

Contents

<i>List of figures</i>	<i>page</i> x
<i>List of tables</i>	xi
<i>Preface</i>	xiii
Part I Background	
1 Environmental pollution as a problem of collective action	3
1.1 Can something be done?	3
1.2 Environmental dilemmas and the logic of collective action	5
1.3 Surveying environmental dilemmas from the actor's perspective: rational choice	10
1.4 How motives speak to preferences	14
1.5 Non-equivalent dilemmas and reported behaviour	17
1.6 Policies of self-regulation in the Netherlands	18
1.7 Moral commitment in environmental dilemmas: conditional or unconditional?	20
1.8 Determinants of cooperation in environmental dilemmas and policy design	24
2 A Dutch approach: self-regulation as a policy concept	28
2.1 Introduction	28
2.2 Dutch environmental policy and the idea of self-regulation	29
2.3 The social instruments	35
2.4 An environmental ethos and the social dilemma	40
2.5 Self-regulation: compliance-oriented or virtue-based?	42
3 The actor's perspective on collective action	47
3.1 The subjectivity of the actor in rational choice theory	47
	vii

viii	CONTENTS
3.2 Problems of collective action	49
3.3 Social dilemmas	55
3.4 The actor's perspective	59
Part II The survey	
4 Preference orderings and measurement	67
4.1 Three potential social dilemmas	67
4.2 Measuring preference orderings	71
4.3 Three different environmental problems	78
4.4 Avoiding response effects	82
5 Rational choice	84
5.1 Conditions of rational choice	84
5.2 The dominance rule of rational choice	87
5.3 Choice of strategy	91
5.4 The robustness of the dominance rule	95
5.5 Conclusion	98
6 Consistency of motives and preferences	101
6.1 A model of reasoned choice	101
6.2 The motives of Valuation and Willingness	103
6.3 The test of consistent preferences	108
6.4 Consistent preferences in the three cases	116
6.5 Does motive-preference consistency matter?	118
6.6 Conclusion	121
7 The non-equivalence of the cases	124
7.1 Hard and easy cases of the dilemma	124
7.2 The model of the hardest case	125
7.3 The scalability of the cases	131
7.4 The non-equivalence of social dilemmas	133
8 Reported behaviour	136
8.1 Determinants of behaviour	136
8.2 The sociocultural model	138
8.3 An alternative model	142
8.4 From motives to behaviour	146
Part III Conclusions: theory and policy	
9 Do people accept self-regulation policy?	151
9.1 Introduction to part III	151
9.2 Acceptance and agreement	153
9.3 The acceptance of legal regulation and self-regulation	155
9.4 Conclusion	160

CONTENTS	ix
10 Do people agree with the environmental ethos?	161
10.1 Introduction	161
10.2 The two stages of the environmental ethos	162
10.3 Knaves, pawns or knights?	167
10.4 The ethical interpretation of motives and preferences	169
10.5 The agreement response	174
10.6 Acceptance and agreement: overview	176
11 Moral commitment and rational cooperation	178
11.1 Ranking preference orderings	178
11.2 The meta-ranking approach	179
11.3 Enlightened self-interest and moral commitment	183
11.4 Consistent preferences in the meta-ranking	187
11.5 An environmental meta-ranking	193
12 Reciprocity and cooperation in environmental dilemmas	197
12.1 The puzzle of unconditional cooperation	197
12.2 The reciprocity thesis	198
12.3 Cost of cooperation and conditionalities in environmental dilemmas	205
13 Assessing self-regulation policies	210
13.1 The context of environmental dilemmas	210
13.2 Consistent ethical cooperation	211
13.3 Background features of hard and easy cases	213
13.4 Mapping problems and the salience of the environmental ethos	216
13.5 Individual cost and collective gain	219
13.6 Comparing motives in the polar cases	225
13.7 The dimension of private significance	227
13.8 Self-regulation policy: symbolic or real?	228
13.9 A non-moralistic approach to environmental responsibility	230
13.10 Self-regulation in proportion to facilitation	233
<i>References</i>	235
<i>Index</i>	239