach would be similar to *Optik*, it will be evident that the present book is neither a translation of *Optik*, nor entirely a compilation of known data. As regards our own share in its production, the elder coauthor (M. B.) has contributed that material from *Optik* which has been used as a basis for some of the chapters in the present treatise, and has taken an active part in the general planning of the book and in numerous discussions concerning disputable points, presentation, etc. Most of the compiling, writing and checking of the text was done by the younger coauthor (E. W.).

Naturally we have tried to use systematic notation throughout the book. But in a book that covers such a wide field, the number of letters in available alphabets is far too limited. We have, therefore, not always been able to use the most elegant notation but we hope that we have succeeded, at least, in avoiding the use in any one section of the same symbol for different quantities.

* The important review article by C. J. Bouwkamp, *Rep. Progr. Phys.* (London, Physical Society), **17** (1954), 35, records more than 500 papers published in the period 1940–1954.

In general we use vector notation as customary in Great Britain. After much reflection we rejected the use of the nabla operator alone and employed also the customary 'div', 'grad' and 'curl'. Also, we did not adopt the modern electrotechnical units, as their main advantage lies in connection with purely electromagnetic measurements, and these play a negligible part in our discussions; moreover, we hope, that if ever a second volume (*Molecular and Atomic Optics*) and perhaps a third volume (*Quantum Optics*) is written, the C.G.S. system, as used in theoretical physics, will have returned to favour. Although, in this system of units, the magnetic permeability μ of most substances differs inappreciably from unity at optical frequencies, we have retained it in some of the equations. This has the advantage of greater symmetry and makes it possible to derive 'dual' results by making use of the symmetry properties of Maxwell's equations. For time periodic fields we have used, in complex representation, the factor $\exp(-i\omega t)$ throughout.

We have not attempted the task of referring to all the relevant publications. The references that are given, and which, we hope, include the most important papers, are to help the reader to gain some orientation in the literature; an omission of any particular reference should not be interpreted as due to our lack of regard for its merit.

In conclusion it is a pleasure to thank many friends and colleagues for advice and help. In the first place we wish to record our gratitude to Professor D. Gabor for useful advice and assistance in the early stages of this project, as well as for providing a draft concerning his ingenious method of reconstructed wave-fronts (§8.10). We are also greatly indebted to Dr F. Abelès, who prepared a draft, which is the backbone of §1.6, on the propagation of electromagnetic waves through stratified media, a field to which he himself has made a substantial contribution. We have also benefited by advice on this subject from Dr B. H. Billings.

We are much indebted to Dr H. H. Hopkins, Dr R. A. Silverman, Dr W. T. Welford and Dr G. Wyllie for critical comments and valuable advice, and to them and also to Dr G. Black, Dr H. J. J. Braddick, Dr N. Chako, Dr F. D. Kahn, Mr A. Nisbet, Dr M. Ross and Mr R. M. Sillitto for scrutinizing various sections of the manuscript. We are obliged to Polaroid Corporation for information concerning dichroic materials. Dr F. D. Kahn helped with proof-reading and Dr P. Roman and Mrs M. Podolanski with the preparation of the author index.

The main part of the writing was done at the Universities of Edinburgh and Manchester. The last stages were completed whilst one of the authors (E. W.) was a guest at the Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University. We are grateful to Professor M. Kline, Head of its Division of Electromagnetic Research, for his helpful interest and for placing at our disposal some of the technical facilities of the Institute.

We gratefully acknowledge the loan of original photographs by Professor M. Françon and Dr M. Cagnet (Figs 7.4, 7.26, 7.28, 7.60, 15.24, 15.26), Professor H. Lipson and his coworkers at the Manchester College of Science and Technology (Figs. 8.10, 8.12, 8.15), Dr O. W. Richards (Figs. 8.34, 8.35), and Professor F. Zernike and Dr K. Nienhus (Figs. 9.4, 9.5, 9.8, 9.10, 9.11). Fig. 7.66 is reproduced by courtesy of the Director of the Mount Wilson and Palomar Observatories. The blocks of Fig. 7.42 were kindly loaned by Messrs Hilger and Watts, Ltd, and those of Figs. 7.64 and 7.65 by Dr K. W. Meissner.

Financial assistance was provided by Messrs Industrial Distributors Ltd, London,

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-64222-4 - Principles of Optics: Electromagnetic Theory of Propagation, Interference and Diffraction of Light

Max Born and Emil Wolf

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

x

Preface to the second edition

and we wish to acknowledge the generosity of the late Sir Ernest Oppenheimer, its former head.

Finally, it is a pleasure to thank our publishers and in particular Mr E. J. Buckley, Mr D. M. Lowe and also Dr P. Rosbaud, who as a former Director of Pergamon Press was closely associated with this project in its early stages, for the great care they have taken in the production of the book. It is a pleasure to pay tribute also to the printers, Pitman Press of Bath, for the excellence of their printing.

Bad Pyrmont and Manchester
January 1959

Max Born
Emil Wolf

Preface to the second edition

ADVANTAGE has been taken in the preparation of a new edition of this work to make a number of corrections of errors and misprints, to make a few minor additions and to include some new references.

Since the appearance of the first edition almost exactly three years ago, the first optical masers (lasers) have been developed. By means of these devices very intense and highly coherent light beams may be produced. Whilst it is evident that optical masers will prove of considerable value not only for optics but also for other sciences and for technology, no account of them is given in this new edition. For the basic principles of maser action have roots outside the domain of classical electromagnetic theory on which considerations of this book are based. We have, however, included a few references to recent researches in which light generated by optical masers was utilized or which have been stimulated by the potentialities of these new optical devices.

We wish to acknowledge our gratitude to a number of readers who drew our attention to errors and misprints. We are also obliged to Dr B. Karczewski and Mr C. L. Mehta for assistance with the revisions.

Bad Pyrmont and Rochester
November 1962

M.B.
E.W.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-64222-4 - Principles of Optics: Electromagnetic Theory of Propagation, Interference and Diffraction of Light

Max Born and Emil Wolf

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Preface to the third edition

A number of errors and misprints which were present in the earlier editions of this work have been corrected and references to some recent publications have been added.

A new figure (8.54) which relates to an interesting recent development in the wavefront reconstruction technique was also included. We are indebted to E. N. Leith and J. Upatnieks for a loan of the original photographs.

Bad Pyrmont and Rochester
July 1965

M. B.
E. W.

Preface to the fourth edition

Owing to the appreciable size of this work, it was found impractical to incorporate in this new edition additional material relating to the most recent developments in optics. We have, however, made further corrections and improvements in the text and have added references to some recent publications.

We are indebted to Dr E. W. Marchand and Mr. T. Kusakawa for supplying us with lists of misprints and errors found in the previous editions. We are also obliged to Dr G. Bédard for assistance with the revisions.

Bad Pyrmont and Rochester
August 1968

M. B.
E. W.

Preface to the fifth edition

Some further errors and misprints that were found in the earlier editions of this work have been corrected, the text in several sections has been improved and a number of references to recent publications have been added. More extensive changes have been made in §§13.1–13.3, dealing with the optical properties of metals. It is well known that a purely classical theory is inadequate to describe the interaction of an electromagnetic field with a metal in the optical range of the spectrum. Nevertheless, it is possible to indicate some of the main features of this process by means of a classical model, provided that the frequency dependence of the conductivity is properly taken into account and the role that the free, as well as the bound, electrons play in the response of the metal to an external electromagnetic field is understood, at least in qualitative terms. The changes in §§13.1–13.3 concern mainly these aspects of the theory and the revised sections are believed to be free of misleading statements and inaccuracies that were present in this connection in the earlier editions of this work and which can also be commonly found in many other optical texts.

I am grateful to some of our readers for informing me about misprints and errors. I wish to specifically acknowledge my indebtedness to Prof. A. D. Buckingham, Dr D. Canals Frau and, once again, Dr E. W. Marchand, who supplied me with detailed lists of corrections and to Dr É. Lalor and Dr G. C. Sherman for having drawn my attention to the need for making more substantial changes in Chapter XIII. I am also much obliged to Mr J. T. Foley for assistance with the revisions.

Rochester
January 1974

E. W.

Preface to the sixth edition

THIS edition differs from its immediate predecessor chiefly in that it contains corrections of a small number of errors and misprints.

Rochester
September 1985

E.W.

Preface to the seventh edition

Forty years ago this month Max Born and I dispatched to the publishers the Preface to the first edition of *Principles of Optics*. Since that time the book has been published in six editions and has been reprinted seventeen times (not counting unauthorized editions and several translations), usually with only a small number of corrections. A recent change to a new publisher, who expressed willingness to reset the whole text, has given me the opportunity to make more substantial changes.

The first edition was published a year before the invention of the laser, an event which triggered an explosion of activities in optics and soon led to the creation of entirely new fields, such as non-linear optics, fiber optics and opto-electronics. Numerous applications followed, in medicine, in optical data storage, in information transfer and in many other areas. On a more fundamental level, quantum optics emerged as a vibrant and rapidly expanding field, which has provided new ways of testing some basic assumptions of quantum physics relating, for example, to localization and indistinguishability. The progress made in these fields has been rapid and broad and some of the newer areas have themselves become the subjects of books.

It is clear that a fully updated new edition of *Principles of Optics* would require that it be expanded into several volumes. Consequently, in order to preserve a single-volume, only a few new topics have been added; they were selected to some extent so as not to necessitate major revisions of the original text. Specifically, the following new material has been added:

(1) Section 4.11, which presents the principles of computerized axial tomography, generally referred to as CAT. This subject originated in the early 1960s and has revolutionized diagnostic medicine. The section also includes an account of the Radon transform, introduced as early as 1917, which underlies the theory of computerized axial tomography, although this was not known to its inventors. The fact that three Nobel Prizes have been awarded for this invention and its applications attests to its importance. More recently the theory underlying the CAT scan is finding much broader usage, for example in connection with the reconstruction of quantum states.

(2) Section 8.11 gives an account of the so-called Rayleigh–Kirchhoff diffraction theory. This theory has become rather popular after it was introduced in the book *Optics* by A. Sommerfeld, published in 1954. It is preferred by some optical scientists to the much older classic Kirchhoff diffraction theory. However, which of these theories better describes various diffraction effects is still an open question.

(3) Section 10.5 discusses some effects discovered relatively recently arising on

superposition of broad-band light beams of any state of coherence. The analysis of such effects has demonstrated that even though under such circumstances interference fringes may not be seen in the region of superposition, the light distribution in that region may nevertheless contain important physical information which is revealed when the light is spectrally analyzed. One may then find that the spectrum of the light is different at different points of observation and from such spectral changes one may determine coherence properties of the light. This effect is an example of a coherence phenomenon in the space-frequency domain, which must be distinguished from the more familiar coherence effects in the space-time domain. The quantitative measure of space-frequency coherence phenomena is the so-called spectral degree of coherence, which is introduced in this new edition in the somewhat revised Section 10.5. The experiment which is analyzed in that section also provides an elementary introduction to the phenomenon of correlation-induced spectral changes, discovered just over 10 years ago and studied extensively since that time.

(4) A new Chapter 13 presents the theory of scattering of light by inhomogeneous media. In the context of optics this subject was largely developed in relatively recent times although essentially the same theory was well established many years ago in connection with quantum mechanical potential scattering. The chapter presents the basic integral equation of light scattering on linear isotropic bodies, discusses the solution of the equation in series form and includes a detailed account of the first Born and the first Rytov approximations. The chapter includes a brief description of the classic theory of scattering by a medium with periodic structure, which is the basis of the theory of X-ray diffraction by crystals. The chapter also covers the von Laue equations, Bragg's law, the Ewald sphere of reflection and the Ewald limiting sphere. In recent years these subjects have become important in the broad area of inverse light scattering and they have found new applications, for example in connection with holographic gratings. The chapter also contains a detailed account of the optical cross-section theorem (usually known as the optical theorem), which, in spite of its name, is seldom discussed in the optical literature.

Another topic treated in Chapter 13 is diffraction tomography. In computerized axial tomography discussed in the new Section 4.11, the finite wavelength of the radiation is ignored. This is usually justified when the technique is used with X-rays, but the approximation is often inadequate in applications where light waves or sound waves are used. Diffraction tomography takes the finite wavelength of the radiation into account and, therefore, provides better resolution.

In addition to the new material already mentioned, this new edition also contains several new appendices (VIII, XI and XII), many new references, some of which replace older ones, and a few relatively small changes have been made in the text, usually with the aim of improving clarity and updating information.

It is a pleasure to thank several colleagues, friends and students for their help. I am obliged to Professor Harrison H. Barrett for helpful suggestions which led to improvements of Sections 4.11 and 13.2 on computerized axial tomography and on diffraction tomography. I am indebted to my long-time friend and colleague Professor Leonard Mandel for useful comments on some parts of the manuscript. Dr Doo Cho and Dr V. N. Mahajan were kind enough to draw my attention to some inaccuracies which they found in earlier editions and which have now been taken care of. My collaborators Dr

Yajun Li, Dr Taco D. Visser and my former students Dr Avshalom Gamliel, Dr David G. Fischer and Dr Kisik Kim have read some of the new sections and have made helpful comments leading to improvements. My former student Dr Weijian Wang kindly prepared most of the new figures. I am particularly grateful to two of my present graduate students, P. Scott Carney and Greg J. Gbur, who provided invaluable help by checking calculations and references, weeding out inaccuracies, suggesting improvements in the presentation and helping with proof-reading.

I wish to express my appreciation to Mrs Patricia Sulouff, Head Librarian of the Physics–Optics–Astronomy library at the University of Rochester for helping to trace some of the more obscure references and for locating papers and books that were not easily accessible. I am also obliged to Mrs Ellen Calkins for typing and re-typing several drafts of the manuscript of the new sections and for preparing the author index.

Most of the revisions were prepared whilst I was on a visiting appointment at the Center for Research and Education in Optics and Research (CREOL) at the University of Central Florida during the 1998 Spring Semester. I wish to thank Professors M. J. Soileau, M. G. Moharam and G. I. Stegeman of the CREOL faculty for providing a congenial environment and facilities for this work.

The family life of most authors suffers during the time when one of the marriage partners is engaged in book writing. Mine was no exception, but I am glad to say that my wife, Marlies, survived this period cheerfully and without complaints. She has helped with checking the manuscript and the proofs, for which I am grateful.

I acknowledge with thanks the fine cooperation that I received from the staff of Cambridge University Press. I am particularly appreciative of the considerable help provided by Dr Simon Capelin, the publishing director for Physical Sciences. I am also obliged to Mrs Maureen Storey for thorough copy-editing of the whole book and to Ms Miranda Fyfe and particularly to Mrs Jayne Aldhouse for their cooperation in meeting the rather stringent production deadlines.

I am sometimes asked about my collaboration with Max Born, which resulted in the publication of *Principles of Optics*. Interested readers can find it discussed in my article ‘Recollections of Max Born,’ published in *Optics News* **9**, 10–16 (November/December, 1983).*

Rochester, New York
January 1999

Emil Wolf

* The article has been reprinted in *Technology of Our Times*, ed. F. Sue (SPIE Optical Engineering Press, Bellington, WA, 1990), 15–26 and also in *Astrophysics and Space Science* **227**, ed. A. L. Peratt (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1995), 277–97 and in *Selected Works of Emil Wolf with Commentary* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001), 552–558.

Contents

Historical introduction	xxv
I Basic properties of the electromagnetic field	1
1.1 The electromagnetic field	1
1.1.1 Maxwell's equations	1
1.1.2 Material equations	2
1.1.3 Boundary conditions at a surface of discontinuity	4
1.1.4 The energy law of the electromagnetic field	7
1.2 The wave equation and the velocity of light	11
1.3 Scalar waves	14
1.3.1 Plane waves	15
1.3.2 Spherical waves	16
1.3.3 Harmonic waves. The phase velocity	16
1.3.4 Wave packets. The group velocity	19
1.4 Vector waves	24
1.4.1 The general electromagnetic plane wave	24
1.4.2 The harmonic electromagnetic plane wave	25
(a) Elliptic polarization	25
(b) Linear and circular polarization	29
(c) Characterization of the state of polarization by Stokes parameters	31
1.4.3 Harmonic vector waves of arbitrary form	33
1.5 Reflection and refraction of a plane wave	38
1.5.1 The laws of reflection and refraction	38
1.5.2 Fresnel formulae	40
1.5.3 The reflectivity and transmissivity; polarization on reflection and refraction	43
1.5.4 Total reflection	49
1.6 Wave propagation in a stratified medium. Theory of dielectric films	54
1.6.1 The basic differential equations	55
1.6.2 The characteristic matrix of a stratified medium	58
(a) A homogeneous dielectric film	61
(b) A stratified medium as a pile of thin homogeneous films	62
1.6.3 The reflection and transmission coefficients	63
1.6.4 A homogeneous dielectric film	64
1.6.5 Periodically stratified media	70
II Electromagnetic potentials and polarization	75
2.1 The electrodynamic potentials in the vacuum	76

Contents	xvii
2.1.1 The vector and scalar potentials	76
2.1.2 Retarded potentials	78
2.2 Polarization and magnetization	80
2.2.1 The potentials in terms of polarization and magnetization	80
2.2.2 Hertz vectors	84
2.2.3 The field of a linear electric dipole	85
2.3 The Lorentz–Lorenz formula and elementary dispersion theory	89
2.3.1 The dielectric and magnetic susceptibilities	89
2.3.2 The effective field	90
2.3.3 The mean polarizability: the Lorentz–Lorenz formula	92
2.3.4 Elementary theory of dispersion	95
2.4 Propagation of electromagnetic waves treated by integral equations	103
2.4.1 The basic integral equation	104
2.4.2 The Ewald–Oseen extinction theorem and a rigorous derivation of the Lorentz–Lorenz formula	105
2.4.3 Refraction and reflection of a plane wave, treated with the help of the Ewald–Oseen extinction theorem	110
III Foundations of geometrical optics	116
3.1 Approximation for very short wavelengths	116
3.1.1 Derivation of the eikonal equation	117
3.1.2 The light rays and the intensity law of geometrical optics	120
3.1.3 Propagation of the amplitude vectors	125
3.1.4 Generalizations and the limits of validity of geometrical optics	127
3.2 General properties of rays	129
3.2.1 The differential equation of light rays	129
3.2.2 The laws of refraction and reflection	132
3.2.3 Ray congruences and their focal properties	134
3.3 Other basic theorems of geometrical optics	135
3.3.1 Lagrange’s integral invariant	135
3.3.2 The principle of Fermat	136
3.3.3 The theorem of Malus and Dupin and some related theorems	139
IV Geometrical theory of optical imaging	142
4.1 The characteristic functions of Hamilton	142
4.1.1 The point characteristic	142
4.1.2 The mixed characteristic	144
4.1.3 The angle characteristic	146
4.1.4 Approximate form of the angle characteristic of a refracting surface of revolution	147
4.1.5 Approximate form of the angle characteristic of a reflecting surface of revolution	151
4.2 Perfect imaging	152
4.2.1 General theorems	153
4.2.2 Maxwell’s ‘fish-eye’	157
4.2.3 Stigmatic imaging of surfaces	159
4.3 Projective transformation (collineation) with axial symmetry	160
4.3.1 General formulae	161
4.3.2 The telescopic case	164
4.3.3 Classification of projective transformations	165
4.3.4 Combination of projective transformations	166
4.4 Gaussian optics	167
4.4.1 Refracting surface of revolution	167

xviii	Contents
4.4.2	Reflecting surface of revolution 170
4.4.3	The thick lens 171
4.4.4	The thin lens 174
4.4.5	The general centred system 175
4.5	Stigmatic imaging with wide-angle pencils 178
4.5.1	The sine condition 179
4.5.2	The Herschel condition 180
4.6	Astigmatic pencils of rays 181
4.6.1	Focal properties of a thin pencil 181
4.6.2	Refraction of a thin pencil 182
4.7	Chromatic aberration. Dispersion by a prism 186
4.7.1	Chromatic aberration 186
4.7.2	Dispersion by a prism 190
4.8	Radiometry and apertures 193
4.8.1	Basic concepts of radiometry 194
4.8.2	Stops and pupils 199
4.8.3	Brightness and illumination of images 201
4.9	Ray tracing 204
4.9.1	Oblique meridional rays 204
4.9.2	Paraxial rays 207
4.9.3	Skew rays 208
4.10	Design of aspheric surfaces 211
4.10.1	Attainment of axial stigmatism 211
4.10.2	Attainment of aplanatism 214
4.11	Image-reconstruction from projections (computerized tomography) 217
4.11.1	Introduction 217
4.11.2	Beam propagation in an absorbing medium 218
4.11.3	Ray integrals and projections 219
4.11.4	The N -dimensional Radon transform 221
4.11.5	Reconstruction of cross-sections and the projection-slice theorem of computerized tomography 223
V	Geometrical theory of aberrations 228
5.1	Wave and ray aberrations; the aberration function 229
5.2	The perturbation eikonal of Schwarzschild 233
5.3	The primary (Seidel) aberrations 236
(a)	Spherical aberration ($B \neq 0$) 238
(b)	Coma ($F \neq 0$) 238
(c)	Astigmatism ($C \neq 0$) and curvature of field ($D \neq 0$) 240
(d)	Distortion ($E \neq 0$) 243
5.4	Addition theorem for the primary aberrations 244
5.5	The primary aberration coefficients of a general centred lens system 246
5.5.1	The Seidel formulae in terms of two paraxial rays 246
5.5.2	The Seidel formulae in terms of one paraxial ray 251
5.5.3	Petzval's theorem 253
5.6	Example: The primary aberrations of a thin lens 254
5.7	The chromatic aberration of a general centred lens system 257
VI	Image-forming instruments 261
6.1	The eye 261
6.2	The camera 263
6.3	The refracting telescope 267
6.4	The reflecting telescope 274

Contents		xix
6.5	Instruments of illumination	279
6.6	The microscope	281
VII	Elements of the theory of interference and interferometers	286
7.1	Introduction	286
7.2	Interference of two monochromatic waves	287
7.3	Two-beam interference: division of wave-front	290
7.3.1	Young's experiment	290
7.3.2	Fresnel's mirrors and similar arrangements	292
7.3.3	Fringes with quasi-monochromatic and white light	295
7.3.4	Use of slit sources; visibility of fringes	296
7.3.5	Application to the measurement of optical path difference: the Rayleigh interferometer	299
7.3.6	Application to the measurement of angular dimensions of sources: the Michelson stellar interferometer	302
7.4	Standing waves	308
7.5	Two-beam interference: division of amplitude	313
7.5.1	Fringes with a plane-parallel plate	313
7.5.2	Fringes with thin films; the Fizeau interferometer	318
7.5.3	Localization of fringes	325
7.5.4	The Michelson interferometer	334
7.5.5	The Twyman–Green and related interferometers	336
7.5.6	Fringes with two identical plates: the Jamin interferometer and interference microscopes	341
7.5.7	The Mach–Zehnder interferometer; the Bates wave-front shearing interferometer	348
7.5.8	The coherence length; the application of two-beam interference to the study of the fine structure of spectral lines	352
7.6	Multiple-beam interference	359
7.6.1	Multiple-beam fringes with a plane-parallel plate	360
7.6.2	The Fabry–Perot interferometer	366
7.6.3	The application of the Fabry–Perot interferometer to the study of the fine structure of spectral lines	370
7.6.4	The application of the Fabry–Perot interferometer to the comparison of wavelengths	377
7.6.5	The Lummer–Gehrcke interferometer	380
7.6.6	Interference filters	386
7.6.7	Multiple-beam fringes with thin films	391
7.6.8	Multiple-beam fringes with two plane-parallel plates	401
	(a) Fringes with monochromatic and quasi-monochromatic light	401
	(b) Fringes of superposition	405
7.7	The comparison of wavelengths with the standard metre	409
VIII	Elements of the theory of diffraction	412
8.1	Introduction	412
8.2	The Huygens–Fresnel principle	413
8.3	Kirchhoff's diffraction theory	417
8.3.1	The integral theorem of Kirchhoff	417
8.3.2	Kirchhoff's diffraction theory	421
8.3.3	Fraunhofer and Fresnel diffraction	425
8.4	Transition to a scalar theory	430
8.4.1	The image field due to a monochromatic oscillator	431
8.4.2	The total image field	434

xx	Contents	
8.5	Fraunhofer diffraction at apertures of various forms	436
8.5.1	The rectangular aperture and the slit	436
8.5.2	The circular aperture	439
8.5.3	Other forms of aperture	443
8.6	Fraunhofer diffraction in optical instruments	446
8.6.1	Diffraction gratings	446
	(a) The principle of the diffraction grating	446
	(b) Types of grating	453
	(c) Grating spectrographs	458
8.6.2	Resolving power of image-forming systems	461
8.6.3	Image formation in the microscope	465
	(a) Incoherent illumination	465
	(b) Coherent illumination – Abbe's theory	467
	(c) Coherent illumination – Zernike's phase contrast method of observation	472
8.7	Fresnel diffraction at a straight edge	476
8.7.1	The diffraction integral	476
8.7.2	Fresnel's integrals	478
8.7.3	Fresnel diffraction at a straight edge	481
8.8	The three-dimensional light distribution near focus	484
8.8.1	Evaluation of the diffraction integral in terms of Lommel functions	484
8.8.2	The distribution of intensity	489
	(a) Intensity in the geometrical focal plane	490
	(b) Intensity along the axis	491
	(c) Intensity along the boundary of the geometrical shadow	491
8.8.3	The integrated intensity	492
8.8.4	The phase behaviour	494
8.9	The boundary diffraction wave	499
8.10	Gabor's method of imaging by reconstructed wave-fronts (holography)	504
8.10.1	Producing the positive hologram	504
8.10.2	The reconstruction	506
8.11	The Rayleigh–Sommerfeld diffraction integrals	512
8.11.1	The Rayleigh diffraction integrals	512
8.11.2	The Rayleigh–Sommerfeld diffraction integrals	514
IX	The diffraction theory of aberrations	517
9.1	The diffraction integral in the presence of aberrations	518
9.1.1	The diffraction integral	518
9.1.2	The displacement theorem. Change of reference sphere	520
9.1.3	A relation between the intensity and the average deformation of wave-fronts	522
9.2	Expansion of the aberration function	523
9.2.1	The circle polynomials of Zernike	523
9.2.2	Expansion of the aberration function	525
9.3	Tolerance conditions for primary aberrations	527
9.4	The diffraction pattern associated with a single aberration	532
9.4.1	Primary spherical aberration	536
9.4.2	Primary coma	538
9.4.3	Primary astigmatism	539
9.5	Imaging of extended objects	543
9.5.1	Coherent illumination	543
9.5.2	Incoherent illumination	547

X	Interference and diffraction with partially coherent light	554
10.1	Introduction	554
10.2	A complex representation of real polychromatic fields	557
10.3	The correlation functions of light beams	562
10.3.1	Interference of two partially coherent beams. The mutual coherence function and the complex degree of coherence	562
10.3.2	Spectral representation of mutual coherence	566
10.4	Interference and diffraction with quasi-monochromatic light	569
10.4.1	Interference with quasi-monochromatic light. The mutual intensity	569
10.4.2	Calculation of mutual intensity and degree of coherence for light from an extended incoherent quasi-monochromatic source	572
	(a) The van Cittert–Zernike theorem	572
	(b) Hopkins' formula	577
10.4.3	An example	578
10.4.4	Propagation of mutual intensity	580
10.5	Interference with broad-band light and the spectral degree of coherence. Correlation-induced spectral changes	585
10.6	Some applications	590
10.6.1	The degree of coherence in the image of an extended incoherent quasi-monochromatic source	590
10.6.2	The influence of the condenser on resolution in a microscope	595
	(a) Critical illumination	595
	(b) Köhler's illumination	598
10.6.3	Imaging with partially coherent quasi-monochromatic illumination	599
	(a) Transmission of mutual intensity through an optical system	599
	(b) Images of transilluminated objects	602
10.7	Some theorems relating to mutual coherence	606
10.7.1	Calculation of mutual coherence for light from an incoherent source	606
10.7.2	Propagation of mutual coherence	609
10.8	Rigorous theory of partial coherence	610
10.8.1	Wave equations for mutual coherence	610
10.8.2	Rigorous formulation of the propagation law for mutual coherence	612
10.8.3	The coherence time and the effective spectral width	615
10.9	Polarization properties of quasi-monochromatic light	619
10.9.1	The coherency matrix of a quasi-monochromatic plane wave	619
	(a) Completely unpolarized light (natural light)	624
	(b) Complete polarized light	624
10.9.2	Some equivalent representations. The degree of polarization of a light wave	626
10.9.3	The Stokes parameters of a quasi-monochromatic plane wave	630
XI	Rigorous diffraction theory	633
11.1	Introduction	633
11.2	Boundary conditions and surface currents	635
11.3	Diffraction by a plane screen: electromagnetic form of Babinet's principle	636
11.4	Two-dimensional diffraction by a plane screen	638
11.4.1	The scalar nature of two-dimensional electromagnetic fields	638
11.4.2	An angular spectrum of plane waves	639
11.4.3	Formulation in terms of dual integral equations	642
11.5	Two-dimensional diffraction of a plane wave by a half-plane	643
11.5.1	Solution of the dual integral equations for <i>E</i> -polarization	643
11.5.2	Expression of the solution in terms of Fresnel integrals	645
11.5.3	The nature of the solution	648

xxii	Contents
11.5.4	The solution for <i>H</i> -polarization 652
11.5.5	Some numerical calculations 653
11.5.6	Comparison with approximate theory and with experimental results 656
11.6	Three-dimensional diffraction of a plane wave by a half-plane 657
11.7	Diffraction of a field due to a localized source by a half-plane 659
11.7.1	A line-current parallel to the diffracting edge 659
11.7.2	A dipole 664
11.8	Other problems 667
11.8.1	Two parallel half-planes 667
11.8.2	An infinite stack of parallel, staggered half-planes 669
11.8.3	A strip 670
11.8.4	Further problems 671
11.9	Uniqueness of solution 672
XII	Diffraction of light by ultrasonic waves 674
12.1	Qualitative description of the phenomenon and summary of theories based on Maxwell's differential equations 674
12.1.1	Qualitative description of the phenomenon 674
12.1.2	Summary of theories based on Maxwell's equations 677
12.2	Diffraction of light by ultrasonic waves as treated by the integral equation method 680
12.2.1	Integral equation for E-polarization 682
12.2.2	The trial solution of the integral equation 682
12.2.3	Expressions for the amplitudes of the light waves in the diffracted and reflected spectra 686
12.2.4	Solution of the equations by a method of successive approximations 686
12.2.5	Expressions for the intensities of the first and second order lines for some special cases 689
12.2.6	Some qualitative results 691
12.2.7	The Raman–Nath approximation 693
XIII	Scattering from inhomogeneous media 695
13.1	Elements of the scalar theory of scattering 695
13.1.1	Derivation of the basic integral equation 695
13.1.2	The first-order Born approximation 699
13.1.3	Scattering from periodic potentials 703
13.1.4	Multiple scattering 708
13.2	Principles of diffraction tomography for reconstruction of the scattering potential 710
13.2.1	Angular spectrum representation of the scattered field 711
13.2.2	The basic theorem of diffraction tomography 713
13.3	The optical cross-section theorem 716
13.4	A reciprocity relation 724
13.5	The Rytov series 726
13.6	Scattering of electromagnetic waves 729
13.6.1	The integro-differential equations of electromagnetic scattering theory 729
13.6.2	The far field 730
13.6.3	The optical cross-section theorem for scattering of electromagnetic waves 732
XIV	Optics of metals 735
14.1	Wave propagation in a conductor 735

Contents	xxiii
14.2 Refraction and reflection at a metal surface	739
14.3 Elementary electron theory of the optical constants of metals	749
14.4 Wave propagation in a stratified conducting medium. Theory of metallic films	752
14.4.1 An absorbing film on a transparent substrate	752
14.4.2 A transparent film on an absorbing substrate	758
14.5 Diffraction by a conducting sphere; theory of Mie	759
14.5.1 Mathematical solution of the problem	760
(a) Representation of the field in terms of Debye's potentials	760
(b) Series expansions for the field components	765
(c) Summary of formulae relating to the associated Legendre functions and to the cylindrical functions	772
14.5.2 Some consequences of Mie's formulae	774
(a) The partial waves	774
(b) Limiting cases	775
(c) Intensity and polarization of the scattered light	780
14.5.3 Total scattering and extinction	784
(a) Some general considerations	784
(b) Computational results	785
XV Optics of crystals	790
15.1 The dielectric tensor of an anisotropic medium	790
15.2 The structure of a monochromatic plane wave in an anisotropic medium	792
15.2.1 The phase velocity and the ray velocity	792
15.2.2 Fresnel's formulae for the propagation of light in crystals	795
15.2.3 Geometrical constructions for determining the velocities of propagation and the directions of vibration	799
(a) The ellipsoid of wave normals	799
(b) The ray ellipsoid	802
(c) The normal surface and the ray surface	803
15.3 Optical properties of uniaxial and biaxial crystals	805
15.3.1 The optical classification of crystals	805
15.3.2 Light propagation in uniaxial crystals	806
15.3.3 Light propagation in biaxial crystals	808
15.3.4 Refraction in crystals	811
(a) Double refraction	811
(b) Conical refraction	813
15.4 Measurements in crystal optics	818
15.4.1 The Nicol prism	818
15.4.2 Compensators	820
(a) The quarter-wave plate	820
(b) Babinet's compensator	821
(c) Soleil's compensator	823
(d) Berek's compensator	823
15.4.3 Interference with crystal plates	823
15.4.4 Interference figures from uniaxial crystal plates	829
15.4.5 Interference figures from biaxial crystal plates	831
15.4.6 Location of optic axes and determination of the principal refractive indices of a crystalline medium	833
15.5 Stress birefringence and form birefringence	834
15.5.1 Stress birefringence	834
15.5.2 Form birefringence	837

xxiv	Contents	
15.6	Absorbing crystals	840
15.6.1	Light propagation in an absorbing anisotropic medium	840
15.6.2	Interference figures from absorbing crystal plates	846
	(a) Uniaxial crystals	847
	(b) Biaxial crystals	848
15.6.3	Dichroic polarizers	849
<i>Appendices</i>		853
I	The Calculus of variations	853
1	Euler's equations as necessary conditions for an extremum	853
2	Hilbert's independence integral and the Hamilton–Jacobi equation	855
3	The field of extremals	856
4	Determination of all extremals from the solution of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation	858
5	Hamilton's canonical equations	860
6	The special case when the independent variable does not appear explicitly in the integrand	861
7	Discontinuities	862
8	Weierstrass' and Legendre's conditions (sufficiency conditions for an extremum)	864
9	Minimum of the variational integral when one end point is constrained to a surface	866
10	Jacobi's criterion for a minimum	867
11	Example I: Optics	868
12	Example II: Mechanics of material points	870
II	Light optics, electron optics and wave mechanics	873
1	The Hamiltonian analogy in elementary form	873
2	The Hamiltonian analogy in variational form	876
3	Wave mechanics of free electrons	879
4	The application of optical principles to electron optics	881
III	Asymptotic approximations to integrals	883
1	The method of steepest descent	883
2	The method of stationary phase	888
3	Double integrals	890
IV	The Dirac delta function	892
V	A mathematical lemma used in the rigorous derivation of the Lorentz–Lorenz formula (§2.4.2)	898
VI	Propagation of discontinuities in an electromagnetic field (§3.1.1)	901
1	Relations connecting discontinuous changes in field vectors	901
2	The field on a moving discontinuity surface	903
VII	The circle polynomials of Zernike (§9.2.1)	905
1	Some general considerations	905
2	Explicit expressions for the radial polynomials $R_m^{\pm m}(\rho)$	907
VIII	Proof of the inequality $\mu_{12}(\nu) \leq 1$ for the spectral degree of coherence (§10.5)	911
IX	Proof of a reciprocity inequality (§10.8.3)	912
X	Evaluation of two integrals (§12.2.2)	914
XI	Energy conservation in scalar wavefields (§13.3)	918
XII	Proof of Jones' lemma (§13.3)	921
	Author index	925
	Subject index	936

Historical introduction

THE physical principles underlying the optical phenomena with which we are concerned in this treatise were substantially formulated before 1900. Since that year, optics, like the rest of physics, has undergone a thorough revolution by the discovery of the quantum of energy. While this discovery has profoundly affected our views about the nature of light, it has not made the earlier theories and techniques superfluous; rather, it has brought out their limitations and defined their range of validity. The extension of the older principles and methods and their applications to very many diverse situations has continued, and is continuing with undiminished intensity.

In attempting to present in an orderly way the knowledge acquired over a period of several centuries in such a vast field it is impossible to follow the historical development, with its numerous false starts and detours. It is therefore deemed necessary to record separately, in this preliminary section, the main landmarks in the evolution of ideas concerning the nature of light.*

The philosophers of antiquity speculated about the nature of light, being familiar with burning glasses, with the rectilinear propagation of light, and with refraction and reflection. The first systematic writings on optics of which we have any definite knowledge are due to the Greek philosophers and mathematicians [Empedocles (*c.* 490–430 BC), Euclid (*c.* 300 BC)].

Amongst the founders of the new philosophy, René Descartes (1596–1650) may be singled out for mention as having formulated views on the nature of light on the basis of his metaphysical ideas.† Descartes considered light to be essentially a pressure transmitted through a perfectly elastic medium (the aether) which fills all space, and he attributed the diversity of colours to rotary motions with different velocities of the particles in this medium. But it was only after Galileo Galilei (1564–1642) had, by his

* For a more extensive account of the history of optics, reference may be made to: J. Priestley, *History and Present State of Discoveries relating to Vision, Light and Colours* (2 Vols., London, 1772); Thomas Young, *A Course of Lectures on Natural Philosophy and the Mechanical Arts* Vol. 1 (London, 1845), pp. 374–385; E. Wilde, *Geschichte der Optik vom Ursprung dieser Wissenschaft bis auf die gegenwärtige Zeit*, 2 Vols. (Berlin, 1838, 1843); Ernst Mach, *The Principles of Physical Optics*, A historical and philosophical treatment (First German edition 1913. English translation 1926, reprinted by Dover Publications, New York, 1953); E. Hoppe, *Geschichte der Optik* (Leipzig, Weber, 1926); V. Ronchi, *Storia della Luce* (Bologna: Zanichelli, 2nd. Ed., 1952). A comprehensive historical account up to recent times is E. T. Whittaker's *A History of the Theories of Aether and Electricity*, Vol. I (*The Classical Theories*), revised and enlarged edition 1952; Vol. II (*The Modern Theories 1900–1926*), 1953, published by T. Nelson and Sons, London and Edinburgh. The first volume was used as the chief source for this introductory section.

† R. Descartes, *Dioptrique, Météores* (published (anonymously) in Leyden in 1637 with prefatory essay 'Discours de la méthode'). *Principia Philosophiae* (Amsterdam, 1644).

development of mechanics, demonstrated the power of the experimental method that optics was put on a firm foundation. The law of reflection was known to the Greeks; the law of refraction was discovered experimentally in 1621 by Willebrord Snell* (Snellius, *c.* 1580–1626). In 1657 Pierre de Fermat (1601–1665) enunciated the celebrated *Principle of Least Time*† in the form ‘Nature always acts by the shortest course’. According to this principle, light always follows that path which brings it to its destination in the shortest time, and from this, in turn, and from the assumption of varying ‘resistance’ in different media, the law of refraction follows. This principle is of great philosophical significance, and because it seems to imply a teleological manner of explanation, foreign to natural science, it has raised a great deal of controversy.

The first phenomenon of interference, the colours exhibited by thin films now known as ‘Newton’s rings’, was discovered independently by Robert Boyle‡ (1627–1691) and Robert Hooke§ (1635–1703). Hooke also observed the presence of light in the geometrical shadow, the ‘diffraction’ of light but this phenomenon had been noted previously by Francesco Maria Grimaldi|| (1618–1663). Hooke was the first to advocate the view that light consists of rapid vibrations propagated instantaneously, or with a very great speed, over any distance, and believed that in an homogeneous medium every vibration will generate a sphere which will grow steadily.¶ By means of these ideas Hooke attempted an explanation of the phenomenon of refraction, and an interpretation of colours. But the basic quality of colour was revealed only when Isaac Newton (1642–1727) discovered** in 1666 that white light could be split up into component colours by means of a prism, and found that each pure colour is characterized by a specific refrangibility. The difficulties which the wave theory encountered in connection with the rectilinear propagation of light and of polarization (discovered by Huygens††) seemed to Newton so decisive that he devoted himself to the development of an emission (or corpuscular) theory, according to which light is propagated from a luminous body in the form of minute particles.

At the time of the publication of Newton’s theory of colour it was not known whether light was propagated instantaneously or not. The discovery of the finite speed of light was made in 1675 by Olaf Römer (1644–1710) from the observations of the eclipses of Jupiter’s satellites.‡‡

The wave theory of light which, as we saw, had Hooke amongst its first champions was greatly improved and extended by Christian Huygens†† (1629–1695). He enunciated the principle, subsequently named after him, according to which every point of the ‘aether’ upon which the luminous disturbance falls may be regarded as the centre of a new disturbance propagated in the form of spherical waves; these secondary waves

* Snell died in 1626 without making his discoveries public. The law was first published by Descartes in his *Dioptrique* without an acknowledgement to Snell, though it is generally believed that Descartes had seen Snell’s manuscript on this subject.

† In a letter to Cureau de la Chambre. It is published in *Oeuvres de Fermat*, Vol. 2 (Paris, 1891) p. 354.

‡ *The Philosophical Works of Robert Boyle* (abridged by P. Shaw), Vol. II (Second ed. London, 1738), p. 70.

§ R. Hooke, *Micrographia* (1665), 47.

|| F. M. Grimaldi, *Physico-Mathesis de lumine, coloribus, et iride* (Bologna, 1665).

¶ The early wave theories of Hooke and Huygens operate with single ‘pulses’ rather than with wave trains of definite wavelengths.

**I. Newton, *Phil. Trans.* No. 80 (Feb. 1672), 3075.

††Chr. Huygens, *Traité de la lumière* (completed in 1678, published in Leyden in 1690).

‡‡Olaf Römer, *Mém. de l’Acad. Sci. Paris*, 10 (1666–1699), 575; *J. de Sav.* (1676), 223.

combine in such a manner that their envelope determines the wave-front at any later time. With the aid of this principle he succeeded in deriving the laws of reflection and refraction. He was also able to interpret the double refraction of calc-spar [discovered in 1669 by Erasmus Bartholinus (1625–1698)] by assuming that in the crystal there is, in addition to a primary spherical wave, a secondary ellipsoidal wave. It was in the course of this investigation that Huygens made the fundamental discovery of polarization: each of the two rays arising from refraction by calc-spar may be extinguished by passing it through a second crystal of the same material if the latter crystal be rotated about the direction of the ray. It was, however, left to Newton to interpret these phenomena; he assumed that rays have ‘sides’; and indeed this ‘transversality’ seemed to him an insuperable objection to the acceptance of the wave theory, since at that time scientists were familiar only with longitudinal waves (from the propagation of sound).

The rejection of the wave theory on the authority of Newton led to its abeyance for nearly a century, but it still found an occasional supporter, such as the great mathematician Leonhard Euler (1707–1783).*

It was not until the beginning of the nineteenth century that the decisive discoveries were made which led to general acceptance of the wave theory. The first step towards this was the enunciation in 1801 by Thomas Young (1773–1829) of the principle of interference and the explanation of the colours of thin films.† However, as Young’s views were expressed largely in a qualitative manner, they did not gain general recognition.

About this time, polarization of light by reflection was discovered by Étienne Louis Malus‡ (1775–1812). Apparently, one evening in 1808, he observed the reflection of the sun from a window pane through a calc-spar crystal, and found that the two images obtained by double refraction varied in relative intensities as the crystal was rotated about the line of sight. However, Malus did not attempt an interpretation of this phenomenon, being of the opinion that current theories were incapable of providing an explanation.

In the meantime the emission theory had been developed further by Pierre Simon de Laplace (1749–1827) and Jean-Baptiste Biot (1774–1862). Its supporters proposed the subject of diffraction for the prize question set by the Paris Academy for 1818, in the expectation that a treatment of this subject would lead to the crowning triumph of the emission theory. But their hopes were disappointed, for, in spite of strong opposition, the prize was awarded to Augustin Jean Fresnel (1788–1827), whose treatment§ was based on the wave theory, and was the first of a succession of investigations which, in the course of a few years, were to discredit the corpuscular theory completely. The substance of his memoir consisted of a synthesis of Huygens’ Envelope Construction with Young’s Principle of Interference. This, as Fresnel showed, was sufficient to explain not only the ‘rectilinear propagation’ of light but also the minute deviations from it – diffraction phenomena. Fresnel calculated the diffraction caused by straight edges, small apertures and screens; particularly impressive was the

* *L. Euleri Opuscula varii argumenti* (Berlin, 1746), p. 169.

† Th. Young, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc., London* xcii, 12 (1802) 387. *Miscellaneous works of the late Thomas Young*, Vol. I (London, J. Murray, 1885) pp. 140, 170.

‡ É. L. Malus, *Nouveau Bull. d. Sci., par la Soc. Philomatique*, Vol. 1 (1809), 266. *Mém. de la Soc. d’Arcueil*, Vol. 2 (1809).

§ A. Fresnel, *Ann. Chim. et Phys.*, (2), 1 (1816) 239; *Oeuvres*, Vol. 1, 89, 129.

experimental confirmation by Arago of a prediction, deduced by Poisson from Fresnel's theory, that in the centre of the shadow of a small circular disc there should appear a bright spot.

In the same year (1818), Fresnel also investigated the important problem of the influence of the earth's motion on the propagation of light, the question being whether there was any difference between the light from stellar and terrestrial sources. Dominique François Arago (1786–1853) found from experiment that (apart from aberration) there was no difference. On the basis of these findings Fresnel developed his theory of the partial convection of the luminiferous aether by matter, a theory confirmed in 1851 by direct experiment carried out by Armand Hypolite Louis Fizeau (1819–1896). Together with Arago, Fresnel investigated the interference of polarized rays of light and found (in 1816) that two rays polarized at right angles to each other never interfere. This fact could not be reconciled with the assumption of longitudinal waves, which had hitherto been taken for granted. Young, who had heard of this discovery from Arago, found in 1817 the key to the solution when he assumed that the vibrations were transverse.

Fresnel at once grasped the full significance of this hypothesis, which he sought to put on a more secure dynamical basis* and from which he drew numerous conclusions. For, since only longitudinal oscillations in a fluid are possible, the aether must behave like a solid body; but at that time a theory of elastic waves in solids had not yet been formulated. Instead of developing such a theory and deducing the optical consequences from it, Fresnel proceeded by inference, and sought to deduce the properties of the luminiferous aether from the observations. The peculiar laws of light propagation in crystals were Fresnel's starting point; the elucidation of these laws and their reduction to a few simple assumptions about the nature of elementary waves represents one of the greatest achievements of natural science. In 1832, William Rowan Hamilton† (1805–1865), who himself made important contributions to the development of optics, drew attention to an important deduction from Fresnel's construction, by predicting the so-called conical refraction, whose existence was confirmed experimentally shortly afterwards by Humphrey Lloyd‡ (1800–1881).

It was also Fresnel who (in 1821) gave the first indication of the cause of dispersion by taking into account the molecular structure of matter§, a suggestion elaborated later by Cauchy.

Dynamical models of the mechanism of aether vibrations led Fresnel to deduce the laws which now bear his name, governing the intensity and polarization of light rays produced by reflection and refraction.||

Fresnel's work had put the wave theory on such a secure foundation that it seemed almost superfluous when in 1850 Foucault¶ and Fizeau and Breguet** undertook a crucial experiment first suggested by Arago. The corpuscular theory explains refraction

* A. Fresnel, *Oeuvres Complètes d'Augustin Fresnel*, Vol. 2 (Paris, Imprimerie Imperiale, 1866–1870), pp. 261, 479.

† W. R. Hamilton, *Trans. Roy. Irish Acad.*, **17** (1833), 1. Also *Hamilton's Mathematical Papers*, eds. J. L. Synge and W. Conway, Vol. 1 (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1931) p. 285.

‡ H. Lloyd, *Trans. Roy. Irish Acad.*, **17** (1833), 145.

§ A. Fresnel, *ibid.*, p. 438.

|| A. Fresnel, *Mém. de l'Acad.*, **11** (1832), 393; *Oeuvres*, **1**, 767.

¶ L. Foucault, *Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **30** (1850), 551.

H. Fizeau and L. Breguet, *Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **30 (1850), 562, 771.

in terms of the attraction of the light-corpuscles at the boundary towards the optically denser medium, and this implies a greater velocity in the denser medium; on the other hand the wave theory demands, according to Huygens' construction, that a smaller velocity obtains in the optically denser medium. The direct measurement of the velocity of light in air and water decided unambiguously in favour of the wave theory.

The decades that followed witnessed the development of the elastic aether theory. The first step was the formulation of a theory of the elasticity of solid bodies. Claude Louis Marie Henri Navier (1785–1836) developed such a theory*, discerning that matter consists of countless particles (mass points, atoms) exerting on each other forces along the lines joining them. The now customary derivation of the equations of elasticity by means of the continuum concept is due to Augustine Louis Cauchy† (1789–1857). Of other scientists who participated in the development of optical theory, mention must be made of Siméon Denis Poisson‡ (1781–1840), George Green§ (1793–1841), James MacCullagh|| (1809–1847) and Franz Neumann¶ (1798–1895). Today it is no longer relevant to enter into the details of these theories or into the difficulties which they encountered; for the difficulties were all caused by the requirement that optical processes should be explicable in mechanical terms, a condition which has long since been abandoned. The following indication will suffice. Consider two contiguous elastic media, and assume that in the first a transverse wave is propagated towards their common boundary. In the second medium the wave will be resolved, in accordance with the laws of mechanics, into longitudinal and transverse waves. But, according to Arago's and Fresnel's experiments, elastic longitudinal waves must be ruled out and must therefore be eliminated somehow. This, however, is not possible without violating the laws of mechanics expressed by the boundary conditions for strains and stresses. The various theories put forward by the authors mentioned above differ in regard to the assumed boundary conditions, which always conflicted in some way with the laws of mechanics.

An obvious objection to regarding the aether as an elastic solid is expressed in the following query: How is one to imagine planets travelling through such a medium at enormous speeds without any appreciable resistance? George Gabriel Stokes (1819–1903) thought that this objection could be met on the grounds that the planetary speeds are very small compared to the speeds of the aetherial particles in the vibrations constituting light; for it is known that bodies like pitch or sealing wax are capable of rapid vibrations but yield completely to stresses applied over a long period. Such controversies seem superfluous today since we no longer consider it necessary to have mechanical pictures of all natural phenomena.

A first step away from the concept of an elastic aether was taken by MacCullagh,** who postulated a medium with properties not possessed by ordinary bodies. The latter store up energy when the volume elements change shape, but not during rotation. In MacCullagh's aether the inverse conditions prevail. The laws of propagation of waves

* C. L. M. H. Navier, *Mém. de l'Acad.*, **7**, (submitted in 1821, published in 1827), 375.

† A. L. Cauchy, *Exercice de Mathématiques*, **3** (1828), 160.

‡ S. D. Poisson, *Mém. de l'Acad.*, **8** (1828), 623.

§ G. Green, *Trans. Camb. Phil. Soc.* (1838); *Math. Papers*, 245.

|| J. MacCullagh, *Phil. Mag.* (3), **10** (1837), 42, 382; *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad.*, **18** (1837).

¶ F. Neumann, *Abh. Berl. Akad., Math. Kl.* (1835), **1**.

J. MacCullagh, *Trans. Roy. Irish Acad.*, **21, Coll. Works, Dublin (1880), 145.

in such a medium show a close similarity to Maxwell's equations of electromagnetic waves which are the basis of modern optics.

In spite of the many difficulties, the theory of an elastic aether persisted for a long time and most of the great physicists of the nineteenth century contributed to it. In addition to those already named, mention must be made of William Thomson* (Lord Kelvin, 1824–1908), Carl Neumann† (1832–1925), John William Strutt‡ (Lord Rayleigh, 1842–1919), and Gustav Kirchhoff§ (1824–1887). During this period many optical problems were solved, but the foundations of optics remained in an unsatisfactory state.

In the meantime researches in electricity and magnetism had developed almost independently of optics, culminating in the discoveries of Michael Faraday|| (1791–1867). James Clerk Maxwell¶ (1831–1879) succeeded in summing up all previous experiences in this field in a system of equations, the most important consequence of which was to establish the possibility of electromagnetic waves, propagated with a velocity which could be calculated from the results of purely electrical measurements. Actually, some years earlier Rudolph Kohlrausch (1809–1858) and Wilhelm Weber** (1804–1891) had carried out such measurements and the velocity turned out to be that of light. This led Maxwell to conjecture that light waves are electromagnetic waves; a conjecture verified by direct experiment in 1888 by Heinrich Hertz†† (1857–1894). In spite of this, Maxwell's electromagnetic theory had a long struggle to gain general acceptance. It seems to be a characteristic of the human mind that familiar concepts are abandoned only with the greatest reluctance, especially when a concrete picture of the phenomena has to be sacrificed. Maxwell himself, and his followers, tried for a long time to describe the electromagnetic field with the aid of mechanical models. It was only gradually, as Maxwell's concepts became more familiar, that the search for an 'explanation' of his equations in terms of mechanical models was abandoned; today there is no conceptual difficulty in regarding Maxwell's field as something which cannot be reduced to anything simpler.

But even the electromagnetic theory of light has attained the limits of its serviceability. It is capable of explaining, in their main features, all phenomena connected with the propagation of light. However, it fails to elucidate the processes of emission and absorption, in which the finer features of the interaction between matter and the optical field are manifested.

The laws underlying these processes are the proper object of modern optics, indeed of modern physics. Their story begins with the discovery of certain regularities in spectra. The first step was Josef Fraunhofer's (1787–1826) discovery‡‡ (1814–1817)

* W. Thomson, *Phil. Mag.*, (5), **26** (1888), 414. Baltimore Lectures (London, 1904).

† C. Neumann, *Math. Ann.*, **1** (1869), 325, **2** (1870), 182.

‡ J. W. Strutt, (Lord Rayleigh), *Phil. Mag.*, (4) **41** (1871), 519; **42** (1871), 81.

§ G. Kirchhoff, *Berl. Abh. Physik., Abteilg.* **2** (1876), 57; *Ges. Abh.* 352; *Berl. Ber.* (1882), 641; *Pogg. Ann. Physik. u. Chem.* (2), **18** (1883), 663; *Ges. Abh., Nachtrag.* 22.

|| M. Faraday, *Experimental Researches in Electricity* (London, 1839).

¶ J. C. Maxwell, *A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism*, 2 Vols. (Oxford, 1873).

R. Kohlrausch and W. Weber, *Pogg. Ann. Physik u. Chem.* (2), **99 (1856), 10.

††H. Hertz, *Sitzb. Berl. Akad. Wiss.*, Feb. 2, 1888; *Wiedem. Ann.* **34** (1888), 551; English translation in his *Electric Waves* (London, Macmillan, 1893), p. 107.

‡‡J. Fraunhofer, *Gilberts Ann.*, **56** (1817), 264. W. H. Wollaston (1766–1828) observed these lines in 1802 (*Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc.*, London (1802), 365) but had not appreciated his discovery and interpreted them incorrectly.