Satyajit Ray is one of India’s best-known filmmakers, and his importance in the international world of cinema has long been recognized. Darius Cooper’s study of Ray is the first to examine his rich and varied work from a social and historical perspective and to situate it within Indian aesthetics. Providing analyses of selected films, including *Shatranj-ke-Khilari* (*The Chess Players*), *Jalsaghar* (*The Music Room*), the films of *The Apu Trilogy*, and others, Cooper outlines Western influences on Ray’s work: the plight of women functioning within a patriarchal society, Ray’s political vision of the “doubly colonized,” and his attack and critique of the Bengali/Indian middle class of today. The most comprehensive treatment of Ray’s work, *The Cinema of Satyajit Ray* makes accessible the oeuvre of this prolific and creative filmmaker.
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Satyajit Ray: framing his universe through the lens of his vision

1. Granny’s (Chunibala Devi) thirst for water (and more) rejected by Sarbojaya’s (Karuna Banerjee) abhinaya of refusal in Pather Panchali (Song of the Little Road, 1955)

2. Granny’s (Chunibala Devi) hunger for food (and more) fulfilled by Durga’s (Uma Das Gupta) fruitful abhinaya in Pather Panchali (Song of the Little Road, 1955)

3. Apu’s (Pinaki Sen Gupta) consistently displayed camatkara vision in Aparajito (The Unvanquished, 1956)

4. Aparna (Sharmila Tagore) endorsing Apu’s (Soumitra Chatterjee) camatkara in Apu Sansar (The World of Apu, 1959)

5. Apu (Soumitra Chatterjee) and Kajal (Aloke Chakravarty) confidently confronting their future in Apu Sansar (The World of Apu, 1959)

6. Biswambar Roy’s (Chhabi Biswas) feudal India confronted by Mahim Ganguly’s (Gangapada Basu) industrial one in Jalsaghar (The Music Room, 1958)

7. Charulata (Madhabi Mukherjee) in Bhupati’s (Sailen Mukherjee) and Amal’s (Soumitra Chatterjee) “doll’s house” in Charulata (1964)

8. Nikhil (Victor Banerjee) introduces the Westernized kiss to his Bengali wife Bimala (Swatilekha Chatterjee) in Ghare-Baire (The Home and the World, 1984)

9. Arati (Madhabi Mukherjee) detaching herself from her husband Subrata’s (Anil Chatterjee) patriarchal gaze and contemplating stepping out into the world outside her domestic space in Mahanagar (The Big City, 1963)

10. Doya (Sharmila Tagore) fading into the flowers: a sorrowful and frightened spectacle of sacrifice and guilt in Devi (The Goddess, 1960)
11. The actual and virtual presences of Ananga (Babita) via her hand playing sensuously with the water in *Ashani Sanket* (*Distant Thunder, 1973*)

12. The dialectic between Karuna’s (Madhabi Mukherjee) and Amitabha’s (Soumitra Chatterjee) silence and Bimal’s (Haradhan Banerjee) garrulity in *Kapurush-o-Mahapurush* (*The Coward and the Holy Man, 1965*)

13. Siddhartha Choudhury (Dhritiman Chatterjee): frustrated by a city that offers him no job but an emaciated cow in *Pratidwandi* (*The Adversary, 1970*)

14. Shyamalendu (Barun Chanda) confessing to Sudharshana (Sharmila Tagore) that he “likes the risks and dangers of my job” in *Seemabaddha* (*Company Limited, 1971*)

15. The laughter that offers Ashim (Soumitra Chatterjee), Hari (Samit Bhanja), Shekar (Robi Ghosh), and Sanjoy (Shubhendu Chatterjee) “a loophole of hope” in *Aranyer Din Ratri* (*Days and Nights in the Forest, 1970*)

16. Arindam (Uttam Kumar) displaying his “tragic flaw” to Aditi (Sharmila Tagore) in *Nayak* (*The Hero, 1966*)

17. Somnath (Pradip Mukherjee) announces to his father (Satya Bandopadhyaya) that he has finally landed the contract before the eclipse overcomes both in *Jana Aranya* (*The Middleman, 1975*)

18. Jhuriya (Smita Patil) berating her dead husband’s corpse (Om Puri) for leaving her and her daughter all alone in her lamentable and Untouchable space in *Sadgati* (*Deliverance, 1981*)


20. The language war between Nisith’s (Dhritiman Chatterjee) manipulative rhetoric and Dr. Ashoke Gupta’s (Soumitra Chatterjee) scientific logic in *Ganashatru* (*An Enemy of the People, 1989*)

21. Tapti (Mamata Shankar) forcing Probir (Dipankar Dey) to examine his own image in her “mirror” in *Shakha Proshaka* (*Branches of the Tree, 1990*)

22. The distance between the “repentant” city folk Anila (Mamata Shankar), Sudhendu (Dipankar Dey), and Satyaki (Bikram Banerjee) at the “margins” and the insulted uncle, Mannmohan Mitra’s (Utpal Dutt) “center” in *Agantuk* (*The Stranger, 1991*)
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